

Autism assessment in children with optic nerve hypoplasia and other vision impairments

MARIAN E WILLIAMS¹ | CASSANDRA FINK² | IRINA ZAMORA³ | MARK BORCHERT²

1 Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA; **2** The Vision Center, Children's Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA; **3** University of Southern California University Center for Excellence in Developmental Disabilities, Children's Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA.

Correspondence to Dr Marian E Williams, University of Southern California University Center for Excellence in Developmental Disabilities, Children's Hospital Los Angeles, 4650 Sunset Blvd, MS 53, Los Angeles CA 90027, USA. E-mail: mwilliams@chla.usc.edu

PUBLICATION DATA

Accepted for publication 12th July 2013.

Published online

ABBREVIATIONS

ADI-R	Autism Diagnostic Interview, Revised
ADOS	Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule
ASD	Autism spectrum disorder
ONH	Optic nerve hypoplasia

AIM This study examined the utility of standard autism diagnostic measures in nine children (aged 5–9y) with severe vision impairment and a range of social and language functioning.

METHOD The Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS) and the Autism Diagnostic Interview, Revised (ADI-R) were systematically modified and used to assess symptoms of autism in children with vision less than or equal to 20/800, the majority of whom had optic nerve hypoplasia. The results of the assessments, including analysis of symptom patterns, were compared with expert autism diagnoses.

RESULTS Modified autism measures demonstrated good agreement with clinical diagnoses. Symptoms found to be most and least reliable in discriminating autism from behaviors common to most children with congenital vision impairment are described. Comparisons of current behavior with parent-reported behaviors from a younger age suggested that some symptoms of autism in very young children who are congenitally blind may improve with age.

INTERPRETATION The ADOS and ADI-R are useful for clinical assessment and for advancing research efforts to understand autism symptoms in children with vision impairment. However, some autistic symptoms in very young children may change over time, and developmental changes should be closely monitored.

Symptoms suggestive of autism are often described in children with congenital vision impairment. Keeler¹ first described autistic characteristics in five children with retinopathy of prematurity; similar reports have been described over the past 50 years.^{2–6} Fraiberg⁴ used the term 'blindisms' to describe repetitive, stereotyped behaviors common in congenitally blind children, such as eye rubbing, hand movements (flapping, posturing), rocking, and rhythmic swaying.⁴ Brown et al.² described autistic features prevalent in congenitally blind children, including atypical exploration of new objects (touch, smell), pronoun reversal, limited imaginative play, and self-stimulatory motor behaviors.² These stereotypic behaviors are not confined to those children with intellectual impairment.^{2,4} Clinicians and researchers have debated to what extent these characteristics can be attributed solely to congenital vision impairment as opposed to indicating an autism diagnosis.^{7,8} Most children with vision impairment have additional medical and developmental comorbidities which further complicate the diagnostic picture.⁹ This is particularly true in children with optic nerve hypoplasia (ONH), the leading ocular cause of vision impairment.¹⁰ Research addressing the prevalence and developmental course of autism symptoms in children with congenital vision

impairment has been hampered by the lack of reliable and valid measures for assessing autism in this population.

Guidelines for autism intervention advise beginning treatment as early as possible;¹¹ however, often, there is difficulty obtaining a comprehensive clinical assessment and appropriate services for children with vision impairment who also have autism.¹² It is essential to identify and validate autism diagnostic tools so that clinicians can accurately diagnose autism in children with vision impairment and initiate appropriate interventions. Research to test typical autism interventions in children with vision impairment is minimal, consisting entirely of small case series;^{13,14} better consensus about appropriate methods of diagnosis of autism in this population will aid in the design of more rigorous intervention studies.

Investigators and clinicians have independently modified existing autism measures when assessing children with vision impairment. Modifications exclude items specific to visual responsiveness and adjust cut-off scores in the Childhood Autism Rating Scale,^{2,15–18} Autism Behavior Checklist,¹⁸ and Social Responsiveness Scale.¹⁹ However, the validity of modifications has not been tested, and they only address items that are directly vision dependent (e.g. eye contact) and not behaviors that may be an indirect result

of vision impairment. One published study of autism and vision impairment used retrospective chart data including the Autism Diagnostic Interview, Revised (ADI-R),²⁰ but without information about whether modifications were made, or specific findings about the ADI-R symptom profile of children with vision impairment both with and without autism.¹² No published studies of children with vision impairment have used the 'criterion standard' observational measure, the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS; ADOS-2).^{21,22}

This pilot study systematically tested the utility of autism diagnostic measures in children with severe vision impairment with a range of social and language functioning. The objectives were (1) to determine if clinicians can reliably diagnose autism in children with severe vision impairment using modified ADOS and ADI-R measures; (2) to identify specific items on diagnostic measures that correspond with a clinical diagnosis of autism; and (3) to identify symptoms that may be common in all or most children with severe vision impairment and therefore could be less useful in diagnosing autism in this population.

METHOD

Participants

Nine children aged 5 to 9 years with severe vision impairment were recruited between May 2009 and September 2011 by a neuro-ophthalmologist at a major children's hospital, where all data were collected. Inclusion criteria were that children must have severe vision impairment, be ambulatory and be English-speaking. Severe vision impairment was defined as best corrected visual acuity of 20/800 (meaning the ability to see at 20 feet what a person with typical vision can see at 800 feet) or worse. An experienced pediatric neuro-ophthalmologist assessed visual acuity using methods described previously.²³ For children with limited communication, vision was assessed behaviorally and inclusion was based on an inability to fixate or pursue 6-inch toys as close as one foot. Since valid ADOS administration requires children to be ambulatory, children with significant motor impairment including cerebral palsy were excluded. In order to have an adequate sample to test the autism measures, the investigators purposefully selected individuals from a practice in which most of the blind children have ONH, a condition believed to predispose to autism.¹² Seven children were congenitally blind as a result of ONH. One child developed optic atrophy after an infection at the age of 4 years. Another child developed vision impairment at the age of 5 years as a result of a head trauma that led to subretinal hemorrhages, retinal gliosis, and cataract. Significant medical history was abstracted from the medical record and participant characteristics are presented in Table I.

Measures

Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule

The ADOS is a semistructured, standardized observational assessment of communication, social interaction, and

What this paper adds

- This study demonstrates the use of modified autism measures in children with vision impairment.
- An analysis of autism symptom patterns in congenital severe vision impairment is provided.
- Developmental changes in children with congenital severe vision impairment are shown.

play.²¹ After consultation with experts on children with vision impairment and approval from the publisher, specific modifications were made regarding (1) free play, where toys with interesting sounds and textures were added to the standard set; (2) the construction task, where an inset shape puzzle was substituted for the standard puzzle; (3) the description of picture, where a zoo scene with raised and textured pieces was substituted for the standard picture. The assessor named each animal as the child felt it, then used the standard ADOS prompts to ask the child to talk about the animals; and (4) telling a story from a book, where a Braille children's book²⁴ was substituted for the standard book.

The ADOS-2²² was published after the children were assessed. It is functionally equivalent to the ADOS except for updated diagnostic algorithms; codes were transposed onto the ADOS-2 algorithms to compare algorithms for use with this population.

Autism Diagnostic Interview, Revised

The ADI-R is a semistructured, comprehensive diagnostic interview conducted with a parent or caregiver, focused on communication, reciprocal social interactions, and repetitive and stereotyped behaviors/interests.²⁰ It includes symptoms occurring in the present as well as a retrospective report of symptoms at the age of 4 years. After consultation with experts on children with vision impairment and approval from the publisher, specific modifications were made regarding (1) the onset of symptoms, where symptoms other than vision impairment (developmental, communication, or social concerns) were focused on; and (2) items involving vision, where references to vision were deleted, but examples related to other sensory modalities were retained, or questions were modified to fit children with vision impairment (e.g. changing of 'what does s/he do if someone else smiles at her/him?' to 'what does s/he do if someone says something nice to her/him?').

Fourteen ADI-R items were modified; specific details are available in electronic supporting materials (see Data S1). Changes in scoring procedures for ADOS and ADI-R are discussed under 'Procedures'.

Developmental level

Since language level is critical in determining the appropriate ADOS module and in interpreting symptoms of autism spectrum disorder (ASD), and there are no measures of other aspects of development validated on children with vision impairment in this age group, assessment of children's level of development focused on language. The ADOS was videotaped and transcribed. Mean length of

Table 1: Characteristics of participants

ID	Age (y)	Sex	Ethnicity	Vision diagnosis	Best visual acuity	Language level	History
1	5	F	Latina	ONH	NLP	1	Congenital VI, GHD, hypothyroid, CCH, absent SP, global developmental delay
2	5	M	Asian	ONH	LP	1	Congenital VI, GHD, hypothyroid, cortisol deficiency, no structural brain abnormalities, global developmental delay, seizures
3	5	F	Latina	ONH	NLP	3	Congenital VI, GHD, DI, cortisol deficiency, no structural brain abnormalities, global developmental delay
4	7	M	Latino	ONH	LP	3	Congenital VI, not tested for endocrinopathies, parent-reported SOD on MRI, 'academic delays'
5	6	F	Latina	ONH	Inaccurate reach for 2-inch toy at 1 foot using both eyes; only LP in either eye individually	4	Congenital VI, GHD, CCH, global developmental delay, seizures
6	9	M	Latino	ONH	LP in right eye; poor fixation on 6 inch toy at 1 foot in left eye	4	Congenital VI, GHD, CCH, absent SP, global developmental delay (at 5y of age)
7	7	F	African-American	ONH	LP in right eye; 1/800 with left eye	4	Congenital VI; child abuse/neglect up to 5y of age, no other known abnormalities
8	5	M	Caucasian	Optic atrophy	20/1000 in right eye; LP in left eye	4	VI caused by infection and papilledema at 4y of age, sigmoid sinus thrombosis on MRI
9	5	M	Latino	Left eye: cataract. Right eye: retinal gliosis. Both: subretinal hemorrhage	Motion perception	4	VI caused by abuse/head trauma at 5y of age; no other known abnormalities

F, female; ONH, optic nerve hypoplasia; NLP, no light perception; VI, vision impairment; GHD, growth hormone deficiency; CCH, corpus callosum hypoplasia; SP, septum pellucidum; M, male; LP, light perception only; DI, diabetes insipidus; SOD, septo optic dysplasia; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

utterance and additional information about language on the ADOS was used to determine language phase, following procedures outlined in Tager-Flusberg et al.²⁵ This rating provided an estimate of developmental functioning.

Procedures

Ethical approval for this study was provided by the Committee on Clinical Investigations (IRB). After obtaining informed consent, the modified ADOS and ADI-R were administered and scored by the first author, a licensed psychologist with research certification in both measures. The first and third authors independently made a clinical diagnosis of ASD based on the DSM-IV-TR criteria, using clinical impressions obtained through independent review of the child's behaviors, play, and social interactions with the therapist and the parent during the videotaped ADOS; parent's descriptions of the child's current and prior behaviors elicited during the ADI-R; review of available records provided by the parent; and clinical judgment about the impact of other factors on any behaviors observed or described, including, for example, the impact of vision impairment, developmental delay, medical conditions, cultural factors, or trauma history. Consensus of diagnosis was reached if the psychologists initially disagreed on diagnosis. Both psychologists are research-certified in the ADOS, and have extensive clinical experience in the assessment and

diagnosis of autism in children with complex comorbid developmental and medical conditions, including vision impairment.

The ADOS was scored using standard procedures except the following codes ('0' indicates non-autistic behavior): (1) unusual eye contact and integration of gaze and other behavior during social overtures were scored 'N/A' (coded '0' in the algorithm); (2) responsive social smile was scored '0' if the child smiled when the assessor talked to the child in a friendly manner that did not imply physical touching; (3) response to the child's name was scored '0' if the child paused and clearly oriented to the assessor (e.g. turning head or saying 'what?') – eye contact was not required; (4) pointing, requesting, showing, spontaneous initiation of joint attention, language production, and linked non-verbal communication were scored '0' if all criteria for '0' were met except integration of eye contact; (5) response to joint attention was scored '0' if the child responded to the assessor's verbal cue of 'look at that!' by orienting or verbalizing in an attempt to identify the object being referenced; and (6) regarding unusual sensory interest in play material/person, close visual examination or tactual exploration (using the hands) to identify an object were not coded as unusual sensory interests.

The ADI-R was scored using standard procedures except the following codes: (1) direct gaze was scored 'N/A'

(coded '0' in the algorithm); (2) social smiling was scored '0' if the child smiled in response to friendly verbalizations from others; and (3) pointing was scored '0' if the child pointed to express interest – eye contact not required.

RESULTS

Developmental level

On the measure of expressive language, two children were rated as language phase 1 (pre-verbal communication), two children were rated as language phase 3 (word combinations), and five children were rated as language phase 4 (sentences). Table I identifies those children who had a previous history of developmental delay documented in their medical chart.

Clinical autism diagnosis reliability

The two clinicians agreed on seven of the children's diagnoses (agreement rate 78%; kappa=0.55). In two cases, one clinician diagnosed pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified, and the other clinician diagnosed no ASD. The consensus diagnosis was no ASD in one case, and in the other pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified.

Relationship between scores on diagnostic tools and clinical autism diagnosis

Scores on the diagnostic measures were compared to the clinical diagnoses; see Tables II and III for results. The ADOS classification using the original algorithm (with modifications described above) matched the clinician diagnoses in all cases. Using the ADOS-2 algorithm, one child without ASD clinical diagnosis scored above the ADOS-2 autism cut-off.

The ADI-R classification, using the standard diagnostic algorithm focused on symptoms at the age of 4 years with the modifications described above, matched the clinician diagnosis in five out of nine cases (56% agreement; kappa=0.14). Three parents reported marked improvement in their child's social communication and engagement after

Table III: Sensitivity, specificity, and diagnostic agreement of measures

Measure	Children with ASD diagnosis exceeding cut-off (%)	Children with no ASD scoring below cut-off (%)	Agreement with clinical diagnosis (%)	Kappa statistic
ADOS original algorithm	100	100	100	1.0
ADOS-2 algorithm	100	80	89	0.77
ADI-R standard algorithm	75	40	56	0.14
ADI-R current behavior	75	100	89	0.77

ASD, autism spectrum disorder; ADOS, Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule; ADI-R, Autism Diagnostic Interview – Revised.

the age of 5 years. Using the current behavior score on the ADI-R and comparing it with the cut-offs for the diagnostic algorithm, ADI-R classification matched clinician diagnoses in eight out of nine cases (89% agreement; kappa=0.77). The following behaviors were abnormal based on parent report at the age of 4 years in all three children with congenital vision impairment who were not diagnosed with ASD, but were not abnormal in the non-congenital vision impairment group: reciprocal conversation, nodding head for 'yes', shaking head for 'no'; spontaneous imitation of actions; imaginative play with peers; range of facial expressions; initiation of appropriate activities; group play with peers; social disinhibition; circumscribed interests; repetitive use of objects or parts of objects; unusual sensory interests; hand and finger mannerisms; and other complex mannerisms.

Individual scoring codes were reviewed to determine which items best discriminated between children with and without a clinical diagnosis of ASD. Codes were considered to discriminate well if 75% or more of the children diagnosed with autism had a '1', '2', or '3' score, and almost all children with no ASD diagnosis scored '0'. Codes were considered to have poor discrimination if 80% or more of the children with no ASD scored '1', '2', or '3'. Table IV presents the individual items with 'good' and 'poor' discrimination for ADOS and ADI-R current behavior.

DISCUSSION

This pilot study provides preliminary evidence in support of the clinical utility of the ADOS and the ADI-R in the evaluation of children with severe vision impairment. The data suggest specific symptoms that may be more reliable than others in discriminating autism from behaviors that may be common to all or most children with congenital vision impairment. These findings contribute to the limited literature on autism in children with vision impairment by utilizing a prospective (rather than a chart review) design as well as criterion standard observational and interview diagnostic measures, and detailing modifications so that findings can be replicated.

Table II: Diagnostic classifications by child

ID	ASD clinical diagnosis	ADOS classification	ADI-R classification	ADI-R current behavior	Previous diagnosis of ASD
1	Autism	Autism	Autism	Autism	No
2	Autism	Autism	Autism	Autism	No
3	PDD	PDD	PDD	PDD	No
4	PDD	Autism	No ASD	No ASD	No
5	No ASD	No ASD	PDD	No ASD	No
6	No ASD	No ASD (autism on ADOS-2 algorithm)	Autism	No ASD	No
7	No ASD	No ASD	Autism	No ASD	No
8	No ASD	No ASD	No ASD	No ASD	No
9	No ASD	No ASD	No ASD	No ASD	No

ASD, autism spectrum disorder; ADOS, Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule; ADI-R, Autism Diagnostic Interview – Revised; PDD, pervasive developmental disorder – not otherwise specified.

Table IV: Correspondence of clinical diagnosis with individual items

Correspondence with diagnosis	Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule item	Children with ASD diagnosis score 1, 2, or 3 (%)	Children with no ASD score 0 (%)
Good	Frequency of vocalization directed to others (module 1)/ amount of social overtures (module 2)	75	80
Good	Shared enjoyment in interaction	75	100
Good	Response to name	75	100
Good	Response to joint attention	100	100
Good	Quality of social overtures	100	80
Good	Imagination/creativity	100	100
Poor	Stereotyped/idiosyncratic use of words or phrases	50	20
Poor	Pointing	100	0
Poor	Facial expressions directed toward others	100	20
Poor	Unusually repetitive interests or stereotyped behaviors	100	0
Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised			
Good	Spontaneous imitation of actions	100	80
Good	Imaginative play with peers	100	80
Good	Showing and directing attention	100	80
Good	Seeking to share enjoyment with others	75	100
Good	Offering comfort	75	100
Good	Appropriateness of social responses	75	80
Good	Response to approaches of other children	75	100
Good	Abnormal, idiosyncratic, negative response to specific sensory stimuli	100	80
Good	Aggression toward caregivers or family members	75	80
Good	Self-injury	75	80
Poor	Imaginative play	100	20
Poor	Friendships	100	20
Poor	Undue general sensitivity to noise	100	20

ASD, autism spectrum disorder.

Experienced clinicians using slightly modified diagnostic tools demonstrated interrater reliability in the diagnosis of ASD in the majority of children assessed. Results of ADOS testing and current behavior ratings on the ADI-R corresponded closely with clinical diagnoses, although these were not independent since clinicians used ADOS and ADI-R results in reaching a diagnostic conclusion; this approach is similar to that used by Lord et al.²⁶ in the original validation studies for the ADOS with sighted children.²⁶ Blindness did not prevent the children without ASD from demonstrating levels of social engagement and social communication during the ADOS that clearly distinguished them from children with ASD.

This study provides initial guidance regarding which symptoms may be most important in the diagnosis of autism in children with congenital severe vision impairment. Findings indicate that some symptoms suggestive of autism in sighted children do not distinguish children with ASD and vision impairment from children without ASD with vision impairment. Clinicians should be cautious about giving clinical significance to characteristics that were common in all or almost all congenitally blind children in the present study (thus showing limited specificity), such as repetitive or stereotyped finger or hand movements, repetitive interests or stereotyped behaviors, absence of pointing, limited range of facial expressions, undue sensitivity to noise, difficulty with imaginative play by parent report, and difficulty establishing age-appropriate friendships. Some of these symptoms (especially stereotyped behaviors) have also

been found in other studies to be common in most children with congenital vision impairment.^{2,4,27} Given the frequency of stereotyped behaviors in children without ASD with vision impairment, the revised ADOS-2 algorithms (which include stereotyped behaviors in the total score), may be less appropriate for children with vision impairment than the original ADOS algorithm, which excludes these behaviors from the total score.

On the other hand, there were many symptoms of autism that had more reliable clinical significance in this sample because they were not present in the children with vision impairment who did not meet criteria for a clinical ASD diagnosis. Blind children in this age group without ASD were able to demonstrate appropriate responsiveness to social situations and appropriate social overtures such as shared enjoyment, offering comfort, and directing others' attention. There were problematic behaviors reported almost exclusively in the blind children with ASD, and not in those without ASD, including aggression (toward family members and non-family members) and self-injury.

Another notable finding was that parents of several children with congenital vision impairment reported marked differences in their children's behavior before and after the age of 5 years, with more autistic symptoms at the age of 4 years (the focus of the ADI-R interview) compared with present symptoms (at the age of 6–9y). As these children developed and became more comfortable fully exploring their environments, their reciprocal social and communicative behaviors increased dramatically, and their self-stimu-

latory and repetitive behaviors significantly decreased. Therefore, diagnoses of ASD in very young children with vision impairment may be less reliable than in sighted children and may not persist over time. This observation is consistent with research by Hobson and Lee,¹⁷ who re-evaluated nine congenitally blind children and sighted comparison children, all of whom were initially diagnosed with autism. Eight years after the initial diagnosis, only one of the nine blind participants met criteria for autism while all seven children in the sighted group continued to meet autism criteria. Additional research is needed to follow children with congenital vision impairment over time, with frequent assessments beginning in infancy, in order to identify common developmental trajectories in autistic symptoms. Measures such as those tested in this study would be especially helpful to allow delineation of changes in specific symptoms over time.

This is a preliminary study with a small sample size, and as such there are a number of limitations. The study does not attempt to determine the prevalence of ASD in children with severe vision impairment. Participants were not randomly selected, but rather chosen intentionally so as to provide a sample with a range of reported autistic-like symptoms and levels of developmental functioning to better test the utility of the autism measures. This preliminary evaluation of the utility of the modified autism measures was a first step toward being able to conduct robust research regarding ASD prevalence in this population. Subsequent research is needed to validate the measures in a larger sample, including children with a wider range of diagnoses and levels of vision impairment. Our study suggests that such a study may lead to modified ADOS and ADI algorithms and cut-offs for children with severe vision impairment.

All seven of the participants with congenital vision impairment had ONH. ONH is rarely isolated to vision impairment and the typical spectrum of associated clinical characteristics was represented in participants both with and without ASD in this study, including neuroradiographic abnormalities, endocrinopathies, and developmental delay. Autism can have multiple etiological pathways and associated clinical symptoms similar to ONH; however, it is difficult to know if the findings from this study can be generalized to children with isolated vision impairment.

The two participants with later-onset vision impairment were also the only participants with a diagnosis other than ONH. Neither participant demonstrated autistic symptoms. The small number of participants with later-onset vision impairment precludes drawing conclusions regarding differences between congenital and non-congenital groups. Qualitative clinical observations about the children with later-onset vision impairment suggested that they (1) used objects more in their play than the congenital vision impairment group; (2) oriented their face more toward the assessor when talking or listening; and (3) used gestures such as nodding the head for 'yes' and shaking the head for 'no', which were not observed in the children with congenital vision impairment. These preliminary observations

suggest that the ADOS may be useful in conducting studies using a much larger sample of children with congenital versus later-onset vision impairment, matched for level of vision impairment; such studies would be helpful in better understanding the impact of early congenital blindness on the development of social interactions.

None of the children in the study, including the four diagnosed with an ASD by the study clinicians, had been previously diagnosed with ASD. Three of the four parents of children with ASD noted that they had considered the possibility of ASD based on the suggestion of other parents who had a child with ASD, or a school professional. However, none of the physicians or psychologists working with the children had made a diagnosis of ASD. This finding of underdiagnosis of ASD in children with vision impairment is consistent with suggestions of other researchers.¹²

In summary, this study found that modified autism diagnostic measures, including observational and parent interview measures, are useful in conducting diagnostic evaluations in children with severe vision impairment. Clinicians should be cautious of diagnosing autism in very young children with vision impairment, since it appears that symptoms may improve markedly over the course of development in at least some children. In addition, clinicians should be aware that some behaviors (e.g. stereotypies) seem to have poor specificity in children with congenital vision impairment, since they are exhibited by so many children in this population, and therefore should not be considered indicative of autism. Nonetheless, the autism measures were useful in ruling out autism in those children with vision impairment who had appropriate socially reciprocal interactions, and suggest a promising methodology for conducting more extensive studies about the prevalence and developmental course of autism symptoms in children with vision impairment. When diagnosing autism in children with vision impairment, clinicians are encouraged to include multiple sources of information in reaching a clinical judgment, including observational measures, comprehensive parent interviews, and naturalistic observations; use of modified standardized measures such as the ADOS and the ADI-R may prove useful as part of a comprehensive evaluation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported in part by a grant from the Joseph Drown Foundation. The funder had no involvement in study design, data collection, data analysis, manuscript preparation, and/or publication decisions. The authors thank Ruth Rosner, Terese Pawletko, Pamela Garcia-Filion, and Adriana Anaya for their consultation and assistance with the study.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article:

Data S1. Recommended modifications to autism diagnostic interview—revised for children with vision impairments.

REFERENCES

1. Keeler W. Autistic patterns and defective communication in blind children with retrolental fibroplasia. In: Hoch P, Zobin J, editors. *Psychopathology of Communication*. New York: Grune & Stratton, 1958: 64–83.
2. Brown R, Hobson RP, Lee A. Are there 'autistic-like' features in congenitally blind children? *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 1997; **38**: 693–703.
3. Chase JB. Retrolental Fibroplasias and Autistic Symptomatology. New York: American Foundation for the Blind, 1972.
4. Fraiberg S. *Insights from the Blind: Comparative Studies of Blind and Sighted Infants*. New York: Basic Books, Inc., 1977.
5. Parr JR, Dale NJ, Shaffer LM, Salt AT. Social communication difficulties and autism spectrum disorder in young children with optic nerve hypoplasia and/or septo-optic dysplasia. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2012; **52**: 917–21.
6. Rogers SJ, Newhart-Larson S. Characteristics of infantile autism in five children with Leber's congenital amaurosis. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 1989; **31**: 598–608.
7. Treffert DA. Hyperlexia III: separating 'autistic-like' behaviors from autistic disorder; assessing children who read early or speak late. *WMJ* 2011; **110**: 281–6.
8. Ek U. Autism spectrum disorder in visually impaired young children. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2010; **52**: 885.
9. Hatton DD, Schwietz E, Boyer B, Rychwalski P. Babies count: the national registry for children with visual impairments, birth to 3 years. *J AAPOS* 2007; **11**: 351–5.
10. Borchert M, Garcia-Filion P. The syndrome of optic nerve hypoplasia. *Curr Treat Options Neurol* 2013; **15**: 78–89.
11. Committee on Educational Interventions for Children with Autism, National Research Council. *Educating Children with Autism*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. Available from: <http://www.nap.edu/openbook.php?isbn=0309072697> (accessed 16 January 2013).
12. Ek U, Fernell E, Jacobson L. Cognitive and behavioural characteristics in blind children with bilateral optic nerve hypoplasia. *Acta Paediatr* 2005; **94**: 1421–6.
13. Lund SK, Troha JM. Teaching young people who are blind and have autism to make requests using a variation on the Picture Exchange Communication System with tactile symbols: a preliminary investigation. *J Autism Dev Disord* 2008; **38**: 719–30.
14. Taylor K, Preece D. Using aspects of the TEACCH structured teaching approach with students with multiple disabilities and vision impairment: reflections on practice. *Br J Vis Impair* 2010; **28**: 244–59.
15. Absoud M, Parr JR, Salt A, Dale N. Developing a schedule to identify social communication difficulties and autism spectrum disorder in young children with visual impairment. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2010; **53**: 285–8.
16. Fazzi E, Rossi M, Signorini S, Rossi G, Bianchi PE, Lanzi G. Leber's congenital amaurosis: is there an autistic component? *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2007; **49**: 503–7.
17. Hobson RP, Lee A. Reversible autism among congenitally blind children? A controlled follow-up study. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2010; **51**: 1235–41.
18. Mukaddes NM, Kilincaslan A, Kucukyazici G, Sevketoğlu T, Tuncer S. Autism in visually impaired individuals. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2007; **61**: 39–44.
19. Fink C, Borchert M. Optic nerve hypoplasia and autism: common features of spectrum diseases. *J Vis Impair Blind* 2011; **105**: 334–8.
20. Rutter M, LeCouteur A, Lord C. *Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised manual*. Los Angeles: Western Psychological Services, 2003.
21. Lord C, Rutter M, DiLavore PC, Risi S. *Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule*. Los Angeles: Western Psychological Services, 2001.
22. Lord C, Rutter M, DiLavore PC, Risi S, Gotham K, Bishop SL. *Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule*, 2nd edn. Los Angeles: Western Psychological Services, 2012.
23. Fink C, Vedin AM, Garcia-Filion P, Ma NS, Geffner ME, Borchert M. Newborn thyroid-stimulating hormone in children with optic nerve hypoplasia: associations with hypothyroidism and vision. *J AAPOS* 2012; **16**: 418–23.
24. Stratton JM, Wright SF. *Bumpy Rolls Away*. Louisville, KY: American Printing House for the Blind, 1991.
25. Tager-Flusberg H, Rogers S, Cooper J, Landa R, Lord C, Yoder P. Defining spoken language benchmarks and selecting measures of expressive language development for young children with autism spectrum disorders. *J Speech Lang Hear Res* 2009; **52**: 643–52.
26. Lord C, Risi S, Lambrecht L, et al. The Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule-Generic: a standard measure of social and communication deficits associated with the spectrum of autism. *J Autism Dev Disord* 2000; **30**: 205–23.
27. Ek U, Fernell E, Jacobson L, Gillberg C. Relation between blindness due to retinopathy of prematurity and autistic spectrum disorders: a population-based study. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 1998; **40**: 297–301.