Delaware Academic Performance Review Report Gateway Lab School ID#543

For each measure, a school receives one of four ratings:

Exceeds Standard
Meets Standard
Does Not Meet Standard
Falls Far Below Standard
*Grey shading indicates that the number of
students tested was insufficient to include results
in the overall ratings; results are presented but
not included in overall school rating.

Rating targets for each measure may be referenced on the attached Academic Performance Framework.

Each measure is weighted to provide an overall cumulative rating for the school on Academic Performance. School performance on each measure is presented below.

1.STUDENT PROGRESS OVER TIME (GROWTH)

Measure 1a. Are students meeting their fall to spring instructional scale score growth targets?

Percentage of Students Meeting Growth Targets

Subject	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Math	N/A	24.3%	26.7%
ELA	N/A	31.7%	43.0%

Measure 1b. Are lowest-performing students in the school meeting their fall to spring instructional scale score growth targets?

Percentage of Students in the Lowest Quartile Meeting Growth Targets

Subject	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Math	N/A	35.6 %	40.4%
ELA	N/A	33.3%	52.9%

Measure 1c. Are students making enough annual growth to maintain or achieve proficiency status within 3 years or by 10th grade?

1	Gateway Lab School – School ID #543
-	*Number of students tested between 15 and 30 students, <i>results not included in overall school rating</i>
	**Number of students tested under 15 students, results not reported
	*** For 2010-11 and 2011-12, number of students tested under 30 students, results not reported

Percentage of Students Making Sufficient Growth

Subject	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Math	N/A	34.9%	32.2%
ELA	N/A	41.0%	56.0%

2. STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT (STATUS)

Measure 2a. Are students achieving proficiency on state examinations in math and reading?

Subject and Year	School Prof %	State Average	State 90th Percentile	State 20th Percentile
Math, 2010-11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Math, 2011-12	24.3%	73.4%	91.6%	57.5%
Math, 2012-13	20.8%	66.1%	92.4%	49.2%
ELA, 2010-11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ELA, 2011-12	33.7%	75.0%	92.2%	59.8%
ELA, 2012-13	36.0%	69.6%	93.3%	55.4%

School Proficiency Scores, State Averages and Percentiles

Note: 2013 State Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) were 70.0% for Reading and 70.2% for Mathematics.

Measure 2b. Are students in demographic subgroups achieving proficiency on state examinations in math and reading?

Low Socio-Economic Status

Subject and Year	School Proficiency Rate	Weighted State Average Proficiency Rate	Weighted State Proficiency Rate at 90th Percentile	Weighted State Proficiency Rate at 20th Percentile
Math, 2010-11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Math, 2011-12	12.9%	63.9%	85.4%	49.9%
Math, 2012-13	9.7%	57.4%	85.9%	41.9%
ELA, 2010-11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ELA, 2011-12	32.4%	66.1%	88.1%	55.2%
ELA, 2012-13	9.7%	62.0%	89.2%	47.8%

Note: 2013 State Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) were 59.2% for Reading and 60.0% for Mathematics.

Students with Disabilities

2 Gateway Lab School—School ID #543 *Number of students tested between

^{*}Number of students tested between 15 and 30 students, *results not included in overall school rating* **Number of students tested under 15 students, results not reported

^{***} For 2010-11 and 2011-12, number of students tested under 30 students, results not reported

Subject and Year	School Proficiency Rate	Weighted State Average Proficiency Rate	Weighted State Proficiency Rate at 90th Percentile	Weighted State Proficiency Rate at 20th Percentile
Math, 2010-11	N/A	NA	NA	NA
Math, 2011-12	12.0%	33.1%	71.8%	12.6%
Math, 2012-13	11.0%	45.0%	80.4%	23.4%
ELA, 2010-11	N/A	NA	NA	NA
ELA, 2011-12	17.2%	34.0%	74.7%	14.7%
ELA, 2012-13	23.4%	46.0%	78.9%	23.7%

Note: 2013 State Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) were 41.4% for Reading and 41.8% for Mathematics.

English Language Learners

Subject and Year	School Proficiency Rate	Weighted State Average Proficiency Rate	Weighted State Proficiency Rate at 90th Percentile	Weighted State Proficiency Rate at 20th Percentile
Math, 2010-11	N/A	NA	NA	NA
Math, 2011-12	***	NA	NA	NA
Math, 2012-13	**	NA	NA	NA
ELA, 2010-11	N/A	NA	NA	NA
ELA, 2011-12	***	NA	NA	NA
ELA, 2012-13	**	NA	NA	NA

Note: 2013 State Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) were 51.2% for Reading and 57.4% for Mathematics.

African-American

Subject and Year	School Proficiency Rate	Weighted State Average Proficiency Rate	Weighted State Proficiency Rate at 90th Percentile	Weighted State Proficiency Rate at 20th Percentile
Math, 2010-11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Math, 2011-12	12.9%	57.7%	85.8%	42.5%
Math, 2012-13	12.2%	55.6%	82.5%	38.5%
ELA, 2010-11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ELA, 2011-12	26.7%	62.1%	90.6%	49.8%
ELA, 2012-13	24.5%	60.0%	84.9%	45.9%

Note: 2013 State Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) were 57.8% for Reading and 56.3% for Mathematics.

Asian-American

- 3 Gateway Lab School—School ID #543 *Number of students tested between
 - *Number of students tested between 15 and 30 students, *results not included in overall school rating* **Number of students tested under 15 students, results not reported

*** For 2010-11 and 2011-12, number of students tested under 30 students, results not reported

Subject and Year	School Proficiency Rate	Weighted State Average Proficiency Rate	Weighted State Proficiency Rate at 90th Percentile	Weighted State Proficiency Rate at 20th Percentile
Math, 2010-11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Math, 2011-12	* * *	N/A	N/A	N/A
Math, 2012-13	* *	N/A	N/A	N/A
ELA, 2010-11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ELA, 2011-12	* * *	N/A	N/A	N/A
ELA, 2012-13	* *	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: 2013 State Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) were 84.3% for Reading and 88.5% for Mathematics.

Hispanic

Subject and Year	School Proficiency Rate	Weighted State Average Proficiency Rate	Weighted State Proficiency Rate at 90th Percentile	Weighted State Proficiency Rate at 20th Percentile
Math, 2010-11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Math, 2011-12	* * *	N/A	N/A	N/A
Math, 2012-13	**	N/A	N/A	N/A
ELA, 2010-11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ELA, 2011-12	***	N/A	N/A	N/A
ELA, 2012-13	* *	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: 2013 State Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) were 60.0% for Reading and 62.5% for Mathematics.

	Multir	acial		
Subject and Year	School Proficiency Rate	Weighted State Average Proficiency Rate	Weighted State Proficiency Rate at 90th Percentile	Weighted State Proficiency Rate at 20th Percentile
Math, 2010-11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Math, 2011-12	***	N/A	N/A	N/A
Math, 2012-13	**	N/A	N/A	N/A
ELA, 2010-11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ELA, 2011-12	***	N/A	N/A	N/A
ELA, 2012-13	**	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: 2013 State Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) were 73.4% for Reading and 74.3% for Mathematics.

[†]Other Minorities

4 Gateway Lab School – School ID #543 *Number of students tested between

*Number of students tested between 15 and 30 students, *results not included in overall school rating* **Number of students tested under 15 students, results not reported

*** For 2010-11 and 2011-12, number of students tested under 30 students, results not reported

Subject and Year	School Proficiency Rate	Weighted State Average Proficiency Rate	Weighted State Proficiency Rate at 90th Percentile	Weighted State Proficiency Rate at 20th Percentile
Math, 2010-11	-	-	-	-
Math, 2011-12	***	N/A	N/A	N/A
Math, 2012-13	-	-	-	-
ELA, 2010-11	-	-	-	-
ELA, 2011-12	***	N/A	N/A	N/A
ELA, 2012-13	-	-	-	_

⁺Note: Other Minorities only reported in 2011-12

	Whi	te		
Subject and Year	School Proficiency Rate	Weighted State Average Proficiency Rate	Weighted State Proficiency Rate at 90th Percentile	Weighted State Proficiency Rate at 20th Percentile
Math, 2010-11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Math, 2011-12	27.7%	84.0%	96.1%	70.9%
Math, 2012-13	24.1%	77.1%	95.8%	66.9%
ELA, 2010-11	N/A	NA	NA	NA
ELA, 2011-12	35.7%	84.9%	96.7%	73.2%
ELA, 2012-13	39.4%	79.7%	96.2%	70.8%

Note: 2013 State Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) were 78.8% for Reading and 79.3% for Mathematics.

Subgroup Summary

	Low	-SES	EI	LL	sv	VD		can- rican	Asi Ame	an- rican	Hisp	anic	Multi	racial	Otl Mino		Wh	iite	OVE RAT	RALL 'ING
Year	Math	ELA	Math	ELA	Math	ELA	Math	ELA	Math	ELA	Math	ELA	Math	ELA	Math	ELA	Math	ELA	Math	ELA
10-11	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA
11-12	F	F	***	***	F	D	F	F	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	F	F	F	F
12-13	F	F	**	**	F	F	F	F	**	**	**	**	**	**	-	-	F	F	F	F

5 Gateway Lab School—School ID #543 *Number of students tested between 3

*Number of students tested between 15 and 30 students, *results not included in overall school rating* **Number of students tested under 15 students, results not reported

*** For 2010-11 and 2011-12, number of students tested under 30 students, results not reported

Measure 2c. Are students performing well on state examinations in math and reading in comparison to other schools in the district*?

Subject and Year	School Prof %	District Comparison
Math, 2010-11	N/A	N/A
Math, 2011-12	24.3%	67.2%
Math, 2012-13	20.8%	66.4%
ELA, 2010-11	N/A	N/A
ELA, 2011-12	33.7%	70.1%
ELA, 2012-13	36.0%	71.2%

¹School Proficiency Compared to Home District Proficiency

¹Red Clay Consolidated School District

Measure 2d. Are students performing well on state examinations in math and reading in comparison to similar schools in the state?

School Proficiency Compared to Similar Schools Proficiency

Subject and Year	School Prof %	Similar Schools Prof%
Math, 2010-11	N/A	N/A
Math, 2011-12	24.3%	61.9%
Math, 2012-13	20.8%	66.1%
ELA, 2010-11	N/A	N/A
ELA, 2011-12	33.7%	64.1%
ELA, 2012-13	36.0%	68.9%

3. STATE AND FEDERAL ACCOUNTABILITY

Measure 3a. Did the school make AYP?

Year	AYP Status
2010-11	N/A
2011-12	Does Not Meet
2012-13	Does Not Meet

4. POST-SECONDARY READINESS (Only for High Schools)

6 Gateway Lab School—School ID #543 *Number of students tested between 15 and 30 students, *results not included in overall school rating* **Number of students tested under 15 students, results not reported *** For 2010-11 and 2011-12, number of students tested under 30 students, results not reported

Measure 4a. Does students' performance on the SAT reflect college readiness?

Year	SAT High Score %
2010-11	N/A
2011-12	N/A
2012-13	N/A

Percentage of Students receiving a 1550 or better on the SAT

Measure 4b. Are students graduating from high school?

²Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate

Year	Graduation Rate
2010-11	N/A
2011-12	N/A
2012-13	N/A

²The Performance Framework uses the previous year's graduation rate

5. MISSION-SPECIFIC ACADEMIC GOALS (OPTIONAL)

Measure 5a. Is the school meeting mission-specific academic goals?

Year	Met Mission-Specific Academic Goals?
2010-11	N/A
2011-12	N/A
2012-13	N/A

-	Gateway Lab School—School ID #543
	*Number of students tested between 15 and 30 students, results not included in overall school rating
	**Number of students tested under 15 students, results not reported
	*** For 2010-11 and 2011-12, number of students tested under 30 students, results not reported

SUMMARY AND OVERALL RATING

Gateway Lab School

Overall ratings are calculated based on the total point range below:

Exceeds Standard	89 to 100
Meets Standard	63 to 88
Below Standard	39 to 62
Far Below Standard	below 39

	1.a. Growth		1.b. B 25	ottom 5%	1.c. Gi to P		2.a.	Prof	2.b. O Subg	verall roup	2.c. D	istrict	2.d. S Scho	imilar pols			4 h	5.5	OVERALL
Year	Math	ELA	Math	ELA	Math	ELA	Math	ELA	Math	ELA	Math	ELA	Math	ELA	3.a. AYP	4.a. SAT	4.b. Grad Rate	5.a. Mission Specific	RATING/ TOTAL POINTS
10-11	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
11-12	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	D	NA	NA	NA	F/26
12-13	F	D	D	D	F	D	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	D	NA	NA	NA	F/34

0	Gateway Lab School – School ID #543 *Number of students tested between 15 and 30 students. <i>results not included in overall school rating</i>
0	*Number of students tested between 15 and 30 students, <i>results not included in overall school rating</i>
	**Number of students tested under 15 students, results not reported
	*** For 2010-11 and 2011-12, number of students tested under 30 students, results not reported

Delaware Financial Performance Framework Report Gateway Lab School

For each measure, a school receives one of three ratings:

Meets Standard Does Not Meet Standard Falls Far Below

Rating targets for each measure may be referenced on the attached Financial Performance Framework.

Each measure is weighted equally with discretion of the Authorizer incorporated to determine an overall rating for the school on Financial Performance. School performance on each measure is presented below.

1. NEAR TERM INDICATORS

Measure 1a. Current Ratio (Working Capital Ratio):

Current Assets divided by Current Liabilities

2011-12	2012-13
2.23	2.86

Measure 1b. Unrestricted Days Cash:

Unrestricted Cash divided by (Total Expenses / 365)

2011-12	2012-13
89	133

Measure 1c. Enrollment Variance:

Actual Enrollment as of September 30 divided by Enrollment Projection in Board-Approved Budget as of the previous July 1

2011-12	2012-13
102%	104%

Measure 1d. Default

2011-12	2012-13
No	No

1

2. SUSTAINABILITY INDICATORS

Measure 2a. Total Margin:

Net Income divided by Total Revenue.

2011-12	2012-13
Not Rated	Not Rated

Measure 2b. Debt to Asset Ratio:

Total Liabilities divided by Total Assets

2011-12	2012-13
0.35	0.31

Measure 2c. Cash Flow

2011-12	2012-13
Not Rated	Not Rated

Measure 2d. Debt Service Coverage Ratio:

(Net Income + Depreciation + Interest Expense) / (Principal and Interest Payments)

2011-12	2012-13
N/A	N/A

SUMMARY AND OVERALL RATING

Gateway Lab School

Year	1.a.	1.b.	1.c.	1.d.	2.a.	2.b.	2.c.	2.d.	OVERALL RATING
11-12	м	М	м	М	NR	м	NR	NA	м
12-13	М	М	М	М	NR	М	NR	NA	М

Delaware Organizational Performance Framework Report Gateway Lab School

For each measure, a school receives one of two ratings:

Meets Standard Does Not Meet Standard

Rating targets for each measure may be referenced on the attached Organizational Performance Framework.

Each measure is weighted equally with discretion of the Authorizer incorporated to determine an overall rating for the school on Organizational Performance. School performance on each measure is presented below.

1. EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM

Measure 1a. Is the school implementing the essential terms of the charter's education program as defined in the current charter, and complying with applicable state and federal requirements?

2011-12	2012-13
Meets	Meets
Standard	Standard

Measure 1b. Is the school compliant with legal requirements for students with disabilities and English Learners?

2011-12	2012-13
Meets	Meets
Standard	Standard

2. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT

Is the school meeting financial reporting and compliance requirements?

2011-12	2012-13
Meets	Does Not
Standard	Meet

1

3. GOVERNANCE AND REPORTING

Measure 3a. Is the school complying with governance requirements?

2011-12	2012-13
Does Not	Meets
Meet	Standard

Measure 3b. Is the school holding management accountable?

2011-12	2012-13
Meets	Meets
Standard	Standard

Measure 3c. Is the school complying with reporting requirements?

2011-12	2012-13
Meets	Meets
Standard	Standard

4. STUDENTS AND EMPLOYEES

Measure 4a. Is the school protecting the rights of all students?

2011-12	2012-13
Meets	Meets
Standard	Standard

Measure 4b. Is the school meeting attendance goals?

2011-12	2012-13
Does Not	Does Not
Meet	Meet

Measure 4c. Is the school meeting all staff credentialing requirements?

2011-12	2012-13
N/A †	Meets
	Standard

⁺ Data not available on which to make a decision for this year.

Measure 4d. Is the school respecting employee rights?

2011-12	2012-13
Meets	Meets
Standard	Standard

5. SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT

Measure 5a. Is the school complying with facilities and transportation requirements?

2011-12	2012-13
Meets	Meets
Standard	Standard

Measure 5b. Is the school complying with health and safety requirements?

2011-12	2012-13
Meets	Meets
Standard	Standard

6. ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS

Is the school complying with all other obligations?

2011-12	2012-13
Meets	Meets
Standard	Standard

SUMMARY AND OVERALL RATING

Gateway Lab School

Year	1.a.	1.b.	2	3.a.	3.b.	3.c.	4.a.	4.b.	4.c.	4.d.	5.a.	5.b.	6	OVERALL RATING
11-12	м	м	м	DNM	м	м	м	DNM	N/A	м	м	м	М	Does Not Meet
12-13	м	м	DNM	М	м	м	м	DNM	м	м	м	м	М	Does Not Meet