

**Greater Jasper Consolidated Schools
Teacher Evaluation Plan
2024-2025**



Greater Jasper
CONSOLIDATED SCHOOLS

GJCS Teacher Evaluation Plan

Evaluation Overview

The purpose of teacher evaluation is to increase teacher effectiveness, recognize teaching quality, and ensure that all students have access to great teachers. All GJCS certified staff including teachers, administrators and counselors will be evaluated annually. GJCS will utilize multiple sources of information to accurately define a teacher's overall performance.

Evaluation Plan Discussion

The teacher evaluation plan will be presented to the GJCS Board Trustees for annual review and approval.

Evaluators

All GJCS evaluators will receive appropriate training in observation techniques and collecting and analyzing evidence of teacher effectiveness. Evaluators include members of the administrative team, i.e. superintendent, assistant superintendent, principal, assistant principal. Administrators become an evaluator once assigned administrative duties and responsibilities, as well as receiving the appropriate training. Additional training will be provided as needed. Teachers are not considered evaluators under this plan.

Components

Teachers will be evaluated in writing on their performance in the following two categories:

1. Professional Practice – The GJCS Teacher Effectiveness Rubric focuses the evaluation of a teachers' primary responsibility: engaging students in rigorous academic content so that students learn and achieve, as well as evaluating the effectiveness of instruction through observable actions in the classroom.
2. Student Learning – Student academic growth and achievement is indicative of the quality of learning experiences provided by teachers and will be assessed through multiple measures.

Timeline

August – September: Beginning of Year Conferences

August – December: Long Observation (30 Minutes)

November – February: Optional Mid-year Conference

January – May: Long Observation (30 Minutes)

August – May: Short Observation (10 Minutes) - Optional

May – July: Completion of Teacher Effectiveness Rubric and Summative Evaluation

Final Ratings: End-of-Year Summative Rating Conference – Documentation of final evaluation rating provided within 7 days of conference

Performance Level Ratings

Annually, teachers will receive a rating in one of four performance levels:

Highly Effective: A highly effective teacher **consistently exceeds** expectations.

Effective: An effective teacher **consistently meets** expectations.

Improvement Necessary: A teacher rated improvement necessary **requires a change in performance** to meet expectations.

Ineffective: An ineffective teacher **consistently fails** to meet expectations.

Professional Development Plan

A professional development plan supports teachers who strive to improve performance. Teachers in jeopardy of or receiving an Improvement Necessary or Ineffective summative rating will be placed on a professional plan of improvement. This also serves as the remediation plan specified in Senate Enrolled Act 1. Each plan will consist of professional development goals and clear action steps for how each goal will be met.

Following the Ineffective or Improvement Necessary rating, teachers will work with the administrator to set goals for the beginning of the academic year. These goals will be monitored and may be revised as necessary. Professional development goals should be directly tied to areas of improvement within the GJCS Teacher Effectiveness Rubric.

Teachers will have 90 school days to meet the goals of the professional development plan. The teacher must utilize license renewal credits as part of the plan.

Teachers will be required to provide evidence of meeting goals and action steps to the evaluator within the documented timeframe. The evaluator will through observation and conferencing provide feedback to the teacher regarding progress toward reaching goals. Additional goals and action steps may be developed as needed to support teacher effectiveness.

Evaluation Process

Teachers will be evaluated through a combination of teacher effectiveness (Teacher Effectiveness Rubric) and student performance.

- The evaluator will convene a beginning of year conference with each teacher to review the evaluation process, and to establish student learning objectives and measures. Teachers being placed on a professional development plan will collaborate with the evaluator to establish goals and actions.
- Evidence of teacher effectiveness will be collected via the Teacher Effectiveness Rubric with feedback and optional conferencing. The following table outlines the minimum requirement for observations to be made by the evaluator for each teacher:

Teacher Experience/Evaluation Level	Observation Type	Minimum Length (Minutes)	Frequency	Pre-Conference	Post-Conference	Written Feedback	Announced
0-2 Years of Experience	Extended	30 Minutes	3/Year At least 1 in first semester	Optional	Yes	Within 7 days	At least 1

Improvement Necessary or Ineffective	Short	10 Minutes	Optional	No	Optional	Optional	No
3+ Years of Experience	Extended	30 Minutes	1/year	Optional	Yes	Within 7 days	No
	Short	10 Minutes	Optional	No	Optional	Optional	No

*Additional extended or short observations may be requested by the teacher or at the discretion of the evaluator.

- Mid-year conferences will be held at the request of the teacher or discretion of the evaluator to discuss progress. The mid-year conference will be mandatory for any teachers on a professional development plan or in jeopardy of receiving an Improvement Necessary or Ineffective rating.
- Summative conferences will be held with all teachers to review the overall final evaluation rating.

GJCS Teacher Effectiveness Rating Rubric Scoring

1. Proficiency in professional practice will be assessed by the evaluator through a compilation of ratings and notes from observations, conferences, and other sources of information. Teachers may provide additional evidence of planning, instruction, and leadership necessary to support an overall rating that appropriately reflects the teacher's performance.
2. The evaluator will use professional judgment to establish three final ratings in Planning, Instruction, and Leadership. Teachers will be assigned a rating in every competency on the rubric. Professional judgment will then be used to assign a rating for each domain. Professional judgment is important for deciding which competencies matter the most for teachers in different contexts. These competency and domain ratings will be discussed during the summative conference.

Example

Competency	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.5	Professional Judgment	Final Domain 1 Rating
Teacher's Rating	3	2	2	3	3		3

3. The evaluator will use the established weights to roll-up the three domain ratings into one rating for Domains 1-3. Good instruction and classroom environment matter more than anything else a teacher can do to improve student outcomes. Therefore the Instruction Domain is weighted significantly more than Planning and Leadership.

Domain Weights

	Weight
Domain 1: Planning	15%
Domain 2: Instruction	70%
Domain 3: Leadership	15%

4. Core Professionalism will be incorporated. Core professionalism is a non-negotiable aspect of the teaching profession; attendance, on-time arrival, policies and procedures, and respect. The evaluator uses available information and professional judgment to decide if a teacher has not met the standards for any of the four indicators. The final summative rating does not change for a teacher who has met all core professionalism indicators. The final summative rating will have 1 point deducted if a teacher did not meet at least one of the four core professionalism indicators.
5. The lowest score a teacher can receive on the GJCS Teacher Effectiveness Rubric is 1.
6. GJCS students may not be instructed for 2 consecutive years by 2 consecutive Ineffectively rated teachers. School administrators will monitor teacher final summative evaluation ratings to ensure placement of students in classes or course is not with teachers who have received two consecutive “Ineffective” ratings. Teachers with two consecutive ineffective rating may be recommended for dismissal.
7. Teachers will be required to set a professional goal to be discussed as part of their formal evaluation process. This goal will not be rated as part of the evaluation process, rather a means to support the teachers as they strive to improve personally identified ways to grow professionally.

Summative Rating

The final summative rating for GJCS teachers will be based on the results of the GJCS Teacher Effectiveness Rubric **and** student academic progress through, the School-wide Learning Measure (SWL).

Weighted ratings are as follows:

Teacher Effectiveness Rubric (TER)	85%
School-wide Learning Measure (SWL)	15%

The final summative score is calculated by applying the appropriate weights for each rating. To get the final weighted score, sum the weighted scores from each component.

Domain	Rating (1-4)	Weight	Weighted Rating (Rating x Weight)
Teacher Effectiveness Rubric (TER)		85%	
School-wide Learning Measure (SWL)		15%	
Final Summative Score (Sum of Weighted Ratings)			

The final weighted score is translated into a rating on the following scale:

Ineffective	Improvement Necessary	Effective	Highly Effective
1.0	1.75	2.5	3.5
			4.0

*Borderline points always round up.

Evaluators will meet with teachers in a summative conference to discuss all the information collected in addition to the final rating. Written documentation of the final evaluation rating will be provided within 7 school days of the summative conference.

*A teacher whose performance negatively affects student achievement and growth on State and/or local assessments cannot receive a rating of Highly Effective or Effective on the final summative evaluation rating.

Right of the Teacher to Appeal

A teacher who received a rating of “Ineffective” may file a request for a private conference with the superintendent not later than 5 days after receiving notice of the Ineffective rating.

Teacher Remediation Plan

If a teacher receives a rating of ineffective or improvement necessary, the evaluator and the teacher shall develop a remediation plan of not more than 90 school days in length to correct the deficiencies noted in the evaluation. The remediation plan must require the use of the teacher’s license renewal credits in professional development activities intended to help the teacher improve.

Appeal

A teacher who receives a rating of ineffective may file a request for a private conference with the superintendent not later than 5 days after receiving notice that the teacher received a rating of ineffective. The teacher is entitled to a private conference with the superintendent.

IDOE Reporting

Before August 1, 2023 the school corporation shall provide the results of the teacher performance evaluations including the number of teachers placed in each performance category to the IDOE. The results are aggregate in nature and will not include the names of teachers.

Compensation

A teachers rated ineffective or improvement necessary will not receive any pay raise for the following year if the teachers' employment contract is continued.

Teacher Appreciation Grant

Teacher Appreciation Grant bonuses will be awarded to all teacher rated highly effective and effective. Per IC 20-43-10-3.5, teachers rate highly effective will receive an amount 25% greater than those rate effective. All Teacher Appreciation Grant stipends will be calculated at the district level. Teacher Appreciation Grant stipends are one-time awards and are not added to the base salary.

Teacher Categories

1. Probationary Teacher – A teacher who receives two (2) consecutive ratings of ineffective on the annual evaluation; or is in the first or second year of full-time teaching.
2. Establish Teacher – A teacher who serves under contract before July 1, 2012 and enter into another contract before July 1, 2012.
3. Professional Teacher – A teacher who receives a rating of effective or highly effective for at least 3 years in a 5-year (or shorter) period. A professional teacher becomes probationary if he/she receives a rating of ineffective or 2 consecutive ratings of improvement necessary.

Contract Cancellation (IC 20-28-7.5-1)

A contract with a teacher may be canceled immediately for any of the following reasons:

- Immorality
- Insubordination
- Incompetence
 - Two (2) consecutive years of ineffective ratings; or
 - Ineffective or improvement necessary in three (3) years of any 5-year period
- Neglect of duty
- Felony Convictions
- Other good and just cause.
- Justifiable decrease in positions - After June 20, 2012 and each year following, RIF in positions must be based on performance not seniority
- In addition, a probationary teachers' contract may be canceled for any reason relevant to the school corporation's interest.

Optional Pre-Observation Form - Teacher

Note: This form may be used in conjunction with a pre-conference, but can also be exchanged without a pre-conference prior to the observation.

SCHOOL: _____ OBSERVER: _____

TEACHER: _____ GRADE/SUBJECT: _____

DATE AND PERIOD OF SCHEDULED OBSERVATION: _____

Dear Teacher,

In preparation for your formal observation, please answer the questions below and attach any requested material.

- 1) What learning objectives or standards will you target during this class?

- 2) How will you know if students are mastering/have mastered the objective?

- 3) Is there anything you would like me to know about this class in particular?

- 4) Are there any skills or new practices you have been working on that I should look for?

Please attach the following items for review prior to your scheduled observation:

Optional Post-Observation Form - Evaluators

Instructions: The primary post-observation document should simply be a copy of the observation notes taken in the classroom. This form is designed to summarize and supplement the notes.

SCHOOL: _____

OBSERVER: _____

TEACHER: _____

GRADE/SUBJECT: _____

DATE OF OBSERVATION: _____

START TIME: _____

END TIME: _____

Domain 2: Areas of Strength Observed in the Classroom (identify specific competencies):

Domain 2: Areas for Improvement Observed in the Classroom (identify specific competencies):

Domain 1: Analysis of information (including strengths and weaknesses) in Planning:

Domain 3: Analysis of information (including strengths and weaknesses) in Leadership:

Action Steps for Teacher Areas of Improvement:

This section should be written by the teacher and evaluator during the post-conference.

Optional Post-Observation Form – Teacher

SCHOOL: _____

OBSERVER: _____

TEACHER: _____

GRADE/SUBJECT: _____

DATE OF OBSERVATION: _____

START TIME: _____

END TIME: _____

Dear Teacher,

In preparation for our post-conference, please complete this questionnaire and bring it with you when we meet. Your honesty is appreciated and will help us to have a productive conversation about your performance and areas for improvement.

- 1) How do you think the lesson went? What went well and what didn't go so well?

- 2) Did you accomplish all that you wanted to in terms of students mastering the objectives of the lesson? If not, why do you think it did not go as planned?

- 3) If you were to teach this lesson again, what would you do differently?

- 4) Did the results of this lesson influence or change your planning for future lessons?

Optional Mid-Year Professional Practice Check-In Form

SCHOOL: _____

SUMMATIVE EVALUATOR: _____

TEACHER: _____

GRADE/SUBJECT: _____

DATE: _____

Note: Mid-year check-in conferences are optional for any teacher without a professional development plan, but can be helpful for evaluators to assess what information still needs to be collected, and for teachers to understand how they are performing thus far. It should be understood that the mid-year rating is only an assessment of the first part of the year and does not necessarily correspond to the end-of-year rating. If there has not yet been enough information to give a mid-year rating, circle N/A.

Number of Formal Observations Prior to Mid-Year Check-in: _____

Number of Informal Observations Prior to Mid-Year Check-in: _____

Domain 1: Planning	Mid-Year Assessment of Domain 1
1.1 Utilize Assessment Data to Plan 1.2 Set Ambitious and Measurable 1.3 Achievement Goals 1.4 Develop Standards-Based Unit Plans and Assessments 1.5 Create Objective-Driven Lesson Plans and Assessments 1.6 Track Student Data and Analyze Progress	
Mid-Year Rating (Circle One)	4 – High. Eff. 3 – Eff. 2- Improv. Nec 1 – Ineff. N/A

Domain 2: Instruction	Mid-Year Assessment of Domain 2
2.1 Develop Student Understanding and Mastery of Lesson Objectives	
Mid-Year Rating (Circle One)	4 – High. Eff. 3 – Eff. 2- Improv. Nec 1 – Ineff. N/A
2.2 Demonstrate and Clearly Communicate Content Knowledge to Students	
Mid-Year Rating (Circle One)	4 – High. Eff. 3 – Eff. 2- Improv. Nec 1 – Ineff. N/A
2.3 Engage Students in Academic Content	
Mid-Year Rating (Circle One)	4 – High. Eff. 3 – Eff. 2- Improv. Nec 1 – Ineff. N/A

2.4 Check for Understanding	
Mid-Year Rating (Circle One)	4 – High. Eff. 3 – Eff. 2- Improv. Nec 1 – Ineff. N/A
2.5 Modify Instruction as Needed	
Mid-Year Rating (Circle One)	4 – High. Eff. 3 – Eff. 2- Improv. Nec 1 – Ineff. N/A
2.6 Develop Higher Level Understanding Through Rigorous Instruction and Work	
Mid-Year Rating (Circle One)	4 – High. Eff. 3 – Eff. 2- Improv. Nec 1 – Ineff. N/A

2.7 Maximize Instructional Time	
Mid-Year Rating (Circle One)	4 – High. Eff. 3 – Eff. 2- Improv. Nec 1 – Ineff. N/A
2.8 Create Classroom Culture of Respect and Collaboration	
Mid-Year Rating (Circle One)	4 – High. Eff. 3 – Eff. 2- Improv. Nec 1 – Ineff. N/A
2.9 Set High Expectations for Academic Success	
Mid-Year Rating (Circle One)	4 – High. Eff. 3 – Eff. 2- Improv. Nec 1 – Ineff. N/A

Domain 3: Leadership	Mid-Year Assessment of Domain 3
3.1 Contribute to School Culture 3.2 Collaborate with Peers 3.3 Seek Professional Skills and Knowledge 3.4 Advocate for Student Success 3.5 Engage Families in Student Learning	
Mid-Year Rating (Circle One)	4 – High. Eff. 3 – Eff. 2- Improv. Nec 1 – Ineff. N/A
Domain 4: Professionalism	Mid-Year Assessment of Domain 4
1. Attendance 2. On-Time Arrival 3. Policies and Procedures 4. Respect	
Mid-Year Rating (Circle One)	Meets Standards Does Not Meet Standards

Optional Professional Development Plan

Using relevant student learning data, evaluation feedback and previous professional development, establish at least 3 areas of professional growth below. Each of your goals is important but you should rank your goals in order of priority. Goals must be achieved within 90 school days.

Goal	Achieved?
1.	
2.	
3.	

Name:			
School:			
Grade:		Subject	
Date Developed:		Date Revised:	
Primary Evaluator Approval	X	Teacher Approval	X

Optional Summative Rating Form

SCHOOL: _____

SUMMATIVE EVALUATOR: _____

TEACHER: _____

GRADE/SUBJECT: _____

DATE: _____

Note: Prior to the summative conference, evaluators should complete this form based on information collected and assessed throughout the year. A copy should be given to the teacher for discussion during the summative conference. For more information on the Student Learning Objectives component of this form, see the Student Learning Objectives Handbook.

Teacher Effectiveness Rubric Scoring

Number of Formal Observations: _____

Number of Informal Observations: _____

Domain 1: Planning	Competency Rating	Final Assessment of Domain 1
1.1 Utilize Assessment Data to Plan	1.1: _____	
1.2 Set Ambitious and Measurable Achievement Goals	1.2: _____	
1.3 Develop Standards-Based Unit Plans and Assessments	1.3: _____	
1.4 Create Objective- Driven Lesson Plans and Assessments	1.4: _____	
1.5 Track Student Data and Analyze Progress	1.5: _____	
Final Rating (Circle One)		4 – High. Eff. 3 – Eff. 2- Improv. Nec 1 – Ineff.

Domain 2: Instruction	Competency Rating	Final Assessment of Domain 2
2.1 Develop Student Understanding and Mastery of Lesson Objectives	2.1: _____	
2.2 Demonstrate and Clearly Communicate Content Knowledge to Students	2.2: _____	
2.3 Engage Students in Academic Content	2.3: _____	
2.4 Check for Understanding	2.4: _____	
2.5 Modify Instruction as Needed	2.5: _____	
2.6 Develop Higher Level Understanding Through Rigorous Instruction and Work	2.6: _____	
2.7 Maximize Instructional Time	2.7: _____	
2.8 Create Classroom Culture of Respect and Collaboration	2.8: _____	
2.9 Set High Expectations for Academic Success	2.9: _____	
Final Rating (Circle One)		4 – High. Eff. 3 – Eff. 2- Improv. Nec 1 – Ineff.

Domain 3: Leadership	Competency Rating	Final Assessment of Domain 3
1.1 Contribute to School Culture	3.1: _____	
1.2 Collaborate with Peers	3.2: _____	
1.3 Seek Professional Skills and Knowledge	3.1: _____ 3.4: _____	
1.4 Advocate for Student Success	3.5: _____	
1.5 Engage Families in Student Learning		
Final Rating (Circle One)		4 – High. Eff. 3 – Eff. 2- Improv. Nec 1 – Ineff.

Domains 1-3 Weighted Scores

Domain	Rating (1-4)	Weight	Weighted Rating
Domain 1		15%	
Domain 2		70%	
Domain 3		15%	

Final Score for Domains 1-3:

Follow the following formula to calculate by hand:

- 1) Rating * % Weight = Weighted Rating
- 2) Sum of Weighted Ratings = Final Score for Domains 1-3

Final Teacher Effectiveness Rubric Score, Domains 1-3: _____

Domain 4: Professionalism	Final Assessment of Domain 4	
1. Attendance 2. On-Time Arrival 3. Policies and Procedures 4. Respect		
Final Rating (Circle One)	Meets Standards	Does Not Meet Standards

Final Teacher Effectiveness Rubric Score

Directions: If the teacher “Meets Standards” above, deduct 0 points. The final Teacher Effectiveness Rubric score remains the same as in the previous step. If the teacher “Does Not Meet Standards”, deduct 1 point from the score calculated in the previous step.

Final Teacher Effectiveness Rubric Score: _____

Ineffective ☐

Improvement Necessary ☐

Effective ☐

Highly Effective ☐

Final Summative Rating

Use weights to calculate the final rating:

Measure	Rating (1-4)	Weight	Weighted Rating
Teacher Effectiveness Rubric		85%	
School-wide Learning Measure*		15%	

Follow the following formula to calculate by hand:

- 1) Rating * % Weight = Weighted Rating
- 2) Sum of Weighted Ratings = Final Summative Score

Final Summative Evaluation Score: _____

Use the chart below and the Final Summative Evaluation Score to determine the teacher's final rating.

Ineffective	Improvement Necessary	Effective	Highly Effective	
1.0 Points	1.75 Points	2.5 Points	3.5 Points	4.0 Points

Note: Borderline points always round up.

Final Summative Rating:

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| ☐ Ineffective | ☐ Improvement Necessary |
| ☐ Effective | ☐ Highly Effective |

Teacher Signature

I have met with my evaluator to discuss the information on this form and have received a copy.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Evaluator Signature

I have met with this teacher to discuss the information on this form and provided a copy.

Signature: _____

Date: _____



**Greater Jasper Consolidated Schools
Teacher Evaluation Rubric**

DOMAIN 1: PURPOSEFUL PLANNING

Teachers use Indiana content area standards to develop a rigorous curriculum relevant for all students: building meaningful units of study, continuous assessments and a system for tracking student progress as well as plans for accommodations and changes in response to a lack of student progress.

Competencies		Highly Effective (4)	Effective (3)	Improvement Necessary (2)	Ineffective (1)
1.1	Utilize Assessment Data to Plan	At Level 4, a teacher fulfills the criteria for Level 3 and additionally: - Incorporates differentiated instructional strategies in planning to reach every student at his/her level of understanding	Teacher uses prior assessment data to formulate: - Achievement goals, unit plans, AND lesson plans	Teacher uses prior assessment data to formulate: - Achievement goals, unit plans, OR lesson plans, but not all of the above	Teacher rarely or never uses prior assessment data when planning.
1.2	Set Ambitious and Measurable Achievement Goals	At Level 4, a teacher fulfills the criteria for Level 3 and additionally: - Plans an <u>ambitious</u> annual student achievement goal	Teacher develops an annual student achievement goal that is: - Measurable; - Aligned to content standards; AND - Includes benchmarks to help monitor learning and inform interventions throughout the year	Teacher develops an annual student achievement goal that is: - Measurable The goal may not: - Align to content standards; OR - Include benchmarks to help monitor learning and inform interventions throughout the year	Teacher rarely or never develops achievement goals for the class OR goals are developed, but are extremely general and not helpful for planning purposes
1.3	Develop Standards-Based Unit Plans and Assessments	At Level 4, a teacher fulfills the criteria for Level 3 and additionally: - Creates well-designed unit assessments that align with an end of year summative assessment (either state, district, or teacher created) - Anticipates student reaction to content; allocation of time per unit is flexible and/or reflects level of difficulty of each unit	Based on achievement goals, teacher plans units by: - Identifying content standards that students will master in each unit - Creating assessments before each unit begins for backwards planning - Allocating an instructionally appropriate amount of time for each unit	Based on achievement goals, teacher plans units by: - Identifying content standards that students will master in each unit Teacher may not: - Create assessments before each unit begins for backwards planning - Allocate an instructionally appropriate amount of time for each unit	Teacher rarely or never plans units by identifying content standards that students will master in each unit OR there is little to no evidence that teacher plans units at all.

1.4	Create Objective-Driven Lesson Plans and Assessments	At Level 4, a teacher fulfills the criteria for Level 3 and additionally: - Plans for a variety of differentiated instructional strategies, anticipating where these will be needed to enhance instruction - Incorporates a variety of informal assessments/checks for understanding as well as summative assessments where necessary and uses all assessments to directly inform instruction	Based on unit plan, teacher plans daily lessons by: - Identifying lesson objectives that are aligned to state content standards. - Matching instructional strategies as well as meaningful and relevant activities/assignments to the lesson objectives - Designing formative assessments that measure progress towards mastery and inform instruction	Based on unit plan, teacher plans daily lessons by: - Identifying lesson objectives that are aligned to state content standards - Matching instructional strategies and activities/assignments to the lesson objectives. Teacher may not: - Design assignments that are meaningful or relevant - Plan formative assessments to measure progress towards mastery or inform instruction.	Teacher rarely or never plans daily lessons OR daily lessons are planned, but are thrown together at the last minute, thus lacking meaningful objectives, instructional strategies, or assignments.
1.5	Track Student Data and Analyze Progress	At Level 4, a teacher fulfills the criteria for Level 3 and additionally: - Uses daily checks for understanding for additional data points - Updates tracking system daily - Uses data analysis of student progress to drive lesson planning for the following day	Teacher uses an effective data tracking system for: - Recording student assessment/progress data - Analyzing student progress towards mastery and planning future lessons/units accordingly - Maintaining a grading system aligned to student learning goals	Teacher uses an effective data tracking system for: - Recording student assessment/progress data - Maintaining a grading system Teacher may not: - Use data to analyze student progress towards mastery or to plan future lessons/units - Have grading system that appropriately aligns with student learning goals	Teacher rarely or never uses a data tracking system to record student assessment/progress data and/or has no discernable grading system

DOMAIN 2: EFFECTIVE INSTRUCTION

Teachers facilitate student academic practice so that all students are participating and have the opportunity to gain mastery of the objectives in a classroom environment that fosters a climate of urgency and expectation around achievement, excellence and respect.

Competency	Highly Effective (4)	Effective (3)	Improvement Necessary (2)	Ineffective (1)
Competency 2.1: Develop student understanding and mastery of lesson objectives	Teacher is highly effective at developing student understanding and mastery of lesson objectives <i>For Level 4, much of the Level 3 evidence is observed during the year, as well as some of the following:</i> - Students can explain what they are learning and why it is important, beyond repeating the stated objective - Teacher effectively engages prior knowledge of students in connecting to lesson. Students demonstrate through work or comments that they understand this connection	Teacher is effective at developing student understanding and mastery of lesson objectives - Lesson objective is specific, measurable, and aligned to standards. It conveys what students are learning and what they will be able to do by the end of the lesson - Objective is written in a student-friendly manner and/or explained to students in easy-to-understand terms - Importance of the objective is explained so that students understand why they are learning what they are learning - Lesson builds on students' prior knowledge of key concepts and skills and makes this connection evident to students - Lesson is well-organized to move students towards mastery of the objective	Teacher needs improvement at developing student understanding and mastery of lesson objectives - Lesson objective conveys what students are learning and what they will be able to do by the end of the lesson, but may not be aligned to standards or measurable - Objective is stated, but not in a student-friendly manner that leads to understanding - Teacher attempts explanation of importance of objective, but students fail to understand - Lesson generally does not build on prior knowledge of students or students fail to make this connection - Organization of the lesson may not always be connected to mastery of the objective	Teacher is ineffective at developing student understanding and mastery of lesson objectives - Lesson objective is missing more than one component. It may not be clear about what students are learning or will be able to do by the end of the lesson. - There may not be a clear connection between the objective and lesson, or teacher may fail to make this connection for students. - Teacher may fail to discuss importance of objective or there may not be a clear understanding amongst students as to why the objective is important. - There may be no effort to connect objective to prior knowledge of students - Lesson is disorganized and does not lead to mastery of objective.

Notes:

1. One way in which an observer could effectively gather information to score this standard is through brief conversations with students (when appropriate).
2. In some situations, it may not be appropriate to state the objective for the lesson (multiple objectives for various "centers", early-childhood inquiry-based lesson, etc). In these situations, the observer should assess whether or not students are engaged in activities that will lead them towards mastery of an objective, even if it is not stated.

Competency	Highly Effective (4)	Effective (3)	Improvement Necessary (2)	Ineffective (1)
Competency 2.2:	Teacher is highly effective at demonstrating and clearly communicating content knowledge to students	Teacher is effective at demonstrating and clearly communicating content knowledge to students	Teacher needs improvement at demonstrating and clearly communicating content knowledge to students	Teacher is ineffective at demonstrating and clearly communicating content knowledge to students
Demonstrate and Clearly Communicate Content Knowledge to Students	<i>For Level 4, much of the Level 3 evidence is observed during the year, as well as some of the following:</i> - Teacher fully explains concepts in as direct and efficient a manner as possible, while still achieving student understanding - Teacher effectively connects content to other content areas, students' experiences and interests, or current events in order to make content relevant and build interest - Explanations spark student excitement and interest in the content - Students participate in each others' learning of content through collaboration during the lesson - Students ask higher-order questions and make connections independently, demonstrating that they understand the content at a higher level	- Teacher demonstrates content knowledge and delivers content that is factually correct - Content is clear, concise and well-organized - Teacher restates and rephrases instruction in multiple ways to increase understanding - Teacher emphasizes key points or main ideas in content - Teacher uses developmentally appropriate language and explanations - Teacher implements relevant instructional strategies learned via professional development	-Teacher delivers content that is factually correct - Content occasionally lacks clarity and is not as well organized as it could be - Teacher may fail to restate or rephrase instruction in multiple ways to increase understanding - Teacher does not adequately emphasize main ideas, and students are sometimes confused about key takeaways - Explanations sometimes lack developmentally appropriate language - Teacher does not always implement new and improved instructional strategies learned via professional development	- Teacher may deliver content that is factually incorrect - Explanations may be unclear or incoherent and fail to build student understanding of key concepts - Teacher continues with planned instruction, even when it is obvious that students are not understanding content - Teacher does not emphasize main ideas, and students are often confused about content - Teacher fails to use developmentally appropriate language - Teacher does not implement new and improved instructional strategies learned via professional development

Notes:

1. Content may be communicated by either direct instruction or guided inquiry depending on the context of the classroom or lesson.
2. If the teacher presents information with any mistake that would leave students with a significant misunderstanding at the end of the lesson, the teacher should be scored a Level 1 for this competency.
3. Instructional strategies learned via professional development may include information learned during instructional coaching sessions as well as mandatory or optional school or district-wide PD sessions.

Competency	Highly Effective (4)	Effective (3)	Improvement Necessary (2)	Ineffective (1)
Competency 2.3: Engage students in academic content	Teacher is highly effective at engaging students in academic content	Teacher is effective at engaging students in academic content	Teacher needs improvement at engaging students in academic content	Teacher is ineffective at engaging students in academic content
	<i>For Level 4, much of the Level 3 evidence is observed during the year, as well as some of the following:</i> - Teacher provides ways to engage with content that significantly promotes student mastery of the objective - Teacher provides differentiated ways of engaging with content specific to individual student needs - The lesson progresses at an appropriate pace so that students are never disengaged, and students who finish early have something else meaningful to do - Teacher effectively integrates technology as a tool to engage students in academic content	- 3/4 or more of students are actively engaged in content at all times and not off-task - Teacher provides multiple ways, as appropriate, of engaging with content, all aligned to the lesson objective - Ways of engaging with content reflect different learning modalities or intelligences - Teacher adjusts lesson accordingly to accommodate for student prerequisite skills and knowledge so that all students are engaged - ELL and IEP students have the appropriate accommodations to be engaged in content - Students work hard and are deeply active rather than passive/receptive (See Notes below for specific evidence of engagement)	- Fewer than 3/4 of students are engaged in content and many are off-task - Teacher may provide multiple ways of engaging students, but perhaps not aligned to lesson objective or mastery of content - Teacher may miss opportunities to provide ways of differentiating content for student engagement - Some students may not have the prerequisite skills necessary to fully engage in content and teacher's attempt to modify instruction for these students is limited or not always effective - ELL and IEP students are sometimes given appropriate accommodations to be engaged in content - Students may appear to actively listen, but when it comes time for participation are disinterested in engaging	- Fewer than 1/2 of students are engaged in content and many are off-task - Teacher may only provide one way of engaging with content OR teacher may provide multiple ways of engaging students that are not aligned to the lesson objective or mastery of content - Teacher does not differentiate instruction to target different learning modalities - Most students do not have the prerequisite skills necessary to fully engage in content and teacher makes no effort to adjust instruction for these students - ELL and IEP students are not provided with the necessary accommodations to engage in content - Students do not actively listen and are overtly disinterested in engaging.

Notes:

1. The most important indicator of success here is that students are actively engaged in the content. For a teacher to receive credit for providing students a way of engaging with content, students must be engaged in that part of the lesson.
2. Some observable evidence of engagement may include (but is not limited to): (a) raising of hands to ask and answer questions as well as to share ideas; (b) active listening (not off-task) during lesson; or (c) active participation in hands-on tasks/activities.
3. Teachers may provide multiple ways of engaging with content via different learning modalities (auditory, visual, kinesthetic/tactile) or via multiple intelligences (spatial, linguistic, musical, interpersonal, logical-mathematical, etc). It may also be effective to engage students via two or more strategies targeting the same modality.

Competency	Highly Effective (4)	Effective (3)	Improvement Necessary (2)	Ineffective (1)
Competency 2.4: Check for Understanding	Teacher is highly effective at checking for understanding	Teacher is effective at checking for understanding	Teacher needs improvement at checking for understanding	Teacher is ineffective at checking for understanding
	<i>For Level 4, much of the Level 3 evidence is observed during the year, as well as some of the following:</i> - Teacher checks for understanding at higher levels by asking pertinent, scaffold questions that push thinking; accepts only high quality student responses (those that reveal understanding or lack thereof) - Teacher uses open-ended questions to surface common misunderstandings and assess student mastery of material at a range of both lower and higher-order thinking	- Teacher checks for understanding at almost all key moments (when checking is necessary to inform instruction going forward) - Teacher uses a variety of methods to check for understanding that are successful in capturing an accurate “pulse” of the class’s understanding - Teacher uses wait time effectively both after posing a question and before helping students think through a response - Teacher doesn’t allow students to “opt-out” of checks for understanding and cycles back to these students - Teacher systematically assesses every student’s mastery of the objective(s) at the end of each lesson through formal or informal assessments (see note for examples)	- Teacher sometimes checks for understanding of content, but misses several key moments - Teacher may use more than one type of check for understanding, but is often unsuccessful in capturing an accurate “pulse” of the class’s understanding - Teacher may not provide enough wait time after posing a question for students to think and respond before helping with an answer or moving forward with content - Teacher sometimes allows students to “opt-out” of checks for understanding without cycling back to these students - Teacher may occasionally assess student mastery at the end of the lesson through formal or informal assessments.	- Teacher rarely or never checks for understanding of content, or misses nearly all key moments -Teacher does not check for understanding, or uses only one ineffective method repetitively to do so, thus rarely capturing an accurate “pulse” of the class’s understanding - Teacher frequently moves on with content before students have a chance to respond to questions or frequently gives students the answer rather than helping them think through the answer. - Teacher frequently allows students to “opt-out” of checks for understanding and does not cycle back to these students - Teacher rarely or never assesses for mastery at the end of the lesson

Notes:

1. Examples of times when checking for understanding may be useful are: before moving on to the next step of the lesson, or partway through independent practice.
2. Examples of how the teacher may assess student understanding and mastery of objectives:
 - Checks for Understanding: thumbs up/down, cold-calling
 - Do Nows, Turn and Talk/ Pair Share, Guided or Independent Practice, Exit Slips

Competency	Highly Effective (4)	Effective (3)	Improvement Necessary (2)	Ineffective (1)
Competency 2.5: Modify Instruction As Needed	Teacher is highly effective at modifying instruction as needed <i>For Level 4, much of the Level 3 evidence is observed during the year, as well as some of the following:</i> - Teacher anticipates student misunderstandings and preemptively addresses them - Teacher is able to modify instruction to respond to misunderstandings without taking away from the flow of the lesson or losing engagement	Teacher is effective at modifying instruction as needed - Teacher makes adjustments to instruction based on checks for understanding that lead to increased understanding for most students - Teacher responds to misunderstandings with effective scaffolding techniques - Teacher doesn't give up, but continues to try to address misunderstanding with different techniques if the first try is not successful	Teacher needs improvement at modifying instruction as needed - Teacher may attempt to make adjustments to instruction based on checks for understanding, but these attempts may be misguided and may not increase understanding for all students - Teacher may primarily respond to misunderstandings by using teacher-driven scaffolding techniques (for example, re-explaining a concept), when student-driven techniques could have been more effective - Teacher may persist in using a particular technique for responding to a misunderstanding, even when it is not succeeding	Teacher is ineffective at modifying instruction as needed - Teacher rarely or never attempts to adjust instruction based on checks for understanding, and any attempts at doing so frequently fail to increase understanding for students - Teacher only responds to misunderstandings by using teacher-driven scaffolding techniques - Teacher repeatedly uses the same technique to respond to misunderstandings, even when it is not succeeding

Notes:

1. In order to be effective at this competency, a teacher must have at least scored a 3 on competency 2.4 - in order to modify instruction as needed, one must first know how to check for understanding.
2. A teacher can respond to misunderstandings using "scaffolding" techniques such as: activating background knowledge, asking leading questions, breaking the task into small parts, using mnemonic devices or analogies, using manipulatives or hands-on models, using "think alouds", providing visual cues, etc.

Competency	Highly Effective (4)	Effective (3)	Improvement Necessary (2)	Ineffective (1)
Competency 2.6:	Teacher is highly effective at developing a higher level of understanding through rigorous instruction and work	Teacher is effective at developing a higher level of understanding through rigorous instruction and work	Teacher needs improvement at developing a higher level of understanding through rigorous instruction and work	Teacher is ineffective at developing a higher level of understanding through rigorous instruction and work
Develop Higher Level of Understanding through Rigorous Instruction and Work	<i>For Level 4, much of the Level 3 evidence is observed during the year, as well as some of the following:</i> - Lesson is accessible and challenging to all students - Students are able to answer higher-level questions with meaningful responses - Students pose higher-level questions to the teacher and to each other - Teacher highlights examples of recent student work that meets high expectations; Insists and motivates students to do it again if not great - Teacher encourages students' interest in learning by providing students with additional opportunities to apply and build skills beyond expected lesson elements (e.g. extra credit or enrichment assignments)	- Lesson is accessible and challenging to almost all students - Teacher frequently develops higher-level understanding through effective questioning - Lesson pushes almost all students forward due to differentiation of instruction based on each student's level of understanding - Students have opportunities to meaningfully practice, apply, and demonstrate that they are learning - Teacher shows patience and helps students to work hard toward mastering the objective and to persist even when faced with difficult tasks	- Lesson is not always accessible or challenging for students - Some questions used may not be effective in developing higher-level understanding (too complex or confusing) - Lesson pushes some students forward, but misses other students due to lack of differentiation based on students' level of understanding - While students may have some opportunity to meaningfully practice and apply concepts, instruction is more teacher-directed than appropriate - Teacher may encourage students to work hard, but may not persist in efforts to have students keep trying	- Lesson is not aligned with developmental level of students (may be too challenging or too easy) - Teacher may not use questioning as an effective tool to increase understanding. Students only show a surface understanding of concepts. - Lesson rarely pushes any students forward. Teacher does not differentiate instruction based on students' level of understanding. - Lesson is almost always teacher directed. Students have few opportunities to meaningfully practice or apply concepts. - Teacher gives up on students easily and does not encourage them to persist through difficult tasks

Notes:

1. Examples of types of questions that can develop higher-level understanding:

- Activating higher levels of inquiry on Bloom's taxonomy (using words such as "analyze", "classify", "compare", "decide", "evaluate", "explain", or "represent")
- Asking students to explain their reasoning
- Asking students to explain why they are learning something or to summarize the main idea
- Asking students to apply a new skill or concept in a different context
- Posing a question that increases the rigor of the lesson content
- Prompting students to make connections to previous material or prior knowledge

2. Higher-level questioning should result in higher-level student understanding. If it does not, credit should not be given.

3. Challenging tasks rather than questions may be used to create a higher-level of understanding, and if successful, should be credited in this competency

4. The frequency with which a teacher should use questions to develop higher-level understanding will vary depending on the topic and type of lesson

Competency	Highly Effective (4)	Effective (3)	Improvement Necessary (2)	Ineffective (1)
Competency 2.7: Maximize Instructional Time	Teacher is highly effective at maximizing instructional time	Teacher is effective at maximizing instructional time	Teacher needs improvement at maximizing instructional time	Teacher is ineffective at maximizing instructional time
	<i>For Level 4, much of the Level 3 evidence is observed during the year, as well as some of the following:</i> - Routines, transitions, and procedures are well-executed. Students know what they are supposed to be doing and when without prompting from the teacher - Students are always engaged in meaningful work while waiting for the teacher (for example, during attendance) - Students share responsibility for operations and routines and work well together to accomplish these tasks - All students are on-task and follow instructions of teacher without much prompting - Disruptive behaviors and off-task conversations are rare; When they occur, they are always addressed without major interruption to the lesson	- Students arrive on-time and are aware of the consequences of arriving late (unexcused) - Class starts on-time - Routines, transitions, and procedures are well-executed. Students know what they are supposed to be doing and when with minimal prompting from the teacher - Students are only ever not engaged in meaningful work for brief periods of time (for example, during attendance) - Teacher delegates time between parts of the lesson appropriately so as best to lead students towards mastery of objective - Almost all students are on-task and follow instructions of teacher without much prompting - Disruptive behaviors and off-task conversations are rare; When they occur, they are almost always addressed without major interruption to the lesson.	- Some students consistently arrive late (unexcused) for class without consequences - Class may consistently start a few minutes late - Routines, transitions, and procedures are in place, but require significant teacher direction or prompting to be followed - There is more than a brief period of time when students are left without meaningful work to keep them engaged - Teacher may delegate lesson time inappropriately between parts of the lesson - Significant prompting from the teacher is necessary for students to follow instructions and remain on-task - Disruptive behaviors and off-task conversations sometimes occur; they may not be addressed in the most effective manner and teacher may have to stop the lesson frequently to address the problem.	- Students may frequently arrive late (unexcused) for class without consequences - Teacher may frequently start class late. - There are few or no evident routines or procedures in place. Students are unclear about what they should be doing and require significant direction from the teacher at all times - There are significant periods of time in which students are not engaged in meaningful work - Teacher wastes significant time between parts of the lesson due to classroom management. - Even with significant prompting, students frequently do not follow directions and are off-task - Disruptive behaviors and off-task conversations are common and frequently cause the teacher to have to make adjustments to the lesson.

Notes:

1. The overall indicator of success here is that operationally, the classroom runs smoothly so that time can be spent on valuable instruction rather than logistics and discipline.
2. It should be understood that a teacher can have disruptive students no matter how effective he/she may be. However, an effective teacher should be able to minimize disruptions amongst these students and when they do occur, handle them without detriment to the learning of other students.

Competency	Highly Effective (4)	Effective (3)	Improvement Necessary (2)	Ineffective (1)
Competency 2.8: Create Classroom Culture of Respect and Collaboration	Teacher is highly effective at creating a classroom culture of respect and collaboration	Teacher is effective at creating a classroom culture of respect and collaboration	Teacher needs improvement at creating a classroom culture of respect and collaboration	Teacher is ineffective at creating a classroom culture of respect and collaboration
	<i>For Level 4, much of the Level 3 evidence is observed during the year, as well as some of the following:</i> - Students are invested in the academic success of their peers as evidenced by unprompted collaboration and assistance - Students reinforce positive character and behavior and discourage negative behavior amongst themselves	- Students are respectful of their teacher and peers - Students are given opportunities to collaborate and support each other in the learning process - Teacher reinforces positive character and behavior and uses consequences appropriately to discourage negative behavior - Teacher has a good rapport with students, and shows genuine interest in their thoughts and opinions	- Students are generally respectful of their teacher and peers, but may occasionally act out or need to be reminded of classroom norms - Students are given opportunities to collaborate, but may not always be supportive of each other or may need significant assistance from the teacher to work together - Teacher may praise positive behavior OR enforce consequences for negative behavior, but not both - Teacher may focus on the behavior of a few students, while ignoring the behavior (positive or negative) of others	- Students are frequently disrespectful of teacher or peers as evidenced by discouraging remarks or disruptive behavior - Students are not given many opportunities to collaborate OR during these times do not work well together even with teacher intervention - Teacher rarely or never praises positive behavior - Teacher rarely or never addresses negative behavior

Notes:

1. If there is one or more instances of disrespect by the teacher toward students, the teacher should be scored a Level 1 for this standard.
2. Elementary school teachers more frequently will, and are sometimes required to have, expectations, rewards, and consequences posted visibly in the classroom. Whether or not these are visibly posted, it should be evident within the culture of the classroom that students understand and abide by a set of established expectations and are aware of the rewards and consequences of their actions.

Competency	Highly Effective (4)	Effective (3)	Improvement Necessary (2)	Ineffective (1)
Competency 2.9: Set High Expectations for Academic Success	Teacher is highly effective at setting high expectations for academic success.	Teacher is effective at setting high expectations for academic success.	Teacher needs improvement at setting high expectations for academic success.	Teacher is ineffective at setting high expectations for student success.
	<i>For Level 4, much of the Level 3 evidence is observed during the year, as well as some of the following:</i> - Students participate in forming academic goals for themselves and analyzing their progress - Students demonstrate high academic expectations for themselves - Student comments and actions demonstrate that they are excited about their work and understand why it is important	- Teacher sets high expectations for students of all levels - Students are invested in their work and value academic success as evidenced by their effort and quality of their work - The classroom is a safe place to take on challenges and risk failure (students do not feel shy about asking questions or bad about answering incorrectly) - Teacher celebrates and praises academic work. - High quality work of all students is displayed in the classroom	- Teacher may set high expectations for some, but not others - Students are generally invested in their work, but may occasionally spend time off-task or give up when work is challenging - Some students may be afraid to take on challenges and risk failure (hesitant to ask for help when needed or give-up easily) - Teacher may praise the academic work of some, but not others - High quality work of a few, but not all students, may be displayed in the classroom	- Teacher rarely or never sets high expectations for students - Students may demonstrate disinterest or lack of investment in their work. For example, students might be unfocused, off-task, or refuse to attempt assignments - Students are generally afraid to take on challenges and risk failure due to frequently discouraging comments from the teacher or peers - Teacher rarely or never praises academic work or good behavior - High quality work is rarely or never displayed in the classroom

Note:
 1. There are several ways for a teacher to demonstrate high expectations - through encouraging comments, higher-level questioning, appropriately rigorous assignments,

expectations written and posted in the classroom, individual student work plans, etc.

DOMAIN 3: Teacher Leadership

Teachers develop and sustain the intense energy and leadership within their school community to ensure the achievement of all students.

Competencies		Highly Effective (4)	Effective (3)	Improvement Necessary (2)	Ineffective (1)
3.1	Contribute to School Culture	At Level 4, a teacher fulfills the criteria for Level 3 and additionally may: - Seek out leadership roles - Go above and beyond in dedicating time for students and peers outside of class	Teacher will: - Contribute ideas and expertise to further the schools' mission and initiatives - Dedicate time efficiently, when needed, to helping students and peers outside of class	Teacher will: - Contribute occasional ideas and expertise to further the school's mission and initiatives Teacher may not: - Frequently dedicates time to help students and peers efficiently outside of class	Teacher rarely or never contributes ideas aimed at improving school efforts. Teacher dedicates little or no time outside of class towards helping students and peers.
3.2	Collaborate with Peers	At Level 4, a teacher fulfills the criteria for Level 3 and additionally may: - Go above and beyond in seeking out opportunities to collaborate - Coach peers through difficult situations - Take on leadership roles within collaborative groups such as Professional Learning Communities	Teacher will: - Seek out and participate in regular opportunities to work with and learn from others - Ask for assistance, when needed, and provide assistance to others in need	Teacher will: - Participate in occasional opportunities to work with and learn from others - Ask for assistance when needed Teacher may not: - Seek to provide other teachers with assistance when needed OR - Regularly seek out opportunities to work with others	Teacher rarely or never participates in opportunities to work with others. Teacher works in isolation and is not a team player.
3.3	Seek Professional Skills and Knowledge	At Level 4, a teacher fulfills the criteria for Level 3 and additionally may: - Regularly share newly learned knowledge and practices with others - Seek out opportunities to lead professional development sessions	Teacher will: - Actively pursue opportunities to improve knowledge and practice - Seek out ways to implement new practices into instruction, where applicable - Welcome constructive feedback to improve practices	Teacher will: - Attend all mandatory professional development opportunities Teacher may not: - Actively pursue optional professional development opportunities - Seek out ways to implement new practices into instruction - Accept constructive feedback well	Teacher rarely or never attends professional development opportunities. Teacher shows little or no interest in new ideas, programs, or classes to improve teaching and learning

3.4	Advocate for Student Success	At Level 4, a teacher fulfills the criteria for Level 3 and additionally may: - Display commitment to the education of all the students in the school - Make changes and take risks to ensure student success	Teacher will: - Display commitment to the education of all his/her students - Attempt to remedy obstacles around student achievement - Advocate for students' individualized needs	Teacher will: - Display commitment to the education of all his/her students Teacher may not: - Advocate for students' needs	Teacher rarely or never displays commitment to the education of his/her students. Teacher accepts failure as par for the course and does not advocate for students' needs.
3.5	Engage Families in Student Learning	At Level 4, a teacher fulfills the criteria for Level 3 and additionally: - Strives to form relationships in which parents are given ample opportunity to participate in student learning - Is available to address concerns in a timely and positive manner, when necessary, outside of required outreach events	Teacher will: - Proactively reach out to parents in a variety of ways to engage them in student learning - Respond promptly to contact from parents - Engage in all forms of parent outreach required by the school	Teacher will: - Respond to contact from parents - Engage in all forms of parent outreach required by the school Teacher may not: - Proactively reach out to parents to engage them in student learning	Teacher rarely or never reaches out to parents and/or frequently does not respond to contacts from parents.

Core Professionalism Rubric

These indicators illustrate the minimum competencies expected in any profession. These are separate from the other sections in the rubric because they have little to do with teaching and learning and more to do with basic employment practice. Teachers are expected to meet these standards. If they do not, it will affect their overall rating negatively.

Indicator		Does Not Meet Standard	Meets Standard
1	Attendance	Individual demonstrates a pattern of unexcused absences *	Individual has not demonstrated a pattern of unexcused absences*
2	On-Time Arrival	Individual demonstrates a pattern of unexcused late arrivals (late arrivals that are in violation of procedures set forth by local school policy and by the relevant collective bargaining agreement)	Individual has not demonstrated a pattern of unexcused late arrivals (late arrivals that are in violation of procedures set forth by local school policy and by the relevant collective bargaining agreement)
3	Policies and Procedures	Individual demonstrates a pattern of failing to follow state, corporation, and school policies and procedures (e.g. procedures for submitting discipline referrals, policies for appropriate attire, etc)	Individual demonstrates a pattern of following state, corporation, and school policies and procedures (e.g. procedures for submitting discipline referrals, policies for appropriate attire, etc)
4	Respect	Individual demonstrates a pattern of failing to interact with students, colleagues, parents/guardians, and community members in a respectful manner	Individual demonstrates a pattern of interacting with students, colleagues, parents/guardians, and community members in a respectful manner

* It should be left to the discretion of the corporation to define “unexcused absence” in this context