# Iowa School Report Card

Anamosa 2015-2016

# **Reporting Catagories**

Below are the cuts. It is important to note that all scores are calculated and reported to one-tenth of a percent. This means that calculations are rounded to the third decimal point (0.001 or 0.1%).

Category	High Schools	Middle Schools	Elementary Schools
Exceptional	75 and above	71 and above	79 and above
High performing	70-74.9	68-70.9	73-78.9
Commendable	65.4-69.9	64-67.9	67-72.9
Acceptable	60-65.3	57-63.9	61-66.9
Needs improvement	56.0-59.9	53-56.9	55-60.9
Priority	55.9 and below	52.9 and below	54.9 and below

<sup>\*</sup> Round all scores using accepted rules of rounding.

These cuts were based on the creation of a normal distribution by each school level when the 2016 results were released. All scores were rated and the cuts were made by standard deviations from the mean. The cut scores were not changed from 2016 to 2017.

# **Report Parameters**

Measure	Data Year(s)	High School	Middle School	Elementary
Proficiency (IA Assessment)	2014-2015 & 2015-2016	10 <sup>th</sup> and 11 <sup>th</sup>	5th, 6th, 7th, and 8th	3 <sup>rd</sup> and 4 <sup>th</sup>
Closing Achievement Gap (IA Assessment)	2015-2016	10 <sup>th</sup> and 11 <sup>th</sup>	5th, 6th, 7th, and 8th	3 <sup>rd</sup> and 4 <sup>th</sup>
College and Career Ready Growth (IA Assessment)	2014-2015 & 2015-2016	11th	5th, 6th, 7th, and 8th	4 <sup>th</sup>
Annual Expected Growth (IA Assessment)	2014-2015 & 2015-2016	11th	5 <sup>th</sup> , 6 <sup>th</sup> , 7 <sup>th</sup> , and 8 <sup>th</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>
College and Career Readiness (IA Assessment)	2014-2015 & 2015-2016	10 <sup>th</sup> and 11 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup> , 6 <sup>th</sup> , 7 <sup>th</sup> , and 8 <sup>th</sup>	N/A
Graduation Rate (SRI)	2012-2013 & 2013-2014	12 <sup>th</sup>	N/A	N/A
Attendance Rate Daily Attendance (SRI)	2013-2014 & 2014-2015	9th, 10th, 11th, 12th	5th, 6th, 7th, and 8th	3 <sup>rd</sup> and 4 <sup>th</sup>
Staff Retention (BEDS)	2014-2015 & 2015-2016			

The IA Assessment has been taken annually in October.

# Calculation Structure

Measure	Percentage/Points Possible	High School Weighting Factor	Middle School Weighting Factor	Elementary Weighting Factor
Proficiency (IA Assessment)	100	22.2%	25.0%	28.6%
Closing Achievement Gap (IA Assessment)	100*	22.2%	25.0%	28.6%
College and Career Ready Growth (IA Assessment)	100	11.1%	12.5%	14.3%
Annual Expected Growth (IA Assessment)	100	11.1%	12.5%	14.3%
College and Career Readiness (IA Assessment)	100	11.1%	12.5%	N/A
Graduation Rate (SRI)	100	11.1%	N/A	N/A
Attendance Rate Daily Attendance (SRI)	100	5.6%	6.3%	7.1%
Staff Retention (BEDS)	100	5.6%	6.3%	7.1%

<sup>\*</sup> Achieved through a T-Scale calculation to resolve a – or decrease in a gap.

#### **Grade Structures of Data** (Proficiency, Closing the Achievement Gap, College and Career Readiness) Strawberry Hill Report Card Anamosa Middle School Report Card 2016 2014-2015 2015-2016 2014-2015 2015-2016 Grade Grade Grade Grade 3rd 4th 3rd 5th 6th 7th 8th 5th 6th 7th 8th **Graduating Year Graduating Year Graduating Year Graduating Year** 2025 2022 2021 2020 2019 2023 2022 2021 2020 Anamosa High School Report Card 2016 2014-2015 2015-2016 Grade Grade 11th 10th 11th 10th **Graduating Year Graduating Year** 2017 2016 2018 2017

#### **Grade Structures of Data** (College and Career Ready Growth and Annual Expected Growth) Strawberry Hill Report Card Anamosa Middle School Report Card 2016 2016 2014-2015 2015-2016 2014-2015 2015-2016 Grade Grade Grade Grade 4th 4th 5th 6th 7th 8th 5th 6th 7th 8th **Graduating Year Graduating Year Graduating Year Graduating Year** 2023 2024 2022 2021 2020 2019 2023 2022 2021 2020 Anamosa High School Report Card 2016 2014-2015 2015-2016 Grade Grade 11th 11th **Graduating Year Graduating Year** 2016 2017

# Achievement Comparison - AHS


Measure	Anamosa	School A	School B	School C	School D
Ranking	Needs Improvement	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	High Performing
Score	58.3	64	60.3	64.9	73.1
Proficiency	79.8	79	77	88.5	94.1
Closing Achievement Gap	38.7	65.2	51.4	44.5	63.8
College and Career Ready Growth	29.6	28.6	28.9	39.4	40.4
Annual Expected Growth	41.4	42.7	44.6	49.8	44.2
College and Career Readiness	36.9	30.2	29.6	43.4	62.8
Graduation Rate	94.9	93.5	94.4	93.1	97.8
Attendance Rate	94.1	94.6	93.3	95.9	96.1
Staff Retention	75	88.6	89.5	88.5	94.2
FRL, IEP, ELL %	47.7	51.8	52.6	28.3	15.1

# Achievement Comparison - AMS

Measure	Anamosa	School A	School B	School C	School D
Ranking	Commendable	Needs Improvement	Acceptable	Acceptable	Commendable
Score	65.1	56.0	58.4	58.3	67.5
Proficiency	79.1	70.6	71.8	74.7	89.4
Closing Achievement Gap	56.2	49.6	54.8	45.1	49.9
College and Career Ready Growth	52.3	44.9	46.8	49.8	56.5
Annual Expected Growth	54.4	51.2	51.9	53	58.8
College and Career Readiness	39.4	25.7	27.1	31.4	48.8
Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Attendance Rate	95.7	94.1	94.3	95.9	95.9
Staff Retention	87.7	77.6	85.7	89.1	98.3
FRL, IEP, ELL %	44.2	59.4	58.6	33.9	17.6
Grades	5th-8th	6 <sup>th</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup>	5th-8th	5th - 8th	5th_8th

# Achievement Comparison – Strawberry Hill

Measure	Anamosa	School A	School B	School C	School D
Ranking	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	High Performing	Commendable
Score	62.1	66.1	64.8	77.2	70.0
Proficiency	75.1	74.1	76.1	82.9	89.3
Closing Achievement Gap	36.6	56.2	54.7	79.5	52.9
College and Career Ready Growth	59.7	53.5	51	59.9	55
Annual Expected Growth	59.7	55.3	52.3	59.9	55.2
College and Career Readiness	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Attendance Rate	95.9	95.1	94.7	96.5	96
Staff Retention	88.5	90.1	83.5	94.3	95.7
FRL, IEP, ELL %	52	57.7	66.8	33.1	20.1
Grades	Kdg-4 <sup>th</sup>	3rd-5th	Kdg-4 <sup>th</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup> -4 <sup>th</sup>	Kdg-4 <sup>th</sup>




## Reflections

- Districtwide students perform better in math than reading
- · Middle school meets or exceeds almost all state average growth indicators
- 6<sup>th</sup> grade reading results continue to indicate challenges of curriculum and programming. With IA Assessment taken in October, this is a greater reflection of 5<sup>th</sup> grade curriculum & programming.
- Students not identified with instructional challenges of FRL, ELL and/or an IEP demonstrate stable proficiency levels of 86% (SH), 87% (AMS) and 90%(AHS)
- The district remains challenged to meet the learning needs of students with identified instructional challenges such as FRL, ELL and IEP's
- The district's instructional system is not ensuring consistency of learning in a grade across time.

# Steps to Improvement

- Align instruction to required and or recognized standards/learning expectations
- Implement multi-tiered support systems (Intervention Systems)
- Design and implement professional learning systems based in PLC
- Develop teacher leadership
- · Develop teacher/administrative capacity



#### Overall Rating: How is a school's overall rating calculated?

#### Overview

The **lowa's School Report Card (SRC)** is comprised of multiple measures which are combined to determine an overall performance rating. Iowa Schools are categorized into one of six performance categories: *Exceptional, High Performing, Commendable, Acceptable, Needs Improvement* and *Priority.* The **SRC** includes eight measures:

- · Academic Proficiency
- · Closing the Achievement Gap
- · Annual Growth
- · College and Career Ready Growth
- · On-Track for College Readiness
- Graduation Rate
- · Average Daily Attendance
- · Staff Retention

Each of the measures has a value between 0 and 100 and is then multiplied by a weight amount, producing a score for each measure. The weighting is a value assigned to each indicator. The score for each measure is determined—and then all measures are added together to create an overall score.

Of the eight measures included in the SRC, all but one is a percentage which ranges from 0 to 100. For example, an elementary school which has annual growth rate of 60% has a majority of students making year-to-year progress in both Reading and Math. In this example, the natural score of 60% would be used in the calculation to determine the score for this measure. This is important because any improvement in this measure in future years would be reflected in an increase in the overall score for this school.

A school's annual growth score would be multiplied by the weighting percent for that measure to get the overall score. In this example, this elementary school would receive **8.58 points** for the annual growth measure.

Growth score multiplied by weighting value equals total points: 60 x .143 = 8.58 points.

The only exception to the **0** to **100** score is the *Closing the Achievement Gap* score. More detailed information about this score can be found in the technical guide listed under the More Information section of this website.

The below breakdown shows the final weight for each measure at each school level:

Measures	High School	Middle School	Elementary School
Proficiency	22.2%	25.0%	28.6%
Closing Achievement Gap	22.2%	25.0%	28.6%
College and Career Ready Growth	11.1%	12.5%	14.3%
Annual Expected Growth	11.1%	12.5%	14.3%
College and Career Readiness	11.1%	12.5%	NA
Graduation Rate	11.1%	NA	NA
Attendance	5.6%	6.3%	7.1%
Staff Retention	5.6%	6.3%	7.1%
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Interpreting Scores

An overall school rating does not provide contextual information about a school nor does it make a conclusion about the quality of the staff or provide important information about ongoing work to raise student achievement. The report card should create a constructive dialog between educators, administrators and parents about the work that is currently under way in the school to support all students in achieving their full potential.

While the report card may not "tell the whole story" about a school, it does offer a high level view of student performance across a number of measures. A composite score is generated from multiple years of data which depicts a stable picture of performance across time. Consumers can use these data to compare a school against the state average and to see if the information provides any trends in performance. Schools can use this information to assist in developing achievement goals and to guide their improvement efforts.



# Anamosa High School Grant Wood I Anamosa Community I High School

Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12

2016

## Demographics Contact information and student counts by sub-group



Principal: Jacqueline Lahey

Address: 209 Sadle St Anamosa, Iowa Phone: Fax:

Email:


(319) 462-3594

(319) 462-2332

jlahey@anamosa.k12.la.us

www.anamosa.k12.la.us Website:










Grant Wood I Anamosa Community I High School

Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12 | Total Students: 384

Expand all






#### Anamosa High School Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | High School

Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12 | Total Students: 382

Expand all





# Anamosa High School Grant Wood I Anamosa Community I High School

Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12 | Total Students: 384

# Proficiency What percent of students meet or exceed proficiency targets?

#### Report Card Proficiency


Combined Mat	h & Deading		
2015 - 2016	79.8%	Q	
		Q	
2016	77.3%	•	
2015	82.3%		
2016		Q	
Math	76.7%	•	
Reading	77.9%		


	0	
84.6%	0	
80%	<b>-</b>	
		84.6% Q


#### Proficiency Year-to-Year Comparison


Combined		0	
2016	77.3%	0	c 1
2015	82.3%	(A)	-5♥
Math		Q	
2016	76.7%	•	-7.9↓
2015	84.6%	10 <b>.</b>	


Reading		0	
2016	77.9%	0	0.4.1
2015	80%		-2.1 ♥






















Grant Wood I Anamosa Community I High School Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12

#### Closing Achievement Gap (FRL, IEP and ELL)


Is progress being made in closing the achievement gap between students that traditionally lag in achievement, compared to the rest of the school?

Achievement gap in education refers to the disparity in academic performance between groups of students. Iowa faces significant gaps in achievement for students who are part of these groups:

- · individualized education program (IEP)
- · students whose first language in not English (ELL)
- · students who face economic hardship (lowa uses free or reduced priced lunch FRL eligibility as a proximate measure for economic diversity)

For this measure, an aggregate group of these students who are FRL, IEP and/or ELL is compared to the rest of the students in this school who are not eligible for FRL, do not have an IEP and are not English language learners (ELL).


The combined Math and Reading proficiency is compared for the last three most recent years.



FRL, IEP and ELL	Percentage	Count
FRL Only	33.7%	58
IEP Only	7.6%	13
IEP and FRL	6.4%	11
Total:	47.7%	82

Non-FRL, IEP and ELL	Percentage	Count
Not-ELL, and not-FRL, and not-IEP	52.3%	90
Total:	52.3%	90

Year	Groups	Proficiency Percentage	Achievement Gap	Closing Gap	Average Closing Gap	Closing Gap Score (T-Score)
2016	FRL, IEP and ELL Not FRL, IEP or ELL	62.8% - 90.6%	27.8	5.6		
2015	FRL, IEP and ELL Not FRL, IEP or ELL	70.7% 92.9%	22.2	4.9	5.2	38.7
2014	FRL, IEP and ELL Not FRL, IEP or ELL	70.5% 87.7%	17.3	4.5		





Grant Wood I Anamosa Community I High School Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12 I Total Students: 384 2016

# College and Career Ready Growth What is the percent of students who are growing each year toward college and career readiness?

Report Card College and Career Ready Growth

Combined Mat	h & Reagling	
2015 - 2016	29.6%	
2016	29.9%	
2015	29.3%	

2016	•	
Math	45.5%	
Reading	14.3%	

2015	Q	
Math	38% o	
Reading	20.7%	


#### College and Career Ready Growth Year-to-Year Comparison


Combined	7.707.007.003.10	0	
2016	29.9%	•	
2015	29.3%	1.50	0.6 <b>1</b>
Math		9	
2016	45.5%	0	754


Reading		o ·	
2016	14.3%	•	0.41
2015	20.7%		-6.4 ♣

2015


38%






















Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | High School Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12 | Total Students: 384


#### Annual Expected Growth What is the percent of students achieving a year of academic growth in a year's time?


#### Report Card Annual Expected Growth


Combined Mat		
2015 - 2016	41.4% 0	
2016	42.2%	
2015	40.8%	
2016	Q	
Math	59.7%	
Reading	24.7%	
2015	0	
Math	56.5% o	
Reading	25%	


#### Annual Expected Growth Year-to-Year Comparison


Combined		0	
2016	42.2%	•	1.4 1
2015	40.8%	*	1.41
Math		•	
2016	59.7%	•	3.21
2015	56.5%		
Reading		•	
2016	24.7%	•	-0.3↓
2015	25%		0.0 ¥

























#### Anamosa High School Grant Wood I Anamosa Community I High School


Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12 | Total Students: 384


#### College and Career Readiness What is the percent of students that are at or above a college readiness benchmark?


Combined Mat	h & Reading	
2015 - 2016	36.9%	
2016	36.3%	
2015	37.6%	
2016	Q	
Math	♦0.4%	
Reading	32.2%	
2015	0	
Math	43.9%	
Reading	31.2%	


#### College and Career Readiness Year-to-Year Comparison



























Grant Wood I Anamosa Community I High School Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12

## Graduation Rate What percent of students complete high school in five-years?











Grant Wood I Anamosa Community I High School Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12

# Attendance What is the average daily attendance of students?











# Anamosa High School Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | High School

Grades: 09, 10, 11, 12

# Staff Retention What percentage of licensed staff are retained?







Grant Wood I Anamosa Community I Middle School Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08

## Demographics Contact information and student counts by sub-group

#### School Information

Principal: Linda Vaughn

Address: 410 Old Dubuque Road


Anamosa, Iowa

Phone: (319) 462-3553 Fax: (319) 462-3309

Email: Ivaughn@anamosa.k12.la.us

Website:








Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Middle School Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08 | Total Students: 386

Expand all






Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Middle School

Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08 | Total Students: 392

Expand all





Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Middle School Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08 | Total Students: 392


## Proficiency What percent of students meet or exceed proficiency targets?


#### Report Card Proficiency


Combined Mat	h & Reading	Q
2015 - 2016	79.1%	•
2016	78.3%	0
2015	79.9%	, 
2016		Q .
Math	84%	Q
Reading	72.6%	
2015		•
Math	85.2%	0
Reading	74.7%	


#### Proficiency Year-to-Year Comparison


Combined		0	
2016	78.3%	9	-1.6↓
2015	79.9%		-1.0▼
Math		0	
2016	84%	•	-1.2↓
2015	85.2%	•	arease.
Reading		Q	
2016	72.6%	•	-2.1↓
2015	74.7%		-2.1 ▼






















Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Middle School Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08

#### Closing Achievement Gap (FRL, IEP and ELL)


Is progress being made in closing the achievement gap between students that traditionally lag in achievement, compared to the rest of the school?

Achievement gap in education refers to the disparity in academic performance between groups of students. Iowa faces significant gaps in achievement for students who are part of these groups:


- · individualized education program (IEP)
- · students whose first language in not English (ELL)
- students who face economic hardship (lowa uses free or reduced priced lunch FRL eligibility as a proximate measure for economic diversity)

For this measure, an aggregate group of these students who are FRL, IEP and/or ELL is compared to the rest of the students in this school who are not eligible for FRL, do not have an IEP and are not English language learners (ELL).

The combined Math and Reading proficiency is compared for the last three most recent years.



Year	Groups	Proficiency Percentage	Achievement Gap	Closing Gap	Average Closing Gap	Closing Gap Score (T-Score)
2016	FRL, IEP and ELL Not FRL, IEP or ELI	66.8% - 87.5%	20.7	5.2		
2015	FRL, IEP and ELL Not FRL, IEP or ELL	72% - 87.6%	15.5	-9.1	-2	56.2
2014	FRL, IEP and ELL Not FRL, IEP or ELL	65.7% - 90.3%	24.6			






Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Middle School Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08

## **Closing Achievement Gap**


#### (Minority students within school comparison)

Is progress being made in closing the achievement gap between minority and white students within this school?

Achievement gap in education refers to the disparity in academic performance between groups of students. Here, the difference in academic achievement is examined by comparing this school's minority student proficiency to that of white students within the school. The aggregate combined Math and Reading proficiency scores of this school's minority students are compared to the aggregate combined Math and Reading proficiency scores of white students.



Year	Groups	Proficiency Percentage	Achievement Gap	Closing Gap	Average Closing Gap	Closing Gap Score (T-Score)
2016	Minority Students White Students	83.3% 78%	-5.3	4		
2015	Minority Students White Students	88.6% 79.3%	-9.3	-13	-4.5	60.3
2014	Minority Students White Students	75.9% 79.7%	3.7	.0		



Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Middle School Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08

2016

#### Closing Achievement Gap


(Minority students to state average)

The achievement gap in education refers to the disparity in academic performance between groups of students. Here, the difference in academic achievement is examined by race/ethnicity within this school compared to a statewide target. An aggregate of combined Math and Reading proficiency of the minority students in this school are compared to the statewide Math and Reading proficiency of white students.

Minority Student Breakdown	Percentage	Co	unt
Asian	2.3%	8	Γ
Black or African American	0.6%	2	1
Hispanic or Latino	1.1%	4	1
Multi Race	2%	7	1
Total	6.0%		21

Year	Groups	Proficiency Percentage	Achievement Gap	Closing Gap	Average Closing Gap	Closing Gap Score (T-Score)
2016	Minority Students	83.3%	-0.4			
20.0	State Average	83%		4.9		
2015	Minority Students	88.6%	-5.3		-3.8	77
2013	State Average	83.3%	100000	-12.4	0.0	
2014	Minority Students	75.9%	7.1	100000		
2014	State Average	83.1%	7.1			

Is progress being made in closing the achievement gap between this school's minority students and the state average?





Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Middle School Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08 | Total Students: 392


### College and Career Ready Growth What is the percent of students who are growing each year toward college and career readiness?


Report Card College and Career Ready Growth


Combined Mat	h & Reading	
2015 - 2016	52.3%	
2016	52.4% <sub>o</sub>	
2015	52.2%	
2016	Q .	
Math	55.5%	
Reading	49.4%	
2015	0	
Math	56.6%	
Reading	47.8%	


College and	Career	Ready	Growth	Year-to-Year
	Co	omparis	son	


Combined		0	
2016	52.4%	•	
2015	52.2%		0.21
Math		0	
2016	55.5%	•	-1.1√
2015	56.6%	: <b>*</b> *	
Reading		0	
2016	49.4%	•	464
2015	47.8%		1.6 <b>1</b>






















Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Middle School Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08 | Total Students: 392


## Annual Expected Growth What is the percent of students achieving a year of academic growth in a year's time?


#### Report Card Annual Expected Growth


Combined Mat		
2015 - 2016	54.4% o	
2016	54%	
2015	54.8%	
2016	•	
Math	56.6%	
Reading	51.4%	
2015	0	
Math	57.8% 0	
Reading	51.9%	


#### Annual Expected Growth Year-to-Year Comparison


2016 2015	54% 54.8%	o o	-0.84
Math		Ŷ	
2016	56.6%	9	-1.2 √
2015	57.8%	180	
Reading	9	)	
2016	51.4%	•	-0.5 ♣
2015	51.9%	811-11-11-11-11	-0.5▼





















College and Career Ready Growth What is the percent of students who are growing each year toward college and career readiness?


Report Card College and Career Ready Growth


Combined Mat	h & Reading	
2015 - 2016	52.3%	
2016	52.4% <sub>o</sub>	
2015	52.2%	
2016	· ·	
Math	55.5%	
Reading	49.4%	
2015	0	
Math	56.6%	
Reading	47.8%	


College and	Career	Ready	Growth	Year-to-Year
	_			


	CO	inparison
Combined	Q	
2016	52.4%	0.04
2015	52.2%	0.21
Math	0	
2016	55.5%	-1.1 <b>↓</b>
2015	56.6%	
Reading	0	
2016	49.4%	4.04
2015	47.8%	1.6 <b>1</b>
























Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Middle School Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08

## Attendance What is the average daily attendance of students?









Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Middle School Grades: 05, 06, 07, 08

Staff Retention what percentage of licensed staff are retained?










Grant Wood I Anamosa Community I Elementary School Grades: KG, 01, 02, 03, 04

## Demographics Contact information and student counts by sub-group








Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Elementary School Grades: KG, 01, 02, 03, 04 | Total Students: 444

Expand all








Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Elementary School Grades: KG, 01, 02, 03, 04 | Total Students: 441

Expand all





Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Elementary School Grades: KG, 01, 02, 03, 04 | Total Students: 441

## Proficiency What percent of students meet or exceed proficiency targets?


#### Report Card Proficiency


Combined Mat	h & Reading	0	
2015 - 2016	75.1%	•	
2016	73%	•	
2015	77.1%	**>	
2016		Q	
Math	72.7%	Q	
Reading	73.3%		


Proficiency	Year-to-Year	Comparison
-------------	--------------	------------


Combined		9	
2016	73%	•	-4.1
2015	77.1%		-4.13
Math		•	
2016	72.7%	•	-5.4
2015	78.1%		
Reading		Q	
2016	73.3%	•	-2.8◀
2015	76.1%		-2.0

























Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Elementary School Grades: KG, 01, 02, 03, 04

### Closing Achievement Gap (FRL, IEP and ELL)


Is progress being made in closing the achievement gap between students that traditionally lag in achievement, compared to the rest of the school?

Achievement gap in education refers to the disparity in academic performance between groups of students. Iowa faces significant gaps in achievement for students who are part of these groups:

- · individualized education program (IEP)
- · students whose first language in not English (ELL)
- students who face economic hardship (lowa uses free or reduced priced lunch FRL eligibility as a proximate measure for economic diversity)

For this measure, an aggregate group of these students who are FRL, IEP and/or ELL is compared to the rest of the students in this school who are not eligible for FRL, do not have an IEP and are not English language learners (ELL).


The combined Math and Reading proficiency is compared for the last three most recent years.



FRL, IEP and ELL	Percentage	Count	
FRL Only	40%	60	
IEP Only	4%	6	
ELL and FRL	1.3%	2	
IEP and FRL	6.7%	10	
Total:	52.0%	7	

Non-FRL, IEP and ELL	Percentage	Count
Not-ELL, and not-FRL, and not-IEP	48%	72
Total:	48.0%	72

Year	Groups Proficiency Percentage	Achievement Gap	Closing Gap	Average Closing Gap	Closing Gap Score (T-Score)
2016	FRL, IEP and ELL 60.9% Not FRL, IEP or ELL 86.1%	25.2	7.2		
2015	FRL, IEP and ELL 68.2% Not FRL, IEP or ELL 86.3%	18	5	6.1	36.6
2014	FRL, IEP and ELL 69.4% Not FRL, IEP or ELL 82.3%	13			







Reading

66.7%

## Strawberry Hill

Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Elementary School Grades: KG, 01, 02, 03, 04 | Total Students: 441


## College and Career Ready Growth What is the percent of students who are growing each year toward college and career readiness?


Report Card College and Career Ready Growth


Combined Mat	h & Reading	
2015 - 2016	59.7%	
2016	52% 0	
2015	67.3%	
2016	Q.	
Math	49.3%	
Reading	54.7%	
2015	0	
Math	68% o	


College and Career Ready Growth Year-to-Year Comparison


Combined	V.000000	0	
2016	52%	•	-15.3 ♣
2015	67.3%	10.00	-15.3♥
Math		Q	
2016	49.3%	•	-18.7↓
2015	68%	<b></b>	51553 W
Reading		0	
2016	54.7%	•	40.1
2015	66.7%	55	-12↓






















12



Strawberry Hill
Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Elementary School Grades: KG, 01, 02, 03, 04 | Total Students: 441

## Annual Expected Growth What is the percent of students achieving a year of academic growth in a year's time?

2016

2015

54.7%


66.7%

#### Report Card Annual Expected Growth


Combined Mat	h & Readi <b>n</b> g	
2015 - 2016	59.7% o	
2016	52%	
2015	67.3%	
2016	Q	
Math	49.3%	
Reading	54.7%	


2015	Q	
Math	68%	
Reading	66.7%	


#### Annual Expected Growth Year-to-Year Comparison





Q
























Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Elementary School Grades: KG, 01, 02, 03, 04 2016

## Attendance What is the average daily attendance of students?







Grant Wood | Anamosa Community | Elementary School Grades: KG, 01, 02, 03, 04

# Staff Retention What percentage of licensed staff are retained?

