

Argumentation Text-Based Writing Rubric History/Social Studies, Science, & Technical Subjects Grade 6-8

	Score of 4	Score of 3	Score of 2	Score of 1
Reading/Res earch 2 × =	The writing – makes effective use of available resources skillfully/effectively supports claim(s) with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy uses credible sources*	The writing – makes adequate use of available resources supports claim(s) with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy uses credible sources*	The writing – makes limited use of available resources inconsistently supports claim(s) with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy inconsistently uses credible sources*	The writing – makes inadequate use of available resources fails to support claim(s) with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy attempts to use credible sources*
Development	The writing — addresses all aspects of the writing task with a tightly focused response skillfully quotes and/ or paraphrases data and conclusions of others while avoiding plagiarism establishes the significance of a credible claim or conclusion effectively acknowledges and distinguishes the claim(s) from alternate or opposing claims skillfully supports claim(s) with logical reasoning and relevant and sufficient evidence	The writing — addresses the writing task with a focused response quotes and/or paraphrases data and conclusions of others while avoiding plagiarism establishes a credible claim or conclusion acknowledges and distinguishes the claim(s) from alternate or opposing claims supports claim(s) with logical reasoning and relevant and sufficient evidence	The writing — addresses the writing task with an inconsistent focus inconsistently quotes and/or paraphrases data and conclusions of others while avoiding plagiarism attempts to establish a plausible claim or conclusion inconsistently supports claim(s) with logical reasoning and relevant and sufficient evidence	The writing — attempts to address the writing task but lacks focus attempts to quote and/or paraphrase data and conclusions of others while avoiding plagiarism attempts to establish a claim or conclusion supports claim(s) using evidence that is insufficient and/or irrelevant
Organization	The writing — • effectively introduces the claim(s) • organizes the reasons and evidence logically in a manner that supports the writing task • effectively uses words, phrases, and/or clauses to create cohesion and clarify the relationships among claim(s), counterclaims, reasons, and evidence • provides an effective concluding statement or section that follows from and skillfully supports the argument presented • effectively uses technology to organize and present the relationships between information and ideas*	The writing — introduces the claim(s) organizes the reasons and evidence logically uses words, phrases, and/or clauses to create cohesion and clarify the relationships among claim(s), counterclaims, reasons, and evidence provides a concluding statement or section that follows from and supports the argument presented uses technology to organize and present the relationships between information and ideas*	The writing — introduces the claim(s); however, may fail to distinguish the claim(s) from alternate or opposing claim(s) organizes reasons and evidence in a manner that may lack cohesion (ideas may be rambling and/or repetitive) inconsistently uses words, phrases, and/or clauses to create cohesion and clarify the relationships among claim(s), counterclaims, reasons, and evidence provides a sense of closure inconsistently uses technology to organize and present the relationships between information and ideas*	The writing – identifies the claim(s) has little or no evidence of purposeful organization attempts to use technology to organize and present the relationships between information and ideas*
Language/Convention	The writing — demonstrates an exemplary command of standard English conventions skillfully employs language and tone appropriate to audience and purpose has sentences that are skillfully constructed with appropriate variety in length and structure follows standard format for citation with few errors*	The writing — demonstrates a command of standard English conventions; errors do not interfere with understanding employs language and tone appropriate to audience and purpose has sentences that are generally complete with sufficient variety in length and structure follows standard format for citation with few errors*	The writing – demonstrates a limited and/or inconsistent command of standard English conventions; errors may interfere with understanding inconsistently employs language and tone appropriate to audience and purpose has some sentence formation errors and/or a lack of sentence variety follows standard format for citation with several errors*	The writing – demonstrates a weak command of standard English conventions; errors interfere with understanding employs language and tone that are inappropriate to audience and purpose has frequent and severe sentence formation errors and/or a lack of sentence variety follows standard format for citation with significant errors*