



PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2024)
(Implements RCW 34.05.320)
Do NOT use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER
STATE OF WASHINGTON
FILED

DATE: October 30, 2024

TIME: 9:29 AM

WSR 24-22-075

Agency: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI)

☒ **Original Notice**

☐ **Supplemental Notice to WSR** _____

☐ **Continuance of WSR** _____

☒ **Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR** 24-16-089 ; or

☐ **Expedited Rule Making--Proposed notice was filed as WSR** _____; or

☐ **Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or**

☐ **Proposal is exempt under RCW** _____.

Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) WAC 392-157-125 – Time for meals

Hearing location(s):

Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:
January 14, 2025	11:00 a.m.	Virtual Public Hearing via Zoom (call-in option also available). Participation link available on OSPI Rules webpage: Ospi.k12.wa.us/policy- funding/ospi-rulemaking-activity	The hearing will be held as a virtual public hearing, without a physical meeting space. Please visit the OSPI Rules webpage for information on participating in the hearing: ospi.k12.wa.us/policy-funding/ospi-rulemaking-activity For participation questions, please email: sirena.wu@k12.wa.us

Date of intended adoption: January 16, 2025 (Note: This is **NOT** the **effective** date)

Submit written comments to:

Name Leanne Eko

Address OSPI, PO Box 47200, Olympia, WA 98504

Email child.nutrition@k12.wa.us

Fax

Other

Beginning (date and time) November 20, 2024, 8:00 a.m.

By (date and time) January 14, 2025, no later than 5:00
p.m.

Assistance for persons with disabilities:

Contact Sirena Wu, OSPI Rules Coordinator

Phone 360-480-9317

Fax

TTY 360-664-3631

Email sirena.wu@k12.wa.us

Other

By (date) January 7, 2025

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: The Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction is proposing to revise WAC 392-157-125 to better align with State Auditor performance audit results, leading research, and national best practices by requiring a minimum seated lunchtime of twenty (20) minutes in K-12 public schools.

Reasons supporting proposal: Requiring a minimum seated lunchtime of twenty (20) minutes in K-12 public schools under WAC 392-157-125 would allow for specific guidance that aligns with recommendations from education and nutrition groups, national best practices, and State Auditor performance audit results. Additionally, adopting a minimum seated lunchtime requirement would ensure uniform standards across Washington state schools.

Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 28A.235.100

Statute being implemented:

Is rule necessary because of a:

Federal Law?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Federal Court Decision?

☐ Yes ☒ No

State Court Decision?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, CITATION:

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:

Name of proponent: (person or organization)

Type of proponent: ☐ Private. ☐ Public. ☐ Governmental.

Name of agency personnel responsible for:

	Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting	Leanne Eko	OSPI, 600 S. Washington St, Olympia, WA	
Implementation	Leanne Eko	OSPI, 600 S. Washington St, Olympia, WA	I
Enforcement		OSPI, 600 S. Washington St, Olympia, WA	

Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under [RCW 28A.305.135](#)?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, insert statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting:

Name
Address
Phone
Fax
TTY
Email
Other

Is a cost-benefit analysis required under [RCW 34.05.328](#)?

☐ Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:

Name
Address
Phone
Fax
TTY
Email
Other

☒ No: Please explain:

Regulatory Fairness Act and Small Business Economic Impact Statement

Note: The [Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance \(ORIA\)](#) provides support in completing this part.

(1) Identification of exemptions:

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, **may be exempt** from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see [chapter 19.85 RCW](#)). For additional information on exemptions, consult the [exemption guide published by ORIA](#). Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):

☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under [RCW 19.85.061](#) because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted.

Citation and description:

☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by [RCW 34.05.313](#) before filing the notice of this proposed rule.

☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of [RCW 15.65.570\(2\)](#) because it was adopted by a referendum.

☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.025(3) . Check all that apply:	
☐ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b) (Internal government operations)	☐ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e) (Dictated by statute)
☐ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c) (Incorporation by reference)	☐ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f) (Set or adjust fees)
☐ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d) (Correct or clarify language)	☐ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g) ((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)
☒ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.025(4) . (Does not affect small businesses).	
☒ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.030 .	
Explanation of how the above exemption(s) applies to the proposed rule: No small business economic impact statement has been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW. The proposed amendment does not have an impact on small business and therefore does not meet the requirements for a statement under RCW 19.85.030 (1) or (2).	
(2) Scope of exemptions: <i>Check one.</i>	
☒ The rule proposal: Is fully exempt. (<i>Skip section 3.</i>) Exemptions identified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal.	
☐ The rule proposal: Is partially exempt. (<i>Complete section 3.</i>) The exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule proposal, but less than the entire rule proposal. Provide details here (consider using this template from ORIA):	
☐ The rule proposal: Is not exempt. (<i>Complete section 3.</i>) No exemptions were identified above.	
(3) Small business economic impact statement: <i>Complete this section if any portion is not exempt.</i>	
If any portion of the proposed rule is not exempt , does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?	
☐ No Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed rule did not impose more-than-minor costs. _____	
☐ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here:	
The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:	
Name Address Phone Fax TTY Email Other	
Date: October 30, 2024	Signature: 
Name: Chris Reykdal	
Title: State Superintendent of Public Instruction	