

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

The Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 DOE WEBSITE: http://www.doe.k12.de.us Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D. Secretary of Education Voice: (302) 735-4000 FAX: (302) 739-4654

May 24, 2018

Mr. Ned Southworth, IV Head of School MOT Charter School 1156 Levels Road K-8 Building Middletown, DE 19709

RE: LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Mr. Southworth, IV:

Thank you for your ongoing dedication and commitment to educating students with disabilities. We encourage you to use the information in this report to celebrate growth and identify opportunities for continued improvement.

Under the IDEA, the Delaware Department of Education (Department) has a responsibility to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance.

For FFY 2016, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

• Results:

0	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation Rate in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use
		of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors

Compliance:

0	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies,
		Procedures, and Practices
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely Initial Evaluations
0	Indicator 12	Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B
0	Indicator 13	Transition Planning in the IEP

May 24, 2018 MOT Charter School FFY 2016 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Page 2

Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA <u>Needs Intervention</u> in implementing the requirements of the IDEA.

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, your LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Your Exceptional Children Resources liaison, Barbara Mazza, will be in contact with Terry Howarth to provide technical assistance and discuss next steps, including the development of a Corrective Action Plan. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail maryann.mieczkowski@doe.k12.de.us.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Mieczkowski Director, Exceptional Children Resources

MAM/ANB

Attachment

cc: Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D., Secretary of Education
 Terry Howarth, Principal of Student and Families
 Denise Stouffer, Education Associate, Charter School Office
 Maria N. Locuniak, Ph.D., NCSP, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources
 Barbara Mazza, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 8.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

FFY 2016 LEA Annual Determinations

FFY 2016 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

• Results:

0	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation Rate in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use
		of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors
Cor	npliance:	
0	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies,
		Procedures, and Practices

o In	o Indicator 12		Timely Initial I	te Represe Evaluation od Transitie	entation Related to Ider s on from Part C to Part		n
Meets		=	$\geq 80\%$ (compliance and	and	LEA may be engaged in a Corrective	and/or	If monitored on-sit

Meets Requirements	=	$\geq 80\%$ (compliance and results combined)	and	LEA may be engaged in a Corrective Action Plan.	and/or	If monitored on-site, LEA is engaged in Prong 1 or Prong 2 corrective action.	
Needs Assistance	(compliance and		and/or	LEA is engaged in an Intervention Plan.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 1 year)	
Needs Intervention	=	≤ 59% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in a Compliance Agreement.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 2 years)	

Spring 2018 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2016

MOT Charter School

IV	TOT CITE	arter Scho	וטכ			
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2016	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	2015-2016	74.10%	67.15%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate	2015-2016	4.60%	<5%	<5%	1	1
Indicator 3B: Participation Rate-ELA						
Grade 3		95.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Grade 4		95.00%	>95%	81.82%	0	1
Grade 5		95.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Grade 6	2016-2017	95.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Grade 7		95.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Grade 8		95.00%	>95%	77.78%	0	1
Grade 11		95.00%	89.05%	>95%	1	1
Indicator 3B: Participation Rate-MATH						
Grade 3		95.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Grade 4		95.00%	>95%	81.82%	0	1
Grade 5		95.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Grade 6	2016-2017	95.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Grade 7		95.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Grade 8		95.00%	>95%	77.78%	0	1
Grade 11		95.00%	89.05%	>95%	1	1
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate-ELA						
·		32.70%	23.92%	37.50%	1	1
Grade 3 Grade 4		32.70%	20.95%	22.22%	0	1
Grade 5		32.70%	22.97%	50.00%	1	1
Grade 6	2016-2017	32.70%	16.11%	<5%	0	1
Grade 7	2010 2017	32.70%	17.49%	12.50%	0	1
Grade 8		32.70%	16.46%	28.57%	0	1
Grade 11		32.70%	13.57%	20.00%	0	1
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate-MATH		32.7070	20.37,70	23.3070		-
Grade 3		29.20%	25.90%	25.00%	0	1
Grade 4		29.20%	21.05%	22.22%	0	1
Grade 5		29.20%	14.94%	<5%	0	1
Grade 6	2016-2017	29.20%	12.56%	75.00%	1	1
Grade 7		29.20%	13.42%	<5%	0	1
Grade 8		29.20%	11.82%	28.57%	0	1
Grade 11		29.20%	8.46%	20.00%	0	1
0.886			- +/-			_
Indicator 4A: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities Note: Indicator 4A is based on school year 2015 2016 data with a Rate Ratio of 1.20.	2015-2016	Rate Ratio 1.20	NA	Met Target	1	1

Spring 2018 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2016

Results Indicators Continued	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2016	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 5A: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served Inside the		70.00%	65.72%	>95%	1	1
Class 80% of the Day Indicator 5B: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served Inside the	_	70.0070	05.7270	75570	-	
Class Less Than 40% of the Day	2016-2017	15.10%	14.96%	<5%	1	1
Indicator 5C: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served in						
Separate Schools, Residential Facilities and		4.50%	5.46%	<5%	1	1
Homebound/Hospital Placements						
Indicator 7A. Early Childhood Outcomes- Positive						
Social/Emotional Skills						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2016-2017	88.60%	89.89%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation		58.00%	51.26%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7B. Early Childhood Outcomes-Acquisition and Use						
of Knowledge and Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth		91.10%	87.36%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2016-2017	52.70%	48.60%	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
Indicator 7C. Early Childhood Outcomes- Use of Appropriate		32.70%	46.00%	INA	INA	IVA
Behaviors						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2046 2047	90.20%	88.19%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2016-2017	65.30%	64.31%	NA	NA	NA
	.					
Compliance Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2016	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices. Indicator 4B is based on school year 2015-2016 data with a Rate Ratio of 1.20.		Rate Ratio 1.20	NA	Met Target	1	1
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation	1					
All Disabilities	2016-2017	0.00%	17.50%	Met Targets	1	1
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation Specific Disabilities	2016-2017	0.00%	18.92%	Did Not Meet Targets	0	1
		ī	T			
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluations Conducted Within Timeline	2016-2017	100.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B	2016-2017	100.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 12. Casandar Transition	2046 2047	100.000/	, 050/	04.050/	-0	
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition	2016-2017	100.00%	>95%	84.85%	0	1
Determination Summary			Ann	ual Determin	ation:	
Compliance Indicators Score	3		Ne	eeds Interven	tion	
Possible Points:	5					
Results Indicators Score	18	Interven	tion Plan /Com	npliance Agree	ment:	No
Possible Points:	33					
Score Total	21					
Out of a Possible:	38					
	55.26%					

2 of

5/18/2018

				IVIO	Charter School				
Graduation Ra	te								
<u>Indicator 1</u> Note: Percent	School Year 2015-2016 of youth with IEPs g	State Target 74.10% graduating from high so	State Data 67.15% Chool with a regular h	igh school diploma	within 4-year adjusted o	<u>Number Eligible</u> NA cohort	Number Graduated NA	LEA Data <u>% SWD Who</u> <u>Graduated</u> NA	Met Target? NA
Duran Out Bata									
Drop-Out Rate	<u>School Year</u> 2015-2016	State Target 4.60%	<u>State Data</u> 2.91%			<u>Number Enrolled</u> 17	Number of Drop-Outs -	LEA Data % SWD who Dropped Out < 5%	Met Target? Yes
Note:									
Participation R	tate in the State Ass	essment - ELA							
		State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3B	School Year 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017	95.00% 95.00% 95.00% 95.00% 95.00% 95.00% 95.00%	98.15% 98.45% 97.93% 97.03% 97.34% 95.77% 89.05%	Grade 3 4 5 6 7 8 11	<u>Subject</u> ELA ELA ELA ELA ELA ELA	Number Eligible - - - - - -	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target? Yes No Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes No Yes
Note:	2010-2017	33.00%	65.0370	11	LLA			> 5570	163
Participation R	late in the State Ass	essment - MATH							
Indicator 3B	School Year 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017	State <u>Target</u> 95.00% 95.00% 95.00% 95.00%	State <u>Data</u> 97.67% 98.13% 97.87% 96.69%	Grade 3 4 5 6	<u>Subject</u> MATH MATH MATH MATH	Number Eligible - - - - -	Number Tested - - - -	LEA Data Percent Tested > 95% 81.82% > 95% > 95% > 95%	Met Target? Yes No Yes Yes Yes
	2016-2017 2016-2017	95.00% 95.00%	96.62% 95.28%	7	MATH MATH	-	-	> 95% 77.78%	Yes No
Note:	2016-2017	95.00%	89.05%	11	MATH	-	-	> 95%	Yes

State

Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment - ELA

ndicator 3C	Cohool Voor	_							
	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?
	2016-2017	32.70%	23.92%	3	ELA	-	-	37.50%	Yes
	2016-2017	32.70%	20.95%	4	ELA	-	-	22.22%	No
	2016-2017	32.70%	22.97%	5	ELA	-	-	50.00%	Yes
	2016-2017	32.70%	16.11%	6	ELA	-	-	< 5%	No
	2016-2017	32.70%	17.49%	7	ELA	-	-	12.50%	No
	2016-2017	32.70%	16.46%	8	ELA	-	-	28.57%	No
	2016-2017	32.70%	13.57%	11	ELA	-	-	20.00%	No
lote:									
roficiency Rate	on the State Asses	sment - MATH							
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
ndicator 3C	School Year	Target	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	Proficiency	Met Target?
	2016-2017	29.20%	25.90%	3	MATH	-	-	25.00%	No
	2016-2017	29.20%	21.05%	4	MATH	_	_	22.22%	No
	2016-2017	29.20%	14.94%	5	MATH			< 5%	No
	2016-2017	29.20%	12.56%	6	MATH	<u>-</u>	_	75.00%	Yes
	2010-2017		13.42%	7	MATH	-	-	< 5%	
	2016 2017			/		-	-	28.57%	No
	2016-2017	29.20%		o	NAATII				No
	2016-2017	29.20%	11.82%	8	MATH	-	-		NI.
	2016-2017 2016-2017		11.82% 8.46%	11	МАТН	:	-	20.00%	No
lote: ignificant Discre	2016-2017 2016-2017	29.20% 29.20%	11.82% 8.46%	11	МАТН	SWD Surponded > 10	Non SWD Suspended	20.00%	No
ignificant Discre	2016-2017 2016-2017 epancy in the Rate	29.20% 29.20% of Long-Term Suspens	11.82% 8.46% ion and Explusion	11 s of Students with Disa	MATH abilities		Non-SWD Suspended	20.00%	
ignificant Discre	2016-2017 2016-2017 epancy in the Rate	29.20% 29.20% of Long-Term Suspens State Target	11.82% 8.46% ion and Explusion State Data	11 s of Students with Disa SWD Enrollment	MATH abilities Non-SWD Enrollment	SWD Suspended > 10 Days	Non-SWD Suspended > 10 Days	20.00% LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Met Target?
ignificant Discre	2016-2017 2016-2017 epancy in the Rate	29.20% 29.20% of Long-Term Suspens	11.82% 8.46% ion and Explusion	11 s of Students with Disa	MATH abilities			20.00%	
ignificant Discre ndicator 4A	2016-2017 2016-2017 epancy in the Rate School Year 2015-2016	29.20% 29.20% of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00%	11.82% 8.46% ion and Explusion State Data 66.67%	11 as of Students with Disa SWD Enrollment 69	MATH abilities Non-SWD Enrollment 944			20.00% LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Met Target
ignificant Discre ndicator 4A lote:	2016-2017 2016-2017 epancy in the Rate School Year 2015-2016	29.20% 29.20% of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00% sed on school year 201.	11.82% 8.46% ion and Explusion <u>State Data</u> 66.67% 5- 2016 data with a	11 s of Students with Disa SWD Enrollment 69 a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 a	MATH abilities Non-SWD Enrollment 944 and an N size of 15.	<u>Days</u> -	<u>> 10 Days</u> -	20.00% LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Met Target
ignificant Discre ndicator 4A lote:	2016-2017 2016-2017 epancy in the Rate School Year 2015-2016	29.20% 29.20% of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00% sed on school year 201.	11.82% 8.46% ion and Explusion <u>State Data</u> 66.67% 5- 2016 data with a	11 s of Students with Disa SWD Enrollment 69 a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 a	MATH abilities Non-SWD Enrollment 944	<u>Days</u> -	<u>> 10 Days</u> -	20.00% LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Met Target?
ignificant Discre ndicator 4A lote:	2016-2017 2016-2017 epancy in the Rate School Year 2015-2016 Indicator 4A is bas State data is a calc	29.20% 29.20% of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00% sed on school year 201 culation based on the recognitions	11.82% 8.46% ion and Explusion State Data 66.67% 5- 2016 data with a	11 S of Students with Disa SWD Enrollment 69 A Rate Ratio of > 1.20 a at met the N Size comp	MATH abilities Non-SWD Enrollment 944 and an N size of 15. ared to the same LEAs that	Days - exceeded rate ratio of 1	> 10 Days - 20	20.00% LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 0.00	Met Target?
ignificant Discre ndicator 4A lote:	2016-2017 2016-2017 epancy in the Rate School Year 2015-2016 Indicator 4A is bas State data is a calc	29.20% 29.20% of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00% sed on school year 201 culation based on the recognitions	11.82% 8.46% ion and Explusion State Data 66.67% 5- 2016 data with a	11 S of Students with Disa SWD Enrollment 69 A Rate Ratio of > 1.20 a at met the N Size comp	MATH abilities Non-SWD Enrollment 944 and an N size of 15.	Days - exceeded rate ratio of 1 and Noncompliant Policie	> 10 Days - 20	20.00% LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 0.00	Met Target?
ignificant Discre ndicator 4A lote: ignificant Discre	2016-2017 2016-2017 epancy in the Rate School Year 2015-2016 Indicator 4A is bas State data is a calcepancy in the Rate	29.20% 29.20% of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00% sed on school year 201. culation based on the r	11.82% 8.46% ion and Explusion State Data 66.67% 5- 2016 data with a number of LEAs that ions and Expulsion	s of Students with Disa SWD Enrollment 69 a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 a at met the N Size comp	MATH abilities Non-SWD Enrollment 944 and an N size of 15. ared to the same LEAs that	Days - exceeded rate ratio of 1 and Noncompliant Policio SWD Suspended > 10	> 10 Days - 20 es, Procedures, and Pr	20.00% LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 0.00 actices	Met Target? Yes
ignificant Discre ndicator 4A lote: ignificant Discre	2016-2017 2016-2017 epancy in the Rate School Year 2015-2016 Indicator 4A is bas State data is a calc epancy in the Rate	29.20% 29.20% of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00% sed on school year 201. culation based on the r of Long-Term Suspens State Target	11.82% 8.46% ion and Explusion State Data 66.67% 5- 2016 data with a number of LEAs that ions and Expulsion State Data	s of Students with Disa SWD Enrollment 69 a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 a at met the N Size comp	MATH abilities Non-SWD Enrollment 944 and an N size of 15. ared to the same LEAs that abilities by Race/Ethnicity	Days - exceeded rate ratio of 1 and Noncompliant Policie	> 10 Days - 20 es, Procedures, and Procedures	20.00% LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 0.00 actices LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Met Target: Yes Met Target:
ignificant Discre ndicator 4A ote: ignificant Discre	2016-2017 2016-2017 epancy in the Rate School Year 2015-2016 Indicator 4A is bas State data is a calc epancy in the Rate School Year 2015-2016	29.20% 29.20% of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00% sed on school year 201: culation based on the r of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00	11.82% 8.46% ion and Explusion State Data 66.67% 5- 2016 data with a number of LEAs that ions and Expulsion State Data 100.00%	s of Students with Disa SWD Enrollment 69 a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 a at met the N Size comp s of Students with Disa Race Hispanic	MATH abilities Non-SWD Enrollment 944 and an N size of 15. ared to the same LEAs that abilities by Race/Ethnicity SWD Enrolled 38	Days - exceeded rate ratio of 1 and Noncompliant Policio SWD Suspended > 10	> 10 Days - 20 es, Procedures, and Procedures Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 0.00 actices LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 0.00	Met Target: Yes Met Target: Yes
ignificant Discre	2016-2017 2016-2017 epancy in the Rate School Year 2015-2016 Indicator 4A is bas State data is a calc epancy in the Rate School Year 2015-2016 2015-2016	29.20% 29.20% of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00% sed on school year 201: culation based on the r of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00 0.00	11.82% 8.46% ion and Explusion State Data 66.67% 5- 2016 data with a number of LEAs that ions and Expulsion State Data 100.00% 100.00%	s of Students with Disa SWD Enrollment 69 a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 a at met the N Size comp s of Students with Dis Race Hispanic American Indian	MATH abilities Non-SWD Enrollment 944 and an N size of 15. ared to the same LEAs that abilities by Race/Ethnicity SWD Enrolled 38 -	Days - exceeded rate ratio of 1 and Noncompliant Policio SWD Suspended > 10	> 10 Days - 20 es, Procedures, and Procedures Compliant Yes Yes Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 0.00 actices LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 0.00 0.00 0.00	Met Target Yes Met Target Yes Yes
ignificant Discre ndicator 4A lote: ignificant Discre	2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 epancy in the Rate School Year 2015-2016 Indicator 4A is bas State data is a calc epancy in the Rate School Year 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016	29.20% 29.20% of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00% sed on school year 201. culation based on the r of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00 0.00 0.00	11.82% 8.46% ion and Explusion State Data 66.67% 5- 2016 data with a number of LEAs that ions and Expulsion State Data 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	s of Students with Disa SWD Enrollment 69 a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 a at met the N Size comp as of Students with Disa Race Hispanic American Indian African American	MATH abilities Non-SWD Enrollment 944 and an N size of 15. ared to the same LEAs that abilities by Race/Ethnicity SWD Enrolled 38 - 15	Days - exceeded rate ratio of 1 and Noncompliant Policio SWD Suspended > 10	> 10 Days - 20 es, Procedures, and Procedures Compliant Yes Yes Yes Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 0.00 actices LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Met Target Yes Met Target Yes Yes Yes Yes
ignificant Discre ndicator 4A lote:	2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016	29.20% 29.20% of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00% sed on school year 201 culation based on the r of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	11.82% 8.46% ion and Explusion State Data 66.67% 5- 2016 data with a number of LEAs that ions and Expulsion State Data 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	s of Students with Disa SWD Enrollment 69 a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 a at met the N Size comp as of Students with Dis Race Hispanic American Indian African American White	MATH abilities Non-SWD Enrollment 944 and an N size of 15. ared to the same LEAs that abilities by Race/Ethnicity SWD Enrolled 38 -	Days - exceeded rate ratio of 1 and Noncompliant Policio SWD Suspended > 10	> 10 Days - 20 es, Procedures, and Procedures Compliant Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.00% LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 0.00 actices LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Met Target Yes Met Target Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
ignificant Discre ndicator 4A lote: ignificant Discre	2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016	29.20% 29.20% of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00% sed on school year 201 culation based on the r of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	11.82% 8.46% ion and Explusion State Data 66.67% 5- 2016 data with a number of LEAs that ions and Expulsion State Data 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	s of Students with Disa SWD Enrollment 69 a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 a at met the N Size comp as of Students with Disa Race Hispanic American Indian African American White Asian	MATH abilities Non-SWD Enrollment 944 and an N size of 15. ared to the same LEAs that abilities by Race/Ethnicity SWD Enrolled 38 - 15	Days - exceeded rate ratio of 1 and Noncompliant Policio SWD Suspended > 10	> 10 Days - 20 es, Procedures, and Procedures Compliant Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.00% LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 0.00 actices LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Met Target Yes Met Target Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
ignificant Discre ndicator 4A lote: ignificant Discre	2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016	29.20% 29.20% of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00% sed on school year 201 culation based on the r of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	11.82% 8.46% ion and Explusion State Data 66.67% 5- 2016 data with a number of LEAs that ions and Expulsion State Data 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	s of Students with Disa SWD Enrollment 69 a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 a at met the N Size comp as of Students with Dis Race Hispanic American Indian African American White	MATH abilities Non-SWD Enrollment 944 and an N size of 15. ared to the same LEAs that abilities by Race/Ethnicity SWD Enrolled 38 - 15	Days - exceeded rate ratio of 1 and Noncompliant Policio SWD Suspended > 10	> 10 Days - 20 es, Procedures, and Procedures Compliant Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.00% LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 0.00 actices LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Met Target? Yes Met Target? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes

LEA Data
% of SWD Meeting

Indicator 5A Note:	School Year 2016-2017	rved Inside the Regula State Target 70.00%	r Class 80% or More of the State Data 65.72%	Day	Number of SWD 87	Number of SWD <u>In LRE A</u> 86	LEA Data % in LRE A > 95%	Met Target? Yes
riote.								
Percent of Child	ren Aged 6 to 21 Se	rved Inside the Regula	r Class Less Than 40% of th	e Day				
Indicator 5B	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	State Target 15.10%	<u>State Data</u> 14.96%		Number of SWD 87	Number of SWD In LRE B -	LRE Data % in LRE B < 5%	Met Target? Yes
Note:								
Percent of Child	ren Aged 6 to 21 Se	rved In Separate Schoo	ols, Residential Facilities, a	nd in Homebound/Hospital Placements				
Indicator 5C	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	State Target 4.50%	State Data 5.46%		Number of SWD 87	Number of SWD <u>In LRE C</u> -	LRE Data <u>% in LRE C</u> < 5%	Met Target? Yes
Note:								
Preschool Environment	onments: Percent of	f Children Aged 3 to 5 A	Attending a Regular Early	hildhood Program and Receiving the Ma	ajority of Special Educati	ion and Related Services	in the Regular Early Ch	ildhood
Indicator 6A Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	State Target 48.50%	<u>State Data</u> 47.46%		Number of SWD -	Number of SWD Receiving Services in the Regular EC Program -	LEA Data Percent Receiving Services in the Regular EC program > 95%	Met Target? Yes
Indicator 6B	School Year 2016-2017	F Children Aged 3 to 5 A State Target 33.50%	Attending a Separate Spec <u>State Data</u> 34.78%	ial Education Class, Separate School, or	Residential Facility Number of SWD -	Number of SWD Receiving Services in Separate Setting -	LEA Data Percent Receiving Services in Separate Setting < 5%	Met Target? Yes
Note:								

Positive Social/Emotional Skills : Percent Increased Indicator 72A School Year Nature Prescription Skills : Percent Increased Nature Salate Data Nature Percent Within Age School Year School Ye	Preschool Outc	omes: Percent o	of Preschool Students Aged 3 t	o 5 Who Demons	strate Improved Skil	lls in Positive Social/Emot	ional Skills			
Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Increased Rate of State Data LEA Data LEA Data NA NA S2.70% 48.60% NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	Indicator 7A		Skills : Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target				Social/Emotional Skills : Percent Within Age Expectation State Target			
Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Data Indicator 7C School Year 2016-2017 Percent of Parents with a Child Receiving Special Education Services Who Report That School Facilitated Parent Involvement as a Means of Improving Services and Results for Children with Disabilities Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills:	Note:									
Note: Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth Indicator 7C School Year 2016-2017 90.20% 88.19% NA	Preschool Outco	omes: Percent c	Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills:	o 5 Who Demons	strate Improved Skil	lls in Acquisition and Use	Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Within Age			
Note: Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent	Indicator 7B									
Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth Within Age Expectation Indicator 7C School Year State Target State Data LEA Data Met Target State Target State Target State Data LEA Data NA NA NA Note: Percent of Parents with a Child Receiving Special Education Services Who Report That School Facilitated Parent Involvement as a Means of Improving Services and Results for Children with Diisabilities Indicator 8 School Year Target Data Respondents Number Agree Number Disagree Met Target Met Target Number Disagree Met Target Number Disagree Met Target Number Disagree Met Target Net Target Number Disagree Met Target Net Target Number Disagree Number Disagree Met Target Net Target Number Disagree Net Target Net T		2016-2017	91.10%	87.30%	NA	NA	52.70%	48.60%	NA	NA
Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth Indicator 7C School Year 2016-2017 School Receiving Special Education Services Who Report That School Facilitated Parent Involvement as a Means of Improving Services and Results for Children with Diisabilities LEA Data	Note:									
Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth Increased Rate of Growth Indicator 7C School Year State Target State Data LEA Data LEA Data NA NA Respondents State Target State Data LEA Data NA NA Respondents Na NA NA Respondents Na NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	Preschool Outc	omes: Percent o	_	o 5 Who Demons	strate Improved Skil	lls in Use of Appropriate B				
State State Total Number of LEA Data Indicator 8 School Year Target Data Respondents Number Agree Number Disagree % Agree Met Target? 2016-2017 88.00% 89.18% 88.90% Yes			Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target				Behaviors: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target			
State State Total Number of LEA Data Indicator 8 School Year Target Data Respondents Number Agree Number Disagree % Agree Met Target? 2016-2017 88.00% 89.18% 88.90% Yes										
Indicator 8School YearTargetDataRespondentsNumber AgreeNumber Disagree% AgreeMet Target?2016-201788.00%89.18%88.90%Yes	Percent of Pare	ents with a Child			oort That School Fac		nt as a Means of Improving	Services and Results for		bilities
	Indicator 8	School Year					Number Agree	Number Disagree		Met Target?
Note: NA		2016-2017	88.00%	89.18%		-	-	-	88.90%	Yes
	Note:	NA								

Spring 2018 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2016

MOT Charter School

Diamonautiameta Damonautatiam a	f Danial and Ethnia Cuarras in Canadal Education or	ad Dalatad Camilaaa That ia a Daawlt af luammuamilata Idamtifiaatiam
Disproportionate Representation of	r Kaciai and Ethnic Groups in Special Education ar	nd Related Services That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification
zispi spertionate nepresentation s	inacial and zumme croups in opecial zausanen al	The state of the state of the state of the property of the state of th

Indicator 9	School Year	State Target	State Data	LEA Data Compliant	Met Target?
	2016-2017	0.00%	17.50%	Yes	Yes

Note: State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.

Disproportionate Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Specific Disability Categories That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification

Evaluations Conducted Within 45 School Days or 90 Calendar Days, Whichever is Shorter, of Receiving Parent Consent for Initial Evaluation

98.97%

Indicator 10	School Year	State Target	State Data	LEA Data Compliant	Met Target?
	2016-2017	0.00%	18.92%	No	No

Note: State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.

100.00%

		·						
	School Year			Total Number of Initial	Number Within	Number Not Within	% LEA Data Within	
Indicator 11	<u>Scrioor rear</u>	State Target	State Data	<u>Evaluations</u>	<u>Timelines</u>	<u>Timelines</u>	<u>Timelines</u>	Met Target

Note: NA

2016-2017

Early Childhood Transitions: Percent of Children Referred by Part C Prior to Age 3 Who Are Found Eligible for Part B, and Who Have an IEP Developed and Implemented by Their Third Birthday

						LEA Data % Who	
				Total Number of SWD	Number of Students Referred Minus Not	Received Services by	
Indicator 12	School Year	State Target	State Data	Who Turned Age 3	Eligible and/or Parent Refusals	Age 3	Met Target?
	2016-2017	100.00%	98.55%	NA	NA	NA	NA

Note:

Percent of Youth Age 14 and Above with an IEP That Includes Coordinated, Measurable, Annual IEP Goals and Transition Services That Will Reasonable Enable the Student to Meet the Post-Secondary Goals

Indicator 13	School Year	State Target	State Data	Reviewed	<u>Standard</u>	<u>Standard</u>	Met Target?
	2016-2017	100.00%	99.24%	33	28	84.85%	No

Total Number of IEPs Number of IEPs Meeting

Note:

Yes

> 95%

LEA Data % Meeting

Post-School Outcomes-Percent of Youth Who Are No Longer In Secondary School, Had IEPs in Effect at the Time They Left School, and Were: Group A. Enrolled in Higher Education Within One Year of Leaving High School, Group B. Enrolled in Higher Education or Competitively Employed Within One Year of Leaving High School, or Group C. Enrolled in Higher Education or in Some Other Post-Secondary Education or Training Program; or Competitively Employed or in Some Other Employment Within One Year of Leaving

Indicator 14	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	State Target 33.00%	<u>State Data</u> 49.47%	<u>Total Number of Exiters</u> NA	Total Number of Respondents NA	Group A Respondents NA	LEA Data % Group A NA	Met Target?
		State Target 64.00%	<u>State Data</u> 82.59%			Group B Respondents NA	LEA Data % Group B NA	Met Target? NA
Note:		State Target 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 86.20%			Group C Respondents NA	LEA Data % Group C	Met Target? NA