2013 AP® ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION FREE-RESPONSE QUESTIONS

Ouestion 2

(Suggested time—40 minutes. This question counts for one-third of the total essay section score.)

The passage below is from *Last Child in the Woods* (2008) by Richard Louv. Read the passage carefully. Then, in a well-developed essay, analyze the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument about the separation between people and nature. Support your analysis with specific references to the text.

Researchers at the State University of New York at Buffalo are experimenting with a genetic technology through which they can choose the colors that appear Line on butterfly wings. The announcement of this in 2002 led writer Matt Richtel to conjure a brave new advertising medium: "There are countless possibilities for moving ads out of the virtual world and into the real one. Sponsorship-wise, it's time for nature to carry its weight." Advertisers already stamp their messages into the wet sands of public beaches. Cashstrapped municipalities hope corporations agree to affix their company logo on parks in exchange for dollars to keep the public spaces maintained. "The sheer popularity" of simulating nature or using nature as ad space "demands that we acknowledge, even respect, their cultural importance," suggests Richtel. Culturally important, yes. But the logical extension of synthetic nature is the irrelevance of "true" naturethe certainty that it's not even worth looking at.

True, our experience of natural landscape "often occurs within an automobile looking out," as Elaine Brooks said. But now even that visual connection is optional. A friend of mine was shopping for a new luxury car to celebrate her half-century of survival in the material world. She settled on a Mercedes SUV, with a Global Positioning System: just tap in your destination and the vehicle not only provides a map on the dashboard screen, but talks you there. But she knew where to draw the line. "The salesman's jaw dropped when I said I didn't want a backseat television monitor for my daughter," she told me. "He almost refused to let me leave the dealership until he could understand why." Rear-seat and in-dash "multimedia entertainment products," as they are called, are quickly becoming the hottest add-on since rearview mirror fuzzy dice. The target market: parents who will pay a premium for a little backseat peace.

Sales are brisk; the prices are falling. Some systems include wireless, infrared-connected headsets. The children can watch *Sesame Street* or play Grand Theft Auto on their PlayStation without bothering the driver

Why do so many Americans say they want their children to watch less TV, yet continue to expand the opportunities for them to watch it? More important, why do so many people no longer consider the physical world worth watching? The highway's edges may not be postcard perfect. But for a century, children's early understanding of how cities and nature fit together was gained from the backseat: the empty farmhouse at the edge of the subdivision; the variety of architecture, here and there; the woods and fields and water beyond the seamy edges—all that was and is still available to the eye. This was the landscape that we watched as children. It was our drive-by movie.

Perhaps we'll someday tell our grandchildren stories about our version of the nineteenth-century Conestoga wagon.

"You did what?" they'll ask,

"Yes," we'll say, "it's true. We actually looked out the car window." In our useful boredom, we used our fingers to draw pictures on fogged glass as we watched telephone poles tick by. We saw birds on the wires and combines in the fields. We were fascinated with roadkill, and we counted cows and horses and coyotes and shaving-cream signs. We stared with a kind of reverence at the horizon, as thunderheads and dancing rain moved with us. We held our little plastic cars against the glass and pretended that they, too, were racing toward some unknown destination. We considered the past and dreamed of the future, and watched it all go by in the blink of an eye.

60

AP® ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 2

The score should reflect the essay's quality as a whole. Remember that students had only 40 minutes to read and write; the paper, therefore, is not a finished product and should not be judged by standards appropriate for an out-of-class assignment. Evaluate the paper as a draft, making certain to reward students for what they do well.

All essays, even those with scores of 8 or 9, may contain occasional lapses in analysis, prose style, or mechanics. Such features should enter into a holistic evaluation of an essay's overall quality. In no case should an essay with many distracting errors in grammer and mechanics score higher than a 2.

9 Essays earning a score of 9 meet the criteria for the score of 8, and, in addition, are especially sophisticated in their argument, thorough in their development, or impressive in their control of language.

8 - Effective

Essays earning a score of 8 **effectively** analyze* the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument about the separation between people and nature. They develop their analysis with evidence and explanations that are appropriate and convincing, referring to the passage explicitly or implicitly. The prose demonstrates a consistent ability to control a wide range of the elements of effective writing but is not necessarily flawless.

7 Essays earning a score of 7 meet the criteria for the score of 6 but provide more complete explanation, more thorough development, or a more mature prose style.

6 - Adequate

Essays earning a score of 6 **adequately** analyze the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument about the separation between people and nature. They develop their analysis with evidence and explanations that are appropriate and sufficient, referring to the passage explicitly or implicitly. The essay may contain lapses in diction or syntax, but generally the prose is clear.

5 Essays earning a score of 5 analyze the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument about the separation between people and nature. The evidence or explanations used may be uneven, inconsistent, or limited. The writing may contain lapses in diction or syntax, but it usually conveys the student's ideas.

AP® ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 2 (continued)

4 - Inadequate

Essays earning a score of 4 **inadequately** analyze the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument about the separation between people and nature. These essays may misunderstand the passage, misrepresent the strategies Louv uses, or may analyze these strategies insufficiently. The evidence or explanations used may be inappropriate, insufficient, or unconvincing. The prose generally conveys the student's ideas but may be inconsistent in controlling the elements of effective writing.

3 Essays earning a score of 3 meet the criteria for the score of 4 but demonstrate less success in analyzing the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument about the separation between people and nature. They are less perceptive in their understanding of the passage or Louv's strategies, or the explanations or examples may be particularly limited or simplistic. The essays may show less maturity in control of writing.

2 - Little Success

Essays earning a score of 2 demonstrate **little success** in analyzing the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument about the separation between people and nature. These essays may misunderstand the prompt, misread the passage, fail to analyze the strategies Louv uses, or substitute a simpler task by responding to the prompt tangentially with unrelated, inaccurate, or inappropriate explanation. The essays often demonstrate consistent weaknesses in writing, such as grammatical problems, a lack of development or organization, or a lack of control.

- 1 Essays earning a score of 1 meet the criteria for the score of 2 but are undeveloped, especially simplistic in their explanation, or weak in their control of language.
- Indicates an off-topic response, one that merely repeats the prompt, an entirely crossed-out response, a drawing, or a response in a language other than English.
- Indicates an entirely blank response.
- * For the purposes of scoring, analysis refers to explaining how the author's rhetorical choices develop meaning or achieve a particular effect or purpose.

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering (1 of 3) on this page as it is designated in the exam.

Since the down of manking, even before contraction, man lived off the large and depended on it for survived Even in the Arit autreavery - Egypt, for transfer nature controlled all aspects of life. he began to learn how to control nature and use it to our achienterer Today, many connectorn with spearce and, @ as Ripherry Lour argues in in the woods, this is a sad fruth their continues to being pushed to bey an in-car hyperbolic descriptions system, wither diremente effect. The The recent of his he lead the revoler to the experience Me today's socrety ſΛ

constant need fer that feehnology and his
disapproved that opening openion.
To the continue puriding off the Th-cav entertainment
anecdote, Laur rasses a hypothetreal example to help him
show his disclain formewas the rales. He proposes that too
"we'll someday fell only grandchildren" that there was a
+ me when kids "looked out the con wrodow" shoteaed of playing
on phones or watching back coat televis ron. The key to the
effectivenes of full superhetocal example is that it
is frighteningly realistic to the Even torday our
grandpenents I'll is about a dividuous without TV or
internet, so certainly Lours people hypothesis could prome
to be true. The Mr shocking the real of the hypothetical
example makes the reader realise the whole immediately
of the issue and the pase at which the issue it
progressing, though effectively awing alarm at the
separation between mem and nature and possibly
Creating more hypothetical examples in the readers
mind.
Castly and probably Low uses the devices of
abstract and concrete magary to ordinare the his
dain of the separation of moun and hatere.
Perhaps his most effective device, court imagery points
a scene that is virtually who whatle te

nastalgre remembrence childhood, vendering hom to restit that desire to preserve those memor to anyone who has ever eggs ed as a onital evacuel, hyperhetical more importantly. 3 per ity

_ J	is pather	difficult	· to e	ompreheno	air
Society t	oday. We	strive	to d	efine ou	raelves as
	yet many				
norm in	an effort	to Rt	in. We	look	for the
best in te	echnology u	ath celly	ohones,	angua a	a klevisions
and vehi	des, some	ehmes	forgellin	g to	just enjoy
	ty of na			•	
essay "Lo	est Child is	the Wo	ools",	Richard L	our appealed
to both	logos and	pathos	in o	sheer	effort to
A SHARAGA	p illustrate	. the	seperati	on behu	een people
ond nature					r

referring M_{Γ_0} back began ouv his **ESS**ay vehicle. When pushed Friend who purchased a the lelevision in include Sales mon the Сī ·bγ gaid no. WAN AHAAA MAAAAAA the Friend Seat, rear child to her 6r thend desired Louv's Mr. Mary of nature. Americans the elaim beauti enjoy blevision. Kids watch to less want their they would they purchase "multimedia these ogically products"? entertainment Ь Mr. LOUY used imagary elaborale Simplicity the of lookina just out 9n the from the He window Seat. back Stated the ahildnen's from early gained understanding... ω 03 backseat: farmhouse... architecture the vanety

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering
on this page as it is designated in the exam.
the woods and fields and water beyond the alleas seamy
edges " Using these words Mr. Low logically implied that
hature can indeed instruct. We just must take the
time and effort to pay attention.
As the essay formulated, Mr. Law flashed back
to the typical childhood MAMMAMAMAMAGER experience
in a car. He claimed, "We actually looked out the cor
window," With an oppeal to pathos, Mr. Lour allowed
his readers to recornect with their joyous childish
antics. He wrote, "We saw birds Are counted cows and
horses Was held our little plastic cois ogainst the glass
and pretended that they, too, were racing towards some
unknown do standard." By doing so Mr. Louv allowed
his readers post emotions during their corp rioles to
help them understand the Simple yet confounding.
seperation between people and nature.
seperation actions pope 4.1. Intole.
Moreover by appealing to both logos and
pathos, Richard Louv established the Seperation between
people and nature. For even through a simple cor
ride, nature can call out to us, and allow us to
see tho we truly are,
11
#

ustation, abetorical didn't want acastron of modern of while need for more and more te <u>m a</u> nod whetoural question hyporutical parents who buy to child watched too much to TV. ask why the real world watching from the backseat anymore Metorical questions make the read their own behaviors concerne

on this page as it is designated in the exam.
sense or wasprong and nortalgia. He
remeniones on the past days on his
and hove seeing the world through
the backseat. The author sees himself
as an old man telling his grandchild
intermed expensions that he actually
"evoked out the can window" the also
seems of bit saddened by this that
his grandendaren will totaley by
oversun by technology and miss out
on the beauty of nature
Richard Low uses the rhetorical
devices of metoural questioning,
the tone of nortalgia, and direct
quotation to show that society
is divologing in a world less so
hature and more of technology.
4
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,