# Student Growth Objective Form



(DISTRICT-DEVELOPED SAMPLE SGO for GRADE 8 MATH; 2 of 2)

Name	School	Grade	Course/Subject	Number of Students	Interval of Instruction
		8	Math		Sept. 2015 – Mar. 2016
Chandanda Dationala and Assessment Mathad					

#### Standards, Rationale, and Assessment Method

Critical Area(s): (1) formulating and reasoning about expressions and equations, including modeling an association in bivariate data with a linear equation, and solving linear equations and systems of linear equations; (2) grasping the concept of a function and using functions to describe quantitative relationships;

# Mathematics | Grade 8

# **Rationale:**

Critical areas are designed to bring focus to the standards in grade 8 by describing the big ideas that teachers may build their instruction upon them. This SGO reflects two of the critical areas within Grade 8:

(1) Students use linear equations and systems of linear equations to represent, analyze, and solve a variety of problems. Students recognize equations for proportions (y/x = m or y = mx) as special linear equations (y = mx + b), understanding that the constant of proportionality (m) is the slope, and the graphs are lines through the origin. They understand that the slope (m) of a line is a constant rate of change, so that if the input or x-coordinate changes by an amount A, the output or y-coordinate changes by the amount m·A. Students also use a linear equation to describe the association between two quantities in bivariate data (such as arm span vs. height for students in a classroom). At this grade, fitting the model, and assessing its fit to the data are done informally. Interpreting the model in the context of the data requires students to express a relationship between the two quantities in question and to interpret components of the relationship (such as slope and y-intercept) in terms of the situation.

(2) Students grasp the concept of a function as a rule that assigns to each input exactly one output. They understand that functions describe situations where one quantity determines another. They can translate among representations and partial representations of functions (noting that tabular and graphical representations may be partial representations), and they describe how aspects of the function are reflected in the different representations.

# 8<sup>th</sup> Grade SGO Standards

## CCSS.MATH.CONTENT.8.EE.B.5

Graph proportional relationships, interpreting the unit rate as the slope of the graph. Compare two different proportional relationships represented in different ways. For example, compare a distance-time graph to a distance-time equation to determine which of two moving objects has greater speed.

#### CCSS.MATH.CONTENT.8.EE.B.6

Use similar triangles to explain why the slope m is the same between any two distinct points on a non-vertical line in the coordinate plane; derive the equation y = mx for a line through the origin and the equation y = mx + b for a line intercepting the vertical axis at b.

CCSS.MATH.CONTENT.8.EE.C.7 Solve linear equations in one variable.

#### CCSS.MATH.CONTENT.8.EE.C.7.A

Give examples of linear equations in one variable with one solution, infinitely many solutions, or no solutions. Show which of these possibilities is the case by successively transforming the given equation into simpler forms, until an equivalent equation of the form x = a, a = a, or a = b results (where a and b are different numbers).

#### CCSS.MATH.CONTENT.8.EE.C.7.B

Solve linear equations with rational number coefficients, including equations whose solutions require expanding expressions using the distributive property and collecting like terms.

CCSS.MATH.CONTENT.8.EE.C.8

Analyze and solve pairs of simultaneous linear equations.

#### CCSS.MATH.CONTENT.8.EE.C.8.A

Understand that solutions to a system of two linear equations in two variables correspond to points of intersection of their graphs, because points of intersection satisfy both equations simultaneously.

#### CCSS.MATH.CONTENT.8.EE.C.8.B

Solve systems of two linear equations in two variables algebraically, and estimate solutions by graphing the equations. Solve simple cases by inspection. For example, 3x + 2y = 5 and 3x + 2y = 6 have no solution because 3x + 2y cannot simultaneously be 5 and 6.

#### CCSS.MATH.CONTENT.8.EE.C.8.C

Solve real-world and mathematical problems leading to two linear equations in two variables. For example, given coordinates for two pairs of points, determine whether the line through the first pair of points intersects the line through the second pair.

#### CCSS.MATH.CONTENT.8.F.A.1

Understand that a function is a rule that assigns to each input exactly one output. The graph of a function is the set of ordered pairs consisting of an input and the corresponding output.

#### CCSS.MATH.CONTENT.8.F.A.2

Compare properties of two functions each represented in a different way (algebraically, graphically, numerically

in tables, or by verbal descriptions). For example, given a linear function represented by a table of values and a linear function represented by an algebraic expression, determine which function has the greater rate of change.

## CCSS.MATH.CONTENT.8.F.A.3

Interpret the equation y = mx + b as defining a linear function, whose graph is a straight line; give examples of functions that are not linear. For example, the function A = s2giving the area of a square as a function of its side length is not linear because its graph contains the points (1,1), (2,4) and (3,9), which are not on a straight line.

**Assessment Method:** Authentic Assessments (Assessment Portfolio) will be used as a tool to measure students' growth. The assessment portfolio incorporates carefully selected practice-forward tasks that reflect higher levels of cognitive complexity. All tasks included in the portfolio will be "practice forward" and rubric-scored.

#### **Starting Points and Preparedness Groupings**

Student tiers will be determined using a 4-data point system to develop a baseline index. Each tier will be assigned a target command level.

#### Data Measures used to Establish Baselines

2014-2015 Unit Assessment Average; weight (.40) 2014-2015 Performance Tasks Average; weight (.10) 2014-2015 Final Grade; weight (.10) 2015-2016 Diagnostic Assessment; weight (.40)

Preparedness Group	Baseline Score
Tier I	< 0.35
Tier 2	0.35 – 0.55
Tier 3	0.55 – 0.75
Tier 4	> 0.75

#### **Student Growth Objective**

By March 2016, 70% of students in each preparedness group will meet their assigned target command level for full attainment of the objective as shown in the scoring plan.

Preparedness Group (e.g. 1,2,3)	Number of Students in Each Group	Target Command Level on SGO Assessment Portfolio
Tier 1		2
Tier 2		3
Tier 3		4
Tier 4		4 or 5 <sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is expected that students in Tier 4 <u>maintain</u> a level of strong command or grow to distinguished command.

Scoring Plan State the projected scores for each group and what percentage/number of students will meet this target at each attainment level. Modify the table as needed.									
	Student	Teacher SGO Sco	ore Based on Percer	nt of Students Achie	ving Target Score				
Preparedness	Target								
Group	Command	Exceptional (4)	Full (3) 70-80%	Partial (2)	Insufficient (1)				
	Level	20070	70-8076	50-05%	5078				
Tier 1	2								
Tier 2	3								
Tier 3	4								
Tier 4	4 or 5								
Approval of Studen Administrator approv	Approval of Student Growth Objective Administrator approves scoring plan and assessment used to measure student learning.								
Teacher	Signature			Date Submitted					
Evaluator	Signature			Date Approved					
<b>Results of Student</b>	<b>Growth Objective</b>	2							
Summarize results using weighted average as appropriate. Delete and add columns and rows as needed.									
				s and rows as needed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Preparedness S Group	Students at Target Score	Teacher SGO Score	Weight (based on students per group)	Weighted Score	Total Teacher SGO Score				
Preparedness S Group Tier 1	Students at Target Score	Teacher SGO Score	Weight (based on students per group)	Weighted Score	Total Teacher SGO Score				
Preparedness Group Tier 1 Tier 2	Students at Target Score	Teacher SGO Score	Weight (based on students per group)	Weighted Score	Total Teacher SGO Score				
Preparedness Group Tier 1 Tier 2 Tier 3	Students at Target Score	Teacher SGO Score	Weight (based on students per group)	Weighted Score	Total Teacher SGO Score				
Preparedness Group Tier 1 Tier 2 Tier 3 Tier 4	Students at Target Score	Teacher SGO Score	Weight (based on students per group)	Weighted Score	Total Teacher SGO Score				
Preparedness       S         Group       S         Tier 1       S         Tier 2       S         Tier 3       S         Tier 4       S         Describe any changes circumstances, etc.       S	Students at Target Score	initial approval, e.g. l	Weight (based on students per group)	Weighted Score	Total Teacher SGO Score				
Preparedness       S         Group       Tier 1         Tier 2       Tier 3         Tier 4       Describe any changes circumstances, etc.	made to SGO after	initial approval, e.g. l	Weight (based on students per group)	Weighted Score	Total Teacher SGO Score				
Preparedness       S         Group       Tier 1         Tier 1       Tier 2         Tier 3       Tier 4         Notes       Describe any changes circumstances, etc.         Review SGO at Ann       Describe successes an SGOs for next year.	made to SGO after	initial approval, e.g. l	Weight (based on students per group)	Weighted Score	Total Teacher SGO Score				
Preparedness       S         Group       Tier 1         Tier 2       Tier 3         Tier 4       Describe any changes circumstances, etc.         Review SGO at Ann Describe successes an SGOs for next year.	made to SGO after	initial approval, e.g. l	Weight (based on students per group)	Weighted Score	Total Teacher SGO Score				
Preparedness       S         Group       Tier 1         Tier 2       Tier 3         Tier 4       Describe any changes circumstances, etc.         Review SGO at Ann Describe successes an SGOs for next year.         Teacher	made to SGO after	initial approval, e.g. l	Weight (based on students per group)	S and rows as needed. Weighted Score Weighted Score student population, tudent learning, and s Date	Total Teacher SGO Score         other unforeseen         teps to improve				