

| Name | School | Grade | Course/Subject | Number of Students | Interval of Instruction |
|---|--------------------|-------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| | Orange High School | 9-12 | Biology Content | | September 2017 to |
| | | | | | March 2018 |
| Standards, Rationale, and Assessment Method | | | | | |

NEW JERSEY CORE CURRICULUM CONTENT STANDARDS – SCIENCE K-12

Rationale

Biology investigates patterns, processes and relationships among organisms. The core concepts are powerful conceptual tools for making sense of the complexity, diversity, and interconnectedness of life on Earth. Order in natural systems arises in accordance with rules that govern the physical world, and the order of natural systems can be modeled and predicted through the use of mathematics. A lab-based/inquiry biology course is structured so that students actively engage in scientific and engineering practices and apply crosscutting concepts to deepen their understanding of the core ideas. The learning experiences provided for students should engage them with fundamental questions about the world and with how scientists have investigated and found answers to those questions. Students should have the opportunity to carry out scientific investigations and engineering design projects related to the disciplinary core ideas in life sciences (pp. 8-9, NRC, 2012).

Standards

HS-LS1 From Molecules to Organisms: Structures and Processes

HS-LS1-5 Use a model to illustrate how photosynthesis transforms light energy into stored chemical energy.

HS-LS1-6 Construct and revise an explanation based on evidence for how carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen from sugar molecules may combine with other elements to form amino acids and/or other large carbon-based molecules.

HS-LS1-7 Use a model to illustrate that cellular respiration is a chemical process whereby the bonds of food molecules and oxygen molecules are broken and the bonds in new compounds are formed resulting in a net transfer of energy.

HS-LS2 Ecosystems: Interaction, Energy and Dynamics

HS-LS2-1 Use mathematical and/or computational representations to support explanations of factors that affect carrying capacity of ecosystems at different scales.

HS-LS2-2 Use mathematical representations to support and revise explanations based on evidence about factors affecting biodiversity and populations in ecosystems of different scales.

HS-LS2-3 Construct and revise an explanation based on evidence for the cycling of matter and flow of energy in aerobic and anaerobic conditions.

HS-LS2-4 Use mathematical representations to support claims for the cycling of matter and flow of energy among organisms in an ecosystem.

HS-LS2-5 Develop a model to illustrate the role of photosynthesis and cellular respiration in the cycling of carbon among the biosphere, atmosphere, hydrosphere, and geosphere.

HS-LS2-6 Evaluate the claims, evidence, and reasoning that the complex interactions in ecosystems maintain relatively consistent numbers and types of organisms in stable conditions, but changing conditions may result in a new ecosystem.

HS-LS2-7 Design, evaluate, and refine a solution for reducing the impacts of human activities on the environment and biodiversity.

HS-LS2-8 Evaluate the evidence for the role of group behavior on individual and species' chances to survive and reproduce.

HS-LS 3 Heredity: Inheritance and Variation of Traits

HS-LS3-1 Ask questions to clarify relationships about the role of DNA and chromosomes in coding the instructions for characteristic traits passed from parents to offspring.

HS-LS3-2 Make and defend a claim based on evidence that inheritable genetic variations may result from: (1) new genetic combinations through meiosis, (2) viable errors occurring during replication, and/or (3) mutations caused by environmental factors.

HS-LS4 Biological Evolution: Unity and Diversity

HS-LS4-1 Communicate scientific information that common ancestry and biological evolution are supported by multiple lines of empirical evidence.

HS-LS4-2 Construct an explanation based on evidence that the process of evolution primarily results from four factors: (1) the potential for a species to increase in number, (2) the heritable genetic variation of individuals in a species due to mutation and sexual reproduction, (3) competition for limited resources, and (4) the proliferation of those organisms that are better able to survive and reproduce in the environment.

HS-LS4-3 Apply concepts of statistics and probability to support explanations that organisms with an advantageous heritable trait tend to increase in proportion to organisms lacking this trait.

HS-LS4-4 Construct an explanation based on evidence for how natural selection leads to adaptation of populations.

HS-LS4-5 Evaluate the evidence supporting claims that changes in environmental conditions may result in: (1) increases in the number of individuals of some species, (2) the emergence of new species over time, and (3) the extinction of other species.

HS-LS4-6. Create or revise a simulation to test a solution to mitigate adverse impacts of human activity on biodiversity.

Assessment Method

Authentic Assessments throughout the year will be used to measure students' growth (including from Discovery Education and NGSS-aligned assessments). The assessments will consist of selected content understanding tasks and performance tasks that reflect higher levels of cognitive complexity.

Starting Points and Preparedness Groupings

Students will be tiered as determined by a data point systems the uses 3 points of data. Each tier group will be assigned a target level.

| Data Measures used to Establish Baselines 2016-2017 Final Grade; weight (. 35) Science Pre-Assessment; weight (.35) Labs; weight (.30) | | | |
|---|----------------|--|--|
| Preparedness Group | Baseline Score | | |
| Tier 1 | < 0.35 | | |
| Tier 2 | 0.35 – 0.55 | | |
| Tier 3 | 0.55 – 0.75 | | |
| Tier 4 | > 0.75 | | |
| Student Growth Objective | | | |

By March 2018, 70% of students in each preparedness group will meet their assigned target command level for full attainment of the objective as shown in the scoring plan.

| Preparedness Group (e.g. 1,2,3) | Number of Students in Each Group | Target Command Level on SGO Assessment Portfolio |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Tier 1 | | 2 |
| Tier 2 | | 3 |
| Tier 3 | | 4 |
| Tier 4 | | 4 or 5 ¹ |

Scoring Plan

State the projected scores for each group and what percentage/number of students will meet this target at each attainment level. Modify the table as needed.

| Preparedness Group | Student Target Command Level | Teacher SGO Score Based on Percent of Students Achieving Target Score | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | Exceptional (4) >80% | Full (3) 70-80% | Partial (2) 50-69% | Insufficient (1) <50% | |
| | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 | 2 | | | | | |
| Tier 2 | 3 | | | | | |
| Tier 3 | 4 | | | | | |
| Tier 4 | 4 or 5 | | | | | |
| Approval of Student Growth Objective Administrator approves scoring plan and assessment used to measure student learning. | | | | | | |

¹ It is expected that students in Tier 4 maintain a level of strong command or grow to distinguished command.

| Teacher | Signature | | | Date Submitted | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------|--|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Evaluator | Signature | | | Date Approved | |
| Results of Student Gro | wth Objective | | | | |
| Summarize results usin | g weighted average | as appropriate. De | elete and add colur | nns and rows as nee | eded. |
| Preparedness Group | Students at Target Score | Teacher SGO Score | Weight (based on students per group) | Weighted Score | Total Teacher SGO Score |
| Tier 1 | | | | | |
| Tier 2 | | | | | |
| Tier 3 | | | | | |
| Tier 4 | | | | | |
| Notes Describe any changes made to SGO after initial approval, e.g. because of changes in student population, other unforeseen circumstances, etc. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Review SGO at Annual Conference Describe successes and challenges, lessons learned from SGO about teaching and student learning, and steps to improve SGOs for next year. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Teacher | | Signature | | Da | |
| Evaluator | | Signature | | Dat | te |