

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

The Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 DOE WEBSITE: http://www.doe.k12.de.us Steven H. Godowsky Secretary of Education Voice: (302) 735-4000 FAX: (302) 739-4654

May 31, 2016

Ms. Angela Porter
Department of Services for Children, Youth and Their Families
Educational Services
1825 Faulkland Road
Wilmington, DE 19805

RE: LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Ms. Porter:

Under the IDEA, the Department is required to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance.

For FFY 2014, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

Compliance:

0	Indicator 4B	Disproportionality in the rates of long-term suspensions of students with
		disabilities by race/ethnicity
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation related to identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely evaluations
0	Indicator 12	Early childhood transition from Part C/preschool special education services
		to Part B/school-age special education services
0	Indicator 13	Transition planning in the IEP

Results:

0	Indicator 1	Graduation Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension of students with
		disabilities
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes.

Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA Needs Intervention in implementing the regulations of the IDEA.

Department of Services for Children, Youth and Their Families LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) May 31, 2016 Page 2

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, the LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Exceptional Children Resources staff will be in contact with Chris McIntyre to discuss next steps. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail maryann.mieczkowski@doe.k12.de.us.

Sincerely,

Marylina Meschowski Mary Ann Mieczkowski

Director, Exceptional Children Resources

Sincerely,

Michael S. Watson

Chief Academic Officer

5. held

MAM/MNLbjm Attachment

Steven H. Godowsky, Secretary of Education cc:

Michael S. Watson, Chief Academic Officer Chris McIntyre, Director, Special Education Services

Barbara Mazza, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

Maria N. Locuniak, Education Ph.D., NCSP, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 8.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

FFY 2014 LEA Annual Determinations

FFY 2014 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

• Compliance:

0	Indicator 4B	Disproportionality in the rates of long-term suspensions of students with
		disabilities by race/ethnicity
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation related to identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely evaluations
0	Indicator 12	Early childhood transition from Part C/preschool special education services
		to Part B/school-age special education services
0	Indicator 13	Transition planning in the IEP

• Results:

O	Indicator 1	Graduation Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension of students with
		disabilities
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes.

Meets Requirements	=	≥ 80% (compliance and results combined)	and	LEA may be engaged in a Corrective Action Plan.	and/or	If monitored on-site, LEA is engaged in Prong 1 or Prong 2 corrective action.
Needs Assistance	=	60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in an Intervention Plan.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 1 year)
Needs Intervention	=	≤ 59% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in a Compliance Agreement.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 2 years)

Spring, 2016 LEA Annual Determination for FFY 2014 DSCYF

Compliance Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2014 - 2015	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 4B: Percentage of LEAs with Significant Discrepancy in the Rates of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices	2013-2014	0.00%	0.00%	Met Target	ı	1
Indicator 9: Disproportionality/All Disabilities	2014-2015	0.00%	2.33%	< 1%	1	1
Indicator 10: Disproportionality/Specific Disabilities	2014-2015	0.00%	2.33%	< 1%	1	1
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluation Timelines	2014-2015	100.00%	99.46%	> 99%	1	1
Indicator 12: Preschool Transition Part C to Part B	2014-2015	100.00%	97.84%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition (LEA - Actual Percentage)	2014-2015	100.00%	98.15%	NA	NA	NA

Results Indicators		Data From: (Time Perlod)	SPP Target 2014 - 2015	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate		2013-2014	66.70%	67.66%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate		2013-2014	5.20%	3.49%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 3B: Participation Math							
	Grade 3		95.00%	97.10%	> 99%	1	1
	Grade 4		95.00%	97.03%	80.00%	0	1
	Grade 5		95.00%	97.41%	> 99%	1	1
	Grade 6	2014-2015	95.00%	97.28%	90.00%	0	1
	Grade 7		95.00%	97.45%	> 99%	- 1	1
	Grade 8		95.00%	96.25%	> 99%	1	1
6	irade 11		95.00%	92.09%	> 99%	1	1
Indicator 3B: Participation ELA							
	Grade 3		95.00%	97.16%	> 99%	1	1
	Grade 4		95.00%	97.27%	80.00%	0	1
	Grade 5		95.00%	97.76%	83.33%	0	1
	Grade 6	2014-2015	95.00%	97.34%	90.90%	0	1
	Grade 7		95.00%	97.33%	> 99%	1	1
	Grade 8		95.00%	96.50%	> 99%	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1
G	Grade 11		95.00%	91.95%	> 99%	1	1

Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2014 - 2015	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 3C: Performance Rate Math						
Grade 3		15.00%	25.00%	< 1%	0	1
Grade 4	1	15.00%	18.59%	25.00%	1	1
Grade 5		15.00%	13.62%	< 1%	0	1
Grade 6	2014-2015	15.00%	9.67%	< 1%	Ö>	1
Grade 7	1	15.00%	11.15%	25.00%	1	1
Grade 8		15.00%	11.73%	< 1%	0	1
Grade 11		15.00%	8.67%	< 1%	0 1 0 0	1
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2014 - 2015	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 3C: Performance Rate ELA						
Grade 3		19.30%	25.31%	< 1%	0	1
Grade 4		19.30%	21.67%	< 1%	0	1
Grade 5		19.30%	19.82%	20.00%	1	1
Grade 6	2014-2015	19.30%	15.13%	< 1%	0	1
Grade 7]	19.30%	15.43%	25.00%	1	1
Grade 8		19.30%	16.45%	< 1%	0	1
Grade 11		19.30%	18.60%	< 1%	Ö	1
Indicator 4A: Percentage of LEAs with Significant Discrepancy in the Rates of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities Note - The LEA score is the Rate Ratio which for FFY 2013 had a target of 1.24	2013-2014	0.00%	0.00%	< 1%	1	1
Indicator 7A: Early Childhood Outcomes - Social/Emotional						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2014 2015	86.20%	85.86%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2014-2015	55.30%	50.32%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7B: Early Childhood Outcomes - Knowledge						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2014-2015	89.00%	87.18%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2014-2015	50.90%	47.06%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7C: Early Childhood Outcomes - Behavior						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2014 2015	88.10%	87.16%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2014-2015	65.00%	63.58%	NA	NA	NA

Determination Summary	
Compliance Indicators Score	4
Possible Points:	4
Results Indicators Score	14
Possible Points:	29
Score Total	18
Out of a Possible:	33
Percentage:	54.55%
Annual Determination	on:
Needs Intervention	n

-	
- 3	
2014	
্ন	
200	
-	
- 4	
- ši	
- 25	
=	
- 25	
ात	
<u></u>	
_	
75	
-5	
25	
- 2	
- O	
귳	
- 2	
ଊ	
5.0	
್ರಾಂ	
Ľ	
. 0	
얼	
IJ	
岩	
폍	
ij	
in thdi	Ϋ́
lan Indi	CYF
Plan Indi	SCYF
e Plan Indi	DSCYF
ce Plan Indi	DSCYF
ince Plan Indi	DSCYF
sance Plan Indi	DSCYF
mance Plan Indi	DSCYF
ormance Plan Indi	DSCYF
formance Plan Indi	DSCYF
erformance Plan Indi	DSCYF
Performance Plan Indi	DSCYF
e Performance Plan Indi	DSCYF
te Performance Plan Indi	DSCYF
tate Performance Plan Indi	DSCYF
State Performance Plan Indi	DSCYF
n State Performance Plan Indi	DSCYF
on State Performance Plan Indi	DSCYF
s on State Performance Plan Indi	DSCYF
ss on State Performance Plan Indi	DSCYF
ress on State Performance Plan Indi	DSCVF
gress on State Performance Plan Indi	DSCVF
ogress on State Performance Plan Indi	DSCVF
Progress on State Performance Plan Indi	DSCYF
Progress on State Performance Plan Indi	DSCYF
ct Progress on State Performance Plan Indi	DSCYF
rict Progress on State Performance Plan Indi	DSCYF
strict Progress on State Performance Plan Indi	DSCYF
Sistrict Progress on State Performance Plan Indi	DSCVF
District Progress on State Performance Plan Indi	DSCVF
District Progress on State Performance Plan Indi	DSCVF

Note: This indicator does not apply to this LEA.	Note: This indicator does not apply to this EEA.	THE RESERVE TO SERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED	Met Target?		No		No				Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Met Target?	No	No	Yes							No	8	Yes
			Target	%00'56	95:00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	%00'56	95.00%	%00'56	95.00%	95.00%	%00'56	ı	Target	19.30%	19.30%	19.30%	19.30%	19.30%	19.30%	19.30%	15.00%	15.00%	15.00%	15.00%	15.00%
Met Tarest? NA	Met Target?		Percent Tested	%66 <	80:00%	88.33%	%06'06	>666<	> 66%	> 96%	%66 <	80:00%	> 66%	%00'06	> 66 <	> 96%	%66 <		Percent Meets	×1%	< 1%	20.00%	< 1%	25.00%	^ 1%	×1%	×1%	25.00%	^ 1%	×15	25.00%
Tarret 66.70%	Target. 5.20%		Number Tested	*1	•			100	(0)	•					100	45	a		Number Meets		*	70	K		4	63		2002		*	3
Graduation Rate NA	Drop-Out Rate NA		Number Eligible	I K					Si I	*	*	a a		*					Number Tested	• 1	,	3		4		*>		36			
<u>Denominator</u> NA	Drop-Outs		Subject	ELA	MATH	,	Subject	EF	E.	ELA	≦	3	3	¥ .	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH												
Graduates	Enrollment	State Assessmen	Grade	m	*	15)	9	7	60	7	e	4	ın	9	7	00	11	State Assessment	Grade	m	4	v	9	7	60 ;	#	m	4	V)	ا ف	,
School Year 2014	Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates School Year 2014	Indicator 3B: Participation in State Assessment	School Year	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	Indicator 3C: Performance in State Assessments	School Year	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015

Indicator 4A: Percentage of LEAs with Significant Discrepancy in the Rates of Long-Term Suspensions and Equations of Students with Disabilities Nota - The LEA score is the Rate Ratio which for FFY 2013 had a target of 3,24.

Note:	Note:	A A	NA	A A	NA					ن t apply to this LEA.
Met Target?										Note: This indicator does not apply to this LEA.
Target 1.24	tties by Met Target? NA	A A	NA A	2 2	NA	Met Target?	2	Met Target? Yes	Met Target? No	
Rate Ratio	Students with Disabil	0000	0.00	000	00:00					Met Tarest? NA
Non-SWD Suspended	ensions and Expulsions of Rate Ratio 0.00	0000	000	0000	0.00	Target	68.00%	<u>Target</u> 15.50%	Target 5.00%	Tarret 46.00%
SWD Suspended > 10 Days	Indicator 48: Percentage of LEAs with Significant Discrepancy in the Rates of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices School Year School Year School Year Race SWD Enrolled SWD Suspended > 10 Days Rate Ratio Target MA 2014 Hispanic			* * *		Perce	^ 1%	Percent in LRE B < 1%	Percent in Separate Setting > 99%	Percent With Peers
Enrollment 230	Procedures, and Procedures, and Procedures.	,		r a -a		ular Class < 21% of Total in LRE A	The Character of the	Total in LRE B	Total in Separate Setting 22	I with Typical Peers <u>Total With Peers</u> NA
Enrollment	compliant Polities, Pace Race Hispanic	American Indian African	White	Asian Haw./P.I.	Multiple	to 21 Outside Regu	22 22 24 Orderick Bern	<u>Total</u> 22	to 21 Separate Sett Total 22	o 5 Early Childhood Total EC NA
School Year 2014	Indicator 4B: Percentage of LEAs with Significant Discrepancy in the Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices School Year Race SWD Enrolled SWD S 2014 Hispanic	2014	2014	2014	2014	Indicator SA: LRE Ages 6 to 21 Outside Regular Class < 21% of Day School Year Total Total INEA	22 - 2015	School Year 2015	Indicator SC: URE Ages 6 to 21 Separate Setting Total 2015 22	Indicator 6: LRE Ages 3 to 5 Early Childhood with Typical Peers School Year Total EC Total With Peers 2015 NA NA

ű
ž Še
Mee
8
Nevio
3
S P
Ď
de e
Owle
a, K
Xion
/Em
Socia
S
DIS P
ě
E
rstra
Ē
9
20 5
283
₹ S
tuder
Soo
Tesch
t of P
ercen
7.P
icato
Ē

Knowledge Within Age Expectation Met Target? NA	Behavior Within Ace Expectation Met Tanget? NA	Social/Emotional Within Ass. Expectation Met Tarzet? NA	
Knowledge Within Age Expectation Tarret 50.90%	Behavior Within Ass Exectation Target 65,00%	Social/Emotion at Within Age Expectation I anget 55,30%	
Knowledge Within Age Expectation NA	Behavior Within Age Expectation NA	Social/Emotional Within Age, Especiation NA	
Knowledge Increased Met. Tanget? NA	Behavior Increased Met. Tarret? NA	Social/Emotional Increased Met Target? NA	This indicator does not apply to this LEA.
Knowtedge ingreased. Innet 89.00%	Behavior Increased Target 88.10%	<u>Social/Emertional</u> <u>Increased Tanget</u> 86.20%	This indicator does
Knowledge Increased Rate K Growth	Behavior Incressed Rate Growth NA	Social/Emotional Increased Rate Growth NA	Note:
School Year 2015	2015	2015	

Indicator 8 - Percent of Parents with a Child Receiving Special Education Services Who Report That School Facilitated Parent Involvement as a Means of Improving Services and Results for

	Note:	Survey data was not collected from paren
	Met Target?	NA
	Target	82.00%
	Percent Agree	W
	Total	NA A
	Disagree	NA
	Agree	NA
Children with Disabilities	School Year	2015

ndicator 9 - Percent of LEA's with Disproportional Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Special Education and Related Services That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification

Met Taret?	Yes Test is a Result of Inanocociate Identification
Jarret	0.00% Course in Specific Disability Carescori
Disproportionate Representation as a Result of Inappropriate Identification?	< 1% Disorportional Representation of Bazial and Ethnic Gr
School Year	2015 Indicator 10 - Percent of LEA's with Dis

Met Target? Yes

Target 0.00%

Disproportionate Representation as a Result of Insppropriate Identification? < 1%

School Year 2015

Note:	NA
Not Within Timelines Percent Within Target Met Target? No	Yes
Target	100.00%
Percent Within	%66 <
Not Within Timelines	
Within	è
School Year Timelines	2015

Indicator 12 - Percent of Children Referred by Part C Prior to Age 3 Who Are Found Eligible for Part B, and Who Have an IEP Developed and Implemented by Their Third Birthday

Notes	This indicator does not apply to this LEA.
Met Target?	AN.
Target	100.00%
Percent Services by Age 3	NA
Number with	¥.
Referred. Less Not Elkible and Parent. Refusals	AN AN
School Year	2015

Indicator 13 - Percent of Youth Age 14 and Above With an IEP That Includes Coordinated, Measurable, Annual IEP Goals and Transition Services that Will Reasonably Enable the Student to Meet the Post-Secondary Goals.

Note		data was reported by this LEA for FFY 2014.
Met Tanset?	ž	No data was
Isreet	160.00%	of Contractor Cohoral In
Percent Meeting Standard	NA	ont of Voith Who Are No Londer
EP's Meeting	¥	Indirator) - Dare
EP's		Intenmed (Raculty
School Year	2015	Indicator 14 - Post-School O

A. Enrolled in Higher Education Within One Year of Leaving High School, B. Enrolled in Higher Education or Competitively Employed Within One Year of Leaving High School, or C. Enrolled in Higher Education or in Some Other Employment Within One Year of Leaving High School

Note:	This indicator does not apply to this LEA.	
Group A Target Met? N/A	Group 8 Target Met? N/A	Group C Target Met? N/A
Group A Target 25.00%	Group B Target 56.00%	Group C Target 100.00%
Group A Percentage NA	Group B Percentage NA	Group C Percentage NA
Group A Respondents N/A	Group B Respondents N/A	Group C Respondents N/A
Total Respondents N/A		
Exiters N/A		
School Year 2014		