


CUMULATIVE AP PRACTICE TEST #2

(Pg. 461 -)

AP2.1

A



OUTLIER $IQR * 1.5 + Q3 =$

$$(40-18)*1.5 + 40 =$$

$$22 * 1.5 + 40 =$$

$$33 + 40 = \boxed{73}$$

OUTLIER WOULD BE ANY VALUE $= 73^+$
Hence the ^{max} whisker is 33.

AP2.2

D

$X = \# \text{ OF HEADS IN 4 TOSSES}$

$$P(\text{AT LEAST 1 TAIL IN 4 TOSSES}) = 1 - P(\text{NO TAILS})$$


$$= 1 - .0625 =$$

$$P(X=4) = 4 \text{ heads} + 0 \text{ tails} = .0625$$

$$\boxed{.9375}$$

AP2.3

E



Sample: SRS $n = 200$

CLT applies since $n > 30$
therefore the distribution
IS NORMAL.

$$\underline{\mu_x} = \mu = \boxed{122}$$

$$\sigma_{\bar{x}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{5}{\sqrt{200}}$$

$$\boxed{6.7 = .35}$$

AP2.4

B

The simulation must represent the probability of 1/5. B provides the correct probability

Correct answers: 0, 1 = 2 outcomes

incorrect answers: 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 = 8 outcomes

Therefore the probability $\frac{2}{10}$ reduces to $\boxed{1/5}$

AP 2.5

(c)

use the formula on the Green Sheet
for a binomial distribution

B - 6 or NOT

I - dice

N - fixed # $n = 4$

S = $p = \frac{1}{6}$

$$P(X=1) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

$$= \binom{4}{1} \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^1 \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^3$$

$\uparrow \quad P(X=1) = 4 \left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^3$

There are 4 possible outcomes

Calc 4 math Prob $n Cr > 1 = 4$

AP 2.6

(E)


955 | 92 9 | 100 | 769 | 335

AP 2.7

(c)

2016 bag $\rightarrow N(450, 20)$

will replace bags 5% of bags w/ too few briquets



AP 2.8

(A)

Block To DETERMINE IF THERE IS A DIFFERENCE
BETWEEN 2 GROUPS - EMPLOYED OR NOT EMPLOYED

AP 2.9

(D)

$$P(\text{INFECTION}) = .03$$

$$P(\text{REPAIR FAILS}) = .14$$

$$P(\text{INFECTION} + \text{FAILURE}) = .01$$

CREATE A TABLE

	INFECTION	NO INF	
FAIL	.01	.13	.14
SUCCESS	.02	.84	.86
	.03	.97	.99

$$P(\text{Successful} \mid \text{NO INFECTION}) = \frac{.84}{.97}$$

$$= .86598$$

$\downarrow .8660$

AP 2.10

(C)

$$\text{Slope} = -.620$$


$X = \text{HS graduation rate}$

$\hat{y} = \text{predicted poverty}$

Slope for each additional unit in X (1% grad rate)
the predicted poverty rate decreases by .620 UNITS

AP 2.11

(B)



Shape is clearly skewed to the left

AP 2.12

(C)

Since the distribution is skewed left, the mean will be less than the median

AP 2.13

(A)

Since the distribution is skewed then the mean and standard deviation are NOT appropriate measures. ✗ ✗ ✗ ✗

The 5 number summary is resistant measures.
(min, Q1, median, Q3, max)

AP 2.14

(C)


$$r = .60 \leftarrow \text{Correlation Coef}$$

measures the linear association

$$r^2 = (.60)^2 = .36 \leftarrow \text{measures the \% of the variation accounted for by the model.}$$

AP 2.15

(D)




AP 2.16

(C)

own homes $P = .71$

Sample SRS $n = 100$

$$\hat{P} = \frac{65}{100} = .65$$



$$P(Z \leq \frac{.65 - .71}{\sqrt{\frac{(0.71)(0.29)}{100}}})$$

See Green Sheet

$$\mu \hat{P} = P$$

$$\sigma \hat{P} = \sqrt{\frac{P(1-P)}{n}}$$

AP 2.17

(E)

Z score $\approx +2$ is 2 std deviations above the mean

AP 2.18

(A)

$$P(\text{Born at NIGHT OR Female}) = \frac{233}{513} + \frac{252}{513} - \frac{116}{513} =$$

Green
Sheet

$$P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$$

$$= P(\text{NIGHT}) + P(\text{Female})$$

$$- P(\text{NIGHT AND FEMALE})$$

$$\frac{369}{513}$$

AP 2.19

(C)

Box $\mu = 1.5^{lbs}$ $\sigma = .3^{lbs}$

Packing $\mu = .5$ $\sigma = .1$

Books $\mu = 12$ $\sigma = 3$

WEIGHTS

INDEPENDENT

$$\sigma_T = \sqrt{.3^2 + .1^2 + 3^2} = \sqrt{9.1} = \boxed{3.0166}$$

AP 2.20

(B)

$$E(x) = 500(.01) + 100(.05) + 25(.2) + 0(.74) = \boxed{\$15}$$

Answers are A, B, or C


VAR(x)

① $\begin{cases} L1 = \$15 \\ L2 = \% \end{cases}$

② $\begin{cases} \text{STAT} > \text{CALC} > 1\text{-VAR STATS} & \text{LIST: L1} \\ \text{FREQ(LIST: L2)} \\ \bar{x} = \$15 & \sigma_x = \$53.85 \end{cases}$

AP 2.21


(A)



80% of calls completed
in 10 or less minutes

AP 2.22

CLASSIFIED



- (A) THIS IS AN OBSERVATIONAL STUDY
NO TREATMENTS WERE IMPOSED ON THE SUBJECT
- (B) SINCE THIS WAS AN OBSERVATIONAL STUDY
(AND NO TREATMENTS WERE IMPOSED)
THEN WE CAN NOT MAKE ANY CAUSE-AND-
EFFECT CONCLUSION. TO DO THIS WE MUST HAVE
A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED EXPERIMENT.
- (C) TWO VARIABLES ARE CONFOUNDING WHEN THEIR
EFFECTS ON THE RESPONSE VARIABLE (THE
CHOLESTEROL LEVEL) CANNOT BE DISTINGUISHED
FROM ONE ANOTHER.

A POSSIBLE CONFOUNDING VARIABLE
COULD BE DIET OR EXERCISE. PEOPLE
WHO TAKE OMEGA-3 TEND TO BE MORE
HEALTH CONSCIOUS. THEREFORE, THE
LOWER CHOLESTEROL LEVELS FOR OMEGA-3
USERS COULD BE A RESULT OF
THEIR HEALTHIER LIFE (DIET/EXERCISE).
THE RESEARCHER CAN'T TELL IF
THE RESULTS WERE FROM OMEGA-3
OR THEIR DIET/EXERCISE.

AP 2.23

BLOOD TYPE	HAWAII	HAWAII WHITE	HAWAII CHINESE	HAWAII WHITE	TOTAL
O	1,903	4,469	2,206	53,759	62,337
A	3,490	4,671	2,368	50,008	59,557
B	178	606	568	16,252	17,604
AB	99	236	243	5,001	5,579
	4,670	9,982	5385	125,020	145,057

(a)

$$P(\text{TYPE O or HAWAIIAN CHINESE}) =$$

$$P(\text{TYPE O}) + P(\text{HAWAII CHINESE})$$

$$- P(\text{TYPE O AND HAWAII CHINESE}) =$$

$$\frac{62,337}{145,057} + \frac{5385}{145,057} - \frac{2,206}{145,057} = \frac{65,516}{145,057} = .452$$

(b) $P(\text{AB} | \text{HAWAII}) = \frac{99}{4670} = 0.021$

(c) Are "TYPE B" and "HAWAIIAN" INDEPENDENT?

$$P(\text{Hawaiian}) \stackrel{?}{=} P(\text{Hawaiian} | \text{TYPE B})$$

$$\frac{4670}{145,057} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{178}{17,604}$$

$$.032 \neq .010$$

Since the 2 probabilities are NOT EQUAL THEN THEY ARE NOT INDEPENDENT.

(d) $P(\text{At least 1 of the 2 specimens}$

$\text{Contain type A blood from White group}) = 1 - P(\text{Neither})$

$$P(\text{TYPE A and White}) = \frac{50,008}{145,057} = .345$$

$$1 - (.655)^2 = .571$$

$$P(\text{NOT TYPE A and White}) = 1 - .345 = .655$$

AP 2.24

EXPERIMENTAL UNITS	TREATMENTS	RESPONSE VARIABLE
Plants	PLANTS WITH Cicade bugs (n = 39) CONTROL PLANTS (n = 33)	SIZE OF SEED <i>Compare</i> PRODUCED

a) This is an EXPERIMENT because a treatment was imposed. Researchers added cicade bugs to some plants and none to other plants (Control Group).

b) Reviewing the box plots

① Cicade Plant distribution is skewed right while the distribution of the Control plant is slightly skewed to the left

② The medians for both groups is the same, approximately .25 mg Seed mass.

③ The mean for Cicade Plants is higher. These plants are skewed right therefore the mean is pulled to the right and therefore it is higher

c) Reviewing the numerical data, the medians are the same; and the IQR (11 and 12) are basically the same. The box plots show similar medians and the boxes are also similar. The Cicade Plant is skewed right. The mean for the Cicade plants will be slightly higher than the control group but not large enough of a difference to rule out this could be by chance in the random assignment as a plausible explanation.

AP 2.25

(a)

Diamond - win \$5 $P = \frac{1}{5}$

5 cards - Cost \$1

- ① Assign Diamond the numbers 0 and 1 with numbers 2-9 representing the other cards
- ② On the given random number table move left to right and look at each 1 digit at a time
- ③ Stop when you get a diamond
- ④ Count the number of cards drawn.

(b) ① 2 9 7 5 ① 3 2 5 8 ① 3 [0] 4 8 4 5 ①

4 4 7 2 3 2 ① 8 ① 9 4 [0] [0] [0]

#Cards 1, 5, 5, 2, 5, 7, 2, 3, 1, 1 10 simulations

(c) EXPECTED # OF CARDS TO GET A DIAMOND = $\frac{32}{10} = 3.2$

(d) At \$1.00 per card, you would expect to pay \$3.20, on average, in order to win \$5.
BASED ON THIS SIMULATION, IT IS A FAIR GAME.

The results would be different if you assign numbers 8+9 to diamonds.

10 simulations: 3, 7, 5, 11, 2, 12, 1, 7, 5, 3

Expected # cards $\frac{56}{10} = 5.6$ cards

This gives an expected pay of \$5.60. This simulation would lead us to believe the game is NOT FAIR.