

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Townsend Building
401 Federal Street Suite 2
Dover, Delaware 19901-3639
DOE WEBSITE: http://www.doe.k12.de.us

Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D. Secretary of Education Voice: (302) 735-4000 FAX: (302) 739-4654

May 25, 2021

Steven Lucas, Ed.D. Superintendent Lake Forest School District 5423 Killens Pond Rd Felton, DE 19943

RE: LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Dr. Lucas:

Thank you for your ongoing dedication and commitment to educating students with disabilities. We encourage you to use the information in this report to celebrate growth and identify opportunities for continued improvement.

Under the IDEA, the Delaware Department of Education (Department) has a responsibility to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance. The State must monitor the implementation of Part B, enforce Part B in accordance with the provisions at 34 CFR 300.604(a)(1), and (a)(3), (b)(2)(i) and (b)(2(v), and (c)(2), and annually report on performance under Part B. The primary focus of the State's monitoring activities must be on:

- Improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and
- Ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements under Part B of the Act, with a particular emphasis on those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities.

For FFY 2019, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

• Results:

0	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation Rate in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use
		of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors

May 25, 2021 Lake Forest School District FFY 2019 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Page 2

Compliance:

o Indicator 4B Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of

Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies,

Procedures, and Practices

o Indicators 9 & 10 Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification

o Indicator 11 Timely Initial Evaluations

o Indicator 12 Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B

o Indicator 13 Transition Planning in the IEP

Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA <u>Needs Assistance</u> in implementing the requirements of the IDEA. As a result, your LEA is required to analyze related data and develop a continuous improvement plan addressing areas identified in your determinations in order to improve outcomes for students with disabilities in your LEA.

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, your LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Your Exceptional Children Resources liaison, Susan Veenema, will be in contact with *Bernadette Maxwell*, *Supervisor of Special Programs* to provide technical assistance and discuss possible actions, including the development or revision of a Continuous Improvement Plan. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail maryann.mieczkowski@doe.k12.de.us.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Mieczkowski

Director, Exceptional Children Resources

Mary line Mieezhowski

MAM/js Attachment

cc: Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D., Secretary of Education

Monica Minor Gant, Ph.D., Associate Secretary of Academic Support Bernadette Maxwell, Supervisor of Special Programs Pamela Bauman, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

Susan Veenema, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 8.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

FFY 2019 LEA Annual Determinations

FFY 2019 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

• Results:

0	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation Rate in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use
		of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors
Con		- ^ ^

• Compliance:

	-	
0	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies,
		Procedures, and Practices
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely Initial Evaluations
0	Indicator 12	Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B
0	Indicator 13	Transition Planning in the IEP

Meets Requirements	=	$\geq 80\%$ (compliance and results combined)	and	LEA may be engaged in a Corrective Action Plan.	and/or	If monitored on-site, LEA is engaged in Prong 1 or Prong 2 corrective action.
Needs Assistance	=	60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in an Intervention Plan.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 1 year)
Needs Intervention	=	≤ 59% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in a Compliance Agreement.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 2 years)

Determination	Possible Actions (Federal)	Possible Actions (State)
Meets Requirements		- Encourage continuous
		improvement planning
Needs Assistance	- Advice on available	- Explicit plan addressing
	Technical	area of concern (s)
	Assistance	- Advice on available
	- Use of state level funds on	Technical
	area of concern	Assistance
	- Other special conditions	
Needs Intervention	- Use of Correction Action	- Explicit plan addressing
	Plan	area of concern (s)
	or Improvement Plan	

	- Compliance Agreement	
	- Use of state level funds	
	- Use of federal funds	
	- Other enforcement actions	
Needs Substantial	- Use of federal funds	- Explicit plan addressing
Intervention	- Referral to Department of	area of concern (s)
	Justice or Inspector General	

Spring 2021 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2019

Lake Forest School District

Lake	e Forest	: School C	District			
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2019	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	2018-2019	68.50%	73.34%	73.91%	1	1
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate	2018-2019	3.70%	2.07%	-	1	1
Indicator 3B: Participation Rate-ELA						
Grade 3		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 4		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 5		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 6	2019 -2020	95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 7		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
High School		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 3B: Participation Rate-MATH						
Grade 3		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 4		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 5		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 6	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 7		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
High School		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate-ELA						
Grade 3		27.63%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 4		24.54%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 5		25.58%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 6	2019-2020	17.74%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 7		18.07%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8		17.53%	NA	NA	NA	NA
High School		17.46%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate-MATH						
Grade 3		30.82%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 9		22.91%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 5		16.94%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 6	2019-2020	13.76%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 7		14.43%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8		12.75%	NA	NA	NA	NA
High School		10.85%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 4A: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long- Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities	2018-2019	Rate Ratio 2.0 Cell Size 15	0.00%	Under Threshold	1	1

Spring 2021 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2019

Results Indicators Continued	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2019	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 5A: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served Inside		72.00%	64.25%	69.450/	0	1
the Class 80% of the Day		72.00%	64.25%	68.45%	U	1
Indicator 5B: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served Inside	2010 2020	14.70%	14.80%	_	1	1
the Class Less Than 40% of the Day Indicator 5C: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served in Separate Schools, Residential Facilities and	2019-2020	3.50%	4.83%	_	1	1
Homebound/Hospital Placements		3.3373			_	_
Indicator 7A. Early Childhood Outcomes- Positive						
Social/Emotional Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth		91.00%	85.99%	76.32%	0	1
Percent Within Age Expectation	2019-2020	60.70%	46.63%	42.55%	0	1
Indicator 7B. Early Childhood Outcomes-Acquisition and Use		00.7070	10.0370	12.3370	, and the second	_
of Knowledge and Skills						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2019-2020	93.40%	86.84%	86.36%	0	1
Percent Within Age Expectation	2019-2020	54.80%	44.97%	40.43%	0	1
Indicator 7C. Early Childhood Outcomes- Use of Appropriate						
Behaviors		02.200/	07.720/	77.700/	0	1
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2019-2020	92.30%	87.73%	77.78%	0	1
Percent Within Age Expectation		65.50%	59.14%	55.32%	0	1
Compliance Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2018	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long- Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices.	2018-2019	Rate Ratio 2.0 Cell Size 10	0.00%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation All Disabilities	2019-2020	0.00%	0.00%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation Specific Disabilities	2019-2020	0.00%	2.63%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluations Conducted Within Timeline	2019-2020	100.00%	98.47%	100.00%	1	1
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B	2019-2020	100.00%	91.56%	100.00%	1	1
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition	2019-2020	100.00%	99.89%	100.00%	1	1
Determination Summary			Ann	nual Determina	ation:	
Compliance Indicators Score	6		ľ	<mark>Needs Assistan</mark>	ce	
Possible Points:	6					
Results Indicators Score	5	Interve	ntion Plan /Cor	mpliance Agreer	ment:	No
Possible Points:	12					
Score Total	11					
Out of a Possible:	18					
Percentage:	61.11%					

Graduation Ra	te								
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,									
								LEA Data	
								% SWD Who	
I	Cabaal Waan	Chata Taurat	C4-4- D-4-			Normalia and Elitablia	Normalis and Considerate of		14-4 T43
Indicator 1	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number Eligible	Number Graduated	<u>Graduated</u>	Met Target?
	2018-2019	68.50%	73.34%			46	34	73.91%	Yes
Note: Percent	of youth with IEPs	graduating from high s	chool with a regular hi	gh school diploma with	in 4-year adjusted cohort				
Drop-Out Rate									
Ziop Catilate									
								LEA Data	
								% SWD who Dropped	
Indicator 2	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number Enrolled	Number of Drop-Outs	<u>Out</u>	Met Target?
	2018-2019	3.70%	2.07%			158	-	-	Yes
Note:									
Participation R	ate in the State Ass	assment - FI A							
r articipation is	ate iii tile State Ass	essilient - LLA							
		01-1-	C4-4-					LEA D.A.	
		State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3B	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target?
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	3	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	5	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	6	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	7	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	HS	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Note: Category				115	LLA	IVA	IVA	IVA	IVA
Note: Category	or Grade 11 chang	ed to HS in 2020 report	ung						
Participation R	ate in the State Ass	essment - MATH							
		State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3B	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target?
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA NA	3	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	5	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	6	MATH	NA	NA NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA NA	7	MATH	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	
									NA
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	8	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	HS	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
Note: Category	of Grade 11 chang	ed to HS in 2020 report	ting						

Proficiency	Rate on the State Asses	sment - ELA						LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 30	C School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	Proficiency	Met Target?
	2019-2020	27.63%	NA	3	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	24.54%	NA	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	25.58%	NA	5	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	17.74%	NA	6	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	18.07%	NA	7	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	17.53%	NA	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	17.46%	NA	HS	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Note: Categ	gory of Grade 11 change	d to HS in 2020 repor	ting						

Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment - MATH

								LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?
	2019-2020	30.82%	NA	3	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	22.91%	NA	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	16.94%	NA	5	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	13.76%	NA	6	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	14.43%	NA	7	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	12.75%	NA	8	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	10.85%	NA	HS	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
Note: Category	of Grade 11 change	ed to HS in 2020 report	ing						

Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Explusions of Students with Disabilities

						SWD Suspended > 10	Non-SWD Suspended	_	
Indicator 4A	School Year	State Target	State Data	SWD Enrollment	Non-SWD Enrollment	<u>Days</u>	> 10 Days	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant?
	2018-2019	40.00%	0.00%	802	3060	-	-	2.03	Yes
LEA Note									

Note:

Indicator 4A is based on school year 2018 - 2019 data with a Rate Ratio of > 2.0 and an N size of 15 for three consecutive years.

State data is a calculation based on the number of LEAs that met the N Size compared to the same LEAs that exceeded rate ratio of 2.0, for three consecutive years.

Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices

J	• •	·	•		, , ,	SWD Suspended > 10	ŕ		
Indicator 4B	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Race</u>	SWD Enrolled	<u>Days</u>	Met Target?	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant?
	2018-2019	0%	0.00%	Hispanic/Latino	71	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2018-2019	0%	0.00%	Native American	-	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2018-2019	0%	0.00%	African American	217	-	Yes	3.76	Yes
	2018-2019	0%	0.00%	White	454	-	Yes	0.90	Yes
	2018-2019	0%	0.00%	Asian American	-	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2018-2019	0%	0.00%	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	-	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2018-2019	0%	0.00%	Multi-Racial	54	-	Yes	7.56	Yes
LEA Note:									

Note: Indicator 4B is based on school year 2018-2019 data with a Rate Ratio of > 2.0 and an N size of 10 for three consecutive years.

State data is a calculation based on the number of LEAs that met the N Size compared to the same LEAs that exceeded rate ratio of 2.0

Percent of Child	ren Aged 6 to 21 S	erved Inside the Regula	ar Class 80% or More of the D	ау				
Indicator 5A	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	State Target 72.00%	<u>State Data</u> 64.25%		Number of SWD 729	Number of SWD In LRE A 499	LEA Data <u>% in LRE A</u> 68.45%	Met Target? No
Note:								
Percent of Child	Percent of Children Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regular Class Less Than 40% of the Day							
Indicator 5B	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	State Target 14.70%	<u>State Data</u> 14.80%		Number of SWD 729	Number of SWD In LRE B 76	LRE Data % in LRE B	Met Target? Yes
Note:								
Percent of Child	ren Aged 6 to 21 S	erved In Separate Scho	ols, Residential Facilities, and	in Homebound/Hospital Placements				
Indicator 5C	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	State Target 3.50%	<u>State Data</u> 4.83%		Number of SWD 729	Number of SWD In LRE C 16	LRE Data <u>% in LRE C</u> -	Met Target? Yes
Note:								
Preschool Enviro	School Year 2019-2020	of Children Aged 3 to 5 State Target 50.50%	Attending a Regular Early Ch State Data 49.37%	ldhood Program and Receiving the Majority	of Special Education an Number of SWD 116	d Related Services in the Number of SWD Receiving Services in the Regular EC Program 42	e Regular Early Childho LEA Data Percent Receiving Services in the Regular EC program -	od Program Met Target? No
note:								
				Education Class, Separate School, or Reside		Number of SWD Receiving Services	LEA Data Percent Receiving Services in	
Indicator 6B	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	State Target 31.00%	State Data 34.45%		Number of SWD 116	<u>in Separate Setting</u> 66	Separate Setting	Met Target? No
Note:	_3 _5 _ 5 _ 5	- 02:00/0	33/2			•		

2021 LEA ReportCard and Blue Sheet Suppressed.xlsm

Preschool Outc	omes: Percent of F	Preschool Students Aged 3	o 5 Who Demonst	rate Improved Skills in	Positive Social/Emotional S	Skills			
Indicator 7A	9	Positive Social/Emotional Skills : Percent Increased Ite of Growth State Target 91.00%	State Data 85.99%	<u>LEA Data</u> -	<u>Met Target</u> No	Positive Social/Emotional Skills: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target 60.70%	<u>State Data</u> 46.63%	<u>LEA Data</u> -	<u>Met Target</u> No
Note:									
Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills									
Indicator 7B	<u>P.</u> <u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: ercent Increased Rate of Growth State Target 93.40%	<u>State Data</u> 86.84%	<u>LEA Data</u> -	<u>Met Target</u> No	Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target 54.80%	<u>State Data</u> 44.97%	<u>LEA Data</u> -	<u>Met Target</u> No
Note:									
Duncaha al Outa	amasa Dayaant of I	Dunnels of Chindren and 2 d	o F M/h o Domonot	wata luangua ad Chilla in l	lles of Annuaurists Dahari				
Preschool Outc	omes: Percent of I	Preschool Students Aged 3	o 5 wno Demonsi	rate improved Skills in	use of Appropriate Benavi	ors			
Indicator 7C	<u>lı</u> <u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent ncreased Rate of Growth State Target 92.30%	<u>State Data</u> 87.73%	<u>LEA Data</u> -	<u>Met Target</u> No	Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target 65.50%	<u>State Data</u> 59.14%	<u>LEA Data</u> -	<u>Met Target</u> No
Note:									
Percent of Pare	nts with a Child Ro	eceiving Special Education S	Services Who Repo	rt That School Facilitate	ed Parent Involvement as a	Means of Improving Servi	ces and Results for Child	lren with Disabilitie	s
Indicator 8	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	State <u>Target</u> 90.00%	State <u>Data</u> 92.33%		Total Number of Respondents 27	Number Agree 25	Number Disagree -	LEA Data % Agree 92.59%	Met Target? Yes
Note:									

2021 LEA ReportCard and Blue Sheet Suppressed.xlsm

	Lake Forest School District								
Disproportionate Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Special Education and Related Services That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification									
	School Year					_			
Indicator 9	2019-2020	State Target 0.00%	State Data 0.00%			LEA Data Compliant Yes		Met Target? Yes	
	2013 2020	0.0070	0.0070			163		163	
Note: State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.									
Disproportionate Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Specific Disability Categories That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification									
., .,									
Indicator 10	School Year	State Target	State Data			LEA Data Compliant		Met Target?	
	2019-2020	0.00%	2.63%			Yes		Yes	
Note: State da	ta reflects % of distr	icts with Disproportion	nate Representation as a re	sult of inappropriate identification.					
Evaluations Co	nducted Within 45 S	chool Days or 90 Caler	ndar Days, Whichever is Less	s, of Receiving Parent Consent for Initial Evalua	ation				
				Total Number of Initial	Number Within	Number Not Within	% LEA Data Within		
Indicator 11	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Evaluations</u>	Timelines	Timelines	Timelines	Met Target?	
	2019-2020	100.00%	98.47%	82	82	-	100.00%	Yes	
Note:									
Note:									
	d Transitions: Perce	nt of Children Referred	l by Part C Prior to Age 3 Wh	oo Are Found Fligible for Part R. and					
Early Childhood		nt of Children Referred nplemented by Their T	•	no Are Found Eligible for Part B, and					
Early Childhood			•	- -			IFA Data (/ Wha		
Early Childhood			•	Number of Children	Number of Student	s Referred Minus Not	<u>LEA Data % Who</u> Received Services by		
Early Childhood			•	- -		s Referred Minus Not Parent Refusals	LEA Data % Who Received Services by Age 3	Met Target?	
Early Childhood Who Have an I	EP Developed and Ir	nplemented by Their T	hird Birthday	Number of Children Found Eligible/IEP	Eligible and/or		Received Services by	Met Target? Yes	
Early Childhood Who Have an I	EP Developed and Ir <u>School Year</u>	nplemented by Their T <u>State Target</u>	hird Birthday State Data	Number of Children Found Eligible/IEP Implemented by Age 3	Eligible and/or	Parent Refusals	Received Services by		
Early Childhood Who Have an II Indicator 12 Note:	EP Developed and Ir <u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	nplemented by Their T State Target 100.00%	Third Birthday State Data 91.56%	Number of Children Found Eligible/IEP Implemented by Age 3 19	Eligible and/or	Parent Refusals 19	Received Services by Age 3 -		
Early Childhood Who Have an II Indicator 12 Note:	EP Developed and Ir School Year 2019-2020 th Age 14 or in the 8	nplemented by Their T State Target 100.00%	Third Birthday State Data 91.56%	Number of Children Found Eligible/IEP Implemented by Age 3	Eligible and/or	Parent Refusals 19	Received Services by Age 3 -		
Early Childhood Who Have an II Indicator 12 Note: Percent of Your	EP Developed and Ir School Year 2019-2020 th Age 14 or in the 8	nplemented by Their T State Target 100.00%	Third Birthday State Data 91.56%	Number of Children Found Eligible/IEP Implemented by Age 3 19	Eligible and/or	Parent Refusals 19	Received Services by Age 3 -		
Early Childhood Who Have an II Indicator 12 Note: Percent of Your	EP Developed and Ir School Year 2019-2020 th Age 14 or in the 8	nplemented by Their T State Target 100.00%	Third Birthday State Data 91.56%	Number of Children Found Eligible/IEP Implemented by Age 3 19 Measurable, Annual IEP Goals and Transition Se	Eligible and/or	Parent Refusals 19	Received Services by Age 3 - t to Meet		

2021 LEA ReportCard and Blue Sheet Suppressed.xlsm

Note:

Post-School Outcomes-Percent of Youth Who Are No Longer In Secondary School, Had IEPs in Effect at the Time They Left School, and Were: Group A. Enrolled in Higher Education Within One Year of Leaving High School, Group B. Enrolled in Higher Education or In Some Other Post-Secondary Education or Training Program; or Competitively Employed or in Some Other Employment Within One Year of Leaving

Indicator 14	<u>School Year</u> 2018-2019	State Target 45.00%	<u>State Data</u> 53.41%	<u>Total Number of Exiters</u> 35	Total Number of Respondents 22	Group A Respondents	<u>LEA Data % Group A</u> 54.55%	Met Target? Yes
		State Target 76.00%	<u>State Data</u> 73.44%			Group B Respondents 18	LEA Data % Group B 81.82%	Met Target? Yes
Note:		State Target 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 87.69%			Group C Respondents 22	LEA Data % Group C 100.00%	Met Target? Yes

2021 LEA ReportCard and Blue Sheet Suppressed.xlsm