Certificated Teacher Focused Summative Scoring Document Criterion 4: Marzano Framework

The purpose of this document is to capture the progress over the course of the year and to facilitate a growth-focused conversation.

Teacher:	Grade Level(s):	Date:	
Evaluator: Comprehensive	District/School:	School Year:	
Evaluation Score*:	From School Year:		

Criterion 4: Providing clear and intentional focus on subject matter content and curriculum

	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
Component 4.1: Attention to established content standards	The teacher does not demonstrate adequate knowledge of the subject and/or the standards for the subject.	The teacher demonstrates an acceptable but incomplete knowledge of the subject and/or the standards for the subject.	The teacher demonstrates a comprehensive knowledge of the subject and the standards for the subject.	The teacher is a recognized leader in helping others understand the subject and/or the standards for the subject.

^{*}The final focus summative rating will be the same as the Comprehensive score unless it is a 3 and evidence during this evaluation period indicates a level 4 practice.

	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
Component 4.2: Use of available resources and technology	The teacher makes no attempt to perform this activity, or the teacher attempts to perform this activity but does not actually complete or follow through with these attempts.	The teacher identifies the available materials that can enhance student understanding but does not clearly identify or describe the manner in which they will be used.	The teacher identifies the available materials that can enhance student understanding and the manner in which they will be used.	The teacher is a recognized leader in helping others plan and prepare for the use of available materials, including technology.
3.1: Establish Student Growth Goals	The teacher does not develop a student growth goal for a group of students within a class who are not yet reaching full learning potential. OR The teacher does not explain how the knowledge of individual students informed the goal. The goal does not address an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level. The goal does not require students' cognitive or emotional engagement.	The teacher develops a student growth goal for a group of students within a class who are not yet reaching full learning potential. The teacher explains how the knowledge of students informed the goal for the students as a group, but not as individuals. The goal addresses an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level. The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement. The teacher communicates the goal to students' families.	The teacher develops a student growth goal for a group of students, within a class, who are not yet reaching full learning potential. The teacher explains how the knowledge of individual students informed the goal in specific ways. The goal addresses an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level. The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement. The teacher seeks and considers, when provided, input from students' families in developing the	The teacher develops a student growth goal for a group of students, within a class, who are not yet reaching full learning potential. The teacher explains how the knowledge of individual students informed the goal in specific ways. The goal addresses an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level. The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement. The teacher seeks and considers, when provided, input

	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
	The teacher does not communicate with students'		goal.	from students' families in developing the goal.
	families about the goal.			The teacher explains to supervisor how the goal yields opportunities for leadership or for collaboration with colleagues to advance their own or their collective professional learning.
3.2: Achievement of Student Growth Goal(s)	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal from only summative assessments.	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both formative and summative assessments .	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both formative and summative assessments.	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both formative and summative assessments .
	There is no evidence of student engagement in assessment of their own progress.	There is limited evidence of student engagement in assessment of their own progress.	There is evidence of student engagement in assessment and student monitoring of their own progress.	There is evidence of student engagement in assessment and student monitoring of their own progress.
	There is no evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning.	There is limited or no evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning.	There is evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning.	There is evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning.
	The teacher does not provide evidence of reflecting on how the learning activities and	The teacher reflects with supervisor on student learning progress overall, but not for specific students. The reflection may not establish how the	The teacher reflects with supervisor on the learning progress for the individual students in this group; the reflection includes an analysis of how the learning activities and	The teacher reflects with supervisor on learning progress for the individual students in this group; the reflection includes an analysis of how the

	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
	instructional decisions impacted student learning progress. The teacher does not identify the next steps for instruction OR the next steps are uninformed by information about students' progress.	learning activities and instructional decisions impacted student progress. The teacher's identified next steps for instruction are uninformed by student progress and students' experience of learning.	instructional decisions impacted student progress. The teacher uses information from student progress and students' experience of learning to guide their next steps for instruction.	learning activities and instructional decisions impacted student progress. The teacher uses information from student progress and students' experience of learning to: • Guide their next steps for instruction, and • Effect changes in instructional practice or professional learning beyond their own classroom or context.
6.1: Establish Student Growth Goal(s)	The teacher does not develop a student growth goal. OR The teacher does not explain how the knowledge of students informed the goal. The goal does not address an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level.	The teacher develops a student growth goal for students in one grade level or class. The teacher uses a single data element to explain how the knowledge of students informed the goal. The goal addresses an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level.	The teacher develops a student growth goal for students in one grade level or class. The teacher uses multiple data elements to explain how the knowledge of students informed the goal. The goal addresses an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level. The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement.	The teacher develops a student growth goal for students in one grade level or class. The teacher uses multiple data elements to explain how the knowledge of students informed the goal. The goal addresses an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level. The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement.

	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
	The goal does not require students' cognitive or emotional engagement.	The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement.		The teacher explains to supervisor how the goal yields opportunities for leadership or for collaboration with colleagues to advance their own or their collective professional learning.
6.2: Achievement of Student Growth Goal(s)	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal from only summative assessments.	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both formative and summative assessments .	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both formative and summative assessments.	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both formative and summative assessments .
	There is no evidence of student engagement in assessment of their own progress.	There is limited evidence of student engagement in assessment of their own progress.	There is evidence of student engagement in assessment and student monitoring of their own progress.	There is evidence of student engagement in assessment and student monitoring of their own progress.
	There is no evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the	There is limited or no evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning.	There is evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning.	There is evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning.
	Iearning. The teacher does not provide evidence of taking responsibility for student learning progress. The teacher does not identify the next steps for	The teacher reflects with supervisor on student learning progress generally. The reflection may be missing an analysis of why students did or did not make progress, and/or	The teacher reflects with supervisor on the learning progress for three groups of students: Students who • exceeded the learning goal • met or nearly met the learning goal	The teacher reflects with supervisor on the learning progress for three groups of students: Students who • exceeded the learning goal • met or nearly met the learning goal

Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
instruction OR the next steps are uninformed by information about students' progress.	next steps for groups of students or individuals. The teacher's identified next steps for instruction are uninformed by student progress and students' experience of learning.	 did not yet meet the learning goal The reflection includes analysis of why students did or did not make progress, and next steps for each group. The teacher uses information from student progress and students' experience of learning to guide their next steps for instruction. 	 did not yet meet the learning goal The teacher uses information from student progress and students' experience of learning to: Guide their next steps for instruction, and Effect changes in instructional practice or professional learning beyond their own classroom or context.

Reflective Questions:	
As we look toward next year, do you feel that your pro	actice would be enhanced by continuing with this criterion? Or, might it be time to
consider further developing your skills with a different	t criterion?
Given your response to the previous question, what su	upports can I, as your evaluator, provide for you to maximize your growth next year?
Both signatures required. Signing of this instrument ack	nowledges participation in but not necessarily concurrence with the evaluation. (Attach
teacher comments if desired.)	
Freshington Cinnatum	Date
Evaluator Signature:	Date:
Employee Signature:	Date: