Francis C. Hammond 2024-2025

Title I Schoolwide Comprehensive School Improvement/Schoolwide Plan

Virginia's comprehensive School Improvement plan (CSIP) integrates findings from Virginia's needs assessment to help guide schools as they prioritize work, predict and neutralize barriers, and catalyze transformative practices that will improve student outcomes. There are four sections to the comprehensive School Improvement plan, aligned to the Virginia Support Framework:

- Academic Supports
- Staffing Supports
- Professional Learning Supports
- School Climate Supports

High-quality plans support school leaders as they work to allocate human and fiscal resources, establish short-and long-term goals, monitor processes, and scale successes. Specifically, a high-quality plan is:

- Grounded in the continuous improvement process and high expectations for all students;
- Developed and revised through engagement with stakeholders-- including families; and,
- Based on evidence-and research-based strategies aligned to the needs and context of the school.

Effective plans are based on the most important changes needed in the school and demonstrate alignment to federal, state, division, and school priorities by relating goals, measures, strategies, and resources to division plans, federal and state laws and guidance, including Virginia's Support Framework.¹

How to use the Virginia Comprehensive School Improvement Plan:

- 1. Review the Virginia Support Framework domains and the results of the Virginia Support Framework Needs Assessment.
- 2. Become familiar with the explanation and example of the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan template which includes a description and example for each item.
- 3. Complete the profile information, providing information on designations, School Quality Indicators, Levels, and/or federal identification. An overview of the internal and external stakeholder, including families, that have been engaged in the school improvement process should also be documented.
- 4. Use the results from the needs assessment to develop the plan for each domain and indicator, including **barriers** from a root-cause analysis **establishing SMART goals**, the **alignment to evidence and research-based strategies**, the **development and implementation of the plan**, and the artifacts to be regularly collected and analyzed for **progress monitoring**.

¹ In accordance with the Standards of Quality (SOQ) and Standards of Accreditation (SOA), all schools are to prepare a comprehensive, unified, long-range plan in conjunction with the division long-range comprehensive plan, and make it available to the public (SOQ, § 22.1-253.13:6.; SOA 8VAC20-131-390; 8VAC20-131-400). Under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), schools identified for comprehensive, targeted, and additional targeted support and improvement are required to develop plans based on a set criteria with state educational agency (SEA), local educational agency (LEA), and school approval (ESSA §1111(d)(1)(B); §1111(d)(2)(B)-(C))



Domain of Support	Description
<u>Academic</u>	Providing high-quality instructional materials, routines, and prioritized placement are critical to the successful operation of the school division, and focuses on alignment, engagement, rigor, and organizing staff based on need.
<u>Staffing</u>	Recruiting, allocating, and retaining teachers and principals to address schools and areas with the greatest needs, to include building capacity from those within and the retention of high-quality staff are integral to school division success.
Professional Learning	Managing a school building effectively by providing logistical support to maximize learning through clear calendars and master schedules; and targeting professional learning centered on the instructional cycle with a focus on student outcomes.
School Climate	Engaging with families and providing instructional materials, learning opportunities, and support to improve attendance creates a welcoming culture. Connecting each student with an adult creates a safe and orderly environment.

Profile Information						
Division: Alexandria City Public Schools School: Francis C. Hammond Middle School						
Principal: Anika Buster-Singleton	Designations (if applicable):					
Stakeholder/Family	/ Engagement					
Describe how the school will routinely involve internal and external stakeholders in the school improvement process to include conducting the needs assessment; selecting evidence-and research-based strategies; and developing, implementing, monitoring, and evaluating the plan.						
SLT Team-reviewed and analyzed the CSIP as a team and as a CSIP committe	e. Continue monthly review of CSIP by each department					
Teacher Engagement-Provide overview of CSIP plan and goals with whole staff groups and the current progress check points. i.e staff meetings, PLC meetings, school wide updates, August in-service						
Parent Engagement-Utilize a parent liaison selected from each grade level 6	-8 to attend the staff meeting					

Barrier(s): 1. Lacks multi-tiered systems of support that allows students to enter and exit math intervention classes. 2. Professional Development opportunities need to align with the instruction and teacher needs. SMART Goal Statement: From Fall 2023 to Spring 2025 students will demonstrate growth on the (MAP) math assessment by increasing their fall to spring scores between 7 to 28 points moving each individual student at least 2 or more achievement bands from fall to spring projected growth. (Evidence-based) Strategy Name: Develop foundational skills to support math for understanding. Description: Teachers will participate in targeted professional learning to provide students with the knowledge, tools and instructional resources to effectively plan and deliver instruction at the tier 1 level. Cooperative Learning: Use of cooperative groups to help students learn how to work with each other to get better results. Use of Hands on Manipulatives: Representide as in more than one way, increase math confidence and communication. Linguistic Representation: Winderstand math using mental images, imagery, creating pictures, engaging in kinesthetic activity Small Group Instruction: Data-driven small groups designed to focus on teaching a specific skill or concept.	Domain I: Academic Supports	Content Area: Math					
 spring scores between 7 to 28 points moving each individual stutent at least 2 or more achievement bands from fall to spring projected growth. (Evidence-based) Strategy Name: Develop foundational skills to support math for understanding. Cooperative Learning: Use of cooperative groups to help students learn how to work with each other to get better results. Use of Hands on Manipulatives: Represent ideas in more than one way, increase math confidence and communication. Linguistic Representation: Understand math using mental images, imagery, creating pictures, engaging in kinesthetic activity Small Group Instruction: Data-driven small groups designed to focus on teaching 	1. Lacks multi-tiered systems of support that allows students to enter and exit math intervention classes.						
	 SMART Goal Statement: From Fall 2023 to Spring 2025 students were spring scores between 7 to 28 points moving each individual stude (Evidence-based) Strategy Name: Develop foundational skills to support math for understanding. Cooperative Learning: Use of cooperative groups to help students learn how to work with each other to get better results. Use of Hands on Manipulatives: Represent ideas in more than one way, increase math confidence and communication. Linguistic Representation: Representing numbers Non-Linguistic Representation: Understand math using mental images, imagery, creating pictures, engaging in kinesthetic activity Small Group Instruction: Data-driven small groups designed to focus on teaching 	will demonstrate growth on the (MAP) math assessment by increasing their fall to lent at least 2 or more achievement bands from fall to spring projected growth. Description: Teachers will participate in targeted professional learning to provide students with the knowledge, tools and instructional resources to effectively plan					

Tier of Evidence:				
Student Measure #1:		Student Measure #2:		
 By 2025, 70% of all students will demonstrate proficiency on common assessments and benchmark assessments in math. 		1. By 2025, 70% of all students will demonstrate proficiency on Spring SOL yearly assessment in math by the end of the school year.		
Staff Measure #1:		Staff Measure #2:		
1. By June 2025, 100% of all math teachers will engage in data chats within PLC meetings to analyze common assessments data and benchmark data each quarter.		 By June 2025, 100% of all math teachers will engage in walkthroughs and formal observations. 		
		Action Plan		
Action Steps (Describe the steps and include who will implement and how often it will be implemented)	Start of Action Step	End of Action Step	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Budget (local, state, federal funds)
Teachers will participate in professional development every other month to improve tier 1 instruction for all students.	September 2024	June 2025	Academic Principal Math Instructional Coach School Principal	
PD 1: September - November 2024 Cooperative Learning Strategies PD 2: December - January 2024 Use of Hands on Manipulatives PD 3: February - March 2024 Small Group PD 4: April - May 2024 Linguistic and Non-Linguistic Representation				

Teachers will incorporate one evidence based strategy into daily lessons.	August 2024	June 2025	Academic Principal Math Instructional Coach	
 Progress Monitoring Tools: Lesson Plan Monitor Tool Math Monitoring Tool Data Chats <u>Adjustments:</u> Lesson Plan Revision Revise, Reteach and Reassess 	August 2024	June 2025	Academic Principal Math Instructional Coach	
<u>Curriculum Tools:</u> IXL software for Math and Science 1550 Licenses <u>Professional Development:</u> Project Based Learning 101 	August 2024	June 2025		
 course registration, hotel, and airfare costs for 2 teachers, 1 math coach, 1 reading coach Association for Middle Level Education- "Adolescent" course registration, hotel, and airfare for 3 team leaders and 1 AP 	June 2025 November 2024	June 2025 June 2025		

Special Education Co-Taught/SDI Support				
(On-Going)	November 2024	June 2025	Academic Principal Math Instructional Coach	
Collaborate with the Office of	November 2024	Julie 2025	Special Education	
Specialized Instruction to create a			Support (Central Office)	
quarterly instructional plan based on the MAP math quarterly assessment				
data.				

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Support: Describe how the LEA will support in implementing, monitoring, and evaluating this strategy.

ACPS utilizes a tiered model of monthly and quarterly progress monitoring based on the state and federal accreditation levels of all schools. The Office of School Improvement will provide technical assistance for CSIP implementation, progress monitoring, and measurement to evaluate the effectiveness of these strategies. Additionally, division-level personnel will conduct instructional walkthroughs, provide recommendations, and participate in the ongoing data-informed revision of evidence-based interventions and essential actions, as needed.

Secondary Mathematics Specialist will be used to collaborate and facilitate discussions around instructional support, manipulatives, and resources used to guide professional learning (PLC's) and instructional planning.

<u>Evidence</u> : Based on the action steps, define what measurable evidence would indicate progress towards the long-term goal. <u>Analysis</u> : Address impact and next steps.					
Evidence of Progress (update monthly)	Analysis of Progress (update monthly)				
1. Math Progress Monitoring Tool	1. Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) Math (Data)				
2. Walkthrough Observations	2. Common Assessments (Schoolnet)				
3. Review of lesson plans and provide feedback	3. Beginning of the Year, Middle of the Year, End of the Year				
	Assessment.				

4. PLC's - Data Chat
5. Math Spring SOL 2025

Domain I: Academic Supports			Content Area: Reading	
Barrier(s):1. We don't currently have a systematic provide the systematic provide the systematic provide the systematic provides the systematic provid	rocess to approach readin	g comprehension for our	EL and SPED students.	
SMART Goal Statement : Using GLAD/AVID reading s comprehension growth rate to meet or exceed their	- · ·		ill be able to grow their MA	AP Overall Reading
(Evidence-based) Strategy Name: 1. GLAD - visuals, vocabulary and color coded-chunking		Description: All students will be exposed to reading GLAD and AVID (GLAVID) strategies in their four core content classes. This will create a system for how we approach reading comprehension		
Student Measure #1: By the spring of 2025 60% of c population will show growth by applying the reading to meet or exceed their target goal	g strategies from GLAVID		y the Spring of 2025 EL stud n of these reading strategio	dents will show growth on the es as their evidence.
Staff Measure #1: As a result of SOL data there will be a cross curriculum PLC at least once a month, to plan lessons that are inclusive of the reading centric strategies, to make sure they are executed with fidelity and consistently across the content areas.			ghout the year, to make ce	ols/GLAVID checklist will be rtain the teachers are employing
,,, _,, _	Act	tion Plan		
Action Steps (Describe the step and include who will implement and how often it will be implemented)	Start of Action Step	End of Action Step	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Budget (local, state, federal funds)
 Teachers in the four core content areas implement GLAVID reading strategies with fidelity 	Aug 2024	Мау	Academic Principals Math Coach AVID Site Coordinator AAS Coordinator School Principal SPED Accountability Specialist	
 GLAD training continues during PLC to focus on the reading strategies 	Aug 2024	May 2025	Academic Principals Reading Coach GLAD Instructional Coaches School Principal	

3.	Inquiry and Annotation workshops will be a continuation workshop to include access to grade-level texts.	Aug 2024	May 2025	Academic Principals Reading Coach School Principal		
4. 5.	Summer Curriculum Writing - PLC Planning and Professional Learning Planning After School SOL Enrichment:Reading, Math, Science staff.	July 2024 Jan 2025	August 2024 May 2025	School Principal Academic Principals Dept Chairs PLC Leaders Team Leaders		
Local Educational Agency (LEA) Support: Describe how the LEA will support in implementing, monitoring, and evaluating this strategy.						
ACPS Office effectiv	utilizes a tiered model of monthly and qu of School Improvement will provide techr veness of these strategies. Additionally, d pate in the ongoing data-informed revision	arterly progress monitonical assistance for CS ivision-level personnel n of evidence-based in	pring based on the s P implementation, p will conduct instruct terventions and esse	tate and federal accreditation progress monitoring, and mea ional walkthroughs, provide i ential actions, as needed.	n levels of all schools. The asurement to evaluate the recommendations, and	
ACPS Office effectiv	utilizes a tiered model of monthly and qu of School Improvement will provide techr veness of these strategies. Additionally, d pate in the ongoing data-informed revision	arterly progress monitonical assistance for CS ivision-level personnel n of evidence-based in a define what measur a	pring based on the s P implementation, p will conduct instruct terventions and esse	tate and federal accreditation progress monitoring, and mea ional walkthroughs, provide i ential actions, as needed.	n levels of all schools. The asurement to evaluate the recommendations, and	
ACPS Office effectir partici	utilizes a tiered model of monthly and qu of School Improvement will provide techr veness of these strategies. Additionally, d pate in the ongoing data-informed revision	arterly progress monitonical assistance for CS ivision-level personnel n of evidence-based in a define what measur a	pring based on the s P implementation, p will conduct instruct terventions and esse able evidence would as impact and next s	tate and federal accreditation progress monitoring, and mea ional walkthroughs, provide i ential actions, as needed.	n levels of all schools. The asurement to evaluate the recommendations, and	
ACPS Office effectiv partici	utilizes a tiered model of monthly and qu of School Improvement will provide techr veness of these strategies. Additionally, d pate in the ongoing data-informed revision <u>Evidence</u> : Based on the action steps	arterly progress monitonical assistance for CS ivision-level personnel n of evidence-based in a define what measur a	pring based on the s P implementation, p will conduct instruct terventions and esse able evidence would as impact and next s	tate and federal accreditation progress monitoring, and mea ional walkthroughs, provide r ential actions, as needed. I indicate progress towards to teps. ess (update monthly)	n levels of all schools. The asurement to evaluate the recommendations, and	
ACPS Office effectiv partici	utilizes a tiered model of monthly and qu of School Improvement will provide techr veness of these strategies. Additionally, d pate in the ongoing data-informed revision <u>Evidence</u> : Based on the action steps nce of Progress (update monthly)	arterly progress monitonical assistance for CS ivision-level personnel n of evidence-based in 5, define what measura <u>Analysis</u> : Addres	oring based on the s P implementation, p will conduct instruct terventions and esse able evidence would s impact and next s Analysis of Progree 1. MAP Data	tate and federal accreditation progress monitoring, and mea ional walkthroughs, provide r ential actions, as needed. I indicate progress towards to teps. ess (update monthly)	n levels of all schools. The asurement to evaluate the recommendations, and	
ACPS Office effectiv partici	utilizes a tiered model of monthly and qu of School Improvement will provide techr veness of these strategies. Additionally, d pate in the ongoing data-informed revision <u>Evidence</u> : Based on the action steps nce of Progress (update monthly) GLAVID checklists	arterly progress monito nical assistance for CS ivision-level personnel n of evidence-based in 5, define what measura <u>Analysis</u> : Addres we see students	bring based on the s P implementation, p will conduct instruct terventions and essent able evidence would ss impact and next s Analysis of Progree 1. MAP Data 2. Teacher an	tate and federal accreditation progress monitoring, and mea ional walkthroughs, provide i ential actions, as needed. I indicate progress towards to teps. ess (update monthly)	n levels of all schools. The asurement to evaluate the recommendations, and	
ACPS Office effectiv partici	utilizes a tiered model of monthly and qu of School Improvement will provide techr veness of these strategies. Additionally, d pate in the ongoing data-informed revision <u>Evidence</u> : Based on the action steps <u>nce of Progress (update monthly)</u> GLAVID checklists Walk throughs and observations where employing these strategies without pror	arterly progress monito nical assistance for CS ivision-level personnel n of evidence-based in a, define what measura <u>Analysis</u> : Addres we see students mpting	bring based on the s P implementation, p will conduct instruct terventions and essent able evidence would ss impact and next s Analysis of Progree 1. MAP Data 2. Teacher an 3. PLC's that	tate and federal accreditation progress monitoring, and mea ional walkthroughs, provide r ential actions, as needed. I indicate progress towards to steps. ess (update monthly)	n levels of all schools. The asurement to evaluate the recommendations, and the long-term goal.	
ACPS Office effectiv partici	utilizes a tiered model of monthly and qu of School Improvement will provide techr veness of these strategies. Additionally, d pate in the ongoing data-informed revision <u>Evidence</u> : Based on the action steps <u>ice of Progress (update monthly)</u> GLAVID checklists Walk throughs and observations where	arterly progress monito nical assistance for CS ivision-level personnel n of evidence-based in 5, define what measura <u>Analysis</u> : Addres we see students mpting ew and feedback form)	bring based on the s P implementation, p will conduct instruct terventions and essent ble evidence would ss impact and next s Analysis of Progree 1. MAP Data 2. Teacher an 3. PLC's that 4. Intentiona	tate and federal accreditation progress monitoring, and mea- ional walkthroughs, provide r ential actions, as needed. I indicate progress towards to teps. ess (update monthly) and common assessments include data talks	n levels of all schools. The asurement to evaluate the recommendations, and the long-term goal.	

Domain I: Academic Supports			Content Area: Science	
Barrier(s): EL students are not demonstrating profic	-	nited rigorous instruction	al experiences and difficult	y analyzing nonfiction text
through various instructional strategies and differer				
SMART Goal Statement: From Fall 2023 to Spring of	of 2025, 70% of EL student	s will score 400 or higher	in 8th grade Science as evid	denced by the Virginia Standards
of Learning test.				
 (Evidence-based) Strategy Name: 1. Inquiry Based Learning (IBL) - Project Based Learning Gold Standards, "Space the Learning of Science and Engineering Practices" 2. GLAD - color-chunking, visuals, and vocabulary reading strategies 3. Use AVID Strategies - Inquiry and Annotation to support understanding scientific text. 		Description: All students will participate in Project Based Learning (PBL) in their Science class with increased rigor of the content. Students will also be exposed to nonfiction text and receive strategies on how to analyze the text. Each grade leve will engage in developing at least two (2) inquiry activities in which resources are provided by the district to include lessons, materials, protocols for speaking, reading and writing as well as a common assessment of concepts learned during		tudents will also be exposed to alyze the text. Each grade level ctivities in which resources are ls, protocols for speaking,
		the activity.		
Tier of Evidence:				
Student Measure #1:		Student Measure #2:		
By 2025, 70% of all students will demonstrate profic			idents will complete an inqu	
nonfiction text, engage in differentiated experience progress on common assessments and benchmark a	-		ces during each semester, a y on district assessments (i.	
progress on common assessments and benchmark	3335351HEHL3.			e., common, benchmarkj.
Staff Measure #1:		Staff Measure #2:		
By 2025, 100% of all Science teachers will engage in			-	an inquiry activity inclusive of
meetings to analyze nonfiction selections, instruction	—		-	us instructional strategies and
differentiated assignments, common assessment da	ata and benchmark data	differentiated activities as evidenced through walkthroughs and formal		
each quarter.		observations.		
	Ac	tion Plan		
Action Steps				
(Describe the step and include who will			Position Responsible	Budget (local, state, federal
implement and how often it will be	Start of Action Step	End of Action Step	for Monitoring	funds)
implemented)				,
1. Teachers in all Science classes will	August 2024	May 2025	Science Dept. Chair	
incorporate PBL at least once per			Academic Principal	
quarter. Professional learning provided			Science Coordinator	

	at the district level will support this initiative during PL days and PLC meetings.			School Principal	
2.	Teachers will engage in inquiry and annotation workshops to include access to grade-level texts.	August 2024	May 2025	AAS Coordinator Academic Principals Reading Coach	
3.	Teachers will implement GLAD color-chunking, visuals, and vocabulary reading strategies with fidelity.	August 2024	May 2025	Academic Principals Reading Coach	
6.	GLAD training continues during PLC to focus on the reading strategies.	August 2024	May 2025	Academic Principals Reading Coach GLAD Coaches	
7.	Teachers will reinforce AVID annotation strategies in nonfiction text.	August 2024	May 2025	AAS Coordinator AVID Site Coordinator Academic Principals Reading Coach	
8.	Common assessment administrations and analyses.	August 2024	May 2025	Science Dept. Chair Academic Principal Science Coordinator	
	Local Educational Agency (LEA) Support:	Describe how the LEA	will support in implem	enting, monitoring, and	evaluating this strategy.
Office effectiv	utilizes a tiered model of monthly and qu of School Improvement will provide tech veness of these strategies. Additionally, o pate in the ongoing data-informed revisio	nical assistance for CSI livision-level personnel	P implementation, prog will conduct instructiona	ress monitoring, and mea al walkthroughs, provide r	asurement to evaluate the
	Evidence: Based on the action step		ble evidence would ind is impact and next step		the long-term goal.
Eviden	ce of Progress (update monthly)		Analysis of Progress	(update monthly)	
1.	GLAD Checklists/Strategies			to include data chats (i.e Benchmark Data Analyse	

2.	Project-Based Learning Reflection Data (updated each	2. Dept. meetings to include data chats (i.e., PBL, Common
	semester)	Assessments/Benchmark Data Analyses)
3.	Walkthroughs and In/formal Observations	
4.	Lesson/Unit Plans	
5.	Common Assessment and Benchmark Data	
6.	Benchmark Data	

Domain II: Staffing Supports						
 Barrier(s): During the past three years, the school has experied Inconsistent staff attendance has an adverse affect Special education teachers experience an imbalance 55% of staff think we provide sufficient PD opport implemented in their content area SMART Goal Statement: From Fall 2023 to June 2025, 80% sufficient professional learning opportunities, and intend to 	t on student achieve ce of SPED caseload unities at the buildi of science, math, a	ement. ds. ng level - staff want and special educatio	to see the connection from e			
(Evidence-based) Strategy Name: Tier of Evidence: Choose an Item	Description: We will create a coordinated effort to recruit, hire, and support high quality teachers					
Student Measure #1: 100% of students will have a highly math, and SPED teacher.	qualified science,	Student Measure	#2:			
Staff Measure #1: 80% of science, math, sped teachers will indicate that they		Staff Measure #2: 80% of science, math, sped teachers will commit to returning for SY25-26				
	Ac	tion Plan				
Action Steps (Describe the step and include who will implement and how often it will be implemented) Step		End of Action Step	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Budget (local, state, federal funds)		
Fully staff all sped, science, and math positions	Spring '24	August '24				
Review caseloads of all SPED teachers to ensure equity	August '24	August '24				

Reconstitute sunshine committee to celebrate staff	August '24	August '24		
Create teacher survey to monitor their well-being,				
knowledge of supports, and needs				
Monthly new teacher meeting with check-ins	August '24	June '25		
regarding their well-being and need for support				
Quarterly survey to moderate needs of group	August '24	May '25		
Coordinate and communicate content specific pd options	August '24	June '25		
Collaborate with science and math curriculum	August '24	June '25		
specialists to provide ongoing coaching and support				
to content teachers				
Develop teacher-led committees to provide	September '24	June '25		
opportunities for teacher voice in the				
decision-making process				
Local Educational Agency (LEA) Support: Desc	ibe how the LEA	will support in im	plementing, monitoring, a	nd evaluating this strategy.
Evidence: Based on the action steps, defi	ne what measura	ble evidence wou	uld indicate progress towar	ds the long-term goal.
	Analysis: Addres	s impact and nex	t steps.	
Evidence of Progress (update monthly)	Analysis of Prog	gress (update monthly)		
Quarterly Survey regarding staff well-being and their	needs			

Domain III: Professional Learning Supports (Not Required 24-25 School Year)

Barrier(s):				
SMART Goal Statement:				
(Evidence-based) Strategy Name: Tier of Evidence: Choose an Item		Description:		
Student Measure #1:		Student Measure	#2:	
Staff Measure #1:		Staff Measure #2:	:	
	Act	tion Plan		
Action Steps (Describe the step and include who will implement and how often it will be implemented)		End of Action Step	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Budget (local, state, federal funds)
Local Educational Agency (LEA) Support: Descr	ibe how the LEA v	will support in im	plementing, monitoring, a	nd evaluating this strategy.
Evidence: Based on the action steps, defined		ble evidence wou s impact and next		ds the long-term goal.
Evidence of Progress (update monthly)		ress (update monthly)		

Domain IV: School Climate Supports - Special Educat	ion Attendance				
Barrier(s):1. Students with disabilities are disproportionately susp2. Teachers lack the skills to de-escalate student behave		1			
SMART Goal Statement : From Fall 2023 to June 2025, stud schoolwide rate as of May 2024)	lents with disabiliti	es' chronically abse	nt rate will drop from 13% (Ma	ay of SY23-24) to 8% (the	
(Evidence-based) Strategy Name:		Description:			
1. PBIS			sitive incentives for students w	ho demonstrate improved and/or	
2. LEAP Attendance Program		strong att	endance		
3. Reboot Kid Talk w/ specific attendance referral pro	cess	2. Attendan	ce System - research-based ho	me visiting program	
4. Deescalation strategies for all teachers			•	referral process - explicit process for	
		-		ntability for each staff member to	
Tier of Evidence: Choose an Item			report attendance concerns.	- collaborate with the Office of	
			-	liver professional development for	
			n how to deescalate student b	•	
Student Measure #1: 1. 92% of students with disabilities will attend more t	han 90% of the		udents will qualify for a PBIS a	ttendance celebration at some	
school days during each quarter			ing the SY24-25.		
Staff Measure #1:		Staff Measure #2		the stand for a stand state of states and	
1. 100% of staff members will take attendance on a c	ally basis	 Staff will celebrate students with perfect and improved attendance quarterly 			
	Ac	tion Plan			
Action Steps (Describe the step and include who will implement and how often it will be implemented)	Start of Action Step	End of Action Step	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Budget (local, state, federal funds)	
Convene The Francis C. Hammond Attendance			All In Attendance Liaison		
Committee			Special Education		
			Accountability Specialist		
			Social Workers		
			Student Support and		
			Intervention Specialist		
			Academic Principals		

			School Principal			
Update the Kid Talk Protocol	June '24	August '24	Director of School			
			Counseling			
			School Principal			
Train staff to complement the Kid Talk Protocol	August '24		Director of School			
			Counseling			
			School Principal			
Provide a clear protocol for taking attendance and	June '24	August '24	Principal			
communicating concerns to Student Support Team			Academic Principals			
			School Principal			
Create a PBIS reward system to reinforce strong	August '24		Deans of Students			
attendance			Academic Principals			
			PBIS Committee			
			School Principal			
Develop a calendar for PBIS celebrations	August '24		Deans of Students			
	pre-service		Academic Principals			
	week		PBIS Committee			
			School Principal			
Develop and deliver deescalation professional	June '24	August '24	Deans of Students			
development workshops			Office of Student			
			Services and Equity			
			School Principal			
Implement the LEAP program	August '24	June '25	Social Workers			
			School Principal			
Local Educational Agency (LEA) Support: Desc	ribe how the LE	A will support in i	mplementing, monitoring, and evaluating this strategy.			
Office of School Improvement will provide technical	assistance for CS on-level personne	SIP implementatio	ne state and federal accreditation levels of all schools. The n, progress monitoring, and measurement to evaluate the ructional walkthroughs, provide recommendations, and essential actions, as needed.			
			ould indicate progress towards the long-term goal.			
		ess impact and ne				

Evidence of Progress (update monthly)

Analysis of Progress (update monthly)

Domain IV: School Climate Supports - Students' Sens	e of Safety			
 Barrier(s): 1. Students consistently misuse technology. 2. Students exhibit a lack of conflict resolution 3. There is a lack of home/school collaboration SMART Goal Statement: From Fall 2023 to Spring 2025 equals 	uity survey, we will	see an increase from	m 58% to 70% on the survey i	ndicator "I feel safe at school."
 (Evidence-based) Strategy Name: 1. Restorative Practices (Students & teachers) 2. Student safety-focused parent engagement 3. Seal lessons to teach conflict resolution and PBIS e 4. Teacher professional development to teach de-esca Tier of Evidence: 	 Description: August Professional Development and refresher training throughout the year. Periodic meetings throughout the year. Topics will include appropriate technology usage, Restorative Practices, substance abuse and any other topic from the survey students stated made them feel unsafe. Seal lessons to teach conflict resolution and PBIS expectations 			
Student Measure #1: After each administration of the qua safety survey, more students will indicate they feel safe at s	 4. Collaborate with the Office of Student Services and Equity for training Student Measure #2: 100% of students will indicate that they have participated in a community building circle/activity on the quarterly school safety survey. 			
Staff Measure #1: Using the SEAL walkthrough checklist, 7! successfully implement SEAL lessons in Advisory Class.	Staff Measure #2: By the Spring 2025 equity survey, we will see an increase from 60% to 70% on the survey indicator "Students feel safe at school" responses by the teachers.			
	Ac	tion Plan		
Action Steps (Describe the step and include who will implement and how often it will be implemented)	Start of Action Step	End of Action Step	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Budget (local, state, federal funds)
End-of-year safety survey	May 2024	June 2024	Deans of Students	

			School Principal			
Parent training(s)	Ongoing	Ongoing	Dean of students with support Family Liaison			
			School Principal			
Teacher Professional Development	Ongoing	Ongoing	Dean of Students with			
-Deescalation			support			
-Restorative Practice			School Principal, Central Office Staff			
-Positive classroom management to include MTSS			Office Staff			
Tier identification						
Quarterly safety survey	August 2024	August	Dean of Students			
		2024-June 2025	School Principal			
Summer Advisory/SEL Curriculum Writing	July 2024	June 2025	Academic Principal			
			Lead Teachers			
Communicate with SWD to build positive	August '24		Deans of Students			
relationships and gather resources at the Hammond			Academic Principals			
Welcome Back Carnival			PBIS Committee			
			School Principal			
Local Educational Agency (LEA) Support: Descr	ribe how the LEA	will support in im	plementing, monitoring, a	nd evaluating this strategy.		
ACPS utilizes a tiered model of monthly and quarterly progress monitoring based on the state and federal accreditation levels of all schools. The Office of School Improvement will provide technical assistance for CSIP implementation, progress monitoring, and measurement to evaluate the effectiveness of these strategies. Additionally, division-level personnel will conduct instructional walkthroughs, provide recommendations, and participate in the ongoing data-informed revision of evidence-based interventions and essential actions, as needed.						
Evidence: Based on the action steps, define what measurable evidence would indicate progress towards the long-term goal.						
	Analysis: Address					
Evidence of Progress (update monthly)		Analysis of Prog	gress (update monthly)			
Quarterly survey						