

# DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 http://education.delaware.gov

Mark A. Holodick, Ed.D. Secretary of Education (302) 735-4000 (302) 739-4654 - fax

May 27, 2022

Reginald Johnson, Ed.D. President Charter School of Wilmington 100 North Dupont Road Wilmington, DE 19807

RE: LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Dr. Johnson:

Thank you for your ongoing dedication and commitment to educating students with disabilities. We encourage you to use the information in this report to celebrate growth and identify opportunities for continued improvement.

Under the IDEA, the Delaware Department of Education (Department) has a responsibility to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance. The State must monitor the implementation of Part B, enforce Part B in accordance with the provisions at 34 CFR 300.604(a)(1), and (a)(3), (b)(2)(i) and (b)(2(v), and (c)(2), and annually report on performance under Part B. The primary focus of the State's monitoring activities must be on:

- Improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and
- Ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements under Part B of the Act, with a particular emphasis on those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities.

For FFY 2020, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following results and compliance indicators:

#### • Results:

<ul><li>Indicator 1</li></ul>	Graduate Rate
<ul><li>Indicator 2</li></ul>	Drop-Out Rate
<ul><li>Indicator 3A</li></ul>	Participation Rate for Children with IEPs in the State Assessment
<ul> <li>Indicator 3B</li> </ul>	Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Grade Level Academic
	Achievement Standards
<ul> <li>Indicator 4A</li> </ul>	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and
	Expulsions of Students with Disabilities
<ul><li>Indicator 5</li></ul>	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
<ul><li>Indicator 7</li></ul>	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills,
	Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate
	Behaviors

\*\*Indicator 3C, Proficiency for Children with IEPs Alternative Academic Achievement Standards and Indicator 6, Preschool Environments will be included in LEA annual determination beginning with FFY 2021 in spring, 2023

May 27, 2022 Charter School of Wilmington FFY 2020 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Page 2

Compliance:

o Indicator 4B Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and

Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and

Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices

o Indicators 9 & 10 Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification

o Indicator 11 Timely Initial Evaluations

o Indicator 12 Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B

o Indicator 13 Transition Planning in the IEP

Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA <u>Needs Assistance</u> in implementing the requirements of the IDEA. As a result, your LEA is required to analyze related data and develop a continuous improvement plan addressing areas identified in your determinations in order to improve outcomes for students with disabilities in your LEA.

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, your LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Your Exceptional Children Resources liaison, Barbara Mazza, will be in contact with *Angeline Rivello*, *Principal and Xuan Bui*, *Special Education Coordinator* to provide technical assistance and discuss possible actions, including the development or revision of a Continuous Improvement Plan. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail maryann.mieczkowski@doe.k12.de.us.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Mieczkowski

Director, Exceptional Children Resources

Mary linn Mieczkowski:

MAM/js Attachment

cc: Mark A. Holodick, Ed.D., Secretary of Education

Monica Minor Gant, Ph.D., Associate Secretary of Academic Support

Angeline Rivello, Principal

Xuan Bui, Special Education Coordinator

Barbara Mazza, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

Leroy Travers, Education Associate, Charter School Office

# IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

### The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

### The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 8.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

LEA: Progress on State Performance Plan Indicators for Students with Disabilities for FFY 2020

## **FFY 2020 LEA Annual Determinations**

FFY 2020 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

#### • Results:

o Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
o Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
<ul><li>Indicator 3A</li></ul>	Participation Rate for Children with IEPs in the State Assessment
o Indicator 3B	Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Grade Level Academic
	Achievement Standards
<ul> <li>Indicator 4A</li> </ul>	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and
	Expulsions of Students with Disabilities
<ul><li>Indicator 5</li></ul>	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
o Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills,
	Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate
	Behaviors

# • Compliance:

Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and
Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and
Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices
Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
Timely Initial Evaluations
Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B
Transition Planning in the IEP

Meets Requirements		≥ 80% (compliance and results combined)	and	LEA may be engaged in a Corrective Action Plan.	and/or	If monitored on-site, LEA is engaged in Prong 1 or Prong 2 corrective action.
Needs Assistance	-	60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in an Intervention Plan.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On-Site Monitoring (beyond 1 year)
Needs Intervention	-	≤ 59% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in a Compliance Agreement.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On-Site Monitoring (beyond 2 years)

# **Spring 2022 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2020**

Charter School of Willington											
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2020	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points					
	ī		1		1						
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	2019-2020	80.62%	80.62%	NA	NA	NA					
	•				•						
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate	2019-2020	8.57%	8.57%	-	1	1					
<u> </u>			l .	l							
Indicator 3A: Participation Rate-ELA											
Grade 4		95.00%	66.96%	NA	NA	NA					
Grade 8	2020-2021	95.00%	51.73%	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA					
High School		95.00%	51.78%	-	0	1					
Indicator 3A: Participation Rate-MATH											
Grade 4		95.00%	66.17%	NA	NA	NA					
Grade 8	2020-2021	95.00%	50.53%	NA NA	NA	NA					
High School		95.00%	51.73%	-	0	1					
Indicator 3B: Proficiency Rate in Regular Assessment-ELA											
		10.20%	12 110/	NA	NIA.	N/A					
Grade 4 Grade 8	2020-2021	19.36% 13.65%	13.11% 9.22%	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA					
High School	2020-2021	13.83%	8.75%	-	0	1					
		13.8370	8.73%	_	0	1					
Indicator 3B: Proficiency Rate in Regular Assessment-MATH											
Grade 4		18.65%	10.61%	NA	NA	NA					
Grade 8	2020-2021	8.37%	3.15%	NA	NA	NA					
High School		7.69%	2.09%	-	0	1					
	1		1	1							
Indicator 4A: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities	2019-2020	40%	0.00%	Under Threshold	1	1					
			•								
Indicator 5A: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are											
Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the		64.54%	64.54%	-	1	1					
Regular Class 80% or More of the Day Indicator 5B: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are											
Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the	2020-2021	15.09%	15.09%	-	1	1					
Regular Class Less Than 40% of the Day	2020-2021										
Indicator 5C: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate											
Schools, Residential Facilities, and in Homebound/Hospital		4.93%	4.93%	-	1	1					
Placements											
Indicator 7A. Early Childhood Outcomes- Positive											
Social/Emotional Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth		86.00%	84.61%	NA	NA	NA					
Percent Within Age Expectation	2020-2021	47.53%	47.03%	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA					
Indicator 7B. Early Childhood Outcomes-Acquisition and Use		50,0				,					
of Knowledge and Skills											
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2020-2021	87.04%	85.24%	NA	NA	NA					
Percent Within Age Expectation		46.12%	43.86%	NA	NA	NA					
Indicator 7C. Early Childhood Outcomes- Use of Appropriate											
Behaviors Percent Increase Rate of Growth		88.31%	85.54%	NA	NA	NA					
Percent Within Age Expectation	2020-2021	59.35%	56.57%	NA	NA	NA					
0- 1	<u>I</u>	, .	/-	1		10					

# **Spring 2022 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2020**

Compliance Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2018	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices.	2019-2020	0.00%	0.00%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation All Disabilities	2020-2021	0.00%	0.00%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation Specific Disabilities	2020-2021	0.00%	2.56%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluations Conducted Within Timeline	2020-2021	100.00%	98.26%	-	1	1
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B	2020-2021	100.00%	95.75%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition	2020-2021	100.00%	98.85%	-	1	1
Determination Summary			Anr	nual Determina	ntion:	
Compliance Indicators Score	5			Needs Assistan		
Possible Points:	5			10003 703131011		
Results Indicators Score	5	Interve	ntion Plan /Cor	mpliance Agreen	nent:	No
Possible Points:	9					
Score Total	10					
Out of a Possible:	14					
Percentage:	71.43%					

<b>Graduation Rate</b>									
	e						Graduated with a	LEA Data <u>% SWD Who</u>	
Indicator 1	School Year	State Target	State Data			Total Students Exited	Regular Diploma	<u>Graduated</u>	Met Target
	2019-2020	80.62%	80.62%			-	-	NA	NA
Note:									
<b>Drop-Out Rate</b>									
Indicator 2	<u>School Year</u>	State Target	<u>State Data</u>			Total Students Exited	<u>Drop-Outs</u>	LEA Data <u>% SWD who Dropped</u> <u>Out</u>	Met Target
	2019-2020	8.57%	8.57%			-	-	-	Yes
Note:									
Participation Ra	ate for Children with	n IEPs - ELA							
		State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3A	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target
	2020-2021	95.00%	66.96%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2020-2021	95.00%	51.73%	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2020-2021	95.00%	51.78%	HS	ELA	-	-	-	No
Note: SY - 2020	0-2021 = FFY 2020								
N/A = LE	A does not have stu	dents in the specified	grade level or the LEA	loes not have students	in the specified grade I	evel eligible to take the asse	essment.		
Participation Ra	ate for Children with		Chaha					LEA Data	
	61 14	State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3A	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	Subject	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target
	2020-2021	95.00%	66.17%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2020-2021	95.00%	50.53%	8	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2020-2021	95.00%	51.73%	HS	MATH	-	-	-	No
	0-2021 = FFY 2020								
N/A = LE	A does not have stu	dents in the specified	grade level or the LEA	loes not have students	in the specified grade I	evel eligible to take the asse	ssment.		
Proficiency Rate	e in Grade Level Aca	demic Achievement St						LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
	School Year	State <u>Target</u>	State <u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Number Tested</u>	Number Meets	% of SWD Meeting Proficiency	
	<u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	State Target 19.36%	<b>State</b> <u><b>Data</b></u> 13.11%	4	ELA	NA	<u>Number Meets</u> NA	% of SWD Meeting <u>Proficiency</u> NA	NA
	<u>School Year</u> 2020-2021 2020-2021	<b>State Target</b> 19.36% 13.65%	<b>State</b> <u><b>Data</b></u> 13.11% 9.22%	4 8	ELA ELA		Number Meets	% of SWD Meeting Proficiency	NA NA
Indicator 3B	School Year 2020-2021 2020-2021 2020-2021	State Target 19.36%	<b>State</b> <u><b>Data</b></u> 13.11%	4	ELA	NA	<u>Number Meets</u> NA	% of SWD Meeting <u>Proficiency</u> NA	NA
Indicator 3B  Note: SY - 2020	School Year 2020-2021 2020-2021 2020-2021 0-2021 = FFY 2020	State Target 19.36% 13.65% 13.83%	State <u>Data</u> 13.11% 9.22% 8.75%	4 8 HS	ELA ELA ELA	NA NA -	Number Meets NA NA -	% of SWD Meeting <u>Proficiency</u> NA	NA NA
Indicator 3B  Note: SY - 2020	School Year 2020-2021 2020-2021 2020-2021 0-2021 = FFY 2020	State Target 19.36% 13.65% 13.83%	State <u>Data</u> 13.11% 9.22% 8.75%	4 8 HS	ELA ELA ELA	NA	Number Meets NA NA -	% of SWD Meeting <u>Proficiency</u> NA	NA NA
Indicator 3B  Note: SY - 2020 N/A = LEA	School Year 2020-2021 2020-2021 2020-2021 20-2021 = FFY 2020 A does not have stu	State Target 19.36% 13.65% 13.83%	State	4 8 HS	ELA ELA ELA	NA NA -	Number Meets NA NA -	% of SWD Meeting Proficiency NA NA -	NA NA
Indicator 3B  Note: SY - 2020 N/A = LEA	School Year 2020-2021 2020-2021 2020-2021 2020-2021 20-2021 = FFY 2020 A does not have sture in Grade Level Aca	State	State	4 8 HS	ELA ELA ELA	NA NA -	Number Meets NA NA -	% of SWD Meeting Proficiency NA NA -	NA NA
<u>Indicator 3B</u> Note: SY - 2020  N/A = LEA	School Year 2020-2021 2020-2021 2020-2021 20-2021 = FFY 2020 A does not have stu	State Target 19.36% 13.65% 13.83%  dents in the specified a	State Data 13.11% 9.22% 8.75% grade level or the LEA	4 8 HS	ELA ELA ELA in the specified grade I <u>Subject</u>	NA NA -	Number Meets NA NA -	% of SWD Meeting Proficiency NA NA -	NA NA No
Indicator 3B  Note: SY - 2020 N/A = LEA	School Year 2020-2021 2020-2021 2020-2021 2020-2021 20-2021 = FFY 2020 A does not have sture in Grade Level Aca	State Target 19.36% 13.65% 13.83%  dents in the specified of the specified	State  Data 13.11% 9.22% 8.75% grade level or the LEA candards - MATH State	4 8 HS does not have students	ELA ELA ELA in the specified grade I	NA NA - evel eligible to take the asse	Number Meets NA NA - essment.	% of SWD Meeting Proficiency NA NA -  LEA Data % of SWD Meeting	NA NA No
Indicator 3B  Note: SY - 2020 N/A = LEA	School Year 2020-2021 2020-2021 2020-2021 2020-2021 20-2021 = FFY 2020 A does not have sture in Grade Level Aca	State Target 19.36% 13.65% 13.83%  dents in the specified addemic Achievement State Target	State  Data 13.11% 9.22% 8.75%  grade level or the LEA  andards - MATH State Data	4 8 HS does not have students <u>Grade</u>	ELA ELA ELA in the specified grade I <u>Subject</u>	NA NA - evel eligible to take the asse  Number Tested	Number Meets  NA  NA  - essment.	% of SWD Meeting Proficiency NA NA -  LEA Data % of SWD Meeting Proficiency	NA NA No Met Target
Indicator 3B  Note: SY - 2020  N/A = LE  Proficiency Rate	School Year 2020-2021 2020-2021 2020-2021 2020-2021 20-2021 = FFY 2020 A does not have sture e in Grade Level Aca School Year 2020-2021	State Target 19.36% 13.65% 13.83%  dents in the specified addemic Achievement State Target 18.65%	State Data 13.11% 9.22% 8.75% grade level or the LEA candards - MATH State Data 10.61%	4 8 HS does not have students Grade 4	ELA ELA ELA in the specified grade I  Subject MATH	NA NA NA - evel eligible to take the asse  Number Tested NA	Number Meets NA NA - essment.  Number Meets NA	% of SWD Meeting Proficiency NA NA -  LEA Data % of SWD Meeting Proficiency NA	NA NO NO Met Target NA
Indicator 3B  Note: SY - 2020 N/A = LE  Proficiency Rate Indicator 3B	School Year 2020-2021 2020-2021 2020-2021 2020-2021 20-2021 = FFY 2020 A does not have stude in Grade Level Aca School Year 2020-2021 2020-2021	State Target 19.36% 13.65% 13.83%  dents in the specified and the	State	4 8 HS does not have students Grade 4 8	ELA ELA in the specified grade I  Subject MATH MATH	NA NA NA - evel eligible to take the asse  Number Tested NA	Number Meets NA NA - essment.  Number Meets NA	% of SWD Meeting Proficiency NA NA -  LEA Data % of SWD Meeting Proficiency NA	NA NO Met Target: NA NA

Proficiency Rate in the Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - ELA LEA Data										
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting		
Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?	
	2020-2021	25.94%	13.00%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	2020-2021	43.10%	20.00%	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	2020-2021	42.20%	40.45%	HS	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Note: New Inc	dicator 3C for SY-2020	0-2021=FFY 2020 "Me	et Target" is NA for all	FΔs						

N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level or the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment.

Proficiency Rate in the Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - MATH  LEA Data										
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting		
Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?	
	2020-2021	31.95%	39.00%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	2020-2021	21.72%	8.89%	8	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	2020-2021	12.71%	32.18%	HS	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Note: New Inc	dicator 3C for SV-2020	0-2021=FFV 2020 "Me	t Target" is NA for all I	FΔs						

Note: New Indicator 3C for SY-2020-2021=FFY 2020, "Met Target" is NA for all LEAs

N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level or the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment.

Gap in Proficen	cy Rates (Grade Le	vel Academic Achievem	ent Standards) - ELA		LEA Data	LEA Data			
		State	State			% of ALL Meeting	% of SWD Meeting	Proficiency	
Indicator 3D	School Year	Target	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	GAP	Met Target?
	2020-2021	31.72%	23.46%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2020-2021	41.27%	34.90%	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2020-2021	38.15%	40.50%	HS	ELA	-	-	-	NA

Note: New Indicator 3D for SY-2020-2021=FFY 2020, "Met Target" is NA for all LEAs

N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level or the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment.

Gap in Proficen	cy Rates (Grade Leve	el Academic Achievem	nent Standards) - MATH	l		LEA Data	LEA Data		
		State	State			% of ALL Meeting	% of SWD Meeting	Proficiency	
Indicator 3D	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<b>Proficiency</b>	<u>GAP</u>	Met Target?
	2020-2021	33.37%	17.19%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2020-2021	33.35%	20.65%	8	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2020-2021	24.13%	25.54%	HS	MATH	-	-	-	NA

Note: New Indicator 3D for SY-2020-2021=FFY 2020, "Met Target" is NA for all LEAs

N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level or the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment.

				Charter Sch	ool of Wilmington				
Significant Disc	repancy in the Rate	e of Long-Term Suspensi	ion and Explusions	s of Students with Disabilitie	es ·				
Indicator 4A	School Year	State Target	State Data	SWD Enrollment	Non-SWD Enrollment	SWD Suspended > 10  Days	Non-SWD Suspended > 10 Days	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant?
	2019-2020	40.00%	0.00%	-	-	-	-	-	Yes
Note:									
Significant Disc	crepancy in the Rate	e of Long-Term Suspensi	ions and Expulsion	s of Students with Disabilition	es by Race/Ethnicity and	Noncompliant Policies, P SWD Suspended > 10	rocedures, and Practice	s	
Indicator 4B	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Race</u>	SWD Enrolled	Days	Met Target?	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant?
	2019-2020	0.00%	0.00%	Hispanic/Latino	-	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2019-2020	0.00%	0.00%	Native American	-	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2019-2020	0.00%	0.00%	African American	-	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2019-2020	0.00%	0.00%	White	-	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2019-2020	0.00%	0.00%	Asian American	-	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2019-2020	0.00%	0.00%	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	-	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2019-2020	0.00%	0.00%	Multi-Racial	<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>	Yes	-	Yes
Note:									
Percent of Chile	dren With IEPs Age	d 5 Who Are Enrolled in	Kindergarten and	Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside t	he Regular Class 80% or I	More of the Day			
Indicator 5A	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number of SWD	Number of SWD In LRE A	LEA Data % in LRE A	Met Target?
	2020-2021	64.54%	64.54%			-	-	-	Yes
Note: School y	ear 2020-2021 was	used to set new baselin	ies.						
Percent of Chile	dren With IEPs Age	d 5 Who Are Enrolled in	Kindergarten and	Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside t	he Regular Class Less Tha	in 40% of the Day			
	_		·····a gar veri ania				Number of SWD In		
Indicator 5B	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number of SWD	LRE B	LRE Data % in LRE B	Met Target?
	2020-2021	15.09%	15.09%			-	-	-	Yes
Nata.									
Note:									
Percent of Chile	dren With IEPs Age	d 5 Who Are Enrolled in	Kindergarten and	Aged 6 to 21 Served In Sepa	arate Schools, Residential	Facilities, and in Homeb			
Indicator EC	School Voor	State Target	State Data			Number of SMD	Number of SWD	LRE Data	Mot Target2
Indicator 5C	<u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	State Target 4.93%	State Data 4.93%			Number of SWD	<u>In LRE C</u>	<u>% in LRE C</u> -	Met Target? Yes
	2020-2021	4.5570	4.5570						163
Note:									
Dunnahe al Freit	wammanta. Dawart	of Children Acad 3 to 5	Attanding - Decil	u Fouls Childhead Duants	and December the Back of	n, of Capaini Education	ad Dolotod Comices in th	o Dogulov Forth, Childle	ad Duague
		<del>-</del>		r Early Childhood Program a	<del>-</del>	ly of Special Education at		-	<del>-</del>
Indicator 6A	<u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	State Target	State Data	<u>Age</u> 3	Total Number of SWD		<u>Total in 6A</u> NA	Percent Receiving NA	Met Target
	2020-2021	>=26.86%	26.86%	3	NA		NA	NA	NA

NA

NA

NA

NA

NA

NA

>=28.52%

>=35.54%

Note:

28.52%

35.54%

4

5

NA

NA

Preschool foundation   Preschool   Pres					Charter 3	chool of willington	•			
Medicator 58	Preschool Envir	ronments: Percen	nt of Children Aged 3 to 5 Att	ending a Separate	Special Education Cla	ss, Separate School, or Re	sidential Facility			
			<del>-</del>	<del>-</del> -	-		· ·	Total in 6B	Percent Receiving	Met Target
Note:						·	=			
Note:										
Note:     Preschool Environments:   Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Receiving Special Education and Related Services in Home										
Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Receiving Special Education and Related Services in Home Indicator 5C    2072-2071   C-1, 80   1,05%   3			<b>\-41.61</b> /6	41.01/0	3	IVA		NA	INA	NA
Indicator 56   School Year   State Target   State Data   Age   Total Number of SWD   Total in 6C   Percent Company   Max   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   N	Note:									
1	Preschool Envir	ronments: Percen	nt of Children Aged 3 to 5 Rec	eiving Special Edu	cation and Related Ser	vices in Home				
1	Indicator 6C	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Age</u>	Total Number of SWI	<u>D</u>	Total in 6C	Percent Receiving	Met Target
Note:  Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Positive Social/Emotional Skills: Percent Increased Rate of Indicator 78 School Year 2020-2021 Section 1981 S		2020-2021	<=1.8%	1.06%		NA		NA	NA	NA
Note:  Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Positive Social/Emotional Skills: Percent Increased Rate of Indicator 78 School Year 2020-2021 Section 1981 S			<=0.8%	0.29%	4	NA		NA	NA	NA
Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Positive Social/Emotional Skills:    Social/Emotional Skills:			<=0.6%	0.35%	5	NA		NA	NA	NA
TA2: Positive Social/Emotional Skills: Percent Increased Rate of Indicator 7B School Year  Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Within Age School Year  Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills:  Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills:  Percent Increased Rate of Increased R	Note:									
TALE POSITIVE Percent Increased Rate of Froschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills.  Tale Age Expectation State Percent Increased Rate of Froschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills.  Tale Age Expectation State Percent Increased Rate of Froschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills.  Tale Acquisition and Use of Knowle	Preschool Outc	comes: Percent of	Preschool Students Aged 3 t	o 5 Who Demonst	rate Improved Skills in	Positive Social/Emotiona	al Skills			
TALE POSITIVE Percent Increased Rate of Froschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills.  Tale Age Expectation State Percent Increased Rate of Froschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills.  Tale Age Expectation State Percent Increased Rate of Froschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills.  Tale Acquisition and Use of Knowle							7A2: Positive			
Social/Emotional Skills: Percent Increased Rate of Indicator 7A School Year 2020-2021 86.00% 84.61% NA			7A1: Positive							
Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target   State Data   LEA Data   NA   NA   NA   A   A   A   A   A   A										
Indicator 7A School Year 2020-2021 86.00% 84.61% NA NA NA NA 47.33% 47.03% NA										
Note:  Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills  TB1: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills:  TB1: Acquisition and Use of Appropriate Appropria	Indicator 7A	School Voor		State Data	LEA Data	Mot Torgot		State Data	LEA Data	Mot Target
Note:  Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills:    TB1: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Within Age Expectation State.   Skills: Percent Within Age Expectation State.   Target State Data State Data State Data NA	Indicator /A									
Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills:    TB2: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Within Age Expectation State Indicator 78   School Year   Growth State Target   State Data   LEA Data   NA   NA   S9.35%   S6.57%   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   S9.35%   S6.57%   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   N		2020-2021	86.00%	84.61%	NA	NA	47.53%	47.03%	NA	NA
TB1: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills:    Nowledge and Skills:   Percent Increased Rate of	Note:									
Table   Tabl	Preschool Outc	omes: Percent of	Preschool Students Aged 3 t	o 5 Who Demonst	rate Improved Skills in	Acquisition and Use of K	nowledge and Skills			
Table   Tabl										
Table   Tabl							7P2: Acquisition and			
Knowledge and Skills:   Percent Within   Percent Increased Rate of   School Year   Growth State Target   State Data   LEA Data   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   N			701. Association and the of							
Indicator 7B   School Year   2020-2021   87.04%   85.24%   NA   NA   NA   46.12%   State Data   LEA Data   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   N		4								
Indicator 7B School Year 2020-2021 87.04% 85.24% NA NA NA 46.12% State Data LEA Data Met Target 2020-2021 87.04% 85.24% NA NA NA 46.12% 43.86% NA										
Note:  Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors    TC1: Use of Appropriate   Behaviors: Percent   Behaviors: Percent   Increased Rate of Growth   Increased Rate of Growth   State Data   LEA Data   Met Target   State Target   State Target   State Data   LEA Data   NA							Age Expectation State			
Note:  Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors    TC1: Use of Appropriate   Selaviors: Percent   Behaviors: Percent   Behaviors: Percent   Behaviors: Percent   Within Age Expectation   Mithin Age Expectation   LEA Data   Met Target   State Target   State Data   LEA Data   Met Target   State Target   State Data   LEA Data   Met Target   State Target   State Data   Selaviors: Percent   Selaviors: Percent   Mithin Age Expectation   Selaviors: Percent   Mithin Age Expectation   Selaviors: Percent   Met Target   State Target   State Data   LEA Data   Met Target   Selaviors: Percent   Selaviors: Percent   Met Target   Selaviors: Per	Indicator 7B	School Year	Growth State Target	State Data		Met Target		State Data	LEA Data	Met Target
Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors    TC1: Use of Appropriate   TC2: Use of Appropriate		2020-2021	87.04%	85.24%	NA	NA	46.12%	43.86%	NA	NA
Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors    TC1: Use of Appropriate   TC2: Use of Appropriate	Note:									
7C1: Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth Indicator 7C School Year 2020-2021 88.31% State Data State Data NA										
Behaviors: Percent   Increased Rate of Growth   Undicator 7C   School Year   State Target   State Data   LEA Data   NA   NA   S9.35%   S6.57%   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   N	Preschool Outc	omes: Percent of	Preschool Students Aged 3 t	o 5 Who Demonst	rate Improved Skills in	Use of Appropriate Beha	viors			
Behaviors: Percent   Increased Rate of Growth   Undicator 7C   School Year   State Target   State Data   LEA Data   NA   NA   S9.35%   S6.57%   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   N										
Behaviors: Percent   Increased Rate of Growth   Undicator 7C   School Year   State Target   State Data   LEA Data   NA   NA   S9.35%   S6.57%   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   N			7C1: Use of American				7C2: Hea of Annuanciate			
Indicator 7C School Year State Target State Data LEA Data NA NA S9.35% S6.57% NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA S9.35% S6.57% NA										
Indicator 7CSchool YearState TargetState DataLEA DataMet TargetState TargetState DataLEA DataMet Target2020-202188.31%85.54%NANA59.35%56.57%NANA										
2020-2021 88.31% 85.54% NA NA 59.35% 56.57% NA NA										
	Indicator 7C					<u> </u>				
Note:		2020-2021	88.31%	85.54%	NA	NA	59.35%	56.57%	NA	NA
Note:										
	Note:									

				Charter School of Wilmington				
Percent of Parer	nts with a Child Rece	iving Special Educatio	n Services Who Report Th	at School Facilitated Parent Involvement as a	Means of Improving Se	ervices and Results for Ch	ildren with Disabilities	
Indicator 8 Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	State Target 90.00%	<b>State</b> <u><b>Data</b></u> 94.07%	Total Number of <u>Respondents</u> -	<u>Number Agree</u> -	<u>Number Disagree</u> -	LEA Data <u>% Agree</u> -	Met Target? Yes
Disproportionat	te Representation of	Racial and Ethnic Gro	oups in Special Education a	and Related Services That is a Result of Inapp	ropriate Identification			
Indicator 9	<u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	State Target 0.00%	State Data 0.00%	<b>,</b>		<u>LEA Data Compliant</u> Yes		Met Target? Yes
Note: State dat	a reflects % of distric	cts with Disproportior	nate Representation as a r	esult of inappropriate identification.				
Disproportionat	te Representation of	Racial and Ethnic Gro	oups in Specific Disability (	Categories That is a Result of Inappropriate Ic	dentification			
	•							
Indicator 10	School Year 2020-2021	State Target 0.00%	<u>State Data</u> 2.56%			LEA Data Compliant Yes		Met Target? Yes
Note: State dat	a reflects % of distric	cts with Disproportion	nate Representation as a r	esult of inappropriate identification.				
<b>Evaluations Con</b>	ducted Within 45 Sc	hool Days or 90 Calen	dar Days, Whichever is Le	ss, of Receiving Parent Consent for Initial Eva	luation			
Indicator 11 Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	State Target 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 98.26%	<u>Total Number of Initial</u> <u>Evaluations</u> -	Number Within Timelines -	Number Not Within Timelines -	% LEA Data Within Timelines -	Met Target? Yes
Early Childhood	Transitions: Percent	of Children Referred	by Part C Prior to Age 3 W	ho Are Found Eligible for Part B, and				
Who Have an IE	P Developed and Im	plemented by Their Tl	nird Birthday					
				Number of Children Found Eligible/IEP	Number of Student	s Referred Minus Not	LEA Data % Who Received Services by	
Indicator 12	<u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	State Target 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 95.75%	Implemented by Age 3 NA	Eligible and/or	Parent Refusals	Age 3	Met Target? NA
Note:								

Note:



# **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 http://education.delaware.gov

Mark A. Holodick, Ed.D. Secretary of Education (302) 735-4000 (302) 739-4654 - fax

## FFY 2020 IDEA LEA Annual Determination Business Rules

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
1 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.	Number of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to graduating with a regular high school diploma Divided by the number of all youth with IEPs who left high school (ages 14-21) in the denominator.	N/A - LEA did not have any graduates.
2 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.	Number of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to dropping out Divided by the number of all youth with IEPs who left high school (ages 14-21) in the denominator.	NA = LEA did not have students ages 14-21.
3A (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments:  Participation rate for children with IEPs.	Number of children with IEPs participating in an assessment Divided by the total number of children with IEPs enrolled during the testing window. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school.  Note: The participation rate is based on all children with IEPs, including both children with IEPs enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment
3B (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments:  • Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Number of children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards Divided by the total number of children with IEPs who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the regular assessment. Calculate separately for math and reading. Account for ALL children with IEPs, in grades 4, 8, and high school.  Note: Include children not participating in assessments and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment
3C (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments:  • Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level, modified and alternate academic achievement standards.	Number of children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against alternate academic achievement standards Divided by the total number of children with IEPs who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the alternate assessment. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school.  Note: The proficiency rate includes both children with IEPs enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment  Note: New Indicator 3C for SY 2020-2021 = FFY 2020. For FFY 2020, "Met Target" is NA for all LEAs.

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
3D (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on statewide assessments:  Gap in proficiency rates for children with IEPs and for all students against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards Subtracted from the proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school.  Note: The proficiency rate includes all children enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment  * = Percent of students with disabilities meeting proficiency was greater than the percent of ALL meeting proficiency resulting in a negative proficiency gap.  Note: New Indicator 3D for SY 2020-2021 = FFY 2020. For FFY 2020, "Met Target" is NA for all LEAs.
4A (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))	Percent of districts that have a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs.	State Determination:  Number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size Divided by The number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size and exceeded the state bar (rate-ratio).  LEA Determination: Number of SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by Number of General Ed Students Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days  • An LEA meets target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell.  • An LEA meets the target because they did not exceed rate ratio.  • An LEA does not meet the target if they exceed state established "N" size and the state bar (rate ratio).  Note: For FFY 2020: Cell Size = 15/Rate Ratio = 2.0 State bar for data reported is a rate ratio of 2.0 for 3 consecutive years or has a cell size of 5 and a rate ratio of 5.0	

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
4B (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))	Percent of districts that have:  (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and  (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	State Determination: Number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size Divided by The number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size and exceeded the state bar (rate-ratio).  LEA Determination: Number of (race/ethnicity) SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by Number of (race/ethnicity)SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by General Ed Students Suspended > than 10 days Divided by General Ed Students in LEA  An LEA meets the target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell. An LEA meets the target if they exceed the rate ratio but are in compliance. An LEA meets the target if they do not exceed rate ratio. An LEA does not meet the target if they exceed the state established "N" size and the state bar (rate ratio) and was found to be noncompliant.  Note: For FFY 2020: Cell Size = 10/Rate Ratio = 2.0 State bar for data reported is a rate ratio of 2.0 for 3 consecutive years or has a cell size of 5 and a rate ratio of 5.0	•
5 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	Percent of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 served:  A. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day  B. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day  C. In separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements.	<ul> <li>A. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 served inside the regular class 80% or more of the day Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 with IEPs times 100.</li> <li>B. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 served inside the regular class less than 40% of the day Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 with IEPs)] times 100.</li> <li>C. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 served in separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 with IEPs times 100.</li> </ul>	

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
6 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	Percent of children with IEPs aged 3, 4, and 5 who are enrolled in a preschool program attending a: A. Regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program B. Separate special education class, separate school or residential facility. Receiving special education and related services in the home.	<ul> <li>A. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program)  Divided by  the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100.</li> <li>B. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility Divided by  the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100.</li> <li>C. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs receiving special education and related services in the home  Divided by  the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100.</li> </ul>	NA - LEA did not have students ages 3-5.
7 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy) Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Of those preschool children who entered or exited the preschool program below age expectations in the Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.  The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	NA - LEA did not have students ages 3-5 in a preschool program.
8 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Number of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities Divided by Total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities. Indicator data is documented out to two decimal points. Meets or does not meet target is based on the percentage out to two decimal points without rounding.  If a respondent indicated unsure or N/A or if the item was left blank, that respondent was removed from the total number of respondents (denominator) and was not counted in LEA's Data % Agree.	NA - Data were not reported for the LEA.

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
9 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(C))	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.	State Determination Number of LEAs with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services Divided by representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification  LEA Determination LEA Compliant:  LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions:  LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services  LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of one of the conditions:  LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  Or  LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services  LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions, however Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification:  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services  LEA Noncompliant:  LEA Noncompliant:  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet or exceed	State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
10 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(C))	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.	State Determination  Number of LEAs that meet the State-established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups, with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification  Divided by  Number of LEAs that meet with State-established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups times 100.  LEA Determination  LEA Compliant:  LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions:  LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50  LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories.  LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of one of the conditions:  LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50  Or  LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories.  LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions, however Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification:  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50  LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories.  LEA Noncompliant:  LEA Noncompliant:  LEA Noncompliant:  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50  LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories.	State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.
11 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B)) (14 DE Admin Code § 925.2.0)	Percent of children who were evaluated within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is less, of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.	Number of children for whom parental consent to evaluate was received Divided by Number of children whose evaluations were completed within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is less  Evaluation met the exception (a) criteria (the parent of the child repeatedly fails or refuses to produce the child for the evaluation). The initial evaluation was found to be in compliance.  Evaluation met the exception (b) criteria (the child enrolls in a school of another public agency after the relevant timeframe has begun, and prior to a -determination by the child's previous public agency as to whether the child is a child with a disability. Exception (b) applies only if the subsequent public agency is making sufficient progress to ensure a prompt completion of the evaluation, and the parent and subsequent public agency agree to a specific time when the evaluation will be completed.) The initial evaluation was found to be in compliance.	NA - no initial evaluations were reported.

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
12 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	<ul> <li>A. Number of children who have been served in Part C and referred to Part B for Part B eligibility determination</li> <li>B. Number of those referred determined to be NOT eligible and whose eligibility was determined prior to third birthday</li> <li>C. Number of those found eligible who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays</li> <li>D. Number for whom parent refusals to provide consent caused delays in evaluation or initial services or to whom exceptions under 34 CFR §300.301(d) applied</li> <li>E. Number of children who were referred to Part C and determined eligible for Part C less than 90 days before their third birthdays</li> <li>[c/(a-b-d-e)]x100</li> </ul>	NA - LEA did not have students transitioning from Part C to Part B.
13 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an ageappropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority.	Number of youth in grade 8 or aged 14 (and above) with IEPs that contain each of the required components for secondary transition Divided by  Number of youth with IEPs in grade 8 or aged 14 (and above)	NA - LEA did not have students of transition age in grade 8 or ages 14 and above.  N/A - LEA was not required to report data for this reporting period.

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
14 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:  • Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.  • Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.  • Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	<ul> <li>A. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school Divided by the number or respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school</li> <li>B. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school</li> <li>C. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.</li> </ul>	NA - LEA did not have students with IEPs exiting secondary education.