Licking Valley Middle School

Ohio | Department of Education

1379 Licking Valley Rd, Newark, OH 43055-9450 - Grades 6-8 - Licking County



The School Report Card for the 2008-2009 school year shows the progress schools have made based on four measures of performance.





Indicators





Adequate Yearly Progress

Value-Added

The combination of the four measures is the basis for assigning state designations to districts, buildings and community schools.

The six designations are

- Excellent with Distinction
- Excellent
- Effective
- Continuous Improvement
- Academic Watch
- · Academic Emergency



To meet a test indicator for grades 3-8 and 10, at least 75% of students tested must score proficient or higher

on that test. Other indicator requirements are: 11th grade Ohio Graduation Tests, 85%; Attendance Rate, 93%; Graduation Rate, 90%.

On the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov

2008-2009 School Year Report Card

Current Principal: Scott A. Beery (740) 763-3396









‡Students enrolled in Title I schools in School Improvement may be eligible for Public School Choice or Supplemental Educational Services Contact your school for specific options available to your child.

Ctato P	ercentage of Students	s at and ahove the P	roficient Level
State Indicators	Your School 2008-2009	Your District 2008-2009	State 2008-2009
3rd Grade Achievement	The state re	quirement is 75 p	ercent
Reading Mathematics		86.9 % 87.6 %	77.4 % 81.3 %
4th Grade Achievement	The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
3. Reading4. Mathematics5. Writing	 	88.3 % 88.3 % 78.6 %	82 % 78.4 % 84.4 %
5th Grade Achievement	The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
6. Reading7. Mathematics8. Science9. Social Studies	 	74.1 % 72.4 % 79.4 % 57.1 %	72 % 62.3 % 70.6 % 61.6 %
6th Grade Achievement	The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
10. Reading 11. Mathematics	84.0 % ✓ 84.3 % ✓	84.0 % 84.3 %	81.3 % 75.2 %
7th Grade Achievement		uirement is 75 pe	rcent
12. Reading 13. Mathematics 14. Writing	82.0 % ✓ 90.4 % ✓ 80.7 % ✓	82.0 % 90.4 % 80.7 %	76.6 % 74.3 % 80.5 %
8th Grade Achievement		uirement is 75 pei	cent
15. Reading 16. Mathematics 17. Science 18. Social Studies	70.2 % 83.0 % ✓ 55.9 % 39.9 %	70.2 % 83.0 % 55.9 % 39.9 %	72.4 % 70.6 % 62.8 % 51.1 %
Ohio Graduation Tests (10th Grade)	The state re	quirement is 75 p	ercent
19. Reading 20. Mathematics 21. Writing 22. Science 23. Social Studies	 	85.5 % 89.6 % 91.5 % 83.5 % 87.2 %	84.5 % 81.4 % 89.7 % 76 % 81.6 %
Ohio Graduation Tests (11th Grade)*	The state re	quirement is 85 pe	ercent
24. Reading 25. Mathematics 26. Writing 27. Science 28. Social Studies	 	95.6 % 92.5 % 95.0 % 92.5 % 92.5 %	92.8 % 88.4 % 93.2 % 84.2 % 88.6 %
Attendance Rate	The state re	quirement is 93 pe	ercent
29. All Grades 2007-08 Graduation Rate	94.6 % √ The state re	94.8 % quirement is 90 pe	94.3 % ercent
30. School		95.6 %	84.6 %


Any result at or above the state standard is indicated by a \checkmark .


^{-- =}Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.
*Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders.


Your School's Assessment Results Over Time



All students in the school for a full academic year are included in the results.







The State Indicators are based on state assessments, as well as on attendance and araduation rates. To earn an indicator for Achievement or Graduation Tests, at least 75% of students must reach proficient or above for the given assessment. For the 11th grade Ohio Graduation Tests indicators, a cumulative

85% passage rate for each assessment is required.

State Indicators

Performance Index

Performance Index Calculations for the 2008-2009 School Year

Performance Le 3-8 and 10 for a (Includes every the school for a	Percentage	x	Weight	=	Points	
	Untested	0.1	Χ	0.0	=	0.0
	Limited	6.8	Χ	0.3	=	2.1
	Basic	19.9	Χ	0.6	=	12.0
	Proficient	38.7	Χ	1.0	=	38.7
	Accelerated	24.8	Χ	1.1	=	27.3
	Advanced	9.7	Χ	1.2	=	11.6

Overall Composite

Reading

Mathematics

Your School's Performance Index 91.6

The Performance
Index reflects the
achievement of every student
enrolled for the full academic
year. The Performance Index

Performance

Index

is a weighted average that includes all tested subjects and grades and untested students. The greatest weight is given to advanced scores (1.2); the weights decrease for each performance level and a weight of zero is given to untested students. This results in a scale from O to 120 points. The Performance Index can be compared across years to show district achievement trends.

Performance Index Over Time

2008-2009	2007-2008	2006-2007
91.6	93.4	92.3

Value-Added Measure

Scores reflect grade level and overall composite ratings for the 2008-2009 school year.

Your school's Value-Added rating represents the progress your school has made with its students since last school year.

In contrast, achievement scores represent students' performance at a point in time. A score of "Above" indicates greater than one year of progress has been achieved; "Met" indicates one year of progress has been achieved; "Below" indicates less than one year of progress has been achieved.

Value-Added results are computed only for buildings that include sufficient testing data for students in any grade 4 through 8.

Legend

+ = Above Expected Growth

Met Expected Growth

= BelowExpected Growth

Value-Added results are computed only for buildings that include students in grades 4 through 8.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)



	equate Yearly Progress			.eadw	antaged	anic	Alasi	a Walive		anic	disahilite	S Druffcient	
	des 3-8 and 10 Reading and Mathematics	All Stude	ints Econom	ngahy Disaduk Asian Pr	artaus Acitic Islandes Black, F	On-Hispanic	in Indian Alask	Multi-R?	White. n	on-Hispo	with Disabilitied Engli	AYP Deter	
Percent Proficient	Reading	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Reading Proficiency:	Met
Percent	Mathematics	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Mathematics Proficiency:	Met
Percent Tested	Reading	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Reading Participation:	Met
Percen	Mathematics	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Mathematics Participation:	Met
Gr	aduation Rate*	N/A										Graduation Rate:	N/A
Att	endance Rate*	Met										Attendance Rate:	Met
AYF	Determination by Subgroup	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	AYP Determination of Your School:	Met

Legend

This legend explains terms used in the above chart that describe whether each student group met this year's AYP goals.

For test indicators, AYP can be met in one of four ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) meeting the improvement requirements of Safe Harbor;
- 4) meeting the AYP targets with projected results.

For non-test indicators, AYP can be met in one of three ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) making improvement over the previous year.
- * The non-test indicators used for overall AYP (Attendance Rate and Graduation Rate) are evaluated only for the All Students subgroup.

N/A	Not applicable.
NR	Not Required – This indicator was not evaluated for this subgroup because the subgroup size was smaller than the minimum number needed to achieve a statistically reliable result. 30 students is the minimum size for the proficiency and non-test indicators, while 40 is the minimum size for the participation rate indicators.
Met	This subgroup met AYP for this indicator with its current year, two-year combined, Safe Harbor, or growth measure results.
Not Met	This subgroup did not meet AYP for this indicator.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) is a federally required measure. Every school and district must meet AYP goals that are set for Reading and Mathematics



Proficiency and Participation, Attendance Rate, and Graduation Rate. These goals are applied to ten student groups: All Students, Economically Disadvantaged Students, Asian/Pacific Islander Students, Black, non-Hispanic Students, American Indian/Alaska Native Students, Hispanic Students, Multi-Racial Students, White,

non-Hispanic Students, Students with Disabilities (IEP), and Students with Limited English Proficiency (LEP). If any one of these groups does not meet AYP in Reading or Mathematics Proficiency, or in Participation, Attendance Rate, or Graduation Rate, then the school or district does not meet AYP. Not meeting AYP for consecutive years will have both federal and state consequences. Federal consequences could include a school or district being identified for improvement. State consequences could include a reduction in the state's rating designation.

Federally Required Graduation Rate Information

American Indian/ Alaska Native 0%

Asian or Pacific Islander 0%

Black. non-Hispanic 0%

Econ. Disadvtgd 0%

Hispanic 0%

Limited English Proficient 0%

Multi-Racial 0%

Students with White, non-Hispanic Disabilities 0% 0%

The disaggregated graduation rates of your district are provided for informational purposes only and are not used for your AYP determination.

State and Federally Required School Information

Your School's Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level

	Black, non-Hispanic	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi- Racial	White, non-Hispanic	Non- Disabled Students	Students with Disabilities	Migrant	Non- Econ. Disadvtgd	Econ. Disadvtgd	Limited English Proficient	Female	Male
Percentage of Students Scoring Limited														
Reading Writing Mathematics	 	 	 	 	 	6.2 0.7 4.7	3.6 0.0 2.9	26.7 5.9 20.0	 	3.4 0.0 3.0	11.4 2.0 8.1	 	2.5 0.0 4.3	10.2 1.2 5.7
Science Social Studies		 	 	 	 	4.9 19.8	3.8 16.3	10.7 39.3	 	1.9 10.2	8.8 32.5		3.1 16.7	6.5 22.8
Percen	Percentage of Students Scoring Basic													
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	 	 	 	 	 	15.1 17.8 8.8 38.5 40.1	13.3 15.8 8.3 35.6 40.0	31.7 41.2 15.0 60.7 42.9	 	10.1 14.1 6.1 27.8 40.7	24.3 27.5 14.1 55.0 40.0	 	14.8 11.9 8.9 42.7 44.8	16.3 24.1 9.3 35.9 35.9
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Profi	cient									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	 	 	 	 	 	41.2 50.0 41.9 36.8 20.9	42.2 51.1 41.1 40.0 23.1	30.0 35.3 43.3 14.3 7.1	 	41.1 48.5 37.2 48.1 24.1	40.0 51.0 48.1 20.0 16.3	 	41.9 50.7 47.7 36.5 21.9	39.4 48.2 35.4 35.9 19.6
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Acce	lerated									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	 	 	 	 	 	26.7 30.1 31.8 12.1 12.1	28.9 31.6 34.2 11.9 12.5	10.0 17.6 15.0 10.7 7.1	 	31.0 35.4 38.2 13.9 15.7	19.5 19.6 21.6 8.8 6.3	 	29.7 35.8 28.1 10.4 9.4	23.6 25.3 35.4 13.0 14.1
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Adva	nced									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	- - - -	 	 	 	 	10.9 1.4 12.8 7.7 7.1	12.1 1.5 13.5 8.8 8.1	1.7 0.0 6.7 3.6 3.6	 	14.5 2.0 15.5 8.3 9.3	4.9 0.0 8.1 7.5 5.0	 	11.0 1.5 11.1 7.3 7.3	10.6 1.2 14.2 8.7 7.6

Average Daily Student Enrollment	Black, non- Hispanic	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi-Racial	White, non- Hispanic	Economically Disadvantaged	Limited English Proficient	Students with Disabilities	Migrant
493						97.2%	38.5%		12.7%	

^{-- =}Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

Number of Limited English Proficient Students Excluded from Accountability Calculations

Under the federal No Child Left Behind Act, states are required to report certain data about schools and teachers. Data presented here are for reporting purposes only and are not used in the computation of the state designation for districts and schools.

Federally Required School Teacher Information

	Your Building	Your District
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	100.0	98.9
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	58.8	41.7
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0	0.0
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by properly certified teachers	100.0	100.0
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taughteachers with temporary conditional or long-term substitute certification/licer	nt by	h-Poverty School* School*

*High-poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students.

Your building is a high-poverty school if a percentage appears in Column 2. Your building is a low-poverty school if a percentage appears in Column 3. Your building is neither a high-poverty school nor a low-poverty school if no data appear in either Column 2 or 3.

-- = Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

Measures of a Rigorous Curriculum for the Class of 2008

Measure	2007-08 Graduates	Data Source
Graduation Rate		EMIS
Mean ACT Score	0	ACT Corp., EMIS
Percent of Graduates participating in the ACT		ACT Corp., EMIS
Mean SAT Score	0	College Board, EMIS
Percent of Graduates participating in the SAT		College Board, EMIS
Percent of Graduates graduating with an Honors Diploma		EMIS
Number of Graduates participating in an AP test	0	College Board
Percent of Graduates with an AP score of 3 or above	NA	College Board, EMIS

The Measures of a Rigorous Curriculum are intended to report on the completion of a rigorous curriculum and other indicators of student success that ensure students leave school with the knowledge and skills needed to succeed in college, careers and citizenship. These indicators pertain to schools that have any combination of grades 10, 11 and 12.

Legend

EMIS - Education Management Information System of the Ohio Department of Education

 $\mbox{\bf ACT}$ $\mbox{\bf College}$ $\mbox{\bf Entrance}$ $\mbox{\bf Exam}$ - Nonprofit organization that administers the ACT college entrance test

College Board (SAT) - Nonprofit association that administers the SAT exam

AP - Advanced Placement, a program offering courses/exams that provide students the opportunity to earn credit or advanced standing at colleges and universities

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP),

often referred to as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that enables the comparision of performance in Ohio and other states in various subject areas. Schools and students within each state are selected randomly to be a part of the assessment. Not all students in the state or in a particular school take the assessment. Data are reported at the state level only, and there are no individual student or even school summary results. The assessments are conducted in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography and U.S. history.

To view Ohio's most recent NAEP results, go to:

http://education.ohio.gov and search for key word "NAEP"

Determining Your School's Designation

Determining your school's report card designation is a multi-step process. The first step is to determine a preliminary designation, which is based on the following components: 1) the percentage of indicators met, 2) the performance index and 3) AYP determination.







Indicators Met		Performance Index		AYP Designation		Preliminary Designation
94%-100%	or	100 to 120	and	Met or Not Met	=	Excellent
75%-93.9%	or	90 to 99.9	and	Met or Not Met	=	Effective
0%-74.9%	or	0 to 89.9	and	Met	=	Continuous
50%-74.9%	or	80 to 89.9	and	Not Met	=	Improvement
31%-49.9 %	or	70 to 79.9	and	Not Met	=	Academic Watch
0%-30.9%	and	0 to 69.9	and	Not Met	=	Academic Emergency

The preliminary designation results from identifying the higher value between the percentage of indicators met by your school and your school's performance index. AYP then is evaluated to determine its effect on the preliminary designation. There are three ways in which AYP can affect the preliminary designation.

- 1. If a school meets AYP in the current year, it can be rated no lower than Continuous Improvement.
- If a school does not meet AYP for three consecutive years and in the current year it does not meet AYP in more than one student group, it can be rated no higher than Continuous Improvement.
- 3. In all other cases, AYP has no effect on the preliminary designation.

Once the preliminary designation is determined, Value-Added, the fourth measure in the accountability system, is evaluated to determine the impact (if any) on the school's final designation.

- If your school's designation is restricted to Continuous Improvement due to AYP, Value-Added has no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.
- If your school experiences above expected growth for at least two consecutive years, your school's final designation will increase by one designation.
- 3. If your school experiences below expected growth for at least three consecutive years, your school's final designation will decrease by one designation.

Preliminary Designation		Value-Added Measure*	Final Designation
Excellent	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Excellent with Distinction
LAGGIGIT	anu	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Effective
Effective	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Excellent
211004110	ana	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Continuous Improvement
Continuous Improvement	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Effective
·		Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Academic Watch
Academic Watch	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Continuous Improvement
7.00001110 1701011	and	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Academic Emergency
Academic Emergency	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Academic Watch
	and	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Academic Emergency

^{*}In all other cases, including if your school's designation has been restricted to Continuous Improvement, then Value-Added will have no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.

Ohio Department of Education Report Card Resources on the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov