Nazareth Area Intermediate Sch

Schoolwide Title 1 School Plan | 2024 - 2025

Profile and Plan Essentials

| School | | AUN/Branch | AUN/Branch | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Nazareth Area Intermediate School | | 8053 | 8053 | |
| Address 1 | ddress 1 | | | |
| 355 Tatamy Road | | | | |
| Address 2 | | | | |
| City | State | Zip Code | | |
| Nazareth | PA | 18064 | | |
| Chief School Administrator | | Chief School Administrator Email | Chief School Administrator Email | |
| Dr. Richard Kaskey | | rkaskey@nazarethasd.org | rkaskey@nazarethasd.org | |
| Principal Name | | • | | |
| Dr. Joseph Yanek | | | | |
| Principal Email | | | | |
| jyanek@nazarethasd.org | | | | |
| Principal Phone Number | | Principal Extension | | |
| 484-292-1111 | | 3001 | | |
| School Improvement Facilitator Name | | School Improvement Facilitator Email | School Improvement Facilitator Email | |
| Mrs. Noelle Kondikoff | | nkondikoff@nazarethasd.org | nkondikoff@nazarethasd.org | |

Steering Committee

| Name | Position/Role | Building/Group/Organization | Email |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Joseph Yanek | Prinipal/Administrator | Nazareth Area Intermediate School | jyanek@nazarethasd.org |
| Wendy Thomas | Title 1 Teacher | Nazareth Area Intermediate School | wthomas@nazarethasd.org |
| Chris Mamana | School Counselor | Nazareth Area Intermediate School | cmamana@nazarethasd.org |
| Melissa Whitman | Education Specialist | Nazareth Area Intermediate School | mwhitman@nazarethasd.org |
| Noelle Kondikoff | District Level Leaders | Nazareth Area School District | nkondikoff@nazarethasd.org |
| Megan Jordan | Community Member | Nazareth Area School District | mejordan22@gmail.com |
| Melissa Funari | Education Specialist | Nazareth Area School District | funarim@gmail.com |
| Shannon Nickischer | Teacher | Nazareth Area School District | snickischer@nazarethasd.org |
| Amy Wolf | Parent | Nazareth Area School District | astewart0@gmail.com |
| Jackie Bewick | Principal | Nazareth Area School District | jbewick@nazarethasd.org |
| John Fidelibus | Principal | Nazareth Area School District | jfidelibus@nazarethasd.org |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Vision for Learning

Vision for Learning

The vision of Nazareth Area Intermediate School is to provide each student a diverse education in a safe, supportive environment that promotes self-discipline, motivation, and excellence in learning. The Nazareth Area Intermediate team joins the parents and community to assist the students in developing skills to become independent and self-sufficient adults who will succeed and contribute responsibly in a global community. Whatever it takes, hand in hand.

Future Ready PA Index

Select the grade levels served by your school. Select all that apply.

| False K | False 1 | False 2 | False 3 | False 4 | True 5 | True 6 |
|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| False 7 | False 8 | False 9 | False 10 | False 11 | False 12 | |

Review of the School Level Performance

Strengths

| Indicator | Comments/Notable Observations | |
|---|--|--|
| ELA- exceeded percent proficient as compared to the statewide average | ELA was 67.2, the statewide average was 54.5 | |
| Increase in ELA performance from the previous year. | 2021-2022 64.3% 2022-2023 67.2% | |

Challenges

| Indicator | Comments/Notable Observations | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| The ELA growth score is less than the statewide growth score | NAIS - 50 Statewide - 75.4 | |
| The ELA proficiency score is less than the 2033 proficiency score with the year. | NAIS - 67.2 2033 Goal - 69.1 (2023) | |

Review of Grade Level(s) and Individual Student Group(s)

Strengths

| Indicator | |
|--|--|
| English Learners and Students with Disabilities increased ELA proficiency from | Comments/Notable Observations |
| the previous year. | English Learners 48.0 to 63.6 Students with Disabilities |
| ESSA Student Subgroups | 17.6 to 29.5 |
| English Learners, Students with Disabilities | |
| Indicator | |
| Students with Disabilities ELA growth increased from the previous year. | Comments/Notable Observations |
| ESSA Student Subgroups | Students with Disabilities 61.0 to 85.0 |
| Students with Disabilities | |

Challenges

| Indicator | Comments/Notable Observations |
|-----------|-------------------------------|
|-----------|-------------------------------|

| ESSA Student Subgroups | |
|--|--|
| Indicator | |
| Hispanic students' ELA growth declined from the previous year. | Comments/Notable Observations |
| ESSA Student Subgroups | Hispanic students 71.0 to 58.0 |
| English Learners | |
| Indicator | |
| Economically Disadvantaged ELA growth declined from the previous year. | Comments/Notable Observations |
| ESSA Student Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged students 69.0 to 59.0 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | |

Summary

Strengths

Review the strengths listed above and copy and paste 2-5 strengths which have had the most impact in improving your most pressing challenges.

English Learners and Students with Disabilities increased ELA proficiency from the previous year.

Students with Disabilities ELA growth increased from the previous year.

Challenges

Review the challenges listed above and copy and paste 2-5 challenges if improved would have the most impact in achieving your Future Ready PA index targets.

Hispanic students' ELA growth declined from the previous year.

Economically Disadvantaged students' ELA growth declined from the previous year.

Local Assessment

English Language Arts

| Data | Comments/Notable Observations |
|----------|--|
| STAR ELA | All students' Pathway to Proficiency declined from the beginning of the year (73%) to the end of the year (67%). |

English Language Arts Summary

Strengths

 $\hbox{Grade 5 STAR scaled score from beginning of the year went from 1034 to 1054 at the end of year. } \\$

Grade 6 STAR scaled score from the beginning of the year went from 1061 to 1078 at the end of year.

Challenges

The percentage of students scoring on the STAR pathway to proficiency remained the same for the 6th grade cohort from the end of 5th grade to the end of 6th grade with 65% of the students being proficient.

Mathematics

| Data | Comments/Notable Observations |
|------|-------------------------------|
| | |

Mathematics Summary

Strengths

Challenges

Science, Technology, and Engineering Education

| Data | Comments/Notable Observations |
|------|-------------------------------|
| | |

Science, Technology, and Engineering Education Summary

Strengths

Challenges

Related Academics

Career Readiness

| Data | Comments/Notable Observations |
|--|--|
| All students Percent Career Standards Benchmark was 99.7 | Statewide Average 89.6 (School Met and Exceeded) |
| Students with Disabilities Career Standards Benchmark was 100 | Statewide Average 89.6 (Subgroup Met and Exceeded) |
| Hispanic Career Standards Benchmark declined from the previous year. | 100 to 98 |
| All students Career Standards Benchmark declined from the previous year. | 100 to 99.7 |

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

True Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs Omit

Arts and Humanities

True Arts and Humanities Omit

Environment and Ecology

True Environment and Ecology Omit

Family and Consumer Sciences

True Family and Consumer Sciences Omit

Health, Safety, and Physical Education

True Health, Safety, and Physical Education Omit

Social Studies (Civics and Government, Economics, Geography, History)

True Social Studies (Civics and Government, Economics, Geography, History) Omit

Summary

Strengths

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record 2-5 strengths which have had the most impact in improving your most pressing challenges.

All students Percent Career Standards Benchmark was 99.7 and exceeded the statewide average of 89.6.

Students with Disabilities Career Standards Benchmark was 100 and exceeded the statewide average of 89.6.

Challenges

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record 2-5 Challenges which if improved would have the most impact in achieving your Mission and Vision.

Hispanic Career Standards Benchmark declined from the previous year from 100 to 98.0.

All students Career Standards Benchmark declined from the previous year from 100 to 99.7.

Equity Considerations

English Learners

True This student group is not a focus in this plan.

Students with Disabilities

True This student group is not a focus in this plan.

Students Considered Economically Disadvantaged

True This student group is not a focus in this plan.

Student Groups by Race/Ethnicity

True This student group is not a focus in this plan.

Summary

Strengths

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record the 2-5 strengths which have had the most impact in improving your most pressing challenges.

English Learners and Students with Disabilities increased ELA proficiency from the previous year.

Students with Disabilities ELA growth increased from the previous year.

| Challenges |
|--|
| Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record the 2-5 Challenges which if improved would have the most |
| impact in achieving your Mission and Vision. |
| |
| Hispanic students' ELA growth declined from the previous year. |
| Economically Disadvantaged students' ELA growth declined from the previous year. |
| |
| |

Conditions for Leadership, Teaching, and Learning

Focus on Continuous improvement of Instruction

| Align curricular materials and lesson plans to the PA Standards | Operational |
|---|-------------|
| Use systematic, collaborative planning processes to ensure instruction is coordinated, aligned, and evidence-based | Operational |
| Use a variety of assessments (including diagnostic, formative, and summative) to monitor student learning and adjust programs and instructional practices | Operational |
| Identify and address individual student learning needs | Operational |
| Provide frequent, timely, and systematic feedback and support on instructional practices | Operational |

Empower Leadership

| Foster a culture of high expectations for success for all students, educators, families, and community members | Operational | |
|--|-------------|--|
| Collectively shape the vision for continuous improvement of teaching and learning | Operational | |
| Build leadership capacity and empower staff in the development and successful implementation of initiatives Operational | | |
| etter serve students, staff, and the school | | |
| Organize programmatic, human, and fiscal capital resources aligned with the school improvement plan and | Operational | |
| needs of the school community | | |
| Continuously monitor implementation of the school improvement plan and adjust as needed | Operational | |

Provide Student-Centered Support Systems

| Promote and sustain a positive school environment where all members feel welcomed, supported, and safe in school: socially, emotionally, intellectually and physically | Operational |
|--|-------------|
| Implement an evidence-based system of schoolwide positive behavior interventions and supports | Operational |
| Implement a multi-tiered system of supports for academics and behavior | Operational |
| Implement evidence-based strategies to engage families to support learning | Operational |
| Partner with local businesses, community organizations, and other agencies to meet the needs of the school | Operational |

Foster Quality Professional Learning

| Identify professional learning needs through analysis of a variety of data | Operational |
|--|-------------|
| Use multiple professional learning designs to support the learning needs of staff | Operational |
| Monitor and evaluate the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning | Operational |

Summary

Strengths

Which Essential Practices are currently Operational or Exemplary and could be leveraged in your efforts to improve upon your most pressing challenges?

Implement a multi-tiered system of support for academics and behavior.

Foster a culture of high expectations for success for all students, educators, families, and community members.

Promote and sustain a positive school environment where all members feel welcomed, supported, and safe in school: socially, emotionally, intellectually and physically.

Challenges

Thinking about all the most pressing challenges identified in the previous sections, which of the Essential Practices that are currently Not Yet Evident or Emerging, if improved, would greatly impact your progress in achieving your mission, vision and Future Ready PA Index interim targets in State Assessment Measures, On-Track Measures, or College and Career Measures?

Use multiple professional learning designs to support the learning needs of staff.

Partner with local businesses, community organizations, and other agencies to meet the needs of the LEA.

Identify and address individual student learning needs.

Summary of Strengths and Challenges from the Needs Assessment

Strengths

Examine the Summary of Strengths. Identify the strengths that are most positively contributing to achievement of your mission and vision. Check the box to the right of these identified strength(s).

| Strength | Check for Consideration in Plan |
|---|---------------------------------|
| English Learners and Students with Disabilities increased ELA proficiency from the previous year. | True |
| Students with Disabilities ELA growth increased from the previous year. | True |
| Grade 5 STAR scaled score from beginning of the year went from 1034 to 1054 at the end of year. | False |
| All students Percent Career Standards Benchmark was 99.7 and exceeded the statewide average of 89.6. | False |
| Implement a multi-tiered system of support for academics and behavior. | True |
| English Learners and Students with Disabilities increased ELA proficiency from the previous year. | False |
| Students with Disabilities ELA growth increased from the previous year. | False |
| Grade 6 STAR scaled score from the beginning of the year went from 1061 to 1078 at the end of year. | False |
| Students with Disabilities Career Standards Benchmark was 100 and exceeded the statewide average of 89.6. | False |
| Promote and sustain a positive school environment where all members feel welcomed, supported, and safe in school: socially, emotionally, intellectually and physically. | False |
| Foster a culture of high expectations for success for all students, educators, families, and community members. | False |

Challenges

Examine the Summary of Challenges. Identify the challenges which are most pressing at this time for your School and if improved would have the most pronounced impact in achieving your mission and vision. Check the box to the right of these identified challenge(s).

| Strength | Check for Consideration in Plan |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Hispanic students' ELA growth declined from the previous year. | True |
| Economically Disadvantaged students' ELA growth declined from the previous year. | True |
| Hispanic Career Standards Benchmark declined from the previous year from 100 to 98.0. | False |
| Hispanic students' ELA growth declined from the previous year. | False |
| Use multiple professional learning designs to support the learning needs of staff. | False |
| Partner with local businesses, community organizations, and other agencies to meet the needs of the LEA. | False |

| Identify and address individual student learning needs. | False |
|---|-------|
| The percentage of students scoring on the STAR pathway to proficiency remained the same for the 6th grade cohort from the end of 5th grade to the end of 6th grade with 65% of the students being proficient. | False |
| All students Career Standards Benchmark declined from the previous year from 100 to 99.7. | False |
| Economically Disadvantaged students' ELA growth declined from the previous year. | False |

Most Notable Observations/Patterns

In the space provided, record any of the comments and notable observations made as your team worked through the needs assessment that stand out as important to the challenge(s) you checked for consideration in your comprehensive plan.

English Learners and Students with Disabilities increased ELA proficiency from the previous year. For students with Disabilities, ELA growth increased from the previous year. Non-historically-underachieving students' ELA growth declined from the previous year. Hispanic and Economically Disadvantaged students' ELA growth declined from the previous year.

Analyzing (Strengths and Challenges)

Analyzing Challenges

| Analyzing Challenges | Discussion Points | Check for Priority |
|--|---|-----------------------|
| Hispanic students' ELA growth declined from the previous year. | The written curriculum does not specify text and resources at the student's needs. The program does not address vocabulary. instruction through consistent or evidence-based methods. | True |
| Economically Disadvantaged students' ELA growth declined from the previous year. | The current written curriculum does not specify the use of text and resources at the appropriate level for the needs of the students. The instruction lacks explicit phonics and decoding, instruction. | True |

Analyzing Strengths

| Analyzing Strengths | Discussion Points |
|---|---|
| English Learners and Students with | |
| Disabilities increased ELA proficiency from | The student sub-groups receive equitable instruction on grade level Pa standards. |
| the previous year. | |
| Students with Disabilities ELA growth | Students with Disabilities had rigorous targeted instruction in phonics and decoding. |
| increased from the previous year. | Students with Disabilities had rigorous targeted instruction in phonics and decoding. |
| | An MTSS has been established to provide additional support for Tier II and III students. An |
| Implement a multi-tiered system of support | effective schedule has been established to ensure students do not miss instructional time |
| for academics and behavior. | to receive tiered support. |

Priority Challenges

| Analyzing Priority Challenges | Priority Statements | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | Hispanic students will receive explicit instruction in structured literacy strategies. | |
| | Economically Disadvantaged students will receive explicit instruction in structured literacy strategies. | |

Goal Setting

Priority: Economically Disadvantaged students will receive explicit instruction in structured literacy strategies.

Outcome Category

English Language Growth and Attainment

Measurable Goal Statement (Smart Goal)

Through repeated, multiple exposures to structured literacy strategies, Economically Disadvantaged students will increase their ELA growth from the beginning of the year to the end as measured with the STAR student growth component (SPG) by 5 percent.

Measurable Goal Nickname (35 Character Max)

Decoding, Phonics, and Vocabulary

| Target 1st Quarter | Target 2nd Quarter | Target 3rd Quarter | Target 4th Quarter |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Student growth measure (SPG) | Each student's SPG will | Each student's SPG will increase | Each student's SPG will increase |
| baseline to determine 5% growth per | increase by 1% from the | by an additional 2% from the | by an additional 2% from the |
| student for the year. | baseline measure. | baseline measure. | baseline measure. |

Priority: Hispanic students will receive explicit instruction in structured literacy strategies.

Outcome Category

English Language Growth and Attainment

Measurable Goal Statement (Smart Goal)

Through repeated, multiple exposures to structured literacy strategies, Hispanic students will increase their ELA growth from the beginning of the year to the end as measured with the STAR student growth component (SPG) by 5 percent.

Measurable Goal Nickname (35 Character Max)

Decoding, Phonics, and Vocabulary II

| bootaing, i nomes, and roughtary in | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Target 1st Quarter | Target 2nd Quarter | Target 3rd Quarter | Target 4th Quarter | |
| Student growth measure (SPG) | Each student's SPG will | Each student's SPG will | Each student's SPG will | |
| baseline to determine 5% growth per | increase by 1% from the | increase by 2% from the | increase by 2% from the | |
| student for the year. | baseline measure. | baseline measure. | baseline measure. | |

Action Plan

Measurable Goals

| Decoding, Phonics, and Vocabulary | Decoding, Phonics, and Vocabulary II |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Decoding, Filolics, and vocabulary | Decouning, Friorites, and vocabulary if |

Action Plan For: Structured Literacy

Measurable Goals:

• Through repeated, multiple exposures to structured literacy strategies, Economically Disadvantaged students will increase their ELA growth from the beginning of the year to the end as measured with the STAR student growth component (SPG) by 5 percent.

| Action Step | | Anticipated Start/Completion Date | |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Tier III students will receive pull-out | small group structured literacy instruction | 2024-09-20 | 2025-05-02 |
| Lead Person/Position | Material/Resources/Supports Needed | PD Step? | |
| Wendy Thomas/Title 1 Reading Specialist | Just Words by Wilson Reading | No | |
| Action Step | | Anticipated Start/Completion Date | |
| Tier III students will receive pull-out | small group structured literacy instruction | 2024-09-20 | 2025-05-02 |
| Lead Person/Position | Material/Resources/Supports Needed | PD Step? | |
| Wendy Thomas/Title 1 Reading Specialist | Leveled Literacy Intervention | No | |
| Action Step | | Anticipated Start/Completion | |
| | | Date | |
| Teachers will receive training in stru | ctured literacy and how it supports struggling readers | 2024-09-20 | 2025-05-02 |
| Lead Person/Position | Material/Resources/Supports Needed | PD Step? | |
| Wendy Thomas/Title 1 Reading Specialist | LETRS Training, Structured Literacy by Louise Spear-Swerling, Just Words | Yes | |

| Anticipated Output | Monitoring/Evaluation (People, Frequency, and Method) | |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| Student STAR SPG scores | Drop-in observations (Administration, Quarterly, PaETEP) | |

Expenditure Tables

School Improvement Set Aside Grant

True School does not receive School Improvement Set Aside Grant.

Schoolwide Title 1 Funding Allocation

False School does not receive Schoolwide Title 1 funding.

| eGgrant Budget Category (Schoolwide Funding) | Action Plan(s) | Expenditure Description | Amount | |
|--|---------------------|--|--------|-----|
| Instruction | Structured Literacy | Title I Funds are used to pay for salary and benefits an additional Reading Specialist. This individual works directly with students and teachers to provide remediation and intervention as well as professional learning opportunities for families and coaching for teachers. | 134644 | |
| Total Expenditures | | | | 134 |

Professional Development

Professional Development Action Steps

| Evidence-based Strategy | Action Steps |
|-------------------------|--|
| Structured Literacy | Teachers will receive training in structured literacy and how it supports struggling readers |

Structured Literacy Training

| Action Step | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Teachers will receive training in structured literacy and how it supports struggling readers | | | |
| Audience | | | |
| ELA teachers | | | |
| Topics to be Included | | | |
| Structured Literacy, Science of Reading, Phonics, Fluency, Vocabulary | | | |
| Evidence of Learning | | | |
| Application of strategies in classroom | | | |
| Lead Person/Position | Anticipated Start | Anticipated Completion | |
| Wendy Thomas/Title 1 Reading Specialist | 2024-09-24 | 2025-05-27 | |

Learning Format

| Type of Activities | Frequency | |
|--|--------------|--|
| Coaching (peer-to-peer; school leader-to-teacher; other coaching models) | once a month | |
| Observation and Practice Framework Met in this Plan | | |
| 3b: Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques | | |
| 3a: Communicating with Students | | |
| 3c: Engaging Students in Learning | | |
| This Step Meets the Requirements of State Required Trainings | | |
| Structured Literacy | | |

Approvals & Signatures

Uploaded Files

| Chief School Administrator | Date |
|--|------------|
| Building Principal Signature | Date |
| Joseph Yanek | 2024-08-14 |
| School Improvement Facilitator Signature | Date |
| Noelle Kondikoff | 2024-08-20 |