

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 DOE WEBSITE: http://www.doe.k12.de.us Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D. Secretary of Education Voice: (302) 735-4000 FAX: (302) 739-4654

May 25, 2021

Edward Emmett, Jr. Director Positive Outcomes Charter School 3337 South Dupont Highway Camden, DE 19934

RE: LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Mr. Emmett:

Thank you for your ongoing dedication and commitment to educating students with disabilities. We encourage you to use the information in this report to celebrate growth and identify opportunities for continued improvement.

Under the IDEA, the Delaware Department of Education (Department) has a responsibility to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance. The State must monitor the implementation of Part B, enforce Part B in accordance with the provisions at 34 CFR 300.604(a)(1), and (a)(3), (b)(2)(i) and (b)(2(v), and (c)(2), and annually report on performance under Part B. The primary focus of the State's monitoring activities must be on:

• Improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and

• Ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements under Part B of the Act, with a particular emphasis on those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities.

For FFY 2019, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

• Results:

0	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation Rate in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use
		of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors

THE DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION IS AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER. IT DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY, MARITAL STATUS, DISABILITY, AGE, GENETIC INFORMATION, OR VETERAN'S STATUS IN EMPLOYMENT, OR ITS PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES.

May 25, 2021 Positive Outcomes Charter School FFY 2019 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Page 2

• Compliance:

0	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies,
		Procedures, and Practices
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely Initial Evaluations
0	Indicator 12	Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B
0	Indicator 13	Transition Planning in the IEP

Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA <u>Meets Requirements</u> in implementing the requirements of the IDEA. Your LEA is encouraged to continue to analyze current data and develop a continuous improvement plan to improve outcomes for students with disabilities in your LEA.

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, your LEA's status in meeting its targets.

The Department appreciates your continued efforts to improve outcomes for students with disabilities. Please contact your Exceptional Children Resources liaison, Jalee Pernol, with any questions concerning this determination.

Sincerely,

Mary ann Mieghowski

Mary Ann Mieczkowski Director, Exceptional Children Resources

MAM/js Attachment

Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D., Secretary of Education
 Monica Minor Gant, Ph.D., Associate Secretary of Academic Support
 Megan Yeager, Special Education Coordinator
 Pamela Bauman, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources
 Jalee Pernol, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources
 Leroy Travers, Education Associate, Charter School Office

IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 8.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

FFY 2019 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

• Results:

٠

0	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation Rate in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use
		of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors
Cor	npliance:	
0	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies,
		Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices
0	Indicators 9 & 10	
0	Indicators 9 & 10 Indicator 11	Procedures, and Practices
Ũ		Procedures, and Practices Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification

• Indicator 13 Transition Planning in the IEP

Meets Requirements	H	\geq 80% (compliance and results combined)	and	LEA may be engaged in a Corrective Action Plan.	and/or	If monitored on-site, LEA is engaged in Prong 1 or Prong 2 corrective action.
Needs Assistance	H	60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in an Intervention Plan.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 1 year)
Needs Intervention	I	\leq 59% (compliance and results combined)	and and/or Compliance and/or		Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 2 years)	

Determination	Possible Actions (Federal)	Possible Actions (State)
Meets Requirements		- Encourage continuous
		improvement planning
Needs Assistance	- Advice on available	- Explicit plan addressing
	Technical	area of concern (s)
	Assistance	- Advice on available
	- Use of state level funds on	Technical
	area of concern	Assistance
	- Other special conditions	
Needs Intervention	- Use of Correction Action	- Explicit plan addressing
	Plan	area of concern (s)
	or Improvement Plan	

	- Compliance Agreement	
	- Use of state level funds	
	- Use of federal funds	
	- Other enforcement actions	
Needs Substantial	- Use of federal funds	- Explicit plan addressing
Intervention	- Referral to Department of	area of concern (s)
	Justice or Inspector General	

Positive	Outco	mes Char	ter Scho	ol		
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2019	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	2018-2019	68.50%	73.34%	90.91%	1	1
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate	2018-2019	3.70%	2.07%	-	1	1
Indicator 3B: Participation Rate-ELA						
Grade 3		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 4	1	95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 5		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019 -2020	95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 7	1	95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
High School		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 3B: Participation Rate-MATH						
Grade 3		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 4		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 5		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 6	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 7		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
High School		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate-ELA						
Grade 3		27.63%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 4		24.54%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 5		25.58%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 6	2019-2020	17.74%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 7		18.07%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8		17.53%	NA	NA	NA	NA
High School		17.46%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate-MATH						
Grade 3		30.82%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 4		22.91%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 5		16.94%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 6	2019-2020	13.76%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 7		14.43%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8		12.75%	NA	NA	NA	NA
High School		10.85%	NA	NA	NA	NA

Indicator 4A: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long- Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities	2018-2019	Rate Ratio 2.0 Cell Size 15	0.00%	Under Threshold	1	1
--	-----------	--------------------------------	-------	-----------------	---	---

Spring 2021 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2019

	-					
Results Indicators Continued	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2019	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 5A: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served Inside			<u>г т</u>			
the Class 80% of the Day		72.00%	64.25%	69.62%	0	1
Indicator 5B: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served Inside	1	14 70%	14 90%		1	1
the Class Less Than 40% of the Day	2019-2020	14.70%	14.00%		1	1
Indicator 5C: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served in		2 5 00/	4.000/			
Separate Schools, Residential Facilities and Homebound/Hospital Placements		3.50%	4.83%	-	1	1
nomebound/hospital Placements			11			
Indicator 7A. Early Childhood Outcomes- Positive						
Social/Emotional Skills						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2019-2020		+ +			NA
Percent Within Age Expectation		60.70%	46.63%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7B. Early Childhood Outcomes-Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth		93.40%	86.84%	ΝΔ	NΔ	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2019-2020					NA
Indicator 7C. Early Childhood Outcomes- Use of Appropriate		54.00%	44.5770		14/ (10/1
Behaviors						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2010 2020	92.30%	87.73%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2019-2020	65.50%	59.14%	NA	NA	NA
	Data		1			
Compliance Indicators		-	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	From: (Time Period) SPP Target 2019 State Data LEA Data LEA Score Possi de de $2019-2020$ 72.00% 64.25% 69.62% 0 1 de $2019-2020$ 14.70% 14.80% $-$ 1 1 de $2019-2020$ 14.70% 14.80% $-$ 1 1 $2019-2020$ 91.00% 85.99% NA NA NA 1 $2019-2020$ 91.00% 85.99% NA NA NA 1 1056 $2019-2020$ 93.40% 86.84% NA NA 1 1056 $2019-2020$ 93.40% 86.84% NA NA 1 $2019-2020$ 92.30% 87.73% NA NA 1 1056 $2019-2020$ 92.30% 87.73% NA NA 1 1057 $2019-2020$ 2018 $State Data$ LEA Data LEA Score Possi 10576					
	Period)					
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-						
Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with	2019 2010	Rate Ratio 2.0	0.00%	Compliant	1	1
Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies,	2010-2019	Cell Size 10	0.00%	Compliant	1	Ţ
Procedures, and Practices.						
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation						
All Disabilities	2019-2020	0.00%	0.00%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation Specific	2019-2020	0.00%	2 63%	Compliant	1	1
Disabilities	1010 1010	010070	2.0075	compliant	-	-
	1					
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluations Conducted Within Timeline	2019-2020	100.00%	98.47%	NA	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NA
			11			
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition from Part C to						
Part B	2019-2020	100.00%	91.56%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition	2019-2020	100.00%	99.89%	100.00%	1	1
Determination Summary	1					
Compliance Indicators Score			Me	ets Requirem	ents	
Possible Points:	-					N -
Results Indicators Score	5	Intervei	ntion Plan /Cor	mpliance Agreer	nent:	No
Possible Points:	6	1				
Score Total	9	1				
		1				
Out of a Possible: Percentage:	10 90.00%				0 1 1 1 NA 1 1 NA NA NA 1	

Graduation Ra	te								
Indicator 1	School Year 2018-2019	State Target 68.50%	<u>State Data</u> 73.34%	igh school dinloma with	in 4-year adjusted cohort	<u>Number Eligible</u> -	<u>Number Graduated</u> -	LEA Data <u>% SWD Who</u> <u>Graduated</u> 90.91%	<u>Met Target?</u> Yes
Note. Tercent	or youth with itr s				in + year aujusted conort				
Drop-Out Rate									
Indicator 2	<u>School Year</u> 2018-2019	<u>State Target</u> 3.70%	<u>State Data</u> 2.07%			<u>Number Enrolled</u> 62	Number of Drop-Outs -	LEA Data <u>% SWD who Dropped</u> <u>Out</u> -	Met Target? Yes
Note:									
Participation R	ate in the State Ass								
		State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3B	School Year	Target	<u>Data</u>	Grade	Subject	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target?
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	3	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020 2019-2020	95.00% 95.00%	NA	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA NA
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA NA	5	ELA ELA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	7	ELA	NA		NA	NA
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	8	ELA	NA	NA NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	HS	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Note: Category		ed to HS in 2020 report		115	LLA				
noter eutegory									
Participation R	ate in the State Ass	sessment - MATH							
		State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3B	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	<u>Target</u> 95.00%	<u>Data</u> NA	<u>Grade</u> 3	<u>Subject</u> MATH	<u>Number Eligible</u> NA	<u>Number Tested</u> NA	<u>Percent Tested</u> NA	<u>Met Target?</u> NA

MATH

MATH

MATH

MATH

MATH

MATH

NA

2019-2020

2019-2020

2019-2020

2019-2020

2019-2020

2019-2020

Note: Category of Grade 11 changed to HS in 2020 reporting

95.00%

95.00%

95.00%

95.00%

95.00%

95.00%

NA

NA

NA

NA

NA

NA

4

5

6

7

8

HS

NA

NA

NA

NA

NA

NA

				I USITIVE OUT	connes charter seno				
Proficiency Ra	ate on the State Asses	sment - ELA						LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3C	School Year	Target	Data	Grade	Subject	Number Tested	Number Meets	Proficiency	Met Target?
	2019-2020	27.63%	NA	3	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	24.54%	NA	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	25.58%	NA	5	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	17.74%	NA	6	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	18.07%	NA	7	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	17.53%	NA	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	17.46%	NA	HS	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Note: Categor	ry of Grade 11 change	d to HS in 2020 repor	ting						
Proficiency Ra	ate on the State Asses	sment - MATH							
								LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3C	School Year	Target	Data	Grade	Subject	Number Tested	Number Meets	Proficiency	Met Target?
	2019-2020	30.82%	NA	3	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	22.91%	NA	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	16.94%	NA	5	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	13.76%	NA	6	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020 2019-2020	13.76% 14.43%	NA NA	6 7	MATH MATH	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
				6 7 8					

Note: Category of Grade 11 changed to HS in 2020 reporting

						SWD Suspended > 10	Non-SWD Suspended		
Indicator 4A	School Year	State Target	State Data	SWD Enrollment	Non-SWD Enrollment	Days	> 10 Days	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant?
	2018-2019	40.00%	0.00%	77	40	-	-	-	Yes
LEA Note									
Note:	Indicator 4A is b	ased on school year 20	18 - 2019 data with a	a Rate Ratio of > 2.0 and	an N size of 15 for three co	nsecutive years.			
	State data is a ca	alculation based on the	number of LEAs tha	t met the N Size compare	ed to the same LEAs that exe	ceeded rate ratio of 2.0.	for three consecutive ve	ears.	

	Calca al Maran	Chata Taurat	Charles Darks	Deve	CM/D Francilla d	 D		IFA Data (Data Datia)	C
ndicator 4B	School Year	State Target	State Data	Race	SWD Enrolled	<u>Days</u>	Met Target?	<u>LEA Data (Rate Ratio)</u>	<u>Compliant</u>
	2018-2019	0%	0.00%	Hispanic/Latino	-	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2018-2019	0%	0.00%	Native American	-	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2018-2019	0%	0.00%	African American	29	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2018-2019	0%	0.00%	White	40	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2018-2019	0%	0.00%	Asian American	-	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2018-2019	0%	0.00%	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	-	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2018-2019	0%	0.00%	Multi-Racial	-	-	Yes	-	Yes
A Note:									
ote:	Indicator 4B is ba	ased on school vear 20	18-2019 data with	a Rate Ratio of > 2.0 and an N	size of 10 for three conse	cutive vears.			

Percent of Child	lren Aged 6 to 21 Se	rved Inside the Regula	ar Class 80% or More of th	ne Day						
Indicator 5A	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	<u>State Target</u> 72.00%	<u>State Data</u> 64.25%		<u>Number of SWD</u> 79	Number of SWD In LRE A 55	LEA Data <u>% in LRE A</u> 69.62%	<u>Met Target?</u> No		
Note:										
Percent of Child	lren Aged 6 to 21 Se	rved Inside the Regula	ar Class Less Than 40% of	the Day						
Indicator 5B	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	<u>State Target</u> 14.70%	<u>State Data</u> 14.80%		Number of SWD 79	<u>Number of SWD In</u> <u>LRE B</u> -	<u>LRE Data % in LRE B</u> -	<u>Met Target?</u> Yes		
Note:										
Percent of Child	Percent of Children Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Schools, Residential Facilities, and in Homebound/Hospital Placements									
<u>Indicator 5C</u> Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	<u>State Target</u> 3.50%	<u>State Data</u> 4.83%		<u>Number of SWD</u> 79	Number of SWD <u>In LRE C</u> -	LRE Data <u>% in LRE C</u> -	<u>Met Target?</u> Yes		
Preschool Envir Indicator 6A	onments: Percent o School Year 2019-2020	f Children Aged 3 to 5 <u>State Target</u> 50.50%	Attending a Regular Early <u>State Data</u> 49.37%	r Childhood Program and Receiving the Majority	y of Special Education and <u>Number of SWD</u> NA	d Related Services in the Number of SWD Receiving Services in the <u>Regular EC Program</u> NA	e Regular Early Childho LEA Data Percent Receiving Services in the <u>Regular EC program</u> NA	od Program <u>Met Target?</u> NA		
Note:										
Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Attending a Separate Special Education Class, Separate School, or Residential Facility										
Indicator 6B Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	State Target 31.00%	<u>State Data</u> 34.45%		<u>Number of SWD</u> NA	Number of SWD Receiving Services <u>in Separate Setting</u> NA	LEA Data Percent Receiving Services in <u>Separate Setting</u> NA	<u>Met Target?</u> NA		

Indicator 72School Year School Year 2019-202Rate of Growth State Target 91.00%State Data State DataIEA Data NAMet Target NAState Data 60.70%State Data 46.63%IEA Data NANote:Preschool Outcomes: Percent Of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: 2019-2020Acquisition and Use of State Target 93.40%State Data 85.84%Acquisition and Use of NAAcquisition	
Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Increased Rate of State Data 2019-2020 Growth State Target State Data LEA Data Note: Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Vercent Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Behaviors: Percent State Data Indicator 7C School Year	<u>Met Target</u> NA
Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Increased Rate of 2019-2020 Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Within Age Expectation State Expectation State 2019-2020 State Data State Data 86.84% IEA Data NA Met Target NA State Data State Data IEA Data Ad.97% IEA Data Ad.97% Note: Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Increased Rate of Growth Increased Rate of Growth Vise of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth Vise Data Vise Target State Data Vise Data	
Knowledge and Skills: Nowledge and Skills: Percent Increased Rate of Expectation StateKnowledge and Skills: Percent Within Age Expectation StateIndicator 78School Year 2019-2020Growth State Target 93.40%State Data 86.84%LEA Data NAMet Target NATarget State OataState Data 44.97%LEA Data NANote:	
Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Use of Appropriate Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth Within Age Expectation Indicator 7C School Year State Target State Data LEA Data	<u>Met Target</u> NA
Use of Appropriate Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth Within Age Expectation Indicator 7C School Year State Target State Data LEA Data	
Behaviors: Percent Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth Within Age Expectation Indicator 7C School Year State Target State Data Met Target State Target State Data LEA Data	
	<u>Met Target</u> NA
Note:	
Percent of Parents with a Child Receiving Special Education Services Who Report That School Facilitated Parent Involvement as a Means of Improving Services and Results for Children with Disability	es
State Total Number of LEA Data Indicator 8 School Year Target Data Respondents Number Agree Number Disagree % Agree	Met Target?
2019-2020 90.00% 92.33%	Yes
Note: 0	

Disproportionate Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Special Education and Related Services That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification School Year Indicator 9 LEA Data Compliant Met Target? State Target State Data 2019-2020 0.00% 0.00% Yes Yes Note: State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification. Disproportionate Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Specific Disability Categories That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification School Year Indicator 10 State Target State Data LEA Data Compliant Met Target? 0.00% 2.63% Yes Yes 2019-2020 Note: State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification. Evaluations Conducted Within 45 School Days or 90 Calendar Days, Whichever is Less, of Receiving Parent Consent for Initial Evaluation **Total Number of Initial** Number Within Number Not Within % LEA Data Within School Year Indicator 11 State Target State Data **Evaluations** Timelines Timelines Timelines Met Target? 98.47% NA NA NA 2019-2020 100.00% NA Note: Early Childhood Transitions: Percent of Children Referred by Part C Prior to Age 3 Who Are Found Eligible for Part B, and Who Have an IEP Developed and Implemented by Their Third Birthday Number of Children LEA Data % Who Found Eligible/IEP Number of Students Referred Minus Not Received Services by Indicator 12 School Year State Data Implemented by Age 3 **Eligible and/or Parent Refusals** Age 3 Met Target? State Target 2019-2020 100.00% 91.56% NA NA NA NA Note: Percent of Youth Age 14 or in the 8th grade with an IEP That Includes Coordinated, Measurable, Annual IEP Goals and Transition Services That Will Reasonable Enable the Student to Meet the Post-Secondary Goals **Total Number of IEPs** Number of IEPs LEA Data % Meeting **Meeting Standard** Indicator 13 School Year State Target State Data Reviewed Standard Met Target? 2019-2020 100.00% 99.89% 71 71 Yes _ Note:

Post-School Outcomes-Percent of Youth Who Are No Longer In Secondary School, Had IEPs in Effect at the Time They Left School, and Were: Group A. Enrolled in Higher Education Within One Year of Leaving High School, Group B. Enrolled in Higher Education or Competitively Employed Within One Year of Leaving High School, or Group C. Enrolled in Higher Education or in Some Other Post-Secondary Education or Training Program; or Competitively Employed or in Some Other Employment Within One Year of Leaving

Indicator 14	<u>School Year</u> 2018-2019	<u>State Target</u> 45.00%	<u>State Data</u> 53.41%	 al Number of espondents 	LEA Data % Group A 42.86%	<u>Met Target?</u> No
		<u>State Target</u> 76.00%	<u>State Data</u> 73.44%	<u>Group B Respondents</u> -	LEA Data % Group B 42.86%	<u>Met Target?</u> No
Note:		<u>State Target</u> 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 87.69%	<u>Group C Respondents</u> -	LEA Data % Group C 71.43%	<u>Met Target?</u> No