	Γ	District Sc	hool E	Board of P	asco Co	unty SIP Action Pla	n	
*	School: James M. Ma							
.**	Initial Plan Developm	9/3/2013			Review Date: 9/9/2013			
-2	Review Date:		Review Date:		Review Date:			
Review Date:			Review Date:		Review Date:			
grade level tean Studies lack of I	ck of time within the cor ns to plan for ELA, Math knowledge in planning u nmon core standards.	, Science, and	Social nd in	level team 80 co consecutive min facilitators will p	nsecutive mi utes on the fo participate in	s:Barrier 1: A master schedule w nutes each week dedicated to co our remaining days for additiona a PLC training and will be prov acity with their team.	ollaborativ al planning	re planning with 40 g. Barrier 2: • Grade Level
Goal: Grade Le	vel Teams will participat	te in weekly 80	minute co	ollaborative plan	ning session	s to plan for		
District Priority	Support of Goal: [X] Di	istPrioritySuppo	ortofPLC	[X] DistPriority	SupportofPG	S [X] DistPrioritySupportofSB	IL	
						portsScience [X] AreaGoalSup upportsStudentEngagement	portsSTE	M [_] AreaGoalSupportsCTE
				Strategy In	nplementati	on		
	Who?		What?			When?		Evidence?
Administration Administration,	ction Step 1: Barrier 1: dministration Barrier 2: dministration, Instructional Coaches dd District Trainers Barrier 2: • Provide o development in CCSS facilitation			minutes of weekly. oing staff		biweekly "Facilitator PLC"	schedule Minutes// schedules	• Master calendar• Rotating for coverage• Agendas from weekly planning Barrier 2: • PLC ss, Agendas and Minutes
				Plan Implem	entation Fid	elity		
	Who?		What?		When?			Evidence?
			hod by which strategy tion fidelity is monitored. Date by			ch monitoring begins and ends	impleme	occurred?
Barrier 1: Admi Administration	inistration Barrier 2:	Barrier 1: • Att collaborative p Monitoring of for Team Work sessions with fa Master calenda PLC facilitator	lanning so Google D c• Biweek acilitators ar will ens	essions• locs Platform ly de-briefing Barrier 2: •		Biweekly	PLC platf	form (agendas, plans, , etc.) Barrier 2: • Agendas

Plan Strategy Effectiveness									
Who?	What?	When?	Evidence?						
Person Who completes the strategy effectiveness monitoring?	The method by which plan strategy effectiveness is monitored.	Date by which monitoring begins and ends	How you will know that strategy effectiveness monitoring has occurred?						
Barrier 1: Administration Barrier 1: Teams Barrier 2: • Facilitators, Teams and Adminstration	viable standards-driven curriculum Barrier 1: • Collaborative Planning Rubric Barrier 2: • Self-reflections by facilitators on progress building capacity in team• Team reflection of	for unified instruction of CCSS standards and instruction within each grade level team. that show team is teaching same Barrier 1: 3x a year (Beg- Sept., Mid- Jan., End- May) Barrier 2: • 3x a year (Beg- Sept., Mid- Jan., End-May)	Barrier 1: • Walkthrough observation data (evidence of teachers teaching to the same standards)• Common Assessments Barrier 1: • Complete Collaborative Planning Rubric Barrier 2: • Evidence of team growth documented in the Collaborative Planning Rubric• Facilitator reflections indicating strengths and needs for Professional Development						

× S	School: James M. Mar								
I. I.	nitial Plan Developme	ment Date: 9/3/2013				Review Date: 9/9/2013			
Real Provide American Ame American American Amer	Review Date:		Review Da	eview Date:		Review Date:			
R	Review Date:		Review Date:			Review Date:			
Plan Barriers:Lack of knowledge in instruction of cognitively complex tasks within the CCSS shifts in correlation to the application of complex tasks within DQ2, DQ3, DQ4 of the Marzano Framework. Lack of knowledge in using technology 							al growth for all staff members a developing cognitively ano Framework. ICT and ITC arrier 2: Three classrooms will gy. These teachers. Ds to learn how to provide ognitively complex tasks. ce of student learning using the		
	will provide students w								
District Priority Su	upport of Goal: [X] Dis	stPrioritySuppo	rtofPLC [X	[] DistPriority	SupportofPG	S [X] DistPrioritySupportofSB	IL		
						portsScience [_] AreaGoalSupj upportsStudentEngagement	portsSTE	M [_] AreaGoalSupportsCTE	
Strategy Implementation									
	Who?	What?				When?		Evidence?	
Staff Barrier 2: A	CT, ITC, Instructional 2 Administration, 3 Identified Classroom 4 i	Barrier 1: • Create an action plan for 2012-13 professional development on developing rigor and relevance through lassroom cognitively complex tasks Barrier 2: • Attend 3 summer trainings on using iPAD as an integral component of classroom instruction• iPAD PLC			instructional	Bi-Weekly PLC's for all staff members Barrier 2: • onthly iPAD PLC	Agenda• instructio summati samples	: • Bi-Weekly Staff PLC (Fuel) Staff Reflections• Classroom on• Common formative and ve assessments, student work Barrier 2: • Attendance at all • Classroom use of Ipad gy	
Plan Implementation Fidelity									
V	Who? What?		What?		When?			Evidence?	
	o completes the fidelity monitoring?		The method by which strategy plementation fidelity is monitored.		-			How you will know that entation fidelity monitoring has occurred?	
Barrier 1: Adminis Barrier 2: Adminis	nstration I	Barrier 1: • Attendance and participation at bi-weekly PLC's• Staff Reflections Barrier 2: • iPAD PLC's with classroom teachers who are modeling iPAD instruction			that is design	ed to model rigor and ough cognitively complex task	resource of of stra classroon Walkthro	: • PLC Agenda, materials, s provided. • Implementation itegies in planning and m instruction. Barrier 2: • ough observation data • PLC and planning documents	

Plan Strategy Effectiveness										
Who?	What?	When?	Evidence?							
Person Who completes the strategy effectiveness monitoring?	The method by which plan strategy effectiveness is monitored.	Date by which monitoring begins and ends	How you will know that strategy effectiveness monitoring has occurred?							
Barrier 1: Administration Barrier 2: Administration		Barrier 2: • Routine walkthroughs	Barrier 1: • Walkthrough observation data • Documents on Google Docs PLC Platform detailing complex tasks as part of instruction• Deliberate Practice and Professional Growth System Barrier 2: • Walkthrough observation data							

*	School: James M. Marlowe Elementary School								
Initial Plan Development Date:		9/5/2013			Review Date: 9/9/2013				
-21			Review Date: Review Date:		Review Date:				
						Review Date:			
and progress mo and/or attendanc	Plan Barriers:Lack of consistency in identifying, mentoring, and progress monitoring students with academic, behavioral, and/or attendance needs Lack of time to provide students needing academic interventions Strategies to Address Barriers:Barrier 1: Administration, Guidance Counselor, Drop-Out Preventi also include classroom instructional Coaches will develop a protocol that provides a school-wide systemic also include classroom instruction and coaching, celebrating success, and parent awareness. One Guidance Counselor, funded by the Caring Schools Grant, will also collaboratively work with sta members to support this systemic school-wide plan. Barrier 2: The master schedule will allocate each grade level team 30 minutes for ELA interventions that is outside of the 90 minute ELA bloc This specified time, "Pack Time" will occur for all grade level teams during the first half hour of day, enabling all staff members to support each team.								
Goal: Develop a	a protocol for students in	need of acader	nic, behav	ioral, and atten	dance suppor	ts.			
District Priority	Support of Goal: [X] Di	istPrioritySupp	ortofPLC [[X] DistPriority	SupportofPG	S [_] DistPrioritySupportofSI	BIL		
						pportsScience [_] AreaGoalSu SupportsStudentEngagement	pportsSTE	M [_] AreaGoalSupportsCTE	
				Strategy In	mplementati	on			
	Who?		What?			When?		Evidence?	
Drop-Out Prever ITC Barrier 1: A Success Team	Guidance Counselors, ntion, Teachers, ICT, Administration, Student Barrier 2: Student Support Team I Staff	progress • Imp Begin student/s program.• Cele board, news sh Barrier 1: • Ed awareness on a and behavioral 2: • Create a m 30 minutes allo	hat identif behaviora ds• Track lement goa staff mento brate succ ow and ne ucate and p ittendance expecatati aster scheo ocated for vels• Assig , ITC, Succ ade levels	ies students al, and/or student al setting• oring ess on bulletin wsletter. promote parent and academic ions Barrier dule that has "Pack Time" gn support staff cess Teachers) for support• of intervention	"Beat the Be Popcorn Cele Barrier 1: Qu implementat	Monthly data reviews • Weekl II" celebrations• Monthly ebrations for Attendance uarterly Barrier 2: • Daily ion of "Pack Time"	plan/pro Recogni Family l and ager • Walkth	gram• Bulletin Boards and tion Barrier 1: • Parent and Event invitations, sign-in sheets idas• Parent Surveys Barrier 2: iroughs• Progress Monitoring BIT/SBIT minutes and	

Plan Implementation Fidelity										
Who? What?		When?	Evidence?							
Person Who completes the implementation fidelity monitoring?	The method by which strategy implementation fidelity is monitored.	Date by which monitoring begins and ends	How you will know that implementation fidelity monitoring has occurred?							
Barrier 1: Administration Barrier 1: Administration Barrier 2: Administration		Barrier 1: • Quarterly Barrier 1: • Quarterly Barrier 2: • Bi-weekly TBIT (Den) meetings• Routine walk-throughs during "Pack Time"	Barrier 1: • Student Success Problem Solving PLC and Data Reviews Barrier 1: • Attendance at each Parent Events• Parent surveys Barrier 2: • Progress monitoring documentation from tiered levels of support during grade level "Pack Time" and TBIT (Den) Meetings							
	Plan Strategy Effectiveness									
Who?	What?	When?	Evidence?							
Person Who completes the strategy effectiveness monitoring?	The method by which plan strategy effectiveness is monitored.	Date by which monitoring begins and ends	How you will know that strategy effectiveness monitoring has occurred?							
Barrier 1: Administration Barrier 1: Administration Barrier 2: Administration	Barrier 1: • Track and monitor student attendance and behavior data• Track and monitor academic data Barrier 1: • Track Parent Involvement participation Barrier 2: • Evidence of effective tiered levels of support provided for students.	Barrier 1: • Quarterly Barrier 1: • Quarterly Barrier 2: • Quarterly review of grade level Reading Data. • Bi-weekly SBIT meetings.	Barrier 1: • Documentation on progress monitoring components for students identified with academic, behavioral, and/or attendance needs Barrier 1: • Sign in sheets• Parent Surveys Barrier 2: • Walk-through observation data during "Pack Time" (evidence of teachers providing appropriate tiered levels of support)• Grade Level Data indicating student growth and matched support for tiered levels of need.							

State Requirements

1 Do you have a dropout prevention and academic intervention program? If yes, a goal must be included in the SIP, Please Describe the Goal and the plan below:

Yes: Our Drop Out Prevention teacher will work collaboratively with administration and staff to create a systematic way of monitoring, mentoring and tracking progress for students with attendance, behavioral and academic needs. In addition they will be involved in the planning and implementation of parent events focused on student engagement.

- 2 Grades 6-12: Every Teacher Contributes to Reading Improvement. Describe how the school ensures every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student.
- 3 College and Career Readiness: How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Professional Development/PLCs will be focused on building staff capacity in the CCSS, implementation of complex tasks and 21st century skills. The Marzano framework will be used to ensure effective instructional strategies and methods that allow students to learn at higher levels.

- 4 How does the school promote academic and career planning, including advising on course selections so that each student's course of study is personally meaningful?
- 5 Grades 9-12: Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on the annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report, which is maintained by the Department of Education, pursuant to Rule 6A-10.038, F.A.C. (http://data.fldoe.org/readiness/).

CheckList

- Student performance data were used in developing objectives of the school improvement plan.[(6)].Section 1008.22, F.S. (Student assessment program for public schools)
- Include goals to address achievement gap of one or more student subgroups. Section 1001.42, F.S.(Powers and duties of district school board)
- Include goals to address significant graduation rate gaps of one or more student subgroups when compared to the state's graduation rate. Section 1001.42, F.S.(Powers and duties of district school board)
- Ensure the SAC is in compliance and assisted in the preparation and evaluation of the school improvement plan required pursuant tos. 1001.42(18).[2] Section 1001.452, F.S. (District and school advisory councils)
- Guiding principles for secondary school redesign are used in the annual preparation of each secondary school improvement plan.[(2)(a)-(j)].Section 1003.413, F.S. (Florida Secondary School Redesign Act)
- Each school that establishes a dropout prevention and academic intervention program at that school site must reflect the program in its school improvement plan. [(2)(b)].Section 1006.53, F.S. (Dropout prevention and academic intervention)
- High schools must develop strategies to improve student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the feedback report data.[(4)].Section 1008.37, F.S. (Postsecondary feedback of information to high schools)
- ✓ The school improvement plan was developed collaboratively and focused on enhanced and differentiated instructional strategies to engage students, increased opportunities for professional collaboration among and between teachers and all students, and increased opportunities for professional collaboration among and between teachers, guidance counselors, instructional leaders, postsecondary educators engaged in preservice training for new teachers, and the workforce community.NULL[(3)(a)].Section 1012.98, F.S. (School Community Professional Development Act)
- School leaders generated a focus on student and professional learning in the school that is clearly linked to the system-wide strategic objectives and the school improvement plan. [(2)(b)2.a].Rule 6A-5.080, F.A.C. (Florida Principal Leadership Standards)
- Enter your electronic Signature (The UserID you used to login to this stie and your Employee ID) below to certify that these requirements are included in the School Improvement Plan for Your School.