

Special Education Monthly Webinar Series

December 2018

Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction
Chris Reykdal, State Superintendent

Vision:

All students prepared for post-secondary pathways, careers, and civic engagement.



Mission:

Transform K–12 education to a system that is centered on closing opportunity gaps and is characterized by high expectations for all students and educators. We achieve this by developing equity-based policies and supports that empower educators, families, and communities.

Values:

- Ensuring Equity
- Collaboration and Service
- Achieving Excellence through Continuous Improvement
- Focus on the Whole Child



Equity Statement:

Each student, family, and community possesses strengths and cultural knowledge that benefit their peers, educators, and schools.

Ensuring educational equity:

- Goes beyond equality; it requires education leaders to examine the ways current policies and practices result in disparate outcomes for our students of color, students living in poverty, students receiving special education and English Learner services, students who identify as LGBTQ+, and highly mobile student populations.
- Requires education leaders to develop an understanding of historical contexts; engage students, families, and community representatives as partners in decision-making; and actively dismantle systemic barriers, replacing them with policies and practices that ensure all students have access to the instruction and support they need to succeed in our schools.

OSPI Special Education Services

The OSPI Special Education Services division is responsible for ensuring the provision of special education and related services on behalf of more than 130,000 eligible students in Washington. We:

- <u>Provide technical assistance and professional development</u> to support and facilitate improvement efforts by disseminating evidence-based and promising practices for the development of academic, health, and post-school outcomes.
- Engage stakeholders involved in, or affected by, special education services and outcomes for students with disabilities.
- <u>Administer general supervision</u> of the provision of special education services through an integrated monitoring system, dispute resolution options, and coordinated data management efforts.
- Allocate federal special education funding and manage the supplemental safety net program.

OSPI Priorities:

Improving Outcomes for Students with Disabilities



Leadership

Support students with disabilities (including increased collaboration and ownership of school administrators and staff) and coordinated efforts with community organizations to improve results and reduce disproportionality.



Growth Mindset

Increased expectations of students with disabilities (e.g., standards, instruction, graduation, assessments, attendance, IEP-related Decisions, and post-school outcomes).



Evidence-Based Practices

Instruction and interventions within an MTSS framework and inclusionary practices leading to increased access and progress in Washington grade-level learning standards.



Professional Development

Joint training for general educators, special educators, , administrators, and parents/families (e.g., IEP team members).



Resource **Allocation**

Braided funding, consolidated grant application, reducing costs for administrative tasks, increasing direct support to students, and data-based decision making.



Recruitment & Retention

Preparation programs for administrators, general educators, special educators, related service providers, and paraeducators focused around instruction and support for students with disabilities.




Today's Presenters

- Tania May, Director of Special Education
- Aira Jackson, Director, English Language Arts
- Dr. Sharon Hartung, Program Supervisor
- Dr. Elizabeth Stewart, Program Supervisor
- Dr. Petra Heppner-Nelson, Program Supervisor




Developing Standards-Aligned IEPs

- Welcome & Introductions
- Systemic Framework: The Golden Circle
- Start with Why:
 - Rationale & Research
- How to approach standards-aligned IEPs:
 - K-12 learning standards resources
 - Bridging the learning standards and IEPs
- What this means for practice:
 - Present Levels & IEP Goals
 - "The 7-Step Process"
- Wrap-up: Q&A and Survey








Source: Sinek, S. (2009). Start with why: How great leaders inspire everyone to take action. New York: Portfolio.

TED Talk: Simon Sinek How great leaders inspire action





What is your why? Why are standards-aligned IEPs important for your students?



Why align to standards?

- Increased Expectations & Improved Outcomes
- Backwards planning: standards alignment helps us start from where we want students to be (while taking into account where students are)
- A focus on grade-level content builds bridges with content matter experts

Instructional Implications for Standards Alignment

- High-quality, results-focused Specially Designed Instruction (SDI), Accommodations & Modifications
- Increased access to general education curriculum through:
 - Multi-tiered systems of supports (MTSS) & Positive Behavioral Interventions/Supports (PBIS)
 - Universal Design for Learning (UDL) & Differentiated Instruction
- Focus on self-determination & student-directed learning

Adapted from: Wehmeyer, M. L. (2013). *Implications for States Supporting Students with Significant Cognitive Disabilities*. ASES SCASS Summit on Implementing College and Career Readiness Standards.






"Research illustrates that when opportunities to learn grade-level standards-aligned content are consistently and regularly provided, students with extensive support needs make progress on that content."

Taub, D. A., McCord, J. A., & Ryndak, D. L. (2017). Opportunities to Learn for Students With Extensive Support Needs: A Context of Research-Supported Practices for All in General Education Classes. The Journal of Special Education, 51(3), 127–137. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022466917696263

"Students who scored proficient on alternate assessments based on modified academic achievement standards (AA-MAS) in reading were more likely to have academic-focused IEP objectives than those who did not achieve proficiency (47% vs. 30%)."

Roach, et al. (2009). Opportunities and Options for Facilitating and Evaluating Access to the General Curriculum for Students With Disabilities, Peabody Journal of Education, 84(4), 511-528, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/01619560903240954






We start and end with the Washington State K-12 Learning Standards!

Excerpt from ESD 105's Vertical Progression Documents for English Language Arts

Grade Level	Reading Standard #1			
CCR -	RL			
	RI	Read closely to determine what the text says explicitly and to make logical inferences from it; cite specific textual evidence when writing or speaking to support conclusions drawn from the text.		
	RH			
	RST			
6th	RL	Cite textual evidence to support analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn		
	RI	from the text.		
	RH	Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of primary and secondary sources.		
	RST	Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts.		
E+h	RL	Quote accurately from a text when explaining what the text says explicitly and when drawing		
5th	RI	inferences from the text.		
4th	RL	Refer to details and examples in a text when explaining what the text says explicitly and when		
	RI	drawing inferences from the text.		
3rd	RL	Ask and answer questions to demonstrate understanding of a text, referring explicitly to the text as		
	RI	the basis for the answers.		
2nd	RL	Ask and answer questions such as who, what, where, when, why, and how to demonstrate		
	RI	understanding of key details in a text.		





Building the bridge between the learning standards and IEPs

Connecting the K-12 Learning Standards and IEPs

What it means:

- Referring to standards to determine grade-level expectations;
- Using the standards as a guide to determine what the student is expected to know or to do; and
- Connecting to the district curricula at an appropriate level to meet the student's needs.

What it does NOT mean

- Using the standards alone as a goal or to determine goals; or
- Assuming that every student will work only on grade-level content or standards.



Caution: Standards are <u>not</u> goals

- Do not write goals that simply restate the standards or cut and paste the standards into the IEP.
- IEP goals must be based on a student's individual needs identified in the most current evaluation and documented in the present levels.



https://www.gettyimages.com/detail/photo/portrait-of-a-young-

Bridging the learning standards and IEP goals

Elementary Example

Standard	Foundational skills (from present levels)	Academic Language	Observable Actions
2.MD.7 Tell and write time from analog and digital clocks to the nearest five minutes, using a.m. and p.m.	 Identify hours and minutes tell time to the hour & ½ hour rounding/estimating 	analog clockdigital clocknearesta.m.p.m.	 define analog, digital, a.m. & p.m. count by 5's write time in the correct format

Bridging the learning standards and IEP goals

Secondary Example

Standard	Foundational skills (from present levels)	Academic Language	Observable Actions
RL6.1 Cite textual evidence to support analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text.	 listening comprehension reading comprehension quote from the text 	 cite support analyze Inference explicit textual evidence 	 draw inferences cite details to support inferences

Bridging the learning standards and IEP goals

Example for Students with Significant Cognitive Disabilities

English Language Arts				GRADE 4	
Strand: Reading: Foundati	ional Skills	Sub-strand: Phonics	and Word Recogniti	on	
Common Core	Common Core Common Core		ACCESS POINTS Built on Three Levels of Complexity		
State Standard	Essential Element	More Complex		Less Complex	
RF.4.3 Know and apply grade-level phonics and word analysis skills in decoding words. a. Use combined knowledge of all letter-sound correspondences, syllabication patterns, and morphology (e.g., roots and affixes) to read accurately unfamiliar multisyllabic words in context and out of context.	EE.RF.4.3 Use letter-sound knowledge to read words. a. Apply letter-sound knowledge to use first letter plus context to identify unfamiliar words. b. Decode single-syllable words with common spelling patterns (consonant-vowel consonant [CVC] or high frequency rimes).	Student may use letter sound knowledge and/ or context to read text with single-syllable, high frequency, and/or unfamiliar words.	Given examples, student will decode words having similar spelling patterns (CVC, CCVC, CVCC).	Student may use letter sound knowledge and/or context (which may include accompanying pictures or symbols) to recognize familiar words (name, 'eve' words, environmental text).	

http://www.k12.wa.us/specialEd/pubdocs/CCEE-CCSS-ELA.pdf




Poll: What types of opportunities do you and your staff have to continually enhance understanding of the standards?



https://i2.wp.com/www.thehedgelesshorseman.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Poll.jpg?resize=1024%2C731








Developing Standards-Aligned IEPs

Evaluation

data

Starts with evaluation (and other current data) to develop present levels of academic & functional performance (PLAAFPs).





The PLAAFP is Critical

- Provides a baseline for creating a high-quality IEP.
- Provides a description of the student's strengths in relation to the standards.
- Provides a source that drives and links all IEP components.
- The PLAAFP is the basis for the rest of the student's IEP, including the annual goals.
- Because it defines where the student currently is, both academically and functionally, it is the cornerstone for the development of the rest of the IEP.

PLAAFP

Where the student is now



Where a student can reasonably be expected to be



Present level of academic achievement and functional performance



Without the PLAAFP, the IEP does not have any data showing a student's present performance, and thus, cannot appropriately determine where the student's needs currently exist.

Texas, ESC Region 20



Data Sources for PLAAFPs

- Evaluations
- Assessments Curriculum-Based Assessments (CBAs), interim and diagnostic assessments, benchmark data, etc.
- Goals/Objectives Progress Reporting
- Teachers Progress Monitoring Data
- Classroom-based assessments (including work samples & grades)
- Parent/Guardian information
- School Records (health, behavior, attendance...)
- Observation Notes



Important Points to Remember

- PLAAFP statements are based on evaluation and assessment data, formal and/or informal.
- Use current data when developing the PLAAFPs.
- Know the state standards!
- Avoid assumptions (feelings and beliefs).

Poll: What types of data do you have access to when developing PLAAFPs?



https://i2.wp.com/www.thehedgelesshorseman.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Poll.jpg?resize=1024%2C731



Writing IEP Goals

Academic Goals (Standards-Based)

Based on CCS Standards

Focus on student learning/progressing in the general curriculum

Result from the child's disability

Functional Goals (not Standards-Based)

Not Based on CCS Standards

Focus on student accessing the general curriculum

Result from the child's disability

Adapted from: Tennessee Department of Education, 2012 &

Arkansas Department of Education

http://www.arkansased.gov/divisions/learning-services/specialeducation/presentations



Measurable Annual Goals



- Use baseline data from the present levels statement;
- Describe what the student can reasonably be expected to accomplish in the area of need within a specified period of time;
- Are written so the student's progress is clear and measurable;
- Target the unique needs of the student;
- Allow parents and teachers to observe whether the student is making progress, regressing, or staying the same.



- Describe the general education curriculum;
- List what the child is expected to learn in every content area;
- Just provide broad statements about what the student will accomplish within a year;

Source: Arkansas Department of Education

http://www.arkansased.gov/divisions/learning-services/special-education/presentations



Writing measurable goals

Realistic Expectations & Challenging, Attainable Results

- ☐ Are the goals:
 - ☐ Observable? (i.e., Measurable!)
 - ☐ Relevant?
 - ☐ Reasonable or attainable?
 - Challenging?
 - Attainable in the time given?



Source: Arkansas Department of Education

http://www.arkansased.gov/divisions/learning-services/special-education/presentations



Clearly-Defined Behaviors

Measurable Terms

Match

Order

Speak

Sort

State

Solve

Write

Summarize

Choose

List

Non-Measurable Terms

Know

- Enjoy
- Understand
- Maintain

Listen

Participate

Appreciate

Appropriate

Source: Arkansas Department of Education

http://www.arkansased.gov/divisions/learning-services/special-education/presentations



Student Profile

Iam

the "I"

in IEP

Snapshot of student

Goal Development Progression

Identify and Prioritize

What needs are most critical?



Develop **Present Level** Specific to the standard or

functional level



Develop Goals & Objectives

Measurable breakdown of needed skills



The 7-Step Process

- 1. Consider the grade-level content standards for the grade in which the student is enrolled or would be enrolled based on age.
- 2. Examine classroom and student data to determine where the student is functioning in relation to the grade-level standards.

Source: http://www.nasdse.org/Portals/0/SevenStepProcesstoCreatingStandards-basedIEPs.pdf



The 7-Step Process

- 3. Develop the present level of academic achievement and functional performance.
- 4. Develop measurable annual goals aligned with grade-level academic content standards.
- 5. Assess and report the student's progress throughout the year.



The 7-Step Process

6. Identify specially designed instruction, including accommodations and/or modifications needed to access and progress in the general education curriculum.

7. Determine the most appropriate assessment option.



https://crosswalkchurch.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/10/Putting-it-all-Together-copy.jpg



Application: Developing Standards-Aligned Goals

Revisiting our Elementary example...

Standard	Foundational skills (from present levels)	Academic Language	Observable Actions
2.MD.7 Tell and write time from analog and digital clocks to the nearest five minutes, using a.m. and p.m.	 Identify hours and minutes tell time to the hour & ½ hour rounding/estimating 	analog clockdigital clocknearesta.m.p.m.	 define analog, digital, a.m. & p.m. count by 5's write time in the correct format

...let's practice with a case study!

<u>Sample PLAAFP</u>: Sue can count to 45 by single digits, to 25 by 5s, and to 100 by 10s. When given a digital clock, she can tell time to the nearest hour with 90% accuracy and the nearest half hour with 50% accuracy. She is currently unable to read analog clocks or write out the time.

<u>Standards-aligned IEP goal</u>: Given 10 digital and 10 analog printed representations of clocks, Sue will verbally tell and write the correct time to the nearest quarter hour, from 0% to 50% of opportunities, as measured by teacher observation and student work samples.

Application: Developing Standards-Aligned Goals

Revisiting our Secondary example...

Standard	Foundational skills (from present levels)	Academic Language	Observable Actions
RL6.1 Cite textual evidence to support analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text.	 listening comprehension reading comprehension quote from the text 	 cite support analyze Inference explicit textual evidence 	draw inferences cite details to support inferences

...let's practice with a case study!

<u>Sample PLAAFP:</u> Robert comprehends grade-level literature digitally (auditory) and can state/cite an inference/claim with two supporting quotations that explicitly support the inference.

<u>Standards-aligned IEP goal</u>: Given grade-level literature digitally (auditory), Robert will state/cite an inference/claim from two to four supporting quotations that explicitly support the inference.



on Standards-Aligned IEPs

Does OSPI expect us to have standards aligned IEPs?

- > Yes. The purpose of IDEA is to ensure access and progress in general curriculum (which means grade-level learning standards).
- > The OSPI Priorities focus on growth mindset & evidence-based practices, and research supports the connection between standards-aligned IEPs & improved outcomes.
- We ask that, as part of the development of present levels, IEP teams consider grade-level standards and expectations in identifying academic and functional supports.



on Standards-Aligned IEPs

How will those IEPs look different than what districts do now?

- Many of our school and district partners have long been developing standards-aligned IEPs.
- > This is about more than looking different. We anticipate discussions that address grade level standards and may also be reflected in meeting notes.
- Because IEPs are individualized, and because the body of research is ever expanding, the IEP process should always be under review.



on Standards-Aligned IEPs


When are we expected to have made the change?

> Rather than approach this as a requirement with a due date, or a process with an end, our hope is that these considerations and resources will be incorporated into IEP team discussions on an ongoing basis.

How are special education teachers supposed to know all of the grade level standards? What this means for practice?

- > Great question! Our partners in learning & teaching are the key to this process.
- An IEP is not the sole responsibility of a special education teacher the present levels, goals, and services should be developed with the expertise of all of the IEP team members, including students and families. Our focus should be on ways to authentically involve content-area experts in the IEP process.







On Developing Standards-Aligned IEPs

Introduction to the Common Core Essential Elements

A Seven-Step Process to Creating Standards-based IEPs

ESD 105's Vertical Progression Documents for English Language Arts


Roach, et al. (2009). Opportunities and Options for Facilitating and Evaluating Access to the General **Curriculum for Students With Disabilities**

Taub, McCord & Ryndak, (2017). Opportunities to Learn for Students With Extensive Support Needs: A Context of Research-Supported Practices for All in General Education Classes

Learning Progression Frameworks Designed for Use with the CCSS, developed jointly by NAAC and NCIEA, for ELA and Math



How helpful was the November 2018 Webinar?



December Survey: https://www.surveygizmo.com/s3/4716813/Special-Education-Monthly-Webinar-Series-December-2018

Special Education November 2018 Webinar: https://youtu.be/MZCIvE44bdo





Except where otherwise noted, this work by the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License.

This presentation may contain or reference links to websites operated by third parties. These links are provided for your convenience only and do not constitute or imply any affiliation, endorsement, sponsorship, approval, verification, or monitoring by OSPI of any product, service or content offered on the third party websites. In no event will OSPI be responsible for the information or content in linked third party websites or for your use or inability to use such websites. Please confirm the license status of any third-party resources and understand their terms of use before reusing them.