

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 http://education.delaware.gov Mark A. Holodick, Ed.D. Secretary of Education (302) 735-4000 (302) 739-4654 - fax

August 19, 2022

Ned Southworth, IV Head of School MOT Charter School 1156 Levels Road Middletown, DE 19709

RE: LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) - Revised

Dear Mr. Southworth:

Thank you for your ongoing dedication and commitment to educating students with disabilities.

Under the IDEA, the Delaware Department of Education (Department) has a responsibility to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance. The State must monitor the implementation of Part B, enforce Part B in accordance with the provisions at 34 CFR 300.604(a)(1), and (a)(3), (b)(2)(i) and (b)(2(v)), and (c)(2), and annually report on performance under Part B. The primary focus of the State's monitoring activities must be on:

- Improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and
- Ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements under Part B of the Act, with a particular emphasis on those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities.

As you know, the DDOE issued Annual Determination letters for FFY 2020 to all LEAs on May 27, 2022, as required by OSEP, which were based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

• Results:

0	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3A	Participation Rate for Children with IEPs in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3B	Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Grade Level Academic
		Achievement Standards
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors

• Compliance:

o Indicator 4B Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of

THE DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION IS AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER. IT DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY, MARITAL STATUS, DISABILITY, AGE, GENETIC INFORMATION, OR VETERAN'S STATUS IN EMPLOYMENT, OR ITS PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES.

August 19, 2022 MOT Charter School LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) – Revised Page 2

		Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and
		Practices
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely Initial Evaluations
0	Indicator 12	Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B
0	Indicator 13	Transition Planning in the IEP

On June 24, 2022, the DDOE received Delaware's Annual Determination from the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) along with a document explaining how determinations were made. Upon review, DDOE learned that OSEP did not include Indicator 3A/Participation in the Statewide Assessment in the scoring of the Results Matrix due to the significant impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the quality of the SY 2020-2021 assessment data across states. During a follow-up call with OSEP, our liaison shared that this decision was made by OSEP shortly before issuing state determination letters and after the required deadline for states to issue LEA Annual Determination letters (no later than 120 days from submission of the FFY 2020 SPP/APR on 2/1/22 Del. Admin. Code §927.2.2.2).

After consulting with OSEP, the DDOE has decided to align Delaware's LEA annual determination process with OSEP's state annual determination for Spring 2022/FFY 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Moving forward, Indicator 3A will be included in LEA annual determinations. In addition, Indicator 3C, Proficiency for Children with IEPs Alternative Academic Achievement Standards and Indicator 6, Preschool Environments will be included in LEA annual determination beginning with FFY 2021 in spring, 2023.

For FFY 2020, LEAs are receiving their revised annual determination based on a combination of the following results and compliance indicators:

• Results:

0	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Grade Level Academic
		Achievement Standards
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use
		of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors

• Compliance:

0	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely Initial Evaluations
0	Indicator 12	Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B
0	Indicator 13	Transition Planning in the IEP

August 19, 2022 MOT Charter School LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) – Revised Page 3

Based on your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA *Meets Requirements* in implementing the requirements of the IDEA. Your LEA is encouraged to continue to analyze current data and engage in a continuous improvement planning process to improve outcomes for students with disabilities in your LEA.

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, your LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Your Exceptional Children Resources liaison, Susan Veenema, will be in contact with Jenna Camponelli, Special Education Coordinator, to discuss your LEA's revised annual determination. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail <u>dale.matusevich@doe.k12.de.us</u>. The Department appreciates your continued efforts to improve outcomes for students with disabilities.

Sincerely,

Dale Matusevich Director, Exceptional Children Resources

DM/js Attachment

cc: Mark A. Holodick, Ed.D., Secretary of Education Monica Minor Gant, Ph.D., Associate Secretary, Academic Support Jenna Camponelli, Special Education Coordinator Barbara Mazza, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources Susan Veenema, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources Leroy Travers, Education Associate, Charter School Office

IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 8.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

LEA: Progress on State Performance Plan Indicators for Students with Disabilities for FFY 2020

FFY 2020 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

• Results:

○ Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
○ Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
 Indicator 3B 	Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Grade Level Academic
	Achievement Standards
 Indicator 4A 	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of
	Students with Disabilities
○ Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
○ Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use
	of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors
	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use

• Compliance:

Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of
Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies,
Procedures, and Practices
Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
Timely Initial Evaluations
Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B
Transition Planning in the IEP

Meets Requirements	=	$\geq 80\%$ (compliance and results combined)	and	LEA may be engaged in a Corrective Action Plan.	and/or	If monitored on-site, LEA is engaged in Prong 1 or Prong 2 corrective action.
Needs Assistance		60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in an Intervention Plan.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 1 year)
Needs Intervention	=	\leq 59% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in a Compliance Agreement.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 2 years)

Spring 2022 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2020 - Revised

I		arter Sch	001			
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2020	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
				-		
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	2019-2020	80.62%	80.62%	-	1	1
		ł				
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate	2019-2020	8.57%	8.57%	-	1	1
Indicator 3A: Participation Rate-ELA						
Grade 4		95.00%	66.96%		NIA	NA
Grade 8	2020-2021	95.00%	51.73%	-	NA NA	NA NA
High School	2020 2021	95.00%	51.78%	_	1	1
Indicator 3A: Participation Rate-MATH						
·		95.00%	CC 170/		NIA	NA
Grade 4 Grade 8	2020-2021	95.00%	66.17% 50.53%	-	NA NA	NA NA
High School	2020-2021	95.00%	51.73%		1	1
		55.00%	51.7570		-	-
Indicator 3B: Proficiency Rate in Regular Assessment-ELA						
Grade 4		19.36%	13.11%	-	1	1
Grade 8	2020-2021	13.65%	9.22%	-	0	1
High School		13.83%	8.75%	-	1	1
Indicator 3B: Proficiency Rate in Regular Assessment-MATH						
Grade 4		18.65%	10.61%	-	1	1
Grade 8	2020-2021	8.37%	3.15%	-	0	1
High School		7.69%	2.09%	-	0	1
Indicator 4A: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities	2019-2020	40%	0.00%	Under Threshold	1	1
Indicator 5A: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regular Class 80% or More of the Day		64.54%	64.54%	93.10%	1	1
Indicator 5B: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regular Class Less Than 40% of the Day	2020-2021	15.09%	15.09%	-	1	1
Indicator 5C: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Schools, Residential Facilities, and in Homebound/Hospital Placements		4.93%	4.93%	-	1	1
Indicator 7A. Early Childhood Outcomes- Positive Social/Emotional Skills						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth		86.00%	84.61%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2020-2021	47.53%	47.03%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7B. Early Childhood Outcomes-Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth		87.04%	85.24%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2020-2021	46.12%	43.86%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7C. Early Childhood Outcomes- Use of Appropriate Behaviors						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth		88.31%	85.54%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2020-2021	59.35%	56.57%	NA	NA	NA

Spring 2022 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2020 - Revised

MOT Charter School Data From: SPP Target **Compliance Indicators** State Data LEA Data LEA Score Possible Points 2018 (Time Period) Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by 2019-2020 0.00% 0.00% Compliant 1 1 Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices. Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation 2020-2021 0.00% 0.00% Compliant 1 1 All Disabilities Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation Specific 2020-2021 0.00% 2.56% Compliant 1 1 Disabilities Indicator 11: Initial Evaluations Conducted Within Timeline 2020-2021 100.00% 98.26% 100.00% 1 1 Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition from Part C to 2020-2021 100.00% 95.75% NA NA NA Part B Indicator 13: Secondary Transition 2020-2021 100.00% 98.85% 100.00% 1 1

Determination Summary		Annual Determination:			
Compliance Indicators Score	5	Meets Requirements			
Possible Points:	5				
Results Indicators Score	11	Intervention Plan /Compliance Agreement:	No		
Possible Points:	14				
Score Total	16				
Out of a Possible:	19				
Percentage:	84.21%				

iraduation Ra	ite							LEA Data	
dicator 1	School Year	State Target	State Data			Total Students Exited	Graduated with a Regular Diploma	<u>% SWD Who</u> <u>Graduated</u>	Met Targe
	2019-2020	80.62%	80.62%			-	-	-	Yes
lote:									
Prop-Out Rate	2								
								LEA Data % SWD who Dropped	
ndicator 2	School Year	State Target	State Data			Total Students Exited	Drop-Outs	Out	Met Targe
	2019-2020	8.57%	8.57%			-	-	-	Yes
lote:									
articipation F	Rate for Children wit	h IEPs - ELA State	State					LEA Data	
ndicator 3A	School Year	Target	Data	Grade	Subject	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Targe
	2020-2021	95.00%	66.96%	4	ELA	-	-	-	NA
	2020-2021	95.00%	51.73%	8	ELA	-	-	-	NA
	2020-2021	95.00%	51.78%	HS	ELA	-	-	-	Yes
lote: SY - 202	20-2021 = FFY 2020								
N/A = F	or FFY 2020, "Met Ta	arget" is N/A for all LE/	As. After consulting wi	th OSEP, the DDOE has	decided to align Delaw	are's LEA annual determinati	ion process with OSEF	's state annual determi	nation for
		o the COVID-19 pander			-				
articipation F	Rate for Children wit	h IEPs - MATH							
		State	State					LEA Data	
ndicator 3A	School Year	Target	Data	<u>Grade</u>	Subject	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Targe
	2020-2021	95.00%	66.17%	4	MATH	-	-	-	NA
	2020-2021	95.00%	50.53%	8	MATH	-	-	-	NA
	2020-2021	95.00%	51.73%	HS	MATH	-	-	-	Yes
lote: SY - 202	20-2021 = FFY 2020								
	20-2021 = FFY 2020	arget" is N/A for all LE	As. After consulting wi	th OSEP, the DDOE has	decided to align Delaw	are's LEA annual determinati	ion process with OSEF	's state annual determi	nation for
N/A = F	20-2021 = FFY 2020 or FFY 2020, "Met Ta	arget" is N/A for all LE/ o the COVID-19 pander		th OSEP, the DDOE has	decided to align Delaw	are's LEA annual determinati	ion process with OSEF	's state annual determi	nation for
N/A = F	20-2021 = FFY 2020 or FFY 2020, "Met Ta			th OSEP, the DDOE has	decided to align Delaw	are's LEA annual determinati	ion process with OSEF	's state annual determi	nation for

'e in Grade i evel Acad	iemic Achievement N								
		tandards - ELA					LEA Data		
	State	State					% of SWD Meeting		
					Number Tested	Number Meets	Proficiency	Met Targe	
					-	-	-	Yes	
					-	-	-	No	
2020-2021	13.83%	8.75%	HS	ELA	-	-	-	Yes	
0-2021 = FFY 2020									
e in Grade Level Acad	lemic Achievement S	tandards - MATH					LEA Data		
	State	State					% of SWD Meeting		
School Year	Target	<u>Data</u>	Grade	Subject	Number Tested	Number Meets	Proficiency	Met Targe	
2020-2021	18.65%	10.61%	4	MATH	-	-	-	Yes	
2020-2021	8.37%	3.15%	8	MATH	-	-	-	No	
2020-2021	7.69%	2.09%	HS	MATH	-	-	-	No	
e in the Alternate Aca									
School Year			Grade	Subject	Number Tested	Number Meets	•	Met Targe	
								NA	
2020 2022	2010 170								
2020-2021	43.10%	20.00%	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
2020-2021 2020-2021	43.10% 42.20%	20.00% 40.45%	-	ELA ELA	NA -	NA -	NA -	NA NA	
2020-2021	42.20%	40.45%	HS	ELA ELA	NA -	NA -	- -	NA NA	
2020-2021 licator 3C for SY-2020	42.20% - 2021=FFY 2020, "M é	40.45% et Target" is NA for all I	HS .EAs	ELA	NA - evel eligible to take the ass	-	NA -		
2020-2021 licator 3C for SY-2020	42.20% - 2021=FFY 2020, "M e lents in the specified	40.45% et Target" is NA for all I grade level or the LEA	HS .EAs	ELA	-	-	- LEA Data		
2020-2021 licator 3C for SY-2020 EA does not have stud e in the Alternate Acc	42.20% - 2021=FFY 2020, "M e lents in the specified	40.45% et Target" is NA for all I grade level or the LEA Standards - MATH State	HS .EAs	ELA in the specified grade le	- evel eligible to take the ass	- essment.	- LEA Data % of SWD Meeting	NA	
2020-2021 licator 3C for SY-2020 EA does not have stud e in the Alternate Aca <u>School Year</u>	42.20% -2021=FFY 2020, "Me lents in the specified ademic Achievement State <u>Target</u>	40.45% et Target" is NA for all I grade level or the LEA Standards - MATH State <u>Data</u>	HS EAs does not have students <u>Grade</u>	ELA in the specified grade le <u>Subject</u>	- evel eligible to take the ass <u>Number Tested</u>	- essment. <u>Number Meets</u>	- LEA Data % of SWD Meeting <u>Proficiency</u>	NA <u>Met Targe</u>	
2020-2021 licator 3C for SY-2020 EA does not have stud e in the Alternate Acc <u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	42.20% -2021=FFY 2020, "Me lents in the specified ademic Achievement State <u>Target</u> 31.95%	40.45% et Target" is NA for all I grade level or the LEA Standards - MATH State <u>Data</u> 39.00%	HS EAs does not have students	ELA in the specified grade le <u>Subject</u> MATH	- evel eligible to take the ass <u>Number Tested</u> NA	- essment.	- LEA Data % of SWD Meeting	NA	
2020-2021 licator 3C for SY-2020 EA does not have stud e in the Alternate Aca <u>School Year</u>	42.20% -2021=FFY 2020, "Me lents in the specified ademic Achievement State <u>Target</u>	40.45% et Target" is NA for all I grade level or the LEA Standards - MATH State <u>Data</u>	HS EAs does not have students <u>Grade</u>	ELA in the specified grade le <u>Subject</u>	- evel eligible to take the ass <u>Number Tested</u>	- essment. <u>Number Meets</u>	- LEA Data % of SWD Meeting <u>Proficiency</u>	NA <u>Met Targe</u>	
2020-2021 licator 3C for SY-2020 EA does not have stud e in the Alternate Acc <u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	42.20% -2021=FFY 2020, "Me lents in the specified ademic Achievement State <u>Target</u> 31.95%	40.45% et Target" is NA for all I grade level or the LEA Standards - MATH State <u>Data</u> 39.00%	HS EAs does not have students <u>Grade</u> 4	ELA in the specified grade le <u>Subject</u> MATH	- evel eligible to take the ass <u>Number Tested</u> NA	- essment. <u>Number Meets</u> NA	- LEA Data % of SWD Meeting <u>Proficiency</u> NA	NA <u>Met Targe</u> NA	
2020-2021 licator 3C for SY-2020 A does not have stud te in the Alternate Acc <u>School Year</u> 2020-2021 2020-2021 2020-2021	42.20% -2021=FFY 2020, "Me lents in the specified ademic Achievement State <u>Target</u> 31.95% 21.72% 12.71%	40.45% et Target" is NA for all I grade level or the LEA Standards - MATH State <u>Data</u> 39.00% 8.89%	HS EAs does not have students <u>Grade</u> 4 8 HS	ELA in the specified grade le <u>Subject</u> MATH MATH	- evel eligible to take the ass <u>Number Tested</u> NA NA	- essment. <u>Number Meets</u> NA	- LEA Data % of SWD Meeting <u>Proficiency</u> NA	NA <u>Met Targe</u> NA NA	
	e in Grade Level Acac <u>School Year</u> 2020-2021 2020-2021 2020-2021 2020-2021 D-2021 = FFY 2020	School Year Target 2020-2021 19.36% 2020-2021 13.65% 2020-2021 13.83% 2020-2021 13.83% D-2021 = FFY 2020 State School Year Target 2020-2021 18.65% 2020-2021 8.37% 2020-2021 7.69% D-2021 = FFY 2020 Target School Year Target 2020-2021 8.37% 2020-2021 7.69% D-2021 = FFY 2020 State	School Year Target Data 2020-2021 19.36% 13.11% 2020-2021 13.65% 9.22% 2020-2021 13.83% 8.75% 2020-2021 13.83% 8.75% 2020-2021 13.83% 8.75% 2020-2021 13.83% 8.75% colspan="2">Colspan="2" Colspan="2">Colspan="2" School Year Target Data 2020-2021 8.37% 3.15% 2.09% Colspan="2" Colspan="2">Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colspan= FFY 2020 Colspan= 5 Colspan= 5 Colspan= 5 State State State State State State <td colspa<="" td=""><td>School Year Target Data Grade 2020-2021 19.36% 13.11% 4 2020-2021 13.65% 9.22% 8 2020-2021 13.83% 8.75% HS 2020-2021 13.83% 8.75% HS 0-2021 = FFY 2020 State State School Year Target Data Grade 2020-2021 18.65% 10.61% 4 2020-2021 8.37% 3.15% 8 2020-2021 8.37% 3.15% 8 2020-2021 7.69% 2.09% HS 0-2021 = FFY 2020 Traget State State</td><td>School YearTargetDataGradeSubject2020-202119.36%13.11%4ELA2020-202113.65%9.22%8ELA2020-202113.83%8.75%HSELA0-2021 = FFY 2020FFY 2020StateStateSubjectstateStateStateMATH2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH2020-20217.69%2.09%HSMATH2020-20217.69%2.09%HSMATH2020-20217.69%2.09%HSMATH2020-20217.69%2.09%HSMATH2020-20217.69%StateStateStateStateStateStateStateStateSchool YearTargetDataGradeSubject</td><td>School YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber Tested2020-202119.36%13.11%4ELA-2020-202113.65%9.22%8ELA-2020-202113.83%8.75%HSELA-0-2021 = FFY 2020e in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - MATHStateSchool YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber Tested2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH-2020-20218.37%3.15%8MATH-2020-20217.69%2.09%HSMATH-0-2021 = FFY 2020e in the Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - ELA StateState5 chool YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber Tested</td><td>School YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber TestedNumber Meets2020-202119.36%13.11%4ELA2020-202113.65%9.22%8ELA2020-202113.83%8.75%H5ELA0-2021 = FFY 2020e in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - MATHStateStateStateSubjectNumber TestedNumber Meets2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH2020-20218.37%3.15%8MATH2020-20217.69%2.09%H5MATH0-2021 = FFY 2020e in the Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - ELA StateStateSubjectNumber Tested-School YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber Tested-2020-20217.69%2.09%H5MATH0-2021 = FFY 2020stateStateNumber TestedNumber MeetsSchool YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber TestedNumber Meets</td><td>School YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber TestedNumber MeetsProficiency2020-202113.65%9.22%8ELA2020-202113.83%8.75%HSELA2020-202113.83%8.75%HSELA>-2021 = FFY 2020e in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - MATHStateState2020-202113.65%10.61%4MATH2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH<td< td=""></td<></td></td>	<td>School Year Target Data Grade 2020-2021 19.36% 13.11% 4 2020-2021 13.65% 9.22% 8 2020-2021 13.83% 8.75% HS 2020-2021 13.83% 8.75% HS 0-2021 = FFY 2020 State State School Year Target Data Grade 2020-2021 18.65% 10.61% 4 2020-2021 8.37% 3.15% 8 2020-2021 8.37% 3.15% 8 2020-2021 7.69% 2.09% HS 0-2021 = FFY 2020 Traget State State</td> <td>School YearTargetDataGradeSubject2020-202119.36%13.11%4ELA2020-202113.65%9.22%8ELA2020-202113.83%8.75%HSELA0-2021 = FFY 2020FFY 2020StateStateSubjectstateStateStateMATH2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH2020-20217.69%2.09%HSMATH2020-20217.69%2.09%HSMATH2020-20217.69%2.09%HSMATH2020-20217.69%2.09%HSMATH2020-20217.69%StateStateStateStateStateStateStateStateSchool YearTargetDataGradeSubject</td> <td>School YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber Tested2020-202119.36%13.11%4ELA-2020-202113.65%9.22%8ELA-2020-202113.83%8.75%HSELA-0-2021 = FFY 2020e in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - MATHStateSchool YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber Tested2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH-2020-20218.37%3.15%8MATH-2020-20217.69%2.09%HSMATH-0-2021 = FFY 2020e in the Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - ELA StateState5 chool YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber Tested</td> <td>School YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber TestedNumber Meets2020-202119.36%13.11%4ELA2020-202113.65%9.22%8ELA2020-202113.83%8.75%H5ELA0-2021 = FFY 2020e in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - MATHStateStateStateSubjectNumber TestedNumber Meets2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH2020-20218.37%3.15%8MATH2020-20217.69%2.09%H5MATH0-2021 = FFY 2020e in the Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - ELA StateStateSubjectNumber Tested-School YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber Tested-2020-20217.69%2.09%H5MATH0-2021 = FFY 2020stateStateNumber TestedNumber MeetsSchool YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber TestedNumber Meets</td> <td>School YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber TestedNumber MeetsProficiency2020-202113.65%9.22%8ELA2020-202113.83%8.75%HSELA2020-202113.83%8.75%HSELA>-2021 = FFY 2020e in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - MATHStateState2020-202113.65%10.61%4MATH2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH<td< td=""></td<></td>	School Year Target Data Grade 2020-2021 19.36% 13.11% 4 2020-2021 13.65% 9.22% 8 2020-2021 13.83% 8.75% HS 2020-2021 13.83% 8.75% HS 0-2021 = FFY 2020 State State School Year Target Data Grade 2020-2021 18.65% 10.61% 4 2020-2021 8.37% 3.15% 8 2020-2021 8.37% 3.15% 8 2020-2021 7.69% 2.09% HS 0-2021 = FFY 2020 Traget State State	School YearTargetDataGradeSubject2020-202119.36%13.11%4ELA2020-202113.65%9.22%8ELA2020-202113.83%8.75%HSELA0-2021 = FFY 2020FFY 2020StateStateSubjectstateStateStateMATH2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH2020-20217.69%2.09%HSMATH2020-20217.69%2.09%HSMATH2020-20217.69%2.09%HSMATH2020-20217.69%2.09%HSMATH2020-20217.69%StateStateStateStateStateStateStateStateSchool YearTargetDataGradeSubject	School YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber Tested2020-202119.36%13.11%4ELA-2020-202113.65%9.22%8ELA-2020-202113.83%8.75%HSELA-0-2021 = FFY 2020e in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - MATHStateSchool YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber Tested2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH-2020-20218.37%3.15%8MATH-2020-20217.69%2.09%HSMATH-0-2021 = FFY 2020e in the Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - ELA StateState5 chool YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber Tested	School YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber TestedNumber Meets2020-202119.36%13.11%4ELA2020-202113.65%9.22%8ELA2020-202113.83%8.75%H5ELA0-2021 = FFY 2020e in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - MATHStateStateStateSubjectNumber TestedNumber Meets2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH2020-20218.37%3.15%8MATH2020-20217.69%2.09%H5MATH0-2021 = FFY 2020e in the Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - ELA StateStateSubjectNumber Tested-School YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber Tested-2020-20217.69%2.09%H5MATH0-2021 = FFY 2020stateStateNumber TestedNumber MeetsSchool YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber TestedNumber Meets	School YearTargetDataGradeSubjectNumber TestedNumber MeetsProficiency2020-202113.65%9.22%8ELA2020-202113.83%8.75%HSELA2020-202113.83%8.75%HSELA>-2021 = FFY 2020e in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - MATHStateState2020-202113.65%10.61%4MATH2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH2020-202118.65%10.61%4MATH <td< td=""></td<>

dup in roneen	icy nates (Grade Le		ent Standards) - El	A		LEA Data	LEA Data		
		State	State			% of ALL Meeting	% of SWD Meeting	Proficiency	_
Indicator 3D	School Year	Target	Data	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Proficiency	Proficiency	GAP	Met Target?
	2020-2021	31.72%	23.46%	4	ELA	-	-	-	NA
	2020-2021	41.27%	34.90%	8	ELA	-	-	-	NA
	2020-2021	38.15%	40.50%	HS	ELA	-	-	-	NA
Note: New Ind	licator 3D for SY-20	20-2021=FFY 2020, "Me	t Target" is NA for	all LEAs					
Gap in Proficen	ncy Rates (Grade Lev	vel Academic Achievem	ent Standards) - N	IATH		LEA Data	LEA Data		
		State	State			% of ALL Meeting	% of SWD Meeting	Proficiency	
Indicator 3D	School Year	Target	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	Subject	Proficiency	Proficiency	<u>GAP</u>	Met Target?
	2020-2021	33.37%	17.19%	4	MATH	-	-	-	NA
	2020-2021	33.35%	20.65%	8	MATH	-	-	-	NA
	2020-2021	24.13%	25.54%	HS	MATH	-	-	-	NA
Note: New Ind	licator 3D for SY-20	20-2021=FFY 2020, "Me	et Target" is NA for	all LEAs					
Cignificant Dica	ronancy in the Pate		ion and Evaluation	s of Students with Disabilitie					
Significant Disc	reparty in the Nate	e of Long-Term Suspens		s of Students with Disabilitie	25				
Ū		с .				SWD Suspended > 10	Non-SWD Suspended	-	Compliant?
Indicator 4A	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	State Target 40.00%	<u>State Data</u> 0.00%	SWD Enrollment 98	Non-SWD Enrollment 1290	SWD Suspended > 10 Days -	<u>Non-SWD Suspended</u> <u>> 10 Days</u> -	 LEA Data (Rate Ratio) -	<u>Compliant?</u> Yes
Indicator 4A	School Year	State Target	<u>State Data</u>	SWD Enrollment	Non-SWD Enrollment			-	
Indicator 4A Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	<u>State Target</u> 40.00%	<u>State Data</u> 0.00%	SWD Enrollment	<u>Non-SWD Enrollment</u> 1290	<u>Days</u> -	<u>> 10 Days</u> -	<u>LEA Data (Rate Ratio)</u> 	
Indicator 4A Note:	School Year 2019-2020 crepancy in the Rate	<u>State Target</u> 40.00%	<u>State Data</u> 0.00%	<u>SWD Enrollment</u> 98	Non-SWD Enrollment 1290 es by Race/Ethnicity and I	<u>Days</u> -	> 10 Days	<u>LEA Data (Rate Ratio)</u> -	
Indicator 4A Note: Significant Disc	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	<u>State Target</u> 40.00%	<u>State Data</u> 0.00%	<u>SWD Enrollment</u> 98	<u>Non-SWD Enrollment</u> 1290	<u>Days</u> - Noncompliant Policies, P	<u>> 10 Days</u> -	<u>LEA Data (Rate Ratio)</u> 	
Indicator 4A Note: Significant Disc	School Year 2019-2020 crepancy in the Rate	State Target 40.00%	State Data 0.00% ions and Expulsion State Data 0.00%	SWD Enrollment 98 s of Students with Disabiliti	Non-SWD Enrollment 1290 es by Race/Ethnicity and I	<u>Days</u> - Noncompliant Policies, P <u>SWD Suspended > 10</u>	> 10 Days	<u>LEA Data (Rate Ratio)</u> -	Yes
Indicator 4A Note: Significant Disc	School Year 2019-2020 Crepancy in the Rate School Year	State Target 40.00% e of Long-Term Suspens State Target	State Data 0.00% ions and Expulsion State Data	SWD Enrollment 98 s of Students with Disabiliti <u>Race</u>	Non-SWD Enrollment 1290 es by Race/Ethnicity and I	<u>Days</u> - Noncompliant Policies, P <u>SWD Suspended > 10</u>	> 10 Days	<u>LEA Data (Rate Ratio)</u> -	Yes Compliant?
Indicator 4A Note: Significant Disc	School Year 2019-2020 Crepancy in the Rate School Year 2019-2020	State Target 40.00% e of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00%	State Data 0.00% ions and Expulsion State Data 0.00%	SWD Enrollment 98 s of Students with Disabiliti <u>Race</u> Hispanic/Latino	Non-SWD Enrollment 1290 es by Race/Ethnicity and I	<u>Days</u> - Noncompliant Policies, P <u>SWD Suspended > 10</u>	> 10 Days - rocedures, and Practice <u>Met Target?</u> Yes	<u>LEA Data (Rate Ratio)</u> -	Yes Compliant? Yes
Indicator 4A Note: Significant Disc	School Year 2019-2020 Crepancy in the Rate School Year 2019-2020 2019-2020	State Target 40.00% e of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00% 0.00%	State Data 0.00% ions and Expulsion State Data 0.00% 0.00%	SWD Enrollment 98 s of Students with Disabiliti <u>Race</u> Hispanic/Latino Native American	Non-SWD Enrollment 1290 es by Race/Ethnicity and I <u>SWD Enrolled</u> -	<u>Days</u> - Noncompliant Policies, P <u>SWD Suspended > 10</u>	> <u>10 Days</u> - rocedures, and Practice <u>Met Target?</u> Yes Yes	<u>LEA Data (Rate Ratio)</u> -	Yes Compliant? Yes Yes
Indicator 4A Note: Significant Disc	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020 crepancy in the Rate <u>School Year</u> 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020	State Target 40.00% e of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	<u>State Data</u> 0.00% ions and Expulsion <u>State Data</u> 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	SWD Enrollment 98 s of Students with Disabiliti <u>Race</u> Hispanic/Latino Native American African American	Non-SWD Enrollment 1290 es by Race/Ethnicity and I SWD Enrolled - - 23	<u>Days</u> - Noncompliant Policies, P <u>SWD Suspended > 10</u>	> <u>10 Days</u> - Procedures, and Practice <u>Met Target?</u> Yes Yes Yes Yes	<u>LEA Data (Rate Ratio)</u> -	Yes Compliant? Yes Yes Yes Yes
Indicator 4A Note: Significant Disc	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020 crepancy in the Rate <u>School Year</u> 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020	State Target 40.00% e of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	<u>State Data</u> 0.00% ions and Expulsion <u>State Data</u> 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	SWD Enrollment 98 s of Students with Disabiliti Race Hispanic/Latino Native American African American White	Non-SWD Enrollment 1290 es by Race/Ethnicity and I SWD Enrolled - - 23 60	<u>Days</u> - Noncompliant Policies, P <u>SWD Suspended > 10</u>	> 10 Days Procedures, and Practice Met Target? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<u>LEA Data (Rate Ratio)</u> -	Yes Compliant? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
<u>Indicator 4A</u> Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020 crepancy in the Rate <u>School Year</u> 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020	State Target 40.00% c of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	<u>State Data</u> 0.00% ions and Expulsion <u>State Data</u> 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	SWD Enrollment 98 s of Students with Disabiliti Race Hispanic/Latino Native American African American White Asian American	Non-SWD Enrollment 1290 es by Race/Ethnicity and I SWD Enrolled - - 23 60	<u>Days</u> - Noncompliant Policies, P <u>SWD Suspended > 10</u>	> 10 Days Procedures, and Practice Met Target? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<u>LEA Data (Rate Ratio)</u> -	Yes Compliant? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes

Indicator 5A	dren With IEPs Age School Year 2020-2021	d 5 Who Are Enrolled ir <u>State Target</u> 64.54%	n Kindergarten and Ager <u>State Data</u> 64.54%	d 6 to 21 Served Insi	de the Regular Class 80% or M	ore of the Day <u>Number of SWD</u> 116	Number of SWD In LRE A 108	LEA Data <u>% in LRE A</u> 93.10%	<u>Met Target?</u> Yes
Note: School y	year 2020-2021 was	used to set new baseli	nes.						
Percent of Chile	dren With IEPs Age	d 5 Who Are Enrolled ir	n Kindergarten and Age	d 6 to 21 Served Insi	de the Regular Class Less Than	40% of the Day			
	School Year						Number of SWD In		
Indicator 5B	2020-2021	<u>State Target</u> 15.09%	<u>State Data</u> 15.09%			Number of SWD 116	<u>LRE B</u> -	<u>LRE Data % in LRE B</u> -	<u>Met Target?</u> Yes
Note:									
Percent of Chile	dren With IEPs Age	d 5 Who Are Enrolled ir	Nindergarten and Age	d 6 to 21 Served In S	Separate Schools, Residential I	Facilities, and in Homet	ound/Hospital Placeme	ents	
		.					Number of SWD	LRE Data	
Indicator 5C	<u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	<u>State Target</u> 4.93%	<u>State Data</u> 4.93%			<u>Number of SWD</u> 116	In LRE C	<u>% in LRE C</u>	Met Target? Yes
	2020-2021	4.9376	4.9376			110	-	-	163
Note:									
Preschool Envil Indicator 6A	School Year	of Children Aged 3 to 5 State Target	Attending a Regular Ea State Data	rly Childhood Progra	am and Receiving the Majority Total Number of SWD	of Special Education a	nd Related Services in th Total in 6A	Percent Receiving	od Program <u>Met Target</u>
<u>indicator ort</u>	2020-2021	>=26.86%	26.86%	3	NA		NA	NA	NA
		>=28.52%	28.52%	4	NA		NA	NA	NA
		>=35.54%	35.54%	5	NA		NA	NA	NA
Note:									
inote:									
Preschool Envi	ronments: Percent	of Children Aged 3 to 5	Attending a Separate S	Special Education Cla	ass, Separate School, or Reside	ential Facility			
Indicator 6B	School Year	State Target	State Data	Age	Total Number of SWD		Total in 6B	Percent Receiving	Met Target
	2020-2021	<=55.32% <=50.72%	55.32% 50.72%	3	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
		<=50.72% <=41.81%	41.81%	4 5	NA		NA	NA	NA
		12102/0	12102/0	U U					
Note:									
		of Children Aged 3 to 5	• •						
Indicator 6C	School Year	State Target	State Data	Age	Total Number of SWD		Total in 6C	Percent Receiving	Met Target
	2020-2021	<=1.8% <=0.8%	1.06% 0.29%	3 4	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
		<=0.6%	0.35%	5	NA		NA	NA	NA
Note:									

Preschool Outc	omes: Percent of	f Preschool Students Aged 3 t	o 5 Who Demons	trate Improved Skills in Po	ositive Social/Emotional	Skills 7A2: Positive			
		7A1: Positive Social/Emotional Skills :				Social/Emotional Skills : Percent Within Age			
Indicator 7A	School Year	Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target	Expectation State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target
	2020-2021	86.00%	84.61%	NA	NA	47.53%	47.03%	NA	NA
Note:									
Preschool Outc	omes: Percent of	f Preschool Students Aged 3 t	o 5 Who Demons	trate Improved Skills in Ad	equisition and Use of Kn	owledge and Skills			
						7B2: Acquisition and			
		7B1: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills:				Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Within			
Indicator 7B	School Year	Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target	Age Expectation State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target
	2020-2021	87.04%	85.24%	NA	NA	46.12%	43.86%	NA	NA
Note:									
Preschool Outco	omes: Percent of	f Preschool Students Aged 3 t	o 5 Who Demons	trate Improved Skills in Us	se of Appropriate Behav	viors			
		7C1: Use of Appropriate				7C2: Use of Appropriate			
		Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth				Behaviors: Percent Within Age Expectation			
Indicator 7C	<u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	<u>State Target</u> 88.31%	<u>State Data</u> 85.54%	<u>LEA Data</u> NA	<u>Met Target</u> NA	<u>State Target</u> 59.35%	<u>State Data</u> 56.57%	<u>LEA Data</u> NA	<u>Met Target</u> NA
Note:									
Porcent of Pare	nts with a Child	Receiving Special Education S	onvices Who Pen	ort That School Eacilitated	Parant Involvement as	a Moons of Improving Son	vices and Posults for Chil	drop with Disabilitie	.c
referit of rate	ints with a child				Total Number of	a means of improving serv	ices and results for chil		.5
Indicator 8	School Year	State <u>Target</u>	State <u>Data</u>		Respondents	Number Agree	Number Disagree	LEA Data <u>% Agree</u>	Met Target?
	2020-2021	90.00%	94.07%		-	-	-	-	Yes
Note:									
Disproportiona	te Representatio	on of Racial and Ethnic Group	s in Special Educa	tion and Related Services	That is a Result of Inap	propriate Identification			
Indicator 9	School Year	State Target	State Data				LEA Data Compliant		Met Target?
	2020-2021	0.00%	0.00%				Yes		Yes
Note: State dat	ta reflects % of d	istricts with Disproportionat	e Representation	as a result of inappropriat	te identification.				

				MOT Charter School	
Disproportiona	ate Representation	of Racial and Ethnic Gr	oups in Specific Disability (Categories That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification	
Indicator 10	School Year 2020-2021	<u>State Target</u> 0.00%	<u>State Data</u> 2.56%	LEA Data Compliant Yes	Met Target? Yes
Note: State da	ta reflects % of dist	ricts with Disproportio	nate Representation as a r	result of inappropriate identification.	
Evaluations Co	nducted Within 45 S	School Days or 90 Caler	ndar Days, Whichever is Le	ss, of Receiving Parent Consent for Initial Evaluation	
Indicator 11	<u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	<u>State Target</u> 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 98.26%	Total Number of InitialNumber WithinNumber Not Within% LEA Data WithinEvaluationsTimelinesTimelinesTimelines24240100%	Met Target? Yes
Note:					
Early Childhoo	d Transitions: Perce	nt of Children Referred	by Part C Prior to Age 3 W	/ho Are Found Eligible for Part B, and	
Who Have an I	EP Developed and I	mplemented by Their T	hird Birthday		
Indicator 12	<u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	State Target 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 95.75%	Number of ChildrenLEA Data % WhoFound Eligible/IEPNumber of Students Referred Minus NotReceived Services byImplemented by Age 3Eligible and/or Parent RefusalsAge 3NANANA	<u>Met Target?</u> NA
Note:					

Percent of Youth Age 14 or in the 8th grade with an IEP That Includes Coordinated, Measurable, Annual IEP Goals and Transition Services That Will Reasonably Enable the Student to Meet the Post-Secondary Goals								
	•			Total Number of IEPs	Number of IEPs Meetin	g	LEA Data % Meeting	
Indicator 13	School Year	State Target	State Data	Reviewed	Standard		Standard	Met Target?
	2020-2021	100.00%	98.85%	49	49		100.00%	Yes
Note:								
One Year of Le	aving High School, O	Group B. Enrolled in Hig	her Education or Compet	Had IEPs in Effect at the Time They Left Schoo itively Employed Within One Year of Leaving I loyed or in Some Other Employment Within O	High School, or Group C.			
				Total Number of	Total Number of			
Indicator 14	School Year	State Target	State Data	Exiters	Respondents	Group A Respondents	LEA Data % Group A	Met Target?
	2019-2020	44.14%	44.14%	-	-	-	-	Yes
		State Target	State Data			Group B Respondents	LEA Data % Group B	Met Target?
		64.82%	64.82%			-	-	Yes
		State Target	State Data			Group C Respondents	LEA Data % Group C	Met Target?
		87.69%	87.69%			-	-	Yes
Note:								



DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 http://education.delaware.gov

Mark A. Holodick, Ed.D. Secretary of Education (302) 735-4000 (302) 739-4654 - fax

FFY 2020 IDEA LEA Annual Determination Business Rules - Revised

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
1 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.	Number of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to graduating with a regular high school diploma Divided by the number of all youth with IEPs who left high school (ages 14-21) in the denominator.	NA = LEA had graduates but no special education graduates. N/A - LEA did not have any graduates.
2 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.	Number of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to dropping out Divided by the number of all youth with IEPs who left high school (ages 14-21) in the denominator.	NA = LEA did not have students ages 14-21.
3A (20 U.S.C. 1416 (2)(2)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments: • Participation rate for children with IEPs.	Number of children with IEPs participating in an assessment Divided by the total number of children with IEPs enrolled during the testing window. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The participation rate is based on all children with IEPs, including both children with IEPs enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment
(a)(3)(A))			For FFY 2020, "Met Target" is N/A for all LEAs. After consulting with OSEP, the DDOE has decided to align Delaware's LEA annual determination process with OSEP's state annual determination for Spring 2022/FFY 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
3B (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	 Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments: Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards. 	Number of children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards Divided by the total number of children with IEPs who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the regular assessment. Calculate separately for math and reading. Account for ALL children with IEPs, in grades 4, 8, and high school. <i>Note: Include children not participating in assessments and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.</i>	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment For FFY 2020, "Met Target" is N/A for all LEAs. After consulting with OSEP, the DDOE has decided to align Delaware's LEA annual determination process with OSEP's state annual determination for Spring 2022/FFY 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic
3C (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	 Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments: Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level, modified and alternate academic achievement standards. 	Number of children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against alternate academic achievement standards Divided by the total number of children with IEPs who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the alternate assessment. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The proficiency rate includes both children with IEPs enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment Note: New Indicator 3C for SY 2020-2021 = FFY 2020. For FFY 2020, "Met Target" is NA for all LEAs.
3D (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on statewide assessments: Gap in proficiency rates for children with IEPs and for all students against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards Subtracted from the proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The proficiency rate includes all children enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing. Note: New Indicator 3D for SY 2020-2021 = FFY 2020. For FFY 2020, "Met Target" is NA for all LEAs.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment * = Percent of students with disabilities meeting proficiency was greater than the percent of ALL meeting proficiency resulting in a negative proficiency gap.

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
	Percent of districts that have a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs.	State Determination: Number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size Divided by The number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size and exceeded the state bar (rate-ratio). LEA Determination:	
4A (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))		 Number of SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by Number of General Ed Students Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days An LEA meets target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell. An LEA meets the target because they did not exceed rate ratio. An LEA does not meet the target if they exceed state established "N" size and the state bar (rate ratio). 	
		Note: For FFY 2020: Cell Size = 15/Rate Ratio = 2.0 State bar for data reported is a rate ratio of 2.0 for 3 consecutive years or has a cell size of 5 and a rate ratio of 5.0	
4B (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))	 Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. 	State Determination: Number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size Divided by The number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size and exceeded the state bar (rate-ratio). LEA Determination: Number of (race/ethnicity) SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by Number of (race/ethnicity) SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by Number of (race/ethnicity) SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by General Ed Students Suspended > than 10 days Divided by General Ed Students in LEA An LEA meets the target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell. An LEA meets the target if they do not exceed rate ratio. An LEA meets the target if they do not exceed rate ratio. An LEA meets the target if they do not exceed the state established "N" size and the state bar (rate ratio) and was found to be noncompliant. Note: For FFY 2020: Cell Size = 10/Rate Ratio =2.0 State bar for data reported is a rate ratio of 2.0 for 3 consecutive years or has a cell size of 5 and a rate ratio of 5.0	

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
5 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	 Percent of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 served: A. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day B. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day C. In separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/ hospital placements. 	 A. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 served inside the regular class 80% or more of the day Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 with IEPs times 100. B. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 with IEPs times 100. B. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 served inside the regular class less than 40% of the day Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 with IEPs)] times 100. C. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 with IEPs)] times 100. C. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 served in separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 servel in separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 with IEPs times 100. 	
6 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	 Percent of children with IEPs aged 3, 4, and 5 who are enrolled in a preschool program attending a: A. Regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program B. Separate special education class, separate school or residential facility. Receiving special education and related services in the home. 	 A. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100. B. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100. C. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs receiving special education and related services in the home Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs receiving special education and related services in the home Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100. 	NA - LEA did not have students ages 3-5.
7 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy) Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Of those preschool children who entered or exited the preschool program below age expectations in the Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program. The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	NA - LEA did not have students ages 3-5 in a preschool program.

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
8 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Number of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities Divided by Total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities. Indicator data is documented out to two decimal points. Meets or does not meet target is based on the percentage out to two decimal points without rounding.	NA - Data were not reported for the LEA.
		If a respondent indicated unsure or N/A or if the item was left blank, that respondent was removed from the total number of respondents (denominator) and was not counted in LEA's Data % Agree.	
9 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(C))	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.	 State Determination Number of LEAs with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services Divided by representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification LEA Determination LEA Compliant: LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46 LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions: LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of one of the conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46 LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46 or LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions, however Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46 LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46 LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46 LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46 LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions and Disproportionate Representation was the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46 	State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
10 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(C))	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.	 State Determination Number of LEAs that meet the State-established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups, with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification Divided by Number of LEAs that meet with State-established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups times 100. LEA Determination LEA Compliant: LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50 LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of <u>one of the conditions</u>: LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of <u>one of the conditions</u>: LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of <u>both conditions</u>, however Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50 LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50 LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50 LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50 LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50 LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of <u>both </u>	State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.
11 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B)) (14 DE Admin Code § 925.2.0)	Percent of children who were evaluated within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is less, of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.	Number of children for whom parental consent to evaluate was received Divided by Number of children whose evaluations were completed within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is less Evaluation met the exception (a) criteria (the parent of the child repeatedly fails or refuses to produce the child for the evaluation). The initial evaluation was found to be in compliance. Evaluation met the exception (b) criteria (the child enrolls in a school of another public agency after the relevant timeframe has begun, and prior to a determination by the child's previous public agency as to whether the child is a child with a disability. Exception (b) applies only if the subsequent public agency is making sufficient progress to ensure a prompt completion of the evaluation, and the parent and subsequent public agency agree to a specific time when the evaluation will be completed.) The initial evaluation was found to be in compliance.	NA - no initial evaluations were reported.

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
12 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	 A. Number of children who have been served in Part C and referred to Part B for Part B eligibility determination B. Number of those referred determined to be NOT eligible and whose eligibility was determined prior to third birthday C. Number of those found eligible who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays D. Number for whom parent refusals to provide consent caused delays in evaluation or initial services or to whom exceptions under 34 CFR §300.301(d) applied E. Number of children who were referred to Part C and determined eligible for Part C less than 90 days before their third birthdays [c/(a-b-d-e)]x100 	NA - LEA did not have students transitioning from Part C to Part B.
13 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age- appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority.	Number of youth in grade 8 or aged 14 (and above) with IEPs that contain each of the required components for secondary transition Divided by Number of youth with IEPs in grade 8 or aged 14 (and above)	NA - LEA did not have students of transition age in grade 8 or ages 14 and above. N/A - LEA was not required to report data for this reporting period.

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
14 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	 Description Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were: Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school. Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school. Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of 	 A. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol in higher education within one year of leaving high school Divided by the number or respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time the school B. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol in higher education or competitively employed within or year of leaving high school Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol in higher education or competitively employed within or year of leaving high school Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time the school C. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrol IEPs in	bl, had NA - LEA did not have bled students with IEPs exiting bol secondary education. y left secondary education. bl, had bled bl, had bled bl, had bled bl, had bled
	within one year of leaving high school.	education or training program; or competitively emplo- in some other employment Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time the	
		school)] times 100.	