School Improvement Plan



2015-2016 2015-2016 *through* 2016-2017

School Improvement Plans remain in effect for two years, but a School Leadership Team may amend as often as necessary or appropriate.

Draft Due: September 28, 2015 Final Copy Due: October 26, 2015



	{Kennedy Middle School} Contact Information									
School:	Kennedy Middle School	Courier Number:	434							
Address:	4000 Gallant Lane	Phone Number:	980.343.5540							
Address.	Charlotte, NC 28273	Fax Number:	980.343.5412							
Learning Community	South Learning Community	School Website:	http://schools.cms.k12.nc.us/kennedyMS/Pages/Default.aspx							

Principal:	Kevin Sudimack
Learning Community Superintendent:	Dennis Queen

Kennedy Middle School Improvement Team Membership

From GS §115C-105.27: "The principal of each school, representatives of the assistant principals, instructional personnel, instructional support personnel, and teacher assistants assigned to the school building, and parents of children enrolled in the school shall constitute a school improvement team to develop a school improvement plan to improve student performance. Representatives of the assistant principals, instructional personnel, instructional support personnel, and teacher assistants shall be elected by their respective groups by secret ballot....Parents serving on school improvement teams shall reflect the racial and socioeconomic composition of the students enrolled in that school and shall not be members of the building-level staff."

Committee Position	Name	Email Address	Date Elected
Principal	Kevin Sudimack	Kevin.sudimack@cms.k12.nc.us	10/13/15
Assistant Principal Representative	Brian Spaulding	Brian.Spaulding@cms.k12.nc.us	10/13/15
Teacher Representative	Beth Francis	Sarahe.francis@cms.k12.nc.us	10/13/15
Inst. Support Representative	Michelle Bitter	m.bitter@cms.k12.nc.us	10/13/15
Teacher Assistant Representative	Stephanie Smith	Stephanie.smith@cms.k12.nc.us	10/13/15
Teacher Representative	Kenneth Davis	Kennethc.davis@cms.k12.nc.us	10/13/15
Teacher Representative			
Parent Representative			
Parent Representative			



Vision Statement

District: CMS provides all students the best education available anywhere, preparing every child to lead a rich and productive life.

School:

- 1. Provide a safe and orderly learning environment.
- 2. Develop the "whole" child.
- 3. Create an environment of academic excellence through 21st century learning with a focus on STEM education and Personalized Learning themes.

Mission Statement

District: The mission of CMS is to maximize academic achievement by every student in every school.

School:

KMS Mission

By fostering a strong home, school, and community partnership, we are committed to creating a safe, nurturing, and culturally diverse setting in which all students will excel.



Kennedy Middle School Shared Beliefs

- We believe in providing a structured teaching and learning environment for students, parents, and staff.
- We believe that student development and achievement is our primary purpose and all stakeholders are accountable for student learning and growing at high levels.
- We believe in creating developmentally appropriate opportunities for students to flourish within the classroom and throughout our global community.

Kennedy Middle School SMART Goals

- Provide a duty-free lunch period for every teacher on a daily basis.
- Provide duty-free instructional planning time for every teacher under G.S. 115C-105.27 and 301.1, with the goal of proving an average of at least five hours of planning time per week, to the maximum extent that the safety and proper supervision of students may allow during regular student contact hours.
- Provide a positive school climate, under CMS regulation JICK-R, by promoting a safe learning environment free of bullying and harassing behaviors.
- Increase the literacy focus across all content areas within the building to show an increase of 10% on overall Reading proficiency as recorded by the NC EOG assessments.
- Increase the percentage of students meeting their expected growth goals, as provided by the State of NC, on math and reading EOG assessments to show High Growth in all assessed content areas by increasing the % of students showing growth from 59% to 75%.



Kennedy Middle School Assessment Data Snapshot

Paste desired SIP data reports from Principal Portal here. Insert other related data points pertinent to your school here.

SIP Composite Data Snapshot

Kennedy Middle School – See chart on the next page



Reading	ALL	AMIN	ASIA	BLCK	HISP	MULT	WHTE	EDS	LEP	SWD	AIG
Participation Denominator	717	2	53	316	239	19	87	489	84	90	20
Participation Percent	100	0	100	100	100	0	98	99	96	99	0
Participation Status	Met	Insuf.	Met	Met	Met	Insuf.	Met	Met	Met	Met	Insuf.
Proficiency Denominator	659	2	50	293	215	19	79	447	63	84	20
Proficiency Percent	30.3	0	42.0	24.9	30.2	0	41.8	25.7	3.2	2.4	0
Goal Percent	55.1	43.2	69.3	40.4	43.0	56.5	65.2	42.9	27.6	30.3	92.5
Proficiency Status	Not Met	Insuf.	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Insuf.	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Insuf.

Math	ALL	AMIN	ASIA	BLCK	HISP	MULT	WHTE	EDS	LEP	SWD	AIG
Participation Denominator	717	2	53	316	239	19	87	489	84	90	20
Participation Percent	100	0	100	100	99	0	100	100	98	99	0
Participation Status	Met	Insuf.	Met	Met	Met	Insuf.	Met	Met	Met	Met	Insuf.
Proficiency Denominator	659	2	50	293	215	19	79	447	63	84	20
Proficiency Percent	24.9	0	44.0	16.4	27.4	0	36.7	20.8	1.6	1.2	0
Goal Percent	53.9	41.6	77.0	37.8	46.1	53.6	63.0	42.1	34.0	30.0	93.3
Proficiency Status	Not Met	Insuf.	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Insuf.	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Insuf.



Science	ALL	AMIN	ASIA	BLCK	HISP	MULT	WHTE	EDS	LEP	SWD	AIG
Participation Denominator	248	1	17	116	77	9	28	154	38	28	3
Participation Percent	100	0	0	100	100	0	0	100	100	0	0
Participation Status	Met	Insuf.	Insuf.	Met	Met	Insuf.	Insuf.	Met	Met	Insuf.	Insuf.
Proficiency Denominator	231	1	17	108	71	9	25	145	32	25	3
Proficiency Percent	47.2	0	0	36.1	54.9	0	0	37.2	25.0	0	0
Goal Percent	61.8	51.9	76.5	46.0	51.7	63.3	71.7	50.0	33.2	36.4	94.4
Proficiency Status	Not Met	Insuf.	Insuf.	Not Met	Met	Insuf.	Insuf.	Not Met	Met/CI	Insuf.	Insuf.

Current Year EOC	ALL	AMIN	ASIA	BLCK	HISP	MULT	WHTE	EDS	LEP	SWD	AIG
Participation Denominator	60	0	8	24	13	5	10	29	2	0	5
Participation Percent	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participation Status	Met	~	Insuf.	~	Insuf.						

Attendance	ALL	AMIN	ASIA	BLCK	HISP	MULT	WHTE	EDS	LEP	SWD	AIG
Rate	96.5	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
Status	Met	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~



Three Year Comparison:

A	0.1	2014	-2015	2013	-2014	2012	-2013	2011	-2012
Assessment	Subgroup	% GLP	% CCR						
	All	42.4	30.2	42.7	30.8		29.6		65.6
	African American	34.9	24.1	37.7	25.8		25.9		61.3
	American Indian	50.0	50.0	33.3	33.3		0.0		100.0
	Asian	52.8	43.4	63.8	48.9		38.0		75.6
	Hispanic	45.6	31.0	44.1	31.0		28.2		66.7
Cabaal FOC Baadin a Camaaaita	More Than One	57.9	36.8	55.0	45.0		33.3		66.7
School EOG Reading Composite	Pacific Islander	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		100.0
	White	51.8	41.2	43.4	35.5		42.7		72.0
	EDS	36.8	26.1	37.8	26.8		23.0		61.3
	LEP	8.8	4.4	10.1	7.2		5.6		40.5
	SWD	10.1	3.4	4.5	3.0		2.6		38.0
	AIG	100.0	90.0	94.1	88.2				
	All	31.1	24.4	29.6	22.6		18.6		79.6
	African American	22.9	16.2	21.2	17.2		13.1		74.7
School EOG Math Composite	American Indian	50.0	50.0	66.7	66.7		100.0		0.0
	Asian	56.6	45.3	61.7	48.9		44.0		97.6
	Hispanic	32.3	26.5	29.1	20.2		16.0		79.3
	More Than One	31.6	26.3	45.0	35.0		8.3		77.8



	Pacific Islander	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
	White	42.4	35.3	39.5	30.3	31.7	90.7
	EDS	27.7	20.6	25.2	19.0	14.6	77.5
	LEP	4.4	2.9	11.6	7.2	2.7	70.3
	SWD	5.6	2.2	3.0	1.5	0.0	62.0
	AIG	100.0	95.0	100.0	100.0		
	All	62.3	45.9	74.1	63.4	48.3	80.5
	African American	53.9	34.8	63.0	53.3	35.7	77.2
	American Indian	100.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
	Asian	70.6	70.6	90.9	81.8	80.0	100.0
	Hispanic	66.7	54.7	83.3	71.4	47.7	77.3
Cabaal FOC Caianaa Cananaaita	More Than One	77.8	55.6	71.4	57.1	100.0	100.0
School EOG Science Composite	Pacific Islander			100.0	100.0	0.0	
	White	74.1	51.9	73.7	63.2	77.8	91.7
	EDS	53.9	36.2	70.0	60.0	41.0	77.6
	LEP	29.4	23.5	72.7	54.5	44.8	79.0
	SWD	21.4	7.1	29.6	22.2	13.0	54.6
	AIG	66.7	66.7	100.0	100.0		
	All	40.5	30.1	41.4	31.8	27.6	59.9
500 0	African American	32.8	22.4	33.9	25.7	22.0	54.9
EOG Composite	American Indian	60.0	40.0	62.5	62.5	66.7	0.0
	Asian	56.9	48.0	65.7	52.4	47.5	75.6



	Hispanic	42.9	32.4	44.3	33.1	25.5	60.4
	More Than One	51.1	36.2	53.2	42.6	24.0	66.7
	Pacific Islander	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	100.0
	White	50.8	40.1	45.0	36.3	42.9	68.0
	EDS	35.2	25.1	37.2	28.4	22.0	55.6
	LEP	11.2	7.6	19.4	13.8	10.9	35.1
	SWD	9.7	3.4	8.1	5.6	2.9	30.4
	AIG	97.7	90.7	97.3	94.6		
EOC Composite	All	91.7	80.0	61.3	43.5	76.2	98.2
	All	42.3	31.8	42.2	32.3	28.9	74.6
	African American	34.5	24.1	34.3	26.1	22.8	70.4
	American Indian	60.0	40.0	62.5	62.5	50.0	50.0
	Asian	58.8	50.4	68.4	53.5	50.8	89.3
	Hispanic	44.1	33.5	44.7	33.1	26.6	74.3
School Composite	More Than One	55.8	42.3	56.0	44.0	24.0	80.0
School Composite	Pacific Islander	0.0	0.0	16.7	16.7	0.0	100.0
	White	53.1	42.0	45.8	36.7	44.2	83.2
	EDS	36.5	26.5	38.3	29.0	22.9	71.5
	LEP	12.2	8.7	20.2	13.5	11.9	58.6
	SWD	9.7	3.4	8.6	5.6	2.9	51.1
	AIG	97.9	91.7	97.5	95.0		



Analysis of 3 year student subgroup performance:

Math: There has been an increase in Math over the past three years (18.6% - 22.6% - 24.4%). This trend is also reflected in the the subgroup analysis. The AA population saw a large jump from 13.1% to 17.2% two years ago; however there was a small drop from 17.2% to 16.2% this last year.

Reading: There has been no statistical significance in the Reading data (29.6% - 30.8% - 30.2%. The subgroup data also shows little statistical significance from the last three years.

Science: There is some significant data flux in 8th grade science across all subgroups. The overall science data shows large increases and large decreases (48.3% - 63.4% - 45.9%).


EOC Math I: There was a large increase in Math I scores (43.5% to 80%).



Kennedy Middle School Profile


This narrative should include a description of student and staff demographics, recent achievement(s), and staff qualifications.


Certified And Administrativ	e Staff
Position Title	Count
Total	55
Principal, Middle School	1
Assistant Principal, Middle Sc	1
Dean Of Students	1
Counselor, Middle School	2
Facilitator, Professional Dev	1
Teacher, Social Studies - 6Th	2
Teacher, Middle Grades Social	7
Teacher, EC General Curriculum	4
Teacher, Math - 6Th Grade Only	2





Teacher, Middle Grades Math	6
Coordinator, Magnet Schools	1
Substitute, Certified Teacher	1
Teacher, Physical Education	3
Teacher, Middle Grades Languag	7
Teacher, EC Spec Acdemic Curic	1
Teacher, CTE Business Educatio	2
Teacher, Science - 6Th Grade O	1
Teacher, CTE MG Math-PLTW	1
Teacher, Bilingual / ESL	1
Teacher, Spanish	1
Teacher, Middle Grades Science	5
Teacher, EC Autistic (Self-Con	1
Teacher, CTE Technology Educat	1
Teacher, 7-12 Art	1
Teacher, 7-12 Band	1







Attendance data:

		Total E	Enrolled	Perfect A	ect Attendance Has an Absence E		Excused	Absences		cused ences	IS	SS	oss		
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Female	325	45.5	<u>32</u>	9.8	<u>293</u>	90.2	<u>192</u>	59.1	<u>271</u>	83.4	<u>16</u>	4.9	7	2.2
School Total	Male	389	54.5	<u>30</u>	7.7	<u>359</u>	92.3	<u>226</u>	58.1	<u>329</u>	84.6	<u>47</u>	12.1	<u>30</u>	7.7
	Total	714	100.0	<u>62</u>	8.7	<u>652</u>	91.3	418	58.5	<u>600</u>	84.0	<u>63</u>	8.8	<u>37</u>	5.2
Race								'							
African American	Total	321	45.0	<u>28</u>	8.7	<u>293</u>	91.3	<u>172</u>	53.6	<u>272</u>	84.7	<u>45</u>	14.0	<u>26</u>	8.1
American Indian	Total	78	10.9	<u>3</u>	3.8	<u>75</u>	96.2	<u>45</u>	57.7	<u>70</u>	89.7	<u>2</u>	2.6	<u>3</u>	3.8
Asian	Total	52	7.3	<u>10</u>	19.2	<u>42</u>	80.8	<u>26</u>	50.0	<u>36</u>	69.2	1	1.9	1	1.9
More Than One	Total	145	20.3	<u>11</u>	7.6	<u>134</u>	92.4	<u>87</u>	60.0	<u>125</u>	86.2	9	6.2	<u>3</u>	2.1
Pacific Islander	Total	2	0.3	<u>0</u>	0.0	<u>2</u>	100.0	<u>0</u>	0.0	<u>2</u>	100.0	<u>0</u>	0.0	<u>0</u>	0.0
White	Total	116	16.2	<u>10</u>	8.6	<u>106</u>	91.4	<u>88</u>	75.9	<u>95</u>	81.9	<u>6</u>	5.2	<u>4</u>	3.4
Ethnicity															
Hispanic	Total	237	33.2	<u>14</u>	5.9	<u>223</u>	94.1	<u>137</u>	57.8	<u>208</u>	87.8	<u>12</u>	5.1	<u>5</u>	2.1
Non-Hispanic	Total	477	66.8	<u>48</u>	10.1	<u>429</u>	89.9	<u>281</u>	58.9	<u>392</u>	82.2	<u>51</u>	10.7	<u>32</u>	6.7
EC Category								'							
AIG	Total	20	2.8	2	10.0	<u>18</u>	90.0	<u>17</u>	85.0	<u>17</u>	85.0	<u>0</u>	0.0	<u>0</u>	0.0
Non-EC	Total	599	83.9	<u>54</u>	9.0	<u>545</u>	91.0	<u>342</u>	57.1	<u>504</u>	84.1	<u>55</u>	9.2	<u>29</u>	4.8
SWD	Total	95	13.3	<u>6</u>	6.3	<u>89</u>	93.7	<u>59</u>	62.1	<u>79</u>	83.2	<u>8</u>	8.4	<u>8</u>	8.4



LEP Status	.EP Status														
LEP	Total	83	11.6	<u>4</u>	4.8	<u>79</u>	95.2	<u>51</u>	61.4	<u>68</u>	81.9	<u>3</u>	3.6	<u>1</u>	1.2
Non-LEP	Total	631	88.4	<u>58</u>	9.2	<u>573</u>	90.8	<u>367</u>	58.2	<u>532</u>	84.3	<u>60</u>	9.5	<u>36</u>	5.7
McKinney Vento	McKinney Vento														
No	Total	709	99.3	<u>62</u>	8.7	<u>647</u>	91.3	<u>415</u>	58.5	<u>595</u>	83.9	<u>62</u>	8.7	<u>37</u>	5.2
Yes	Total	5	0.7	<u>0</u>	0.0	<u>5</u>	100.0	3	60.0	<u>5</u>	100.0	<u>1</u>	20.0	<u>0</u>	0.0
504 Plan	504 Plan														
No	Total	677	94.8	<u>62</u>	9.2	<u>615</u>	90.8	389	57.5	<u>567</u>	83.8	<u>59</u>	8.7	<u>34</u>	5.0
Yes	Total	37	5.2	<u>0</u>	0.0	<u>37</u>	100.0	<u>29</u>	78.4	<u>33</u>	89.2	<u>4</u>	10.8	<u>3</u>	8.1

Attendance Data Analysis: The data shows that students come to school on a consistent basis. A larger percent of our AA population have perfect attendance than our other students. Our male students are suspended at a higher rate than our female students. Our SWD students account for 8.3% of the schools OSS.



2014-2015 Discipline Data

Act Type/Code		Acts to Date
Reportable Offense		<u>8</u>
03	003-RO: Assault on school personnel not serious	<u>1</u>
09	006-RO:Possession of marijuana	<u>4</u>
11	008-RO: Possession of a weapon (excl firearm,expl)	<u>2</u>
09	017-RO: Possession of controlled substance-other	<u>1</u>
Unacceptable Behavior		<u>368</u>
	018-UB: Unlawfully setting a fire (G.S. 14-277.1)	<u>1</u>
	019-UB: Communicating threats (G.S. 14-277.1)	<u>5</u>
	022-UB: Disorderly conduct (G.S. 14-288.4(a)(6))	<u>4</u>
	024-UB: Fighting	<u>19</u>
	025-UB: Harassment - verbal	<u>2</u>
	027-UB: Aggressive behavior	<u>49</u>
	031-UB: Dress code violation	<u>2</u>
	032-UB: Inappropriate language/disrespect	<u>32</u>
	033-UB: Insubordination	<u>51</u>
	036-UB: Theft	<u>4</u>
	037-UB: Bus misbehavior	<u>22</u>
	038-UB: Harassment - sexual	<u>1</u>
	039-UB: Property damage	<u>1</u>



<u>1</u>	040-UB: Inappropriate items on school property	
<u>3</u>	041-UB: Possession of tobacco	
<u>70</u>	042-UB: Disruptive behavior	
<u>12</u>	044-UB: Assault on student	
<u>2</u>	051-UB: Possession of chemical or drug paraphernal	
<u>4</u>	052-UB: Bullying	
<u>1</u>	058-UB: Other School Defined Offense	
<u>24</u>	061-UB: Disrespect of faculty/staff	
<u>11</u>	066-UB: Leaving class without permission	
<u>1</u>	072-UB: Assault on student w/o weapon	
<u>27</u>	074-UB: Cutting class	
<u>4</u>	091-UB: Misuse of school technology	
<u>4</u>	092-UB: Repeat offender	
<u>1</u>	094-UB: Cyber-bullying	
<u>1</u>	101-UB: Harassment - Racial	
<u>5</u>	107-UB: Threat of physical attack without a weapon	
<u>4</u>	114-UB: Inappropriate Behavior	114
<u>376</u>	Total Number of Acts	
<u>287</u>	Total Number of Incidents	
<u>176</u>	Total Number of Suspensions (all Act types) to date	
0	Total Number of Expulsions (all Act types) to date	

Discipline Data Analysis: Disruptive Behavior, Aggressive Behavior and Insubordination are the three highest reported incidents. This data has been reviewed by staff and specific supports have been implemented. The overall data shows a decrease in OSS and ISS from the 2013-2014 school year.



Retention Information:

Kennedy Middle Sc	ennedy Middle School													
		2015-2016		2014	-2015	2013-2014								
Grade Level	# Enrolled	# Retained	% Retained	# Retained	% Retained	# Retained	% Retained							
06	278	<u>0</u>	0.0	<u>0</u>	0.0	<u>0</u>	0.0							
07	259	<u>1</u>	0.4	<u>1</u>	0.4	<u>0</u>	0.0							
08	224	<u>1</u>	0.4	<u>0</u>	0.0	<u>0</u>	0.0							
School Total	761	2	0.3	<u>1</u>	0.1	<u>0</u>	0.0							

Retention analysis: Three students have been retained in the past three years.



Kennedy Middle School (KMS) has a population of 760 students. The students at KMS come from a variety of ethnic and socio-economic backgrounds which creates a culturally rich learning environment for all our students. We enjoy the diversity of our student population: 30% Hispanic, 40% African American, 20% White and 10% are classified as other ethnic backgrounds. We offer a variety of elective courses (CTE, Design and Modeling, Automation and Robotics, Spanish, PE/Health, Dance, Art, Orchestra, and Band). These courses in conjunction with our after school programs and learning experiences are designed to develop the "whole" child. Beginning with the 2015-2016 school year we have been designated as a partial STEM magnet that services the Blue Transportation Zone. We were the first CMS middle school to become a 1:1 learning environment with Chromebooks. The Kennedy staff consists of 49 teachers (all certified), 4 EC assistants, 4 secretaries, 2 counselors and 5 support staff personnel.

Kennedy has two main feeder schools (Steele Creek and Berewick Elementary schools) however with the inclusion of our STEM magnet program we welcome students from an additional 10 elementary schools throughout the county. We predominantly feed Olympic High School. We are located just off I-485 in the Steele Creek area of Charlotte.

We have three main education pillars that drive our decisions at KMS:

- 4. Provide a safe and orderly learning environment.
- 5. Develop the "whole" child.
- 6. Create an environment of academic excellence through 21st century learning with a focus on STEM education and Personalized Learning themes.



Strategic Plan 2018: For a Better Tomorrow

Goal 1: Maximize academic achievement in a personalized 21st-century learning environment for every child to	Goal 2: Recruit, develop, retain and reward a premier workforce
graduate college- and career-ready	
	Five focus areas:
Four focus areas:	I. Proactive recruitment
I. College- and career-readiness	II. Individualized professional development
II. Academic growth/high academic achievement	III. Retention/quality appraisals
III. Access to rigor	IV. Multiple career pathways
IV. Closing achievement gaps	V. Leadership development
Cool 2: Cultivate menta analine with femalics having	Cool A. Dromoto a system wide system of cefety himb
Goal 3: Cultivate partnerships with families, businesses,	Goal 4: Promote a system-wide culture of safety, high
faith-based groups and community organizations to provide	engagement, cultural competency and customer service
a sustainable system of support and care for each child	
	Five focus areas:
Three focus areas:	I. Physical safety
I. Family engagement	II. Social and emotional health
II. Communication and outreach	III. High engagement
III. Partnership development	IV. Cultural competency
	V. Customer service
Goal 5: Optimize district performance and accountability by	Goal 6: Inspire and nurture learning, creativity, innovation
strengthening data use, processes and systems	and entrepreneurship through technology and strategic
	school redesign
Four focus areas:	
Effective and efficient processes and systems	Four focus areas:
II. Strategic use of district resources	I. Learning everywhere, all the time
III. Data integrity and use	II. Innovation and entrepreneurship



IV. School performance imp	V. School performance improvement		Strategic school redesign		
			Innovative new schools		
SMART Goal (1): Provide a duty-free lunch per			every teacher on a daily basis.		
Duty Free Lunch for Teachers					
Strategic Plan Goal:	Teachers will be provided cove	erage	during lunch.		
Strategic Plan Focus Area:	To ensure adequate staff will cover lunches for teachers.				
Data Used:	Staff input on effectiveness of o	duty f	ree lunches.		

Strategies (determined by what data) Task Task Task (PD)	Point Person (title/name)	Evidence of Success (Student Impact)	Funding (estimated cost / source)	Personnel Involved	Timeline (Start—End) • Interim Dates
Create schedule for lunch coverage while still providing a safe and orderly environment. Create time during PD	Principal/ Sudimack	Increased time for parent/teacher communication and planning.	N/A	Counselors, administration, SRO, support staff	Sept. 2015- June 2016



SMART Goal (2): Duty Free Instructional Planning Time	Provide duty-free instructional planning time for every teacher under G.S. 115C-105.27 and - 301.1, with the goal of proving an average of at least five hours of planning time per week, to the maximum extent that the safety and proper supervision of students may allow during regular student contact hours.
Strategic Plan Goal:	Create a master schedule that allows for at least five hours of planning.
Strategic Plan Focus Area:	Ensure that teachers utilize duty-free time.
Data Used:	Teacher feedback.

Strategies (determined by what data) Task Task Task (PD)	Point Person (title/name)	Evidence of Success (Student Impact)	Funding (estimated cost / source)	Personnel Involved	Timeline (Start—End) • Interim Dates
Create a master schedule that allows for 60 minute duty free period each day.	Assistant Principal/ Spaulding	With more time to plan individually/team/content, teachers will be better prepared with lessons that will positively impact student learning.	N/A	All staff	Sept. 2015- June 2016
2. Create a Learning Experience schedule to provide additional 2:30 hours of planning each week.	Assistant Principal/ Spaulding	With more time to communicate with parents, teachers will better meet the needs of their students.	N/A	Elective teachers	Sept. 2015- June 2016
3. Provide supervision during lunch to allow teachers additional 20 minutes of duty free time each day.	Principal/ Sudimack	With time to collaborate and eat with their team, teachers will build morale and discuss student needs.	N/A	Administration , counselors, SRO, support staff	Sept. 2015- June 2016



SMART Goal (3): Anti-Bullying / Character Education		nool climate, under CMS regulated in the contract of the contr		y promoting a	safe learning			
Strategic Plan Goal:	To provide support for staff and students in the area of bullying and harassing behaviors.							
Strategic Plan Focus Area:	To reduce the number of referrals for harassing and bullying.							
Data Used:	Incidents reported into PowerSchool.							

Strategies (determined by what data) Task Task	Point Person (title/name)	Evidence of Success (Student Impact)	Funding (estimated cost / source)	Personnel Involved	Timeline (Start—End)
1. Bully Liaison / Bully-prevention	Janawsky/ Counselor, Sledge/ Counselor	Reduced number of incident referrals in PowerSchool.	N/A	Counselors	Sept. 2015- June 2016
2. Character Education	Janawsky/ Counselor, Sledge/ Counselor	Reduced number of incident referrals in PowerSchool.	N/A	Counselors	Sept. 2015- June 2016
3. Healthy Active Child 30 min.	Assistant Principal/ Spaulding	Ensure that every student in the school has participated in Health/P.E.	N/A	P.E/Health teachers and counselors	Sept. 2015- June 2016



4. School Health Team	Dixon/Nurse	Ensure that all students have up to date immunizations.	N/A	Duncan/ Registrar	Sept. 2015- June 2016
SMART Goal (4):	Increase the literacy for	L cus across all content areas wi	thin the building to	o show an increa	use of 10% on
()	overall Reading proficie	ency as recorded by the NC EC	OG assessments.		
Strategic Plan Goal:	1				
Strategic Plan Focus Area:					
Data Used:	EOG Assessment Da	ta			
Strategies (determined by what data) Task Task	Point Person (title/name)	Evidence of Success (Student Impact)	Funding (estimated cost / source)	Personnel Involved	Timeline (Start—End)
 1. LLI implementation by elective teachers and all support staff 30 minute LLI sessions 3 times a week Train elective and support 	Bitter, Price, Guy	-Winter and Spring MAP assessments -Increased Lexile levels through the LLI process	CMS	Elective Teachers and Support Staff	Sept May
 2. Daily 30 minute Close Read activity across the building 30 minutes at the beginning each PL Newsela articles 	JLT g of	-Winter and Spring MAP assessments -Increased Lexile levels through the LLI process	Paper	All Staff	Sept May
3. Combination of LA and SS departments to reflect one unified Literacy Department	Bitter	-Learning pathways -Formal observations -Informal walkthroughs	None	All LA and SS Staff	August- June

• Teams meet together to plan and create literacy lessons



4. Create the position of a Readin Specialist to tutor and enrich stud on literacy strategies and LLI Work with SLC to make position trade		Sudimack	-Media Center circulation -LLI movement -Book Club attendance	Position Trade	Sudimack, Bitter, Price	August - June
SMART Goal (5):	Increase the percentage of students meeting their expected growth goals, as provided by the State of NC, on math, science and reading EOG assessments to show High Growth in all assessed content areas by increasing the % of students showing growth from 59% to 75%.					
Strategic Plan Goal:	1					
Strategic Plan Focus Area:	Ш					
Data Used:	EO	G Assessment Da	ıta			

Strategies (determined by what data) Task Task Task (PD)	Point Person (title/name)	Evidence of Success (Student Impact)	Funding (estimated cost / source)	Personnel Involved	Timeline (Start—End) • Interim Dates
Support 7 th grade Math team with targeted PD from a certified new teacher coach Hire experienced coach with PD funds	Virella	-Formal Observations -MAP Assessment data -Common Assessment data	\$1500 – PD fund	Sudimack	Oct - March
Combination of LA and SS departments to reflect one unified Literacy Department Teams meet together to plan and create literacy lessons	Bitter	-Learning pathways -Formal observations -Informal walkthroughs	None	All LA and SS Staff	August- June



Double block Math 8 and Math I Create master schedule to allow for double blocking through PL time	Spaulding	-Formal Observations -MAP Assessment data -Common Assessment data	None	Stinson Spaulding	October - June

Mastery Grading Procedures Plan – Required for All Schools			
Strategic Plan Goal: Goal 1: Maximize academic achievement in a personalized 21st-century learning environment			
	for every child to graduate college- and career-ready.		
Strategic Plan Focus Area:	Academic growth/high academic achievement		
Data Used:	2014-2015 EOG		

Strategies (determined by what data) • Task	Point Person (title/name)	Evidence of Success (Student Impact)	Funding (estimated cost / source)	Personnel Involved	Timeline (Start—End)
1. Common assessments	Bitter/Dean of Students	Common assessment scores. District CA's will be used	Paper copy cost	All staff	Sept. 2015- June 2016
2. Data disaggregation	Principal/ Sudimack	Common assessment data trackers will be used by all staff to monitor student growth	N/A	All core teachers, support staff, administrators	Sept. 2015- June 2016



	_	-		-	
3. Flexible grouping	Bitter/Dean of Students	PL time for all grade levels will be used for flexible grouping	N/A	All staff	Sept. 2015- June 2016
4. Late and make-up work	Virella/Dean of Students	Evidence from grade book in PowerSchool.	N/A	All teachers	Sept. 2015- June 2016
5. Additional Learning	Sudimack	PL time observations	N/A	All staff	Sept. 2015- June 2016
6. Grade Reporting	Duncan Spaulding	Grade analysis worksheets	N/A	All staff	Sept. 2015- June 2016

Kennedy Middle School - 600 Waiver Requests

Request for Waiver

- 1. Insert the waivers you are requesting
 - Maximum Teaching Load and Maximum Class Size (grades 4-12) [required for all schools with grades 4-12]

27



- 2. Please identify the law, regulation or policy from which you are seeking an exemption.
 - 115C-301 (c and d) Maximum Teaching Load and Maximum Class Size [required for all schools with grades 4-12]
- 3. Please state how the waiver will be used.
 - Class size will be adjusted to address student individual instructional needs through flexible grouping of students in the
 most effective utilization of teaching teams. Maximum teaching load will be used to allow teachers in specific areas of
 the curriculum to teach students designated for specific skill needs and to address the large number of students
 requesting elective classes.
- 4. Please state how the waiver will promote achievement of performance goals.
 - This waiver will allow more flexibility in grouping students to meet their abilities and needs and thus should enhance their achievement on the performance goals.

Approval of Plan					
Committee Position	Name	Signature	Date		
Principal	Kevin Sudimack				
Assistant Principal Representative	Brian Spaulding				
Teacher Representative	Beth Francis				
Inst. Support Representative	Michelle Bitter				
Teacher Assistant Representative	Stephanie Smith				



Teacher Representative		
Teacher Representative		
Teacher Representative		
Teacher Representative		
Parent Representative		
Parent Representative		

Quarter 2 Review						
Committee Position	Name	Signature	Date			
Principal						
Assistant Principal Representative						
Teacher Representative						



Inst. Support Representative		
Teacher Assistant Representative		
Parent Representative		
Parent Representative		
Parent Representative		
Parent Representative		
Parent Representative		

Quarter 3 Review				
Committee Position	Name	Signature	Date	
Principal				
Assistant Principal Representative				



Teacher Representative		
Inst. Support Representative		
Teacher Assistant Representative		
Parent Representative		
Parent Representative		
Parent Representative		
Parent Representative		
Parent Representative		

Quarter 4 Review				
Committee Position	Name	Signature	Date	
Principal				



Assistant Principal Representative		
Teacher Representative		
Inst. Support Representative		
Teacher Assistant Representative		
Parent Representative		
Parent Representative		
Parent Representative		
Parent Representative		
Parent Representative		