## Jackson Public School District Multi-Tiered Supports Implementation Checklist (MTSIC)

Adapted from the School Evaluation Tool (SET), PBIS Team Implementation Checklist (TIC), Effective Behavioral Support Survey (EBS) and the Schoolwide Benchmarks of Quality (BOQ)

Name of School:	Date of Completion:		
	ted behavior support systems within yo	gned to guide, self-assess, and report the our school. The checklist is designed to	
Are the universal element	nts in place for implementing effective	behavior support practices?	
• Are secondary, targeted	behavior support systems in place?		
• Is a function-based, inte	nsive, individual behavior support syste	em in place?	
	TSIC for building an action plan to deli ed to assess progress throughout the year	neate next steps in the implementation ar and over time on a year-to-year basis	
Team Members			
PBIS Coordinator:	Name	Role	
Team Member's Name & Role in School	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
	6.		
	7.		
	8.		

INSTRUCTIONS: Based on current implementation status, rate each item as "Fully in Place", "Partially in Place" or "Not in Place." Schools should maintain documentation of observable evidence that the item identified as "In Place" was and/or can be directly observed by others.

10.

**PBIS** Universal (Tier 1) Elements

Section 1: Foundations 1-I	Fully in Place Partially in Place Not in Place
1. School has PBIS congruent Student Code of Conduct that includes leveled infractions, clear desriptions of each infraction at each level, teacher-based corrective strategies, administrator-based corrective strategies including alternative strategies to exclusionary (e.g., ISS, OSS) discipline, established triggers for tiered behavioral supports, and	2 1 0
suggested behavioral support strategies across levels of infractions. <b>Evidence of Implementation:</b> (Copy of Student Code of Conduct)	
2. There is a team within the school building identified to coordinate positive behavior	$2 \square 1 \square 0$
planning and implementation (i.e., PBIS Team). The team that meets regularly in	*
scheduled meetings (e.g., at least once monthly) to review data and make data-based	
recommendations regarding tiered behavioral supports.	
<b>Evidence of Implementation</b> : (Names and titles of team members) and minutes of PBIS	
meetings	
3. The PBIS Team has a annual allocation of funds from which to operate and coordinate	210
tiered behavioral supports.	
Evidence of Implementation: Copy of annual PBIS budget.	
4. PBIS Team membership includes individuals with the following responsibilities:  a. Allocation of resources (e.g., administrator)	210
<ul><li>a. Allocation of resources (e.g., administrator)</li><li>b. Expertise in academic assessment and intervention</li></ul>	
c. Expertise in coordinating targeted and intensive interventions	
d. Expertise in conducting basic functional behavior assessment procedures and	
building a behavior intervention plan	
Evidence of Implementation: (Name and titles)	
5. The PBIS Team has access to ongoing training and support provided by District	2 1 0
personnel.	
Evidence of Implemention: Documentation of coaching/training supports.	
6. A school Administrator attends at least 80% of PBIS Team meetings, agrees that	$\square 2 \square 1 \square 0$
behavioral concerns are top priorities and is an active participant on the behavior support	
team.	
Evidence of Implementation: (Sign-in sheet from team meeting minutes)	
7. School has PBIS School Wide Plan in place that covers (a) Expectations, (b) Teaching	210
Lesson Plans, (c) Acknowledgment/Incentive Plan, (d) Procedures for Managing Problem	
behaviors and (e) Procedures for Obtaining and Reviewing Discipline Outcomes and other relevant data monthly (e.g, attendance rates, academic and achievement data).	
Evidence of Implementation: (Copy of PBIS Plan/procedures)	
8. 80% of faculty agree that school climate/discipline is one of the top 3 school improvement	2 1 0
goals and fully commit to PBIS for a minimum of 3 years.	
Evidence of Implementation: Copy of staff surveys, school improvement plans, signed	
commitments, etc.	
9. Annual orientation for new faculty and new PBIS Team members is conducted to review	$\boxed{2}$ $\boxed{1}$ $\boxed{0}$
PBIS program and/or team functions.	
Evidence of Implementation: (Date of orientation, agenda, sign-in sheets, and materials)	
10. Annual orientation for new faculty/staff in the school includes (a) when ODRs should be	$\square 2 \square 1 \square 0$
completed and how to complete them, (b) how to request assistance from the behavior	
support team, and (c) behavior support plan policies of the school including school-wide,	
targeted, and intensive supports.	
Evidence of Implementation: (Date of orientation, agenda, sign-in sheets, and	
PRIS Foundations: 20 – % of Total Points Formed	

Section 2: Behavioral Expectations and Teaching Procedures	2-Fully in Place 1-Partially in Place 0-Not in Place
11. The school has a small number (e.g. 3-5) of positively stated and clearly defined student expectations that are prominently posted and publicized in all areas across the school	
campus. These expectations are included within a Behavioral Expectation Matrix.	
Evidence of Implementation: Observation of Behavioral Expectation Matrix, posters, etc.	
<ol> <li>The school has developed lesson plans that correspond to the Behavioral Expectation Matrix.</li> </ol>	
Evidence of Implementation: Copies of Lesson (Teaching) Plans	
13. The school has an ongoing plan for actively and directly teaching schoolwide behavioral expectations to all students.	
<b>Evidence of Implementation:</b> Written plan or procedures for teaching expectations, shedules, documentation of fidelity reviews, etc.	
<ul><li>14. The school has a strategy for conducting booster trainings for schoolwide expectations based on an analysis of discipline data.</li><li>Evidence of Implementation: Written plan or procedures for conducting booster</li></ul>	2
trainings.	
15. The school has a written plan for teaching PBIS Expectations to students who transfer to the school during the school term.	
Evidence of Implementation: Written plan/procedures for teaching students who	
transition to the school during the school term,	
documentation of teaching, etc.	
Behavioral Expectations and Teaching Procedures: /10 = % of Total	al Points Earned.
Section 3: Student and Staff Acknowledgement Systems	2-Fully in Place 1-Partially in Place 0-Not in Place
16. The school has a plan for regularly acknowledging students who demonstrate expected behaviors.	
Evidence of Implementation: Written plan or procedures for acknowledging students and	
faculty (e.g., school wide and classroom based incentive programs).	
17. The school has a plan for regularly acknowledging faculty who actively promote PBIS implementation.	
Evidence of Implementation: Written plan or procedures for acknowledging students and	
faculty (e.g., school wide and classroom based incentive programs).	
Student and Staff Acknowledgment Systems:/4 = % of Total Points	s Earned.
Section 4: Responding to and Managing Problem Behaviors	2-Fully in Place 1-Partially in Place 0-Not in Place
18. There is a clear distinction between problem behaviors that are managed by administrators (i.e., office managed) versus faculty and staff members.	
Evidence of Implementation: Written policy or dichotomy detailing specific behaviors that	
are managed by staff and administration.	
19. Corrective consequences for misconduct are clearly defined.	$\square 2 \square 1 \square 0$
<b>Evidence of Imlementation</b> : Written plan (e.g., Student Code of Conduct) describing consequences for misconduct.	
20. The school has documented alternatives to suspension procedures in place that are used to re-teach behavioral expectations.	
Evidence of Implementation: (Name of alternatives, written procedures of what behavioral	
infractions warrant use of alternative, what activities are involved when students participate, etc.)	

21. The school has a plan/procedures in place to address emergency or crisis situations (e.g.,			$\square 2 \square 1 \square 0$
Crisis Management Plan).			
<b>Evidence of Implementation:</b> Written Crisis Manag <b>Responding to and Managing Problem Behavior</b>		0/ of Total	al Points Earned.
Responding to and Managing Problem Benavior	/o	70 01 100	ai Foints Larneu.
Section 5. Classroom Systems/Settings			2-Fully in Place 1-Partially in Place 0-Not in Place
22. Expected behaviors and routines are taught direct			$\square 2  \square 1  \square 0$
<b>Evidence of Implementation:</b> Written Classroom Ma for Teaching Routines.	ng Plans		
23. Expected student behaviors are acknowledged regularly by faculty and staff at a rate of >			$\square 2  \square 1  \square 0$
4 positive interactions to 1 corrective or negative i	interaction.		
Evidence of Implementation: Observations	(: - 41 1:4-1 -		
24. Problem behaviors receive consistent consequence strategies)			<u></u>
<b>Evidence of Implementation:</b> Observations, docume	•	egies	
before issuing an OD  25. Staff options exist to allow classroom instruction to		a babarriana	
occur.	to continue when problem	i denaviors	
Evidence of Implementation: Written description of	staff options that are use	d to continue	
the flow of instruction when problem behaviors occur			
student removal to ISD, Time Out, etc.).			
26. Instruction and curriculum materials are matched to student independent functioning			$\square 2  \square 1  \square 0$
levels in Reading, Math, English/Language Arts.		4: 1	
<b>Evidence of Implementation</b> ; Documentation of different levels of differentiation and other instructional modifications.			
27. Students experience high rates of academic engagement and success (< 75% accuracy).			$\square_2$ $\square_1$ $\square_0$
Evidence of Implementation: Documentation of course grades, pass rates, etc.			
28. Staff have frequent opportunities for accesss to assistance and recommendations for			
behavioral or instructional management (e.g., observation, instruction, coaching, etc.).			
<b>Evidence of Implementation:</b> Documentation of staff	es for		
requesting assistance.  29. Transitions between instructional and non-instruct	tional activities are efficie	ent and orderly	$\square$ 2 $\square$ 1 $\square$ 0
Evidence of Implementation: Direct observations	ional activities are cilien	one and orderry.	
Classroom Systems and Settings:/16 =	% of Total F	Points Earned.	
			2-Fully in Place
Section 6. Non-Classroom Settings			1-Partially in Place 0-Not in Place
30. School-wide expectations apply to non-classroom	n settings (i.e. hallways, c	afeteria,	
commons area, recess/playground, gymnasium, auditorium, etc.)			
Evidence of Implementation: Non-classroom Settings included in Behavioral			
Expectation Matrix	1.0.1	•	
31. Behavioral expectations are taught in and/or devel <b>Evidence of Implementation:</b> Copy of written lesson	/teaching plans.		
32. Acknowledment is provided for students demonstrating expected behaviors in non-			$\square 2  \square 1  \square 0$
classroom settings.		' DDIC DI	
Evidence of Implementation: Written acknowledgen			
33. Physical/building features are modified to limit (a traffic patterns and (c) inappropriate access to and		*	$\square 2  \square 1  \square 0$
Fyidence of Implementation: Prevention and superv			

34. Scheduling of student movement limits overcrowding in non-classroom settings.	2 1 0
Evidence of Implementation: Supervision activities outlined in PBIS plan	
35. Staff are afforded regular opportunities to access training and coaching on active supervision procedures for non-classroom settings.	
<b>Evidence of Implementation</b> : Active supervision resources outlined in PBIS plan.	
36. All faculty and staff are either indirectly (e.g., precorrections) or directly (i.e., active	$\square 2 \square 1 \square 0$
supervision strategies) involved in the supervision of students in non-classroom settings.	
Evidence of Implementation: Supervision procedures outlined in PBIS plan.	
Non-Classroom Settings:/14 % of Total Points Earned.	
	2-Fully in Place
Section 7. Self-Assessment: Gathering, Summarizing and Utilizing School Wide Discipline Data	1-Partially in Place 0-Not in Place
37. The PBIS Team has conducted a SET, MTSIC, or BoQ within the last 12 months,	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
reviewed the outcomes of the assessment, and used data to identify strengths and	
weaknesses of the school PBIS system.	
Evidence of Implementation: Summary of PBIS evaluation (e.g., SET, BoQ) results.	
38. All Office discipline referrals (ODRs) that are submitted to the school office are entered in	$\square_2$ $\square_1$ $\square_0$
discipline data-base within 24 hours of infraction regardless of administrative disposition.	
Evidence of Implementation: Summary print out of ODR/discipline clearly indicates	
dispositions other than ISS/OSS.	
39. The ODR forms are accurately completed and include the following information: (a) time,	$\square 2 \square 1 \square 0$
(b) location, (c) behavior (d) infraction code, and (e) administrative decision. Is there a	
place on the ODR for including (f) possible reason (i.e., motivation), and (g) others	
involved?	
Evidence of Implementation: (copy of ODR)	
40. ODRs patterns are examined and summarized at least monthly to identify school wide	$\square 2 \square 1 \square 0$
behavior patterns/concerns including (a) Number of infractions per day/per month, ,	
(b)Top 5 infractions, (b) Infractions by Location, (c) Infractions by Time of Day, (d)	
Infractions by Staff and (e) number of referrals by student.	
<b>Evidence of Implementation:</b> Who is monitoring, what data are gathered/analyzed, meeting	
agenda and minutes, etc.	
41. ODRs patterns are examined and summarized at least monthly to identify school wide	$\square 2 \square 1 \square 0$
behavior patterns/concerns and/or individual students who may benefit from a targeted or	
intensive intervention.	
<b>Evidence of Implementation:</b> Who is monitoring, what data are gathered/analyzed, meeting agenda and minutes, etc.	
42. The PBIS team uses student outcome data (e.g., attendance, behavior outcomes, student	$\square 2 \square 1 \square 0$
academic and/or achievement outcomes) and/or universal behavioral screening data to	
identify students who may benefit from a targeted or intensive intervention.	
Evidence of Implementation: Analysis of student outcome data, analysis of universal	
behavior screening outcomes	
43. School has a process for developing monthly action plans to address school wide	$\square 2 \square 1 \square 0$
discipline, attendance, and/or academic trends that are of concern to the team. These plans	
specify actions to be performed by responsible parties with established timelines and goals	
and/or benchmarks.	
Evidence of Implementation: Copies of written action plans.	
44. School has a process for reviewing suspension and attendance data at least four times per	2 1 0
year to identify students who might benefit from additional behavior support	
interventions.	
Evidence of Implementation: Quarterly suspension and attendance reports, names of	
students identified in need of extra behavioral support.	

	Distirct to report the status of sciences at least annually (e.g., composite		
	n: Annual Discipline Trend Repo	orts, Faculty and Staff	Climate
•	g, Summarizing and Utilizing	,/18	% of Total Points Earned.
	T I DIP C	I ID' ( ' )	
Mult	Jackson Public So i-Tiered Supports Imp		hecklist
Section			Points
Section 1: PBIS Four	ndations		
Section 2: Behaviora	l Expectations and Teaching 1	Procedures	
Section 3: Student ar	nd Staff Acknowledgment Sys	tems	
Section 4: Responding	ng to and Managing Problem	Behaviors	
	Systems and Settings		
Section 6: Non-Class			
	sment: Gathering, Summarizi Utilizing School Wide	_	
		Total Points	
	10.0	0/ 675	/ I.D. / . D
Total MTSIC Sco	ore =/90 = _	% of T	otal Points Earned
	Signatures		Date
PBIS Coordinator			
Counselor			
Interventionist			
Principal			