Improve teaching effectiveness and student outcomes

Effective teaching is fundamental to improving schools. The primary purpose of the STAR[™] assessments is to improve teaching and learning. This document is intended to show how STAR can provide teachers with critical data as part of their state's and district's educator evaluation initiative.

As an interim assessment, STAR provides educators with reliable data *during the year* so they can see the path ahead in time to impact and improve it. STAR assessment results create a trustworthy trend line that tells a story about each student. Teachers and principals can use STAR data to demonstrate efforts to screen and identify students in need of intervention, student progress toward incremental benchmarks, mid-course corrections in instruction and the resulting effects, trends toward state proficiency, and overarching patterns in learning.

Components of the Educator Evaluation formula

New Jersey's educator evaluation formula includes qualitative and quantitative components:

Qualitative component (55%--tested subjects; 85%--non-tested subjects)

- Teacher practice is measured by performance on a teacher practice instrument (e.g. Danielson, Marzano) (source).
- The information provided in this document focuses only on the quantitative portion of the formula.

Quantitative component (15%--tested subjects; 45%--non-tested subjects)

- This document offers suggestions for how STAR data can be used within the *quantitative* component of the New Jersey Educator Evaluation formula, specifically within SGO's.
- It is always up to administrators and teachers to determine what form of measurement tools are best suited for their district or schools, within the parameters of mandated policy and best practices.

Student Growth Percentiles

Student Growth Percentile (SGP) compares a student's growth to that of *academic peers* (students in the same grade with a similar scaled score on a STAR assessment at the beginning of the time period being examined) nationwide. SGP is reported on a 1–99 scale, with lower numbers indicating lower relative growth and higher numbers indicating higher *relative growth*.

The New Jersey Student Growth Percentile and the STAR Enterprise Student Growth Percentile are similar growth models. Both were developed with guidance from Dr. Damian Betebenner from the Center for Assessment. STAR's SGP growth model is a "within-the-year growth model." As a result, students' growth data comes from two data points *within the same year* (fall to winter, winter to spring, and/or fall to spring). New Jersey's Student Growth Percentile model uses NJ ASK data. As a result, growth data comes from two data points across school years.

For STAR SGPs to be reported, students must be tested within at least two of the following date ranges:

- Fall: August 1 November 30
- Winter: December 1– March 31
- Spring: April 1 July 31

For students to receive SGP scores, pre- and post-tests for half-year SGP scores (Fall to Winter, Winter to Spring) must be administered at least 60 *calendar* days apart, and full-year SGPs (Fall to Spring) must be administered at least 180 *calendar* days apart.

Special considerations for K-3 teachers

Over the course of a school year, many K-3 students will transition from non-readers to readers. To get Student Growth Percentiles, students must pretest and post-test with the same assessment. In other words, students who test with STAR Early Literacy[™] in the fall must test with STAR Early Literacy in the spring in order to get an SGP. This does not preclude also testing with STAR Reading Enterprise[™]. Please note that STAR Reading Enterprise does not produce an SGP for kindergartners. Because both STAR Early Literacy and STAR Reading are quick and provide critical information for instructional planning, there is value in administering both to students during this transitional time.



	s and Subjects		Non-Tested Grades and						
	th Language Arts Literacy and Mathe nan 20% of NJ teachers currently fall i		Mathematics (<u>source</u>)	ide 4 th -8 th Language Arts Literacy and of NJ teachers fall into this category.)					
Educator Summative Rating Scale (source)	 Highly Effective, Effective, Partially Effective, or Ineffective 								
Educator Evaluation Formula (source)	Practice Student Achievement Teacher Practice Student Growth Objective (SGO) Student Growth Percentile (SGP) Student Growth Percentile (SGP) Based on classroom observations Student Growth Objective (SGO) Student Growth Percentile (SGP) Student Growth Percentile (SGP) Based on classroom observations Student Growth Objective (SGO) Student Growth Percentile (SGP) Overall evaluation score								
	2013-201	All togehors	Lessthen 20 percent of teachers guage Arts Literacy and M	athematics					
	Teacher Practice (55%)		NJ ASK rowth Percentile (SGP) (30%)	Student Growth Objectives (SGO) (15%)					
	Measured by performance on various instruments (e.g. Danielson, Marzano, etc.).		res the change in student one year to the next	Teachers of tested grades and subjects are required to set at least 1 SGO .					
				STAR data can be used for SGOs. See pages 4 and 5 for examples.					
	2013-2014: Non-Tested Grades and Subjects								
	Teacher Practice (85%)		Student Growth Objectives (SGO) (15%)						
	Measured by performance on val (e.g., Danielson, Marzano, etc.).	rious instruments	Teachers of non-tested grades and subjects (NJ ASK SGPs are not provided) are required to set at least 2 SGOs .						
			STAR data can be used for SGOs. See pages 4 and 5 for examples.						
NJ ASK: Student Growth Percentiles	through 8th grade Language Arts L ASK, SGPs compare the change in a	SGPs provided by the New Jersey Assessment of Skills and Knowledge (NJ ASK) measure achievement gains within 4th through 8th grade Language Arts Literacy and Mathematics, referred to as the "tested grades and subjects." Using the NJ ASK, SGPs compare the change in a student's achievement from one year to the next to that of all other students in the state who had similar historical results (the student's "academic peers"). (source)							
Student Growth Objectives	ambitious but achievable. Assessm	of the year. SGOs sh nents used to measur	ould be developed using avail e SGOs can include national s	able student data and created to be					
	assessments; or locally-developed measures such as tests, portfolios, etc. <u>(source)</u> SGOs can be general or specific, and can be further subdivided as show in the table below. Which you use is dependent or your teaching assignment and the guidance provided by your district. <u>(source, page 5)</u>								



P.O. Box 8036 | Wisconsin Rapids, WI 54495-8036 | (800) 338-4204 | www.renlearn.com

© 2013 Renaissance Learning, Inc. All rights reserved.

Types of SGO's	Type of SGO	Definition	Example
	General	Focused on the teacher's entire student population for a given course. Includes a large proportion of curriculum standards.	Includes all students in a teacher's Algebra 1 classes and is aligned with CCSS.
	General - Tiered	Same as above, but with student goals tiered by student preparation levels.	Same as above, but with student goals tiered by preparation levels.
	Specific - Student Group	Focused on a subgroup of students that needs specific support.	Includes students in the group that scored below 45% on the pre-test.
	Specific - Content/Skill	Focused on specific skills or content that students must master.	Includes CCSS related to quadratic functions and modeling.

Figure 1: Types of SGOs.

<u>Source</u>

Type of SGO	Explanation	Sample SGO			
General	Educators can use the same growth target for all preparedness groups because Student Growth Percentile (SGP) compares each student to their academic peers.	Page 4 of this document			
General- Tiered	Educators can use the same growth target for all preparedness groups because Student Growth Percentile compares each student to their academic peers. Student Growth Percentile is a tiered growth model. Each preparedness group has their own growth target, even when set at the same SGP, because students are compared to their academic peers.	Page 5 of this document			
Specific – Student Group	The grouping function in STAR enables educators to set "Specific SGO's" for student groups.	Page 4 of this document			
Specific – Content/Skill	N/A	N/A			



P.O. Box 8036 | Wisconsin Rapids, WI 54495-8036 | (800) 338-4204 | www.renlearn.com

© 2013 Renaissance Learning, Inc. All rights reserved.

General SGO example

Grade	Subject	Number of Students	Interval of Instruction	
9th	English with Intervention	9	September 2012 – May	2013
Name of Assessment	STAR Reading Enterprise	2	SGO Type	General X
Rationale for Student G	rowth Objective			
(Please include content	standards covered and ex	planation of assessment i	method.)	
		ective is to set a reasonab		
	urriculum, and will receive di	y growth-measuring asses rect instruction tied directly		
improvement plan; it is	also an important empha	for this SGO because impr sis of the English/Languag		-
Student Growth Object	ive			
		ace with their academic p nore of my students shoul		t of 35 Student Growth
I will assess my students My students are in read Baseline data is used to simplified with SGP beca enables me to focus on I will use the valid and re students at the beginnin progression to help info	s with STAR Reading Enter ing intervention because set a growth target. I will ause each student is comp developing and delivering eliable STAR Reading base of the year, I will use ST rm my instructional decis learn next. This report al	d information used in sett prise, a computer-adaptive they are performing below use 35 SGP as the growth pared to his or her own ac g individualized instruction eline data to help me mon TAR Reading Screening Re ions. I'll also use the Instru- so provides projected scal	ve assessment of reading w benchmark and below t target for these students ademic peers. Simplified n. itor my students' growth port data and the linked C uctional Planning Report t led-score growth for each	heir academic peers. 5. Goal setting is goal setting with SGP To learn about my Core Progress learning
		on track throughout the y e with their academic pee		student, which I'll use
projected scaled score, t Scoring Plan	they will be maintain pace			student, which I'll use
projected scaled score, t Scoring Plan See attached Growth Re	they will be maintain pace port.	e with their academic pee	rs at or above 35 SGP.	student, which I'll use
projected scaled score, to Scoring Plan See attached Growth Re Objective Attainment Le	they will be maintain pace port. evel Based on Percent an	e with their academic pee d Number of Students Ac	rs at or above 35 SGP.	student, which I'll use ng pace with their
projected scaled score, to Scoring Plan See attached Growth Re Objective Attainment Lo Target Score	they will be maintain pace port. evel Based on Percent an Exceptional (4)	e with their academic pee d Number of Students Ac Full (3)	rs at or above 35 SGP. hieving Target Score Partial (2)	student, which I'll use ng pace with their Insufficient (1)
projected scaled score, t Scoring Plan See attached Growth Re Objective Attainment Le	they will be maintain pace port. evel Based on Percent an	e with their academic pee d Number of Students Ac	rs at or above 35 SGP. hieving Target Score	student, which I'll use ng pace with their
projected scaled score, to Scoring Plan See attached Growth Re Objective Attainment Lo Target Score	they will be maintain pace port. evel Based on Percent an Exceptional (4) Greater than 84%	e with their academic pee d Number of Students Ac Full (3)	rs at or above 35 SGP. hieving Target Score Partial (2)	student, which I'll use ng pace with their Insufficient (1)
projected scaled score, to Scoring Plan See attached Growth Re Objective Attainment Le Target Score 35 SGP	they will be maintain pace port. evel Based on Percent an Exceptional (4) Greater than 84%	e with their academic pee d Number of Students Ac Full (3)	rs at or above 35 SGP. hieving Target Score Partial (2)	student, which I'll use ng pace with their Insufficient (1)
projected scaled score, f Scoring Plan See attached Growth Re Objective Attainment Lo Target Score 35 SGP Approval of Student Gro	they will be maintain pace port. evel Based on Percent an Exceptional (4) Greater than 84%	e with their academic pee d Number of Students Ac Full (3)	rs at or above 35 SGP. hieving Target Score Partial (2) 55-69%	student, which I'll use ng pace with their Insufficient (1)
projected scaled score, f Scoring Plan See attached Growth Re Objective Attainment Le Target Score 35 SGP Approval of Student Gro Teacher:	they will be maintain pace eport. evel Based on Percent an Exceptional (4) Greater than 84% owth Objective	e with their academic pee d Number of Students Ac Full (3)	rs at or above 35 SGP. hieving Target Score Partial (2) 55-69% Date Submitted:	student, which I'll use ng pace with their Insufficient (1)
projected scaled score, f Scoring Plan See attached Growth Re Objective Attainment Lo Target Score 35 SGP Approval of Student Gro Teacher: Evaluator:	they will be maintain pace eport. evel Based on Percent an Exceptional (4) Greater than 84% owth Objective	e with their academic pee d Number of Students Ac Full (3)	rs at or above 35 SGP. hieving Target Score Partial (2) 55-69% Date Submitted:	student, which I'll use ng pace with their Insufficient (1)
projected scaled score, f Scoring Plan See attached Growth Re Objective Attainment Lo Target Score 35 SGP Approval of Student Gro Teacher: Evaluator:	they will be maintain pace eport. evel Based on Percent an Exceptional (4) Greater than 84% owth Objective	e with their academic pee d Number of Students Ac Full (3) 70-84%	hieving Target Score Partial (2) 55-69% Date Submitted: Date Approved:	student, which I'll use ng pace with their Insufficient (1)



- P.O. Box 8036 | Wisconsin Rapids, WI 54495-8036 | (800) 338-4204 | www.renlearn.com
- © 2013 Renaissance Learning, Inc. All rights reserved.

Tiered SGO example

Grade	Subject	Number of Students	Interval of Instruction	
9th	English with	9	September 2012 – May	2013
	Intervention			
Name of Assessment	STAR Reading Enterprise	e	SGO Type	General – Tiered X
Rationale for Student G				
•		planation of assessment		
	-	ective is to set a reasonab	_	
	•	y growth-measuring asses	, ,	
comprehension and vocab		irect instruction tied directly	to the literature we're read	ling to support their
	ulary development.			
I have chosen growth in	reading comprehension	for this SGO because impr	oving this skill is not only	v key to our school's
-		sis of the English/Languag		-
Student Growth Object	· ·			
		bace with their academic p	peers with a growth targe	et of 35 Student Growth
		, nore of my students shou		
. , , ,		,		
I can set the same SGP	growth target for all prepa	aredness groups because s	Student Growth Percenti	le compares each
student to their academ	nic peers. In other words,	Student Growth Percentil	e is a tiered growth mod	el. So, each of my
preparedness groups ha	as their own growth targe	t, even when set at the sa	me SGP, because all of m	ny students are
compared to their acad	emic peers.			
Baseline Data				
· · · · ·	-	nts' performance/skills/ac		beginning of the year, as
		d information used in sett		
-	-	rprise, a computer-adapti		
My students are in read	ing intervention because	they are performing below	w benchmark and below	their academic peers.
Pacolino data is used to	sot a growth target I will	use 35 SGP as the growth	target for these student	c. Goal catting is
		pared to his or her own ac		
-	-	g individualized instruction		gour setting with SO
I will use the valid and r	eliable STAR Reading base	eline data to help me mon	nitor my students' growth	n. To learn about my
		TAR Reading Screening Re		
_		ions. I'll also use the Instr	-	
each student is ready to	learn next. This report al	so provides projected sca	led-score growth for eac	h student, which I'll use
as an additional indicate	or to ensure students are	on track throughout the y	ear. If students are keep	ing pace with their
projected scaled score,	they will be maintain pace	e with their academic pee	rs at or above 35 SGP.	
Scoring Plan				
See attached Growth Re	eport.			
	1	d Number of Students Ac		
Target Score	Exceptional (4)	Full (3)	Partial (2)	Insufficient (1)
35 SGP	Greater than 84%	70-84%	55-69%	Less than 55%
Approval of Student Gr	owth Objective			
Teacher:			Date Submitted:	
Evaluator:			Date Approved:	
Results of Student Grov	wth Objective			
Results of Student Grov	wth Objective	Score:	Teacher:	
Results of Student Grov	wth Objective	Score: Date:	Teacher: Evaluator:	



P.O. Box 8036 | Wisconsin Rapids, WI 54495-8036 | (800) 338-4204 | www.renlearn.com

^{© 2013} Renaissance Learning, Inc. All rights reserved.

			y 13, 2013 11:2					School Year: School Year:			
ip: All Demographi	ics [Default]					arget =					
Class	Teacher	Grade	Test Date	SGP ² Fall-Spr	SS	GE	PR	NCE	IRL	Est. ORF ^b	
English	Hernandez, Mike	9	09/07/2012		890	8.1	24 33	35.1 40.7	6.7 8.9		
			Change	67	+113	+1.2	+9	+5.6	+2.2		
dwards, Broc English	sh Hernandez, Mike	9	09/04/2012 05/01/2013		743 882	6.7 8.0	27 30	37.1 39.0	6.1 6.7		
			Change	68	+139	+1.3	+3	+1.9	+0.6		
English	h Hernandez, Mike	9	09/07/2012		914 978	8.4 9.1	32 35	40.1	6.9 8.6		
			Change	55	+64	+0.7	+3	+1.8	+1.7		
English Hernandez, Mike	English	Hernandez, Mike	9	09/07/2012 05/02/2013		933 924	8.6 8.5	30 26	39.0 36.5	7.6 7.3	
			Change	42	-9	-0.1	-4	-2.5	-0.3		
English	Hernandez, Mike	9	09/07/2012 05/03/2013		659 898	6.2 8.2	11 26	24.2 36.5	5.5 6.8		
			Change	86	+239	+2.0	+15	+12.3	+1.3		
English	Hernandez, Mike	9	09/04/2012 05/01/2013		848 1098	7.6 10.3	35 51	41.9 50.5	6.5 9.8		
			Change	85	+250	+2.7	+16	+8.6	+3.3		
200 Mail 200	English English English English English	English Hernandez, Mike English Hernandez, Mike English Hernandez, Mike English Hernandez, Mike English Hernandez, Mike	EnglishHernandez, Mike9EnglishHernandez, Mike9EnglishHernandez, Mike9EnglishHernandez, Mike9EnglishHernandez, Mike9	English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 05/03/2013 Change English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/04/2012 05/01/2013 Change English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 05/01/2013 Change English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 05/03/2013 Change English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 05/03/2013 Change	ClassTeacherGradeTest DateSGP² Fall-SprEnglishHernandez, Mike909/07/2012 05/03/201309/07/2012 Change07EnglishHernandez, Mike909/04/2012 05/01/201307EnglishHernandez, Mike909/07/2012 05/03/201368EnglishHernandez, Mike909/07/2012 05/03/201368EnglishHernandez, Mike909/07/2012 05/03/201368EnglishHernandez, Mike909/07/2012 05/02/201342EnglishHernandez, Mike909/07/2012 05/02/201342EnglishHernandez, Mike909/07/2012 05/03/201388EnglishHernandez, Mike909/07/2012 05/03/201388EnglishHernandez, Mike909/04/2012 05/03/201388EnglishHernandez, Mike909/04/2012 05/03/201388	Class Teacher Grade Test Date Fall-Spr SS English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 890 1003 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 890 1003 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/04/2012 743 882 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2013 882 743 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 743 882 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 914 978 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 913 978 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 933 05/02/2013 924 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 933 95/03/2013 898 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 659 65/03/2013 898 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 848	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline Class & \hline Teacher & Grade & \hline Test Date & \hline Fall-Spr & SS & GE \\ \hline English & Hernandez, Mike & 9 & 09/07/2012 & 890 & 8.1 \\ 05/03/2013 & 1003 & 9.3 \\ \hline Change & 67 & +113 & +1.2 \\ \hline English & Hernandez, Mike & 9 & 09/04/2012 & 743 & 6.7 \\ 05/01/2013 & 882 & 8.0 \\ \hline Change & 68 & +139 & +1.3 \\ \hline Change & 68 & +139 & +1.3 \\ \hline Change & 68 & +139 & +1.3 \\ \hline Change & 55 & +64 & +0.7 \\ \hline English & Hernandez, Mike & 9 & 09/07/2012 & 914 & 8.4 \\ 05/03/2013 & 978 & 9.1 \\ \hline Change & 55 & +64 & +0.7 \\ \hline English & Hernandez, Mike & 9 & 09/07/2012 & 933 & 8.6 \\ 05/02/2013 & 924 & 8.5 \\ \hline Change & 42 & -9 & -0.1 \\ \hline English & Hernandez, Mike & 9 & 09/07/2012 & 659 & 6.2 \\ 05/03/2013 & 898 & 8.2 \\ \hline Change & 86 & +239 & +2.0 \\ \hline English & Hernandez, Mike & 9 & 09/07/2012 & 659 & 6.2 \\ 05/03/2013 & 898 & 8.2 \\ \hline Change & 86 & +239 & +2.0 \\ \hline English & Hernandez, Mike & 9 & 09/07/2012 & 848 & 7.6 \\ 05/01/2013 & 1098 & 10.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Class Teacher Grade Test Date SGP ² Fall-Spr SS GE PR English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 890 8.1 24 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 67 +113 +1.2 +9 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/04/2012 743 6.7 27 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2013 862 8.0 30 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 914 8.4 32 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 933 8.6 30 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 933		Class Teacher Grade Test Date SGP ² Fall-Spr SS GE PR NCE IRL English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 890 8.1 24 35.1 6.7 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/04/2012 743 6.7 27 37.1 6.1 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2013 862 8.0 30 39.0 6.7 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2013 862 8.0 30 39.0 6.7 Change 68 +139 +1.3 +3 +1.9 +0.6 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2013 978 9.1 35 41.9 8.6 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2013 978 9.1 35 41.9 8.6 English Hernandez, Mike 9 09/07/2012 933 8.6 30 39.0 7.6 <	

P.O. Box 8036 | Wisconsin Rapids, WI 54495-8036 | (800) 338-4204 | www.renlearn.com © 2013 Renaissance Learning, Inc. All rights reserved.

STAR Reading-

Renaissance Learning Logo, STAR, STAR Early Literacy, STAR Reading, STAR Early Literacy Enterprise, STAR Reading Enterprise, STAR Reading Growth Report and STAR Record Book are trademarks of Renaissance Learning, Inc., and its subsidiaries, registered, common law, or pending registration in the United States and other countries.

Page 6 of 7 R00000 130925

STAR Reading- School: South High So				Report 13, 2013 11:2			-		dy did r target.	not me	et	
Student	Class	Teacher		Grade	Test Date	SGP Fall-Spr	55	/	PR	NCE	IRI	Est. ORF
Taylor, Wayne [⊿]	English	Lopez, Sa	га		09/04/2012		804	5.6	14	27.2	4.9	
				65	05/01/2013 Change	22	568 -36	5.3 -0.3	6 8	17.3 -9.9	4.7	
Vazquez, Jennifer∛	English	Lopez, Sa	ra		09/04/2012 05/03/2013	\bigcirc	85 1063	7.7 9.7	37 49	43.0 49.5	6.6 9.5	
				-	Change	79	-206	+2.0	+12	+6.5	+2.9	9
Wills, Brady	English	Hernande:	z, Mike		09/04/2012 05/03/2013		823 781	7.4 7.0	33 21	40.7 33.0	6.4 6.3	
				1	Change	24	-42	-0.4	-12	-7.7	-0.1	I
Summary						$\mathbf{\bigcirc}$						
		Total Students			Median SGP	i			Aver	ages		
		Included	Grade	Test Date		r s	s	GE	PR	NCE	IRL	Est. ORF
		9	÷	Pretest		80	17.	7.3	26	36.5	6.4	÷
				Posttest		91		8.4	29	38.3	6.9	
				Change	67	+10	3 -	+1.1	+3	+1.8	+0.5	0

Growth Target	Number of Students:	% of Students Exceeding/ Meeting Target:	SGO rating:
35 SGP	9	78%	3

Teacher receives SGO rating of '3' because 78% of students met SGO growth target of 35 SGP.

Target Score	Attainment Leven Meeting Student Growth Objective							
80% or Higher on Final Assessment	Exceptional 4	Full 3	Partial 2	Insufficient 1				
Number of Students Meeting Target (out of 65)	More than 55	45-55	36- <mark>44</mark>	Fewer than 36				
Percent of Students Meeting Target	Greater than 84%	70-84%	55-69%	Less than 55%				

Sample SGO scoring from NJ Doe: Source, page 16



P.O. Box 8036 | Wisconsin Rapids, WI 54495-8036 | (800) 338-4204 | www.renlearn.com © 2013 Renaissance Learning, Inc. All rights reserved.

Renaissance Learning Logo, STAR, STAR Early Literacy, STAR Reading, STAR Early Literacy Enterprise, STAR Reading Enterprise, STAR Reading Growth Report and STAR Record Book are trademarks of Renaissance Learning, Inc., and its subsidiaries, registered, common law, or pending registration in the United States and other countries. Page 7 of 7 R00000 130925