

APS Turnaround Strategy for Low-Performing Schools Town Hall Meeting

Hosted by the Neighborhood Collaborative Group (NCG)

September 29, 2015

Opening

In the Spring of 2015, the Neighborhood Collaborative Group (NCG) requested a Town Hall to brief the community on specific plans for addressing the needs of the 26 Atlanta schools targeted for takeover by the Governor's Opportunity School District (OSD).

- Actions taken to protect our community schools
- Specific goals for moving every APS school off of the Governor's list
- How engaged parents can help in this effort



Agenda

What is the Opportunity School District (OSD)?

Opportunity School District (OSD) Overview

David Jernigan, Deputy Superintendent

How were the 26 OSD-eligible schools identified?

College and Career Ready Performance Index (CCRPI) Data Overview

Donyall Dickey, Chief Schools Officer

Clarifying Questions and Answers

What is APS doing to maintain local control?

School Turnaround Strategy Update

Meria Carstarphen, Superintendent

Clarifying Questions and Answers

How can the community help?

Community Feedback

Angela King Smith, Special Assistant to the Superintendent





Opportunity School District (OSD) Overview

David Jernigan, Deputy Superintendent



Opportunity School District Overview

The Opportunity School District would create a statewide school district with the authority to take over chronically underperforming schools across the state of Georgia

Timeline for the creation of the OSD

- GA General Assembly passed resolution enabling a constitutional amendment (Complete)
- Majority of Georgia voters must approve referendum to create the OSD in November 2016
- OSD could then begin to take over as many as 20 schools for the 2017-18 school year
- Schools are eligible for the OSD with three years of consecutive CCRPI scores under 60
- Once included in OSD, schools remain for at least five years but no more than ten years

Potential State Intervention Models

- Direct management of the school by the OSD
- Shared governance of the school by the OSD and the local board of education
- Reconstitution of the school as an OSD charter school
- Closure of the school which is not enrolled at full capacity (school closure shall be the intervention of last resort)

If the OSD was approved today, 26 low-performing APS schools would be eligible



Opportunity School District and Atlanta Public Schools

APS must demonstrate its commitment and capability to turnaround our lowest performing schools over the next 12-18 months, not only to maintain local control of our schools but most importantly to provide the high quality education all kids in Atlanta deserve

APS is acting urgently to identify the best strategy to turn around low-performing schools and keep them under local control

- APS is committed to providing all Atlanta children with access to a quality education
- APS supports local control of education

To date, APS has taken proactive steps toward turning around our schools including adopting a Charter System operating model, making strategic hires, expanding social and emotional learning, and implementing APS's five-year strategic plan

Since August 11th, APS has been focused on a School Turnaround Strategy Project with the support of The Boston Consulting Group. Through this project, APS is gathering community and educator input and developing an aggressive, targeted, research-based strategy for turning around APS's lowest performing schools



Process to arrive at a school turnaround strategy

8-week engagement with The Boston Consulting Group Aug 11 – Oct 6	Ongoing APS work Post-October 6
Develop district turnaround strategy, building on district's existing work	Develop school-by- school turnaround strategy
We are in the final week of an 8-week strategy project supporting APS's turnaround effort	After the initial 8-week engagement, the district will:
 Over the course of the project, we have Engaged stakeholders to understand current state and gather input on turnaround strategies: Interviews with principals, parents, and other community members School-based focus groups with parents, teachers, and students Engagement of the wider community via Advisory Committee, Community Town Hall, Community survey, and public focus group Conducted data analysis of CCRPI scores to help understand current performance 	 Assess school needs and context and partner with schools and communities in matching strategies with schools Continue dialogue with broader community Continue existing efforts that
 Synthesized external research on turnaround strategies 	are part of the strategy, and begin taking near-term actions
These inputs as well as ideas from joint APS-BCG Working Group and Steering Committee inform the district's strategy, to be shared by the superintendent on October 8	
	ATL 0



College and Career Ready Performance Index (CCRPI) Data Overview

Donyall Dickey, Chief Schools Officer

Components of CCRPI broken into four categories

Achievement	 Content mastery on the statewide standardized test (formerly CRCT, now called Milestones) Post-high school readiness and progress on career pathways Graduation rate
Progress	 Shows how much students are learning over time relative to their own unique starting points
Gap	 Looks at how well the school is closing the gap between the lowest performing 25% of students in the school and the statewide average Looks at both the size of the gap and the progress towards closing the gap
Challenge	 Allows schools to earn points for programs which meet the needs of English Language Learners, high poverty populations and students with disabilities



The following pages offer a segmentation of APS schools¹ based on CCRPI, detail CCRPI trends/risks by cluster, and benchmark APS CCRPI points against state averages

	Segment	Criteria for inclusion
High	OSD eligible	Three consecutive years under 60
	High Risk	Two of three years under 60
ISK	Risk	Two of three years under 70
R	Trending up	Three-year improvement trend; one year <65 and one >70
	Safe	Three years over 65 and at least one over 70
Low	Strong	Three years over 75

1. Analysis includes cluster schools plus BEST and CSKYWA; does not include charter or non-traditional programs, which are analyzed separately Note: Consolidated high schools (Carver, South ATL, Therrell and Washington) are included as lower of consolidated group/pair; consistent with GA DOE guidance Note: Analysis updated based on revised segmentation methodology as of 9/22/2015 (original data presented on 8/20/2015)





Overall APS breakdown by segment for cluster schools¹

Key takeaways

28 of 49 (57%) elementary schools are OSD eligible or high risk


 ES underperformance suggests MS/HS could get worse with time if nothing is done

All clusters except one have at least one OSD-eligible² or high-risk school

 11 of 14 Safe or Strong schools are in Grady or North Atlanta clusters

44 of 73 (60%) of APS schools fall into OSD eligible or high risk


 Turnaround strategy could be required for many schools



1. Analysis includes cluster schools plus BEST and CSKYWA; does not include charter or non-traditional programs, which are analyzed separately; 2. OSD-eligible based on current data and the current CCRPI formula. Both will change before initial OSD eligibility is calculated Note: Consolidated high schools (Carver, South ATL, Therrell and Washington) are included as lower of consolidated group/pair; consistent with GA DOE guidance Note: Analysis updated based on revised segmentation methodology as of 9/22/2015 (original data presented on 8/27/2015)

Source: http://www.gadoe.org/CCRPI/Pages/default.aspx

Cluster performance shows schools at risk in most clusters¹



1. Analysis includes cluster schools plus BEST and CSKYWA; does not include charter or non-traditional programs, which are analyzed separately Note: Consolidated high schools (Carver, South ATL, Therrell and Washington) are included as lower of consolidated group/pair; consistent with GA DOE guidance Note: Analysis updated based on revised segmentation methodology as of 9/22/2015 (original data presented on 8/27/2015) Source: http://www.gadoe.org/CCRPI/Pages/default.aspx



2014 CCRPI components shown as statewide percentile

APS Cluster Elementary Schools

School Name	Cluster	Grade Cluster	Achievement	Progress	Gap	Challenge	CCRPI	_
Morningside Elementary School	Grady	E	100th	98th	100th	16th	97th	LEGEND
Jackson Elementary School	North Atlanta	E	97	95	100	34	96	
Brandon Elementary School	North Atlanta	E	97	88	100	21	94	
Lin Elementary School	Grady	E	96	85	95	39	93	100th
Springdale Park Elementary School	Grady	E	98	62	95	40	93	
West Manor Elementary School	Mays	E	81	78	55	94	81	Щ
Smith Elementary School	North Atlanta	E	92	52	77	49	80	
Garden Hills Elementary School	North Atlanta	E	64	43	92	89	79	<u> </u>
Woodson Primary School	Douglass	E	66	NA	NA	14	75	STATE RCENTIL
Rivers Elementary School	North Atlanta	E	72	62	66	41	63	
Burgess-Peterson Elementary School	Jackson	E	56	76	44	89	62	S S
Venetian Hills Elementary School	Washington	Е	29	98	92	39	58	
Deerwood Academy School	Therrell	Е	37	38	88	54	53	
Heritage Academy Elementary	South Atlanta	Е	26	60	92	19	44	
Adamsville Primary School	Mays	Ē	25	NA	NA	14	36	
Bolton Academy	North Atlanta	Ē	34	32	77	19	36	
Hutchinson Elementary School	South Atlanta	Ē	16	17	92	39	32	
Cleveland Elementary School	South Atlanta	Ē	12	99	83	14	32	
Cascade Elementary School	Mays	Ē	22	98	17	46	29	1st
Whitefoord Elementary School	Jackson	Ē	17	11	66	39	23	1
Beecher Hills Elementary School	Mays	Ē	30	34	3	68	23	
The John Hope-Charles Walter Hill Elementary	Grady	E	10	34	88	14	22	
All APS Elementary Schools	Grady	E	29	41	17	14	20	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Weehington	E	29	15	7	62	17	
M. A. Jones Elementary School Parkside Elementary School	Washington Jackson	E	24	76	7	14	15	
Bazoline E. Usher/Collier Heights Elementary	Douglass	E	5	80	44	14	15	Λ
ана страна стр		E	5		32	15	9	
Humphries Elementary School	South Atlanta	E	_	46	32	19	9	
Fickett Elementary School	Therrell	E	16	28				
Kimberly Elementary School	Therrell	F	7	43	32	8	9	
Continental Colony Elementary School	Therrell	E	9	10	26	14	7	
Dobbs Elementary School	South Atlanta	-	5	13	32	24	6	
Scott Elementary School	Douglass	E	4	2	66	14	6	
Dunbar Elementary School	Jackson	E	3	23	55	8	5	
Toomer Elementary School	Jackson	E	11	10	7	8	5	
F. L. Stanton Elementary School	Douglass	E	5	6	26	8	4	
Towns Elementary School	Douglass	E	5	7	17	14	4	2014
Finch Elementary	Carver	E	5	7	12	14	3	CCRPI
Peyton Forest Elementary School	Mays	E	7	8	2	28	3	score
Boyd Elementary School	Douglass	E	2	14	32	8	3	60
Gideons Elementary School	Carver	E	4	11	12	14	3	60
Benteen Elementary School	Jackson	E	5	18	3	14	3	
Miles Intermediate School	Mays	E	5	30	2	8	2	
Slater Elementary School	Carver	E	2	13	12	14	2	
Bethune Elementary School	Washington	E	3	1	17	14	2	
Fain Elementary School	Douglass	E	4	8	2	8	2	
Grove Park Intermediate School	Douglass	E	2	3	7	14	1	
Thomasville Heights Elementary School	Carver	Е	1	11	26	8	1	
Perkerson Elementary School	Carver	Е	2	2	3	8	1	
Connally Elementary School	Washington	Е	1	4	7	8	1	
D. H. Stanton Elementary School	Jackson	Ē	2	1	2	14	1	
		—						

Note: Does not include charter or non-traditional schools; CCRPI line shows schools which had below 60 score for 2014 ONLY → not three consecutive years Source: 2014 GA DoE CCRPI component data



2014 CCRPI components shown as statewide percentile

APS Cluster Middle & High Schools

School Name	Cluster	Grade Cluster	Achievement	Progress	Gap	Challenge	CCRPI	LEGENI
Inman Middle School	Grady	М	88th	87th	66th	44th	78th	
Sutton Middle School	North Atlanta	М	80	70	55	24	63	100th
All Schools		М	31	52	44	8	27	TUUtr
CSKYWA Middle School	CSKYWA	М	20	65	44	32	27	L L
King Middle School	Jackson	м	16	54	66	32	26	
Brown Middle School	Washington	М	17	70	77	8	26	변형
Long Middle School	South Atlanta	м	11	41	66	32	21	
Bunche Middle School	Therrell	м	17	36	32	14	16	ST
Harper-Archer Middle School	Douglass	М	8	34	77	8	16	S C C
Price Middle School	Carver	М	9	67	44	8	13	
The Best Academy at Benjamin S. Carson	BEST	м	8	24	26	14	7	
Young Middle School	Mays	м	15	13	7	8	7	
Sylvan Hills Middle School	Carver	м	10	30	7	8	6	

School Name	Cluster	Grade Cluster	Achievement	Progress	Gap	Challenge	CCRPI	
Early College High School at Carver	Carver	Н	79th	92th	93th	100th	97th	
Booker T. Washington - Early College	Washington	н	35	58	47	95	54	
North Atlanta High School	North Atlanta	н	44	58	77	21	47	
The School of the Arts at Carver	Carver	н	11	88	93	66	44	
South Atlanta Law and Social Justice School	South Atlanta	н	18	92	89	34	43	
South Atlanta School of Health and Medical	South Atlanta	н	17	82	77	80	41	
Grady High School	Grady	н	47	20	77	14	41	
South Atlanta School of Computer Animation	South Atlanta	н	9	82	58	66	26	
Therrell School of Health and Science	Therrell	н	6	80	84	46	25	
Therrell School of Engineering	Therrell	Н	12	60	58	51	25	
The Best Academy High School	BEST	Н	13	54	47	39	22	
CSKYWA High School	CSKYWA	н	19	60	2	51	14	
All Schools		н	12	47	36	8	14	
School of Health Sciences at Carver	Carver	н	8	93	13	22	13	
Maynard H. Jackson, Jr. High School	Jackson	н	9	67	26	8	11	
Booker T. Washington High School - Health	Washington	н	4	76	36	19	8	
Mays High School	Mays	н	11	10	26	8	8	
Booker T. Washington High School - Banking	Washington	н	8	67	4	28	7	
Therrell School of Law	Therrell	н	5	80	8	32	7	
Douglass High School	Douglass	н	4	34	13	8	4	
School of Technology at Carver	Carver	н	2	20	36	8	3	

Note: Does not include charter or non-traditional schools; CCRPI line shows schools which had below 60 score for 2014 ONLY → not three consecutive years Source: 2014 GA DoE CCRPI component data 2014 CCRPI score 60

1st




Clarifying Questions and Answers



School Turnaround Strategy Update and Feedback

Meria Carstarphen, Superintendent

Phase 1 of turnaround strategy: how it all fits together





Several major themes emerging from these inputs, informing our strategy

Instruction	 Low-performing schools lack consistent high-quality classroom instruction Low-performing schools have large numbers of students who are behind; we must provide additional instructional supports to help them catch up 				
	 Many APS principals are not equipped with necessary turnaround 				
School Leadership	expertise; APS must both support the current team and recruit additional turnaround leaders				
Leavership	 Must build strong bench of future leaders by investing in teacher 				
	leaders and APs				
	 High-quality classroom instruction is central to turnaround. Many 				
Teachers	teachers in low-performing APS schools need a solid foundation of support from the district, school administrators, and coaches to improve				

• APS must have a strategy to attract and retain talented educators

Several major themes emerging from these inputs, informing our strategy (continued)

Students engaged and ready to learn

- Many kids in low-performing schools have non-academic needs (e.g. mental health, nutrition, housing, safety) that keep them from being ready to learn
 - Schools, city services, and community partners must do more to help

Urgency of the situation

- Given the number of schools with dire student need and very low performance, something more than programmatic changes may be needed
 - Structural changes made elsewhere include school reconstitution, consolidation, and partnering with non-profit charter school operators
- APS must be thoughtful about any structural changes and work with communities, taking into account school context and the need for stability





Questions and Answers

Potential actions for APS to consider

What impact do you believe each of these potential actions would have on improving APS's low-performing schools?

Revise how APS allocates funding to schools and offer more funding to high-need schools

Get the best educators into our schools, even if that means recruiting outside Atlanta

Provide more help and tutoring for struggling students

Expand programs focused on making sure children are safe, healthy, and well-fed

Offer transportation to students who move so they can continue to attend the same school

Partner with community organizations (e.g., Boys & Girls Club) to offer additional support to children

Partner with a high-performing non-profit charter school in Atlanta to run a currently low-performing school, while continuing to accept all children from the neighborhood

Improve the culture of our schools so educators and students are engaged and hopeful

Provide improved training and information for parents so they can help their children learn

Combine a low-performing APS school with a higher-performing school to give more children access to a better school

Hire a principal with a track record of improving low-performing schools

Make the school day and/or year longer so students have more time to learn



Table Discussion

Angela King Smith

Discuss the list of potential strategies to identify which have the greatest potential to improve our schools

Please Vote...

Text APSPOLL to 22333 to join the session, then text the letter of your answer (three separate texts with one letter in each text)

Please select the three strategies you feel will have the greatest impact on APS's low-performing schools?

- A Revise how APS allocates funding to schools and offer more funding to high-need schools
- B Get the best educators into our schools, even if that means recruiting outside Atlanta
- **C** Provide more help and tutoring for struggling students
- D Expand programs focused on making sure children are safe, healthy, and well-fed
- E Offer transportation to students who move so they can continue to attend the same school
- F Partner with community organizations (e.g., Boys & Girls Club) to offer additional support to children
- G Partner with a high-performing non-profit charter school in Atlanta to run a currently low-performing school, while continuing to accept all children from the neighborhood
- H Improve the culture of our schools so educators and students are engaged and hopeful
- Provide improved training and information for parents so they can help their children learn
- J Combine a low-performing APS school with a higher-performing school to give more children access to a better school
- K Hire a principal with a track record of improving low-performing schools
- Make the school day and/or year longer so students have more time to learn

Source: APS school turnaround survey



Thank You

