

Argumentation Text-Based Writing Rubric History/Social Studies, Science, & Technical Subjects Grades 11–12

	Score of 4	Score of 3	Score of 2	Score of 1
Reading/Res earch 2 × =	The writing – makes effective use of available resources skillfully/effectively supports a credible claim with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy uses credible sources*	The writing – makes adequate use of available resources supports a credible claim with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy uses credible sources*	The writing — • makes limited use of available resources • inconsistently supports a credible claim with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy • inconsistently uses credible sources*	The writing – makes inadequate use of available resources fails to support a claim with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy attempts to use credible sources*
Development	The writing — addresses all aspects of the writing task with a tightly focused response skillfully integrates information into the text selectively to maintain the flow of ideas, avoiding plagiarism and over reliance on any one source skillfully develops the claim(s) and counterclaims fairly and thoroughly, supplying the most relevant evidence for each while pointing out the strengths and limitations of both skillfully anticipates the audience's knowledge level, concerns, values, and possible biases; i.e. preconceptions and/or misconceptions	The writing — addresses the writing task with a focused response integrates information into the text selectively to maintain the flow of ideas, avoiding plagiarism and over reliance on any one source develops the claim(s) and counterclaims fairly and thoroughly, supplying the most relevant data and evidence for each while pointing out the strengths and limitations of both anticipates the audience's knowledge level, concerns, values, and possible biases; i.e. preconceptions and/or misconceptions	The writing — addresses the writing task with an inconsistent focus inconsistently integrates information into the text selectively to maintain the flow of ideas, avoiding plagiarism and over reliance on any one source inconsistently develops the claim(s) and counterclaims fairly and thoroughly, supplying the most relevant data and evidence for each while pointing out the strengths and limitations of both inconsistently anticipates the audience's knowledge level, concerns, values, and possible biases; i.e. preconceptions and/or misconceptions	The writing — attempts to address the writing task but lacks focus attempts to integrate information into the text selectively to maintain the flow of ideas, avoiding plagiarism and over reliance on any one source attempts to establish a claim or conclusion develops the claim or conclusion using insufficient and/or irrelevant details to support reasoning attempts to anticipate the audience's knowledge level, concerns, values, and possible biases; i.e. preconceptions and/or misconceptions
Organization	The writing — • effectively introduces precise, knowledgeable claim(s); establishes the significance of the claim(s); distinguishes the claim(s) from alternate or opposing claim(s) • skillfully creates an organization that logically sequences claims, counterclaims, reasons, and evidence • skillfully uses words, phrases, and /or clauses to link the major sections of the text, create cohesion, and clarify the relationships between claim(s) and reasons, between reasons and evidence, and between claim(s) and counterclaims • provides an effective concluding statement or section that follows from and skillfully supports the argument presented • skillfully uses technology, including the internet, to produce, publish, and update individual or shared writing products*	The writing — introduces precise, knowledgeable claim(s); establishes the significance of the claim(s); distinguishes the claim(s) from alternate or opposing claim(s) creates an organization that logically sequences claims, counterclaims, reasons, and evidence uses words, phrases, and/or clauses to link the major sections of the text, create cohesion, and clarify the relationships between claim(s) and reasons, between reasons and evidence, and between claim(s) and counterclaims provides a concluding statement or section that follows from and supports the argument presented uses technology, including the internet, to produce, publish, and update individual or shared writing products*	The writing — introduces the claim(s); however, may fail to establish the significance of the claim(s) and/or distinguish the claim(s) from alternate or opposing claim(s) has a progression of ideas that may lack cohesion (ideas may be rambling and/or repetitive) inconsistently uses words, phrases, and/or clauses to link the major sections of the text, create cohesion, and clarify the relationships between claim(s) and reasons, between reasons and evidence, and between claim(s) and counterclaims provides a sense of closure inconsistently uses technology, including the internet, to produce, publish, and update individual or shared writing products*	The writing — identifies the claim(s) has little or no evidence of purposeful organization attempts to use technology, including the internet, to produce, publish, and update individual or shared writing products*
Language/Convention S 1 × =	The writing — demonstrates an exemplary command of standard English conventions skillfully employs language and tone appropriate to audience and purpose has sentences that are skillfully constructed with appropriate variety in length and structure follows standard format for citation with few errors*	The writing — demonstrates a command of standard English conventions; errors do not interfere with understanding employs language and tone appropriate to audience and purpose has sentences that are generally complete with sufficient variety in length and structure follows standard format for citation with few errors*	The writing — demonstrates a limited and/or inconsistent command of standard English conventions; errors may interfere with understanding inconsistently employs language and tone appropriate to audience and purpose has some sentence formation errors and/or a lack of sentence variety follows standard format for citation with several errors*	The writing — • demonstrates a weak command of standard English conventions; errors interfere with understanding • employs language and tone that are inappropriate to audience and purpose • has frequent and severe sentence formation errors and/or a lack of sentence variety • follows standard format for citation with significant errors*