Regulation: GAC-R(1)

Descriptor Code: Staff Involvement in Decision Making

Shared decision-making is a process in which persons other than the primary decision-maker play a role in shaping decisions.

Examples of the shared decision-making process include:

- The primary decision-maker elicits information from and listens with openness to those affected by a decision prior to making the decision.
- The primary decision-maker sets parameters for a decision and then forms a representative committee which develops a plan or makes a decision within those parameters.
- A group representative of the expertise and responsibility needed for the implementation of a decision reaches consensus on a decision.
- A proposal, drafted by an individual or representative group, is offered for reaction to a larger group, then refined and finally published by the original group or person.
- A representative group of teachers, school administrators, and system-wide administrators under the leadership of the central office works on the formulation of a recommendation for matters such as facilities design, academic school calendar, and textbook selection.

To implement shared decision-making at the school level the following should be considered: The primary decision-maker should make clear the decisions that committees have the authority to make and the ones in which they serve in an advisory capacity.

- The primary decision-maker sets the parameters for shared decision-making committees and the parameters of the issues to be addressed.
- The primary focus of shared-decision making is on enhancing the teaching/learning process and improving student achievement.
- Time should be provided for shard decision-making committees to meet and work.
- Committees should have a part in deciding how the schools allocated resources are utilized within district, state, and federal guidelines.

- Committee members should have opportunities to make decisions that are based on the study and use of information on student growth and achievement.
- Committees should be given flexibility in making decisions about the delivery of the curriculum.
- Committees need the freedom to do their jobs within a framework that includes a system-wide perspective and accountability.

Support Services

The staff of the central office has the responsibility to respond to and assist schools involved in shared decision-making and to help those schools in the process of moving to shared decision- making. Staff development opportunities, administrative support, staffing flexibility, framework of the curriculum, technical services, and allocation of funds should be provided within budgetary and staff limitations.

Responsibilities

In implementing shared decision-making, responsibilities shall be as follows:

Board of Education

- Policy Development

Central Office

- Budget Framework
- Communication Plan and Implementation
- Facilities Plan
- Information Management
- Personnel Standards/Screening
- Piloting New Programs
- Research and Development
- Transportation Plan and Implementation
- Vocational Local Plan

Central Office and School

- Academic School Calendar
- Accountability
- Adoption and Purchase of Textbooks/Core Instructional Materials
- After School Programs

Attendance — Communication (Internal and External) — Community Linkage — Curriculum Framework Facilities Design Facilities Operation and Management Health and Safety Management Health Services and Social Work Information Gathering and Dissemination — Media Plan and Utilization Nutrition Services Personnel Standards Program and Student Assessment Purchasina Special Education Plan, Standards, and Implementation Staff Development Technology Plan and Utilization Vocational Standards and Budget Implementation School Budget Implementation Communication (Internal and External) — Curriculum Implementation Discipline Management Extra/Co-curricular Activities Facilities Utilization — Mission, Beliefs, and Goals **Special Education Implementation**

Clarke County Schools Date Issued: 6/12/2003

Student Scheduling and Placement

Original Date Issued: 6/12/2003

— Staff Development

Technology Plan

— Staff Recommendations

Testing ImplementationVocational Implementation

These references are not intended to be part of the policy itself, nor do they indicate the basis or authority for the board to enact this policy. Instead, they

are provided as additional resources for those interested in the subject matter of the policy.