

# **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

The Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 DOE WEBSITE: http://www.doe.k12.de.us Steven H. Godowsky Secretary of Education Voice: (302) 735-4000 FAX: (302) 739-4654

May 31, 2016

Dr. Robert Andrzejewski Acting Superintendent Christina School District 600 N. Lombard Street Wilmington, DE 19801

RE: LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Dr. Andrzejewski:

Under the IDEA, the Department is required to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance.

For FFY 2014, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

## Compliance:

| 0 | Indicator 4B      | Disproportionality in the rates of long-term suspensions of students with   |
|---|-------------------|---|
|   |                   | disabilities by race/ethnicity  |
| 0 | Indicators 9 & 10 | Disproportionate Representation related to identification                   |
| 0 | Indicator 11      | Timely evaluations  |
| 0 | Indicator 12      | Early childhood transition from Part C/preschool special education services |
|   |                   | to Part B/school-age special education services                             |
| 0 | Indicator 13      | Transition planning in the IEP  |

#### Results:

| 0 | Indicator 1  | Graduation Rate   |
|---|--------------|---|
| 0 | Indicator 2  | Drop Out Rate   |
| 0 | Indicator 3B | Participation in the State Assessment   |
| 0 | Indicator 3C | Proficiency on the State Assessment   |
| 0 | Indicator 4A | Significant Discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension of students with |
|   |              | disabilities  |
| 0 | Indicator 7  | Early Childhood Outcomes.   |

Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA Needs Intervention in implementing the regulations of the IDEA.

# Christina School District LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) May 31, 2016 Page 2

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, the LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Exceptional Children Resources staff will be in contact with John Dewey to discuss next steps. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail maryann.mieczkowski@doe.k12.de.us.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Mieczkowski

Director, Exceptional Children Resources

Mary an Muchoushi

Michael S. Watson Chief Academic Officer

5.66

MAM/MNLbjm Attachment

cc:

Steven H. Godowsky, Secretary of Education Michael S. Watson, Chief Academic Officer John Dewey Ed.D., Senior Director, Special Services

Barbara Mazza, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

Maria N. Locuniak, Education Ph.D., NCSP, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

# IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

#### The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

#### The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 8.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

## FFY 2014 LEA Annual Determinations

FFY 2014 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

## • Compliance:

O Indicator 4B Disproportionality in the rates of long-term suspensions of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity
O Indicators 9 & 10 Disproportionate Representation related to identification
Timely evaluations
O Indicator 12 Early childhood transition from Part C/preschool special education services to Part B/school-age special education services
O Indicator 13 Transition planning in the IEP

#### Results:

| Indicator 1  | Graduation Rate   |
|--------------|---|
| Indicator 2  | Drop Out Rate   |
| Indicator 3B | Participation in the State Assessment   |
| Indicator 3C | Proficiency on the State Assessment   |
| Indicator 4A | Significant Discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension of students with |
|              | disabilities  |
| Indicator 7  | Early Childhood Outcomes.   |
|              | Indicator 2 Indicator 3B Indicator 3C Indicator 4A                            |

| Meets<br>Requirements | = | ≥ 80%<br>(compliance and<br>results combined)      | and    | LEA may be engaged<br>in a Corrective<br>Action Plan. | and/or | If monitored on-site, LEA is engaged in Prong 1 or Prong 2 corrective action. |
|-----------------------|---|--|--------|---|--------|---|
| Needs<br>Assistance   | - | 60% to 79%<br>(compliance and<br>results combined) | and/or | LEA is engaged in an<br>Intervention Plan.            | and/or | Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 1 year)            |
| Needs<br>Intervention | = | ≤ 59%<br>(compliance and<br>results combined)      | and/or | LEA is engaged in a<br>Compliance<br>Agreement.       | and/or | Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 2 years)           |

# Spring, 2016 LEA Annual Determination for FFY 2014 Christina School District

| Compliance Indicators  | Data From:<br>(Time<br>Period) | SPP Target<br>2014 -<br>2015 | State Data | LEA Data   | LEA Score | Possible<br>Points |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Indicator 4B: Percentage of LEAs with Significant Discrepancy in<br>the Rates of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students<br>with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies,<br>Procedures, and Practices | 2013-2014                      | 0.00%                        | 0.00%      | Met Target | 1         | 1                  |
| Indicator 9: Disproportionality/All Disabilities   | 2014-2015                      | 0.00%                        | 2.33%      | < 1%       | 1         | 1                  |
| Indicator 10: Disproportionality/Specific Disabilities   | 2014-2015                      | 0.00%                        | 2.33%      | < 1%       | 1         | 1                  |
| Indicator 11: Initial Evaluation Timelines   | 2014-2015                      | 100.00%                      | 99.46%     | > 99%      | 1         | 1                  |
| Indicator 12: Preschool Transition Part C to Part B  | 2014-2015                      | 100.00%                      | 97.84%     | > 99%      | 1         | 1                  |
| Indicator 13: Secondary Transition (LEA - Actual Percentage)   | 2014-2015                      | 100.00%                      | 98.15%     | 92.90%     | Ö         | 1                  |

| Results Indicators               | Data From:<br>(Time<br>Period) | SPP Target<br>2014 -<br>2015 | State Data | LEA Data | LEA Score | Possible<br>Points |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|----------|-----------|--------------------|
| Indicator 1: Graduation Rate     | 2013-2014                      | 66.70%                       | 67.66%     | 53.70%   | o         | 1                  |
| Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate       | 2013-2014                      | 5.20%                        | 3.49%      | 2.14%    | 1         | 1                  |
| Indicator 38: Participation Math |                                |                              |            |          |           |                    |
| Gr                               | ade 3                          | 95.00%                       | 97.10%     | 94.65%   | 0         | 1                  |
| G                                | rade 4                         | 95.00%                       | 97.03%     | 95.45%   | 4         | 1                  |
| G                                | rade 5                         | 95.00%                       | 97.41%     | 94.59%   | 0         | 1                  |
| G                                | rade 6 2014-2015               | 95.00%                       | 97.28%     | 94.44%   | 0         | 1                  |
| G                                | rade 7                         | 95.00%                       | 97.45%     | 96.92%   | 1         | 1                  |
| G                                | rade 8                         | 95.00%                       | 96.25%     | 92.37%   | 0         | 1                  |
| Gra                              | ade 11                         | 95.00%                       | 92.09%     | 85.14%   | 0         | 1                  |
| Indicator 3B: Participation ELA  |                                |                              |            |          |           |                    |
| G                                | rade 3                         | 95.00%                       | 97.16%     | 95.42%   | 1.        | 1                  |
| G                                | rade 4                         | 95.00%                       | 97.27%     | 95.47%   | 1         | 1                  |
| G                                | rade 5                         | 95.00%                       | 97.76%     | 95.75%   | 1         | 1                  |
| G                                | rade 6 2014-2015               | 95.00%                       | 97.34%     | 94.81%   | 0         | 1                  |
| G                                | rade 7                         | 95.00%                       | 97.33%     | 96.06%   | 1         | 1                  |
| G                                | rade 8                         | 95.00%                       | 96.50%     | 94.06%   | 0         | 1                  |
| Gra                              | ade 11                         | 95.00%                       | 91.95%     | 79.79%   | 0         | 1                  |

| Results Indicators  | Data From:<br>(Time<br>Period) | SPP Target<br>2014 -<br>2015 | State Data | LEA Data | LEA Score | Possible<br>Points |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|----------|-----------|--------------------|
| Indicator 3C: Performance Rate Math   |                                |                              |            |          |           |                    |
| Grade 3   |                                | 15.00%                       | 25.00%     | 22.60%   | 1         | 1                  |
| Grade 4   | 1                              | 15.00%                       | 18.59%     | 15.38%   | 1         | 1                  |
| Grade 5   |                                | 15.00%                       | 13.62%     | 9.80%    | 0         | 1                  |
| Grade 6   | 2014-2015                      | 15.00%                       | 9.67%      | 5.49%    | 0         | 1                  |
| Grade 7   | 1                              | 15.00%                       | 11.15%     | 5.88%    | 0         | 1                  |
| Grade 8   |                                | 15.00%                       | 11.73%     | 10.09%   | 0         | 1                  |
| Grade 11  |                                | 15.00%                       | 8.67%      | 3.49%    | 0         | 1                  |
| Results Indicators  | Data From:<br>(Time<br>Period) | SPP Target<br>2014 -<br>2015 | State Data | LEA Data | LEA Score | Possible<br>Points |
| Indicator 3C: Performance Rate ELA  |                                |                              |            |          |           |                    |
| Grade 3   |                                | 19.30%                       | 25.31%     | 19.60%   | 1         | 1                  |
| Grade 4   |                                | 19.30%                       | 21.67%     | 14.60%   | 0         | 1                  |
| Grade 5   |                                | 19.30%                       | 19.82%     | 10.48%   | 0         | 1                  |
| Grade 6   | 2014-2015                      | 19.30%                       | 15.13%     | 8.98%    | 0         | 1                  |
| Grade 7   |                                | 19.30%                       | 15.43%     | 7.73%    | 0         | 1                  |
| Grade 8   |                                | 19.30%                       | 16.45%     | 9.46%    | 0         | 1                  |
| Grade 11  |                                | 19.30%                       | 18.60%     | 7.59%    | 0         | 1                  |
| Indicator 4A: Percentage of LEAs with Significant Discrepancy in<br>the Rates of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students<br>with Disabilities<br>Note - The LEA score is the Rate Ratio which for FFY 2013 had a<br>target of 1.24 | 2013-2014                      | 0.00%                        | 0.00%      | < 1%     | í         | 1                  |
| Indicator 7A: Early Childhood Outcomes - Social/Emotional   |                                |                              |            |          |           |                    |
| Percent Increase Rate of Growth   | 2014-2015                      | 86.20%                       | 85.86%     | 81.00%   | 0         | 1                  |
| Percent Within Age Expectation  | 2014-2015                      | 55.30%                       | 50.32%     | 42.24%   | 0         | 1                  |
| Indicator 7B: Early Childhood Outcomes - Knowledge  |                                |                              |            |          |           |                    |
| Percent Increase Rate of Growth   | 2014-2015                      | 89.00%                       | 87.18%     | 87.27%   | 0         | 1                  |
| Percent Within Age Expectation  | 2014-2015                      | 50.90%                       | 47.06%     | 42.02%   | 0         | 1                  |
| Indicator 7C: Early Childhood Outcomes - Behavior   |                                |                              |            |          |           |                    |
| Percent Increase Rate of Growth   | 2014 2015                      | 88.10%                       | 87.16%     | 82.29%   | 0         | 1                  |
| Percent Within Age Expectation  | 2014-2015                      | 65.00%                       | 63.58%     | 56.78%   | 0         | 1                  |

| Determination Summary       |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Compliance Indicators Score | 5      |
| Possible Points:            | 6      |
| Results Indicators Score    | 11     |
| Possible Points:            | 37     |
| Score Total                 | 16     |
| Out of a Possible:          | 43     |
| Percentage:                 | 37.21% |
| Annual Determination        | n:     |
| Needs Intervention          |        |

| 12014   |                           |
|---|---------------------------|
| erformance Plan Indicators for Students with Disabilities- FFY 2014 | Christina School District |
| n State P   |                           |
| Progress of   |                           |
| District 6  |                           |

| No Graduation Rate Target Met Target? 53.70% 66.70% No | Learner Target Met Target? 2.14% 5.20% Yes |   | Number Eligible Number Tested Percent Tested Tar | 262 250 95.42% 95.0 | 274 95,47% | 95.75% | 94.81% | 220 96.06% | 222 94.06% | 79 %67.67 | 248 94.65% | 273    | 245 94:59% | 255 94.44% | 221 96.92% | 218 92.37% | 101 86 85.14% 95.00% |  | Number Tested, Number Meets | 49    | 40 14.60% | 26 10,48% | 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 | 21 9.46% | . 7.59% | 56 22,60% | 42 15.38% | 245 24 9.80% 15.00% | 42 15.38% |
|--|--|---|--|---------------------|------------|--------|--------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|--------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------|-----------|-----------|---|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| Graduates Denominator<br>80 149                        | Enrollment Drop-Outs                       | Indicator 38: Participation in State Assessment | Grade Subject                                    | 3 ELA               | 4 ELA      | S ELA  | e ELA  | 7 ELA      | 8 ELA      | 11 ELA    | 3 MATH     | 4 MATH | 5 MATH     | 6 MATH     | 7 MATH     | 8 MATH     | 11 MATH              | Indicator 3C: Performance in State Assessments | W.                          | El El | 4 -       | <u>4</u>  | 5 N                                     | 8 ELA    | 11 ELA  | HTAM 8    | 4 MATH    | 5 MATH              | 4 MATH    |

Indicator 4A: Percentage of LEAs with Significant Discrepancy in the Rates of Long-Term Suspensions and Expuisions of Students with Disabilities Note - The LEA score is the Rate Ratio which for FFY 2013 had a target of 1.24.

| Note:                   | <u>Note:</u><br>NA   | N N                           | NA<br>NA | 5 8 8<br>5 8 8        |   |   |   |  |
|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Met Target?<br>Yes      |  |                               |          |                       |   |   |   | Note:  |
| Target<br>124           | ies by  Met Tanget?  Yes   | ð ð                           | <b>2</b> | NA Yes                | Met Target?<br>No   | Met Target?<br>Yes  | Met Target?   |  |
| State Retion 0.37       | idents with Disabilit  Target  0.00  | 000                           | 000      | 000                   |   |   |   | Mat Target?  |
| Non-SWD Suspended<br>61 | ensions and Expulsions of Stu<br>Rate Ratio<br>0.00  | 0.00                          | 000      | 0000                  | Target 68.00%   | <u>Tarket</u><br>15.50%   | Target<br>5.00%   | Tarret<br>45 mmc   |
| SWD Suspended > 10 Days | Indicator 4B: Percentage of LEAs with Significant Discrepancy in the Rates of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices  School Year Race SWD Enrolled SWD Suspended > 10 Days Rate Ratio Target MA  2014 Hispanic 353 - 0.00 0.00 |                               | E        |                       | Percent in LRE A<br>51.91%  | e Day Percent in LRE 8 11.56%   | Percent in Separate Setting 8.42%   | Percent With Peers   |
| 13828                   | Frocedures, and Pra<br>Procedures, and Pra<br>SWD Enrolled<br>353  | at -                          | 839      | R · 44                | ler Cless < 21% of Ox<br>Total in LRE A<br>1289   | lar Class >60% of the  Total in LRE B  287  | Ing<br>Total in Separate<br>Setting<br>209  | with Typical Peers  Total With Peers   |
| Enrollment<br>2456      | of LEAs with Signif<br>mpliant Policies, I<br>Race<br>Hispanic   | American<br>Indian<br>African | White    | Haw./P.I.<br>Multiple | o 21 Outside Regu<br>Total<br>2483  | o 21 Outside Regu<br>Total<br>2483  | o 21 Separate Sett<br>Total<br>2483   | 5 Earty Childhood<br><u>Total EC</u>   |
| School Year<br>2014     | Indicator 4B: Percentage of LEAs with Significant Discrepancy in the R.  Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices  School Year Race SWD Enrolled SWD S  2014 Hispanic 353   | 2014                          | 2014     | 2014                  | Indicator SA: LRE Ages 6 to 21 Outside Regular Class < 21% of Day  School Year Total in LRE A  2015 2483 1289 | Indicator 5B: LRE Ages 6 to 21 Outside Regular Class >60% of the Day           School Year         Total         Total in LRE B         P           2015         2483         287 | Indicator SC: LRE Ages 6 to 21 Separate Setting  Iot School Year Iotal  2015 2483 | Indicator 6: LRE Ages 3 to 5 Early Childhood with Typical Peers School Year Ickel EC Total With Peers 2015 324 293 |

| ű  |
|----|
| ş  |
| 夏  |
| ž  |
| \$ |
| ě  |
| 3  |
| 3  |
| 8  |
| Ž  |
| Ě  |
| 3  |
| F  |
| 80 |
| ā  |
| 5  |
| 7  |
| 幸  |
| 姜  |
| Š  |
| 둫  |
| 8  |
| S  |
| á  |
| 3  |
| š  |
| ě. |
| Ę  |
| 퓵  |
| 草  |
| Ę  |
| 8  |
| Ě  |
| S  |
| 8  |
| 10 |
| 3  |
| Ş  |
| P  |
| 35 |
| 8  |
| 2  |
| E  |
| 0  |
| 8  |
| 2  |
| S  |
| 2  |
| S. |
| 5  |
|    |

| Knowledge   Within Age   Expectation   Expectation   Expectation Met Target   50.90%   No | Within Age Especiation Faretain Behavior Within Age Faretain Expectation Met Target? 65.00% No | Social/Emotion al Within Age Expectation Social/Emotional Within Age Tarket Expectation Met Tarket? S5.30% No |       |
|---|--|---|-------|
| Knowledge Within Age Expectation 42.02%   | Behavior Within Age.<br>Especiation<br>56.78%  | Social/Enotional 3 Within Age Expectation 42.24%  |       |
| Knowledge Increased Met.<br>Target?<br>No   | Behavior Increased Met Tanget? No  | Social/Emotional Increased Met Target? No   | NA    |
| Knowledge Increased  Target  89.00%   | Behavior increased Target<br>88,10%  | Social/Emotional<br>Increased Target<br>86.20%  |       |
| Knowledge<br>Increased Rate<br>Growth<br>87.27%   | Behavior<br>Increased Rate<br>Growth<br>82.29%   | Social/Emotional<br>Increased Rate<br>Growth<br>81.00%  | Note: |
| 2015  | 2015   | 2015  |       |

Note: Met Target? Target 87.00% Percent Agree 84.57% Total 188 Disagree 29 Agree 159 Children with Disabilities School Year 2015

Indicator 9 · Percent of LEA's with Disproportional Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Special Education and Related Services That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification

|  | Met Target?                   | Yes   |
|--|-------------------------------|-------|
|  | Larget                        | 9.00% |
| Disproportionate Representation as a Result of | Inappropriate Identification? | <1%   |
|  | School Year                   | 2015  |

Indicator 10 - Percent of LEA's with Disproportional Representation of Radal and Ethnic Groups in Specific Disability Categories That is a Result of Happropriate Identification Disproportionate Representation as a Result of

| Ĭ                             |       |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Met Target?                   | ă     |
| Tarret                        | 0.00% |
| Inappropriate Identification? | <12%  |
| School Year                   | 2015  |

indicator 11 - Percent of Children with Parental Consent to Evaluate Who Were Evaluated and Eligibility Determined Within 45 School Days or 90 Calendar Days, Whichever is Shorter

| Note:                   | NA      |
|-------------------------|---------|
| Met Target?             | Yes     |
| Target                  | 100.00% |
| Percent Within          | %66 <   |
| Not Within<br>Timelines |         |
| Within                  |         |
| School Year             | 2015    |

Indicator 12 - Percent of Children Referred by Part C Prior to Age 3 Who Are Found Eligible for Part B, and Who Have an IEP Developed and Implemented by Their Third Birthday

| Notes   | NA        |
|---|-----------|
| Met Targes?   | Yes       |
| Jareet  | . 100.00% |
| Percent Services by Are 3                                   | %66 <     |
| Number with<br>Services by Age 3                            | 26        |
| Referred.<br>Less Not<br>Electric and<br>Parent<br>Refusels | 17        |
| School Year   | 2015      |

Indicator 13 - Percent of Youth Age 14 and Above With an IEP That Includes Coordinated, Measurable, Annual IEP Goals and Transition Services that Will Reasonably Enable the Student to Meet the Post-Secondary Goals.

| Note:   | NA      | eaving  |
|---|---------|---|
|   |         | hey Left School, and Were:<br>r of Leaving High School, or<br>oyment Within One Year of L   |
| Met Target?   | No      | lad IEPs in Effect at the Time T<br>ely Employed Within One Year<br>sloyed or in Some Other Empl  |
| Tarket  | 100.00% | r in Secondary School, H<br>Education or Competitive<br>m; or Competitively Emp   |
| IEP's IEP's Meeting Percent Meeting Standard sviewed Standard | 92.90%  | s (Results Indicator) – Percent of Youth Who Are No Longer in Secondary School, Had IEPs in Effect at the Time They Left School, and Were:<br>nin One Year of Leaving High School, B. Enrolled in Higher Education or Competitively Employed Within One Year of Leaving High School, or<br>Some Other Post-Secondary Education or Training Program; or Competitively Employed or in Some Other Employment Within One Year of Leavin |
| Standard  | 873     | s Indicator) – Perc<br>ear of Leaving Hig<br>ther Post-Seconda  |
| Reviewed  | 939     | Jutcomes (Resultation Within One Y  |
| School Year   | 2015    | Indicator 14 - Post-School C<br>A. Enrolled in Higher Educat<br>C. Enrolled in Higher Educati   |

High School

| Note   | W                            |                              |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Group A Target Met? Yes                              | Group B Target Met? Yes      | Group C Target Met? No       |
| Group A Target Group A Target Assume Met? 25.00% Yes | Group B Target<br>56.00%     | Group C Target<br>100.00%    |
| Group A Percentage<br>42.19%                         | Group B Percentage<br>65.63% | Group C Percentage<br>70.31% |
| Total Respondents Group A Respondents 64             | Group B Respondents<br>42    | Group C Respondents<br>45    |
| Total Respondents<br>64                              |                              |                              |
| Total<br>Exiters<br>109                              |                              |                              |
| School Year<br>2014                                  |                              |                              |