

Full Write • Claim 2 Explanatory • Target 4 • Grades 6–11 Organization/Purpose

4 Points	3 Points	2 Points	1 Point	No Score (NS)
The response has a clear and effective organizational structure, creating a sense of unity and completeness. The organization is fully sustained between and within paragraphs. The response is consistently and purposefully focused:	The response has an evident organizational structure and a sense of completeness. Though there may be minor flaws, they do not interfere with the overall coherence. The organization is adequately sustained between and within paragraphs. The response is generally focused:	The response has an inconsistent organizational structure. Some flaws are evident, and some ideas may be loosely connected. The organization is somewhat sustained between and within paragraphs. The response may have a minor drift in focus:	The response has little or no discernible organizational structure. The response may be related to the topic but may provide little or no focus:	 Insufficient (includes copied text) In a language other than English Off-topic Off-purpose
 thesis/controlling idea of a topic is clearly communicated, and the focus is strongly maintained for the purpose and audience 	 thesis/controlling idea of a topic is clear, and the focus is mostly maintained for the purpose and audience 	 thesis/controlling idea of a topic may be somewhat unclear, or the focus may be insufficiently sustained for the purpose and/or audience 	 thesis/controlling idea may be confusing or ambiguous; response may be too brief or the focus may drift from the purpose and/or audience 	
 consistent use of a variety of transitional strategies to clarify the relationships between and among ideas 	 adequate use of transitional strategies with some variety to clarify the relationships between and among ideas 	 inconsistent use of transitional strategies and/or little variety 	 few or no transitional strategies are evident 	
 effective introduction and conclusion 	 adequate introduction and conclusion 	 introduction or conclusion, if present, may be weak 	 introduction and/or conclusion may be missing 	
 logical progression of ideas from beginning to end; strong connections between and among ideas with some syntactic variety 	 adequate progression of ideas from beginning to end; adequate connections between and among ideas 	 uneven progression of ideas from beginning to end; and/or formulaic; inconsistent or unclear connections between and among ideas 	 frequent extraneous ideas maybe evident; ideas may be randomly ordered or have an unclear progression 	
 thesis/controlling idea of a topic is clearly communicated, and the focus is strongly maintained for the purpose and audience 	 thesis/controlling idea of a topic is clear, and the focus is mostly maintained for the purpose and audience 	 thesis/controlling idea of a topic may be somewhat unclear, or the focus may be insufficiently sustained for the purpose and/or audience 	 thesis/controlling idea may be confusing or ambiguous; response may be too brief or the focus may drift from the purpose and/or audience 	

This document contains materials that are copyrighted by the Regents of the University of California and/or independent publishers. Do not reproduce for commercial purposes. 05/08/14



Full Write • Claim 2 Explanatory • Target 4 • Grades 6–11 Evidence/Elaboration

4 Points	3 Points	2 Points	1 Point	No Score (NS)
The response provides thorough elaboration of the support/ evidence for the thesis/ controlling idea that includes the effective use of source material. The response clearly and effectively develops ideas, using precise language:	The response provides adequate elaboration of the support/ evidence for the thesis/ controlling idea that includes the use of source material. The response adequately develops ideas, employing a mix of precise and more general language:	The response provides uneven, cursory elaboration of the support/evidence for the thesis/controlling idea that includes uneven or limited use of source material. The response develops ideas unevenly, using simplistic language:	The response provides minimal elaboration of the support/ evidence for the thesis/ controlling idea that includes little or no use of source material. The response is vague, lacks clarity, or is confusing:	 Insufficient(includes copied text) In a language other than English Off-topic Off-purpose
 comprehensive evidence (facts and details) from the source material is integrated, relevant, and specific 	 adequate evidence (facts and details) from the source material is integrated and relevant, yet may be general 	 some evidence (facts and details) from the source material may be weakly integrated, imprecise, repetitive, vague, and/or copied 	 evidence (facts and details) from the source material is minimal, irrelevant, absent, incorrectly used, or predominantly copied 	
clear citations or attribution to source material	adequate use of citations or attribution to source material	weak use of citations or attribution to source material	insufficient use of citations or attribution to source material	
 effective use of a variety of elaborative techniques* 	adequate use of some elaborative techniques*	 weak or uneven use of elaborative techniques*; development may consist primarily of source summary 	 minimal, if any, use of elaborative techniques* 	
 vocabulary is clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose 	 vocabulary is generally appropriate for the audience and purpose 	 vocabulary use is uneven or somewhat ineffective for the audience and purpose 	 vocabulary is limited or ineffective for the audience and purpose 	
 effective, appropriate style enhances content 	generally appropriate style is evident	 inconsistent or weak attempt to create appropriate style 	little or no evidence of appropriate style	

This document contains materials that are copyrighted by the Regents of the University of California and/or independent publishers. Do not reproduce for commercial purposes. 05/08/14



Full Write • Claim 2 Narrative • Informational/Explanatory • Opinion/Argumentative Conventions • Grades 3–11

2 Points	1 Point	0 Points	No Score (NS)
The response demonstrates an adequate command of conventions:	The response demonstrates a partial command of conventions:	The response demonstrates little or no command of conventions:	 Insufficient (includes copied text)
 adequate use of correct sentence formation, punctuation, capitalization, grammar usage, and spelling 	 limited use of correct sentence formation, punctuation, capitalization, grammar usage, and spelling 	 infrequent use of correct sentence formation, punctuation, capitalization, grammar usage, and spelling 	In a language other than EnglishOff-topicOff-purpose

Holistic Scoring:

- Variety: A range of errors includes sentence formation, punctuation, capitalization, grammar usage, and spelling
- Severity: Basic errors are more heavily weighted than higher-level errors.
- **Density:** The proportion of errors to the amount of writing done well. This includes the ratio of errors to the length of the piece.