

1. Point 1 states that the **voluntary, well-informed understanding consent** of the human subject in a full legal capacity is required. Do you believe that Dr. Nemur and Dr. Strauss obtained Charlie's consent as described here? Explain why or why not with evidence.

---

---

---

---

1. Point 1 states that the **voluntary, well-informed understanding consent** of the human subject in a full legal capacity is required. Do you believe that Dr. Nemur and Dr. Strauss obtained Charlie's consent as described here? Explain why or why not with evidence.

---

---

---

---

1. Point 1 states that the **voluntary, well-informed understanding consent** of the human subject in a full legal capacity is required. Do you believe that Dr. Nemur and Dr. Strauss obtained Charlie's consent as described here? Explain why or why not with evidence.

---

---

---

---

2. Point 2 states that the experiment should be **necessary** and aim at **positive results for society**. Do you believe that the experimental procedure performed on Charlie meets this guideline? Explain.

---

---

---

---

---

2. Point 2 states that the experiment should be **necessary** and aim at **positive results for society**. Do you believe that the experimental procedure performed on Charlie meets this guideline? Explain.

---

---

---

---

---

2. Point 2 states that the experiment should be **necessary** and aim at **positive results for society**. Do you believe that the experimental procedure performed on Charlie meets this guideline? Explain.

---

---

---

---

---

3. Point 3 states that the experiment should be **justified** based on **previous knowledge**. Can this be said in Charlie's case? Explain why or why not.

---

---

---

---

---

3. Point 3 states that the experiment should be **justified** based on **previous knowledge**. Can this be said in Charlie's case? Explain why or why not.

---

---

---

---

---

3. Point 3 states that the experiment should be **justified** based on **previous knowledge**. Can this be said in Charlie's case? Explain why or why not.

---

---

---

---

---

4. Point 4 states that the experiment must be set up in a way that voids **unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury**. Was this done in Charlie's case? Why or why not?

---

---

---

---

---

4. Point 4 states that the experiment must be set up in a way that voids **unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury**. Was this done in Charlie's case? Why or why not?

---

---

---

---

---

4. Point 4 states that the experiment must be set up in a way that voids **unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury**. Was this done in Charlie's case? Why or why not?

---

---

---

---

---

5. Point 5 states that the experiment should NOT be conducted when there is reason to believe in risk of death or serious injury. Do you believe that the scientists had reason to believe that death or serious injury might occur in this case? Explain your answer.

---

---

---

---

---

5. Point 5 states that the experiment should NOT be conducted when there is reason to believe in risk of death or serious injury. Do you believe that the scientists had reason to believe that death or serious injury might occur in this case? Explain your answer.

---

---

---

---

---

5. Point 5 states that the experiment should NOT be conducted when there is reason to believe in risk of death or serious injury. Do you believe that the scientists had reason to believe that death or serious injury might occur in this case? Explain your answer.

---

---

---

---

---

6. Point 6 states that the **risk** should never exceed **human benefit**. Does this standard hold up in Charlie's case? Explain.

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



6. Point 6 states that the **risk** should never exceed **human benefit**. Does this standard hold up in Charlie's case? Explain.

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



6. Point 6 states that the **risk** should never exceed **human benefit**. Does this standard hold up in Charlie's case? Explain.

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



7. Point 7 states that preparations and facilities must **adequately** protect the subject against the experiment's risks. Discuss whether or not this was done for Charlie.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



7. Point 7 states that preparations and facilities must **adequately** protect the subject against the experiment's risks. Discuss whether or not this was done for Charlie.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



7. Point 7 states that preparations and facilities must **adequately** protect the subject against the experiment's risks. Discuss whether or not this was done for Charlie.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



8. Point 8 states that researchers must be **fully trained** and **scientifically qualified**. Do you believe that Dr. Nemur meets these requirements? Why or why not?

---

---

---

---

---

8. Point 8 states that researchers must be **fully trained** and **scientifically qualified**. Do you believe that Dr. Nemur meets these requirements? Why or why not?

---

---

---

---

---

8. Point 8 states that researchers must be **fully trained** and **scientifically qualified**. Do you believe that Dr. Nemur meets these requirements? Why or why not?

---

---

---

---

---



9. Point 9 states that participants should be free to immediately quit for any reason at any time. Was this point upheld in Charlie's experiment? Why or why not?

---

---

---

---

---

9. Point 9 states that participants should be free to immediately quit for any reason at any time. Was this point upheld in Charlie's experiment? Why or why not?

---

---

---

---

---

9. Point 9 states that participants should be free to immediately quit for any reason at any time. Was this point upheld in Charlie's experiment? Why or why not?

---

---

---

---

---

10. Point 10 requires that researchers **stop experiments** at any point when continuation could result in **harmful consequences** to the participant. Do you feel like this was done in Charlie's case?

---

---

---

---

---

10. Point 10 requires that researchers **stop experiments** at any point when continuation could result in **harmful consequences** to the participant. Do you feel like this was done in Charlie's case?

---

---

---

---

---

10. Point 10 requires that researchers **stop experiments** at any point when continuation could result in **harmful consequences** to the participant. Do you feel like this was done in Charlie's case?

---

---

---

---

---