

## APPENDIX F-4: DELAWARE COMMUNICATION PORTFOLIO SUMMARY PARTICIPATION GUIDELINES



## Appendix F-4: Delaware Communication Portfolio Summary Participation Guidelines 2017-2018

Student Name:	Date of Birth: Sch	pol: IEP Meeting Date:
	ired criteria of the Delaware Communication	eria, the IEP team should document this decision on the student's current IEP and sign Portfolio Summary (DCPS) will participate in the DeSSA-Alternate assessment, DLM, or
Standards as their peers; however, they are	e working on these standards in less complex	·
NOTE: If a decision is made to participate in assessment for the remainder of the schoo		est window, the student will continue to participate in the DeSSA-Alt or the general
Participation Criteria	Participation Criteria Descriptors	Sources of Evidence (check if used)
Criterion #1:  Meets Criteria for the DeSSA-Alt  ☐ Student meets criteria  ☐ Student does not meet criteria	IEP team agrees that the student meets all criteria to participate in the DeSSA-Alt	☐ DeSSA-Alt Participation Criteria have been reviewed and discussed with the IEP Team.  Date completed
Criterion #2: Complexity of Communication Needs  ☐ Student meets criteria ☐ Student does not meet criteria	The student's selected mode of communication requires interpretation by others, or the student does not currently have a consistent and reliable mode of communication that is understood by others.	Evidence must be shown in at least one area:  Speech/Language Evaluation Results(date)  Speech/Lang Goals (date of latest progress report reviewed)  Needs/Annual Goals section from IEP (date of IEP)  Other (date)
Criterion #3: Student responses  ☐ Student meets criteria ☐ Student does not meet criteria	Student does not appear engaged or does not reliably use a communication system that is understood by others.	Evidence must be shown in at least one area:  Teacher survey/observations (date completed)  Related IEP annual goals/progress updates (date completed)  Psychoeducational evaluation (date completed)  Deaf/blind services report, DVI services report (date completed)  Other (date)



Participation Criteria	Participation Criteria Descriptors	Sources of Evidence (check if used)
Criterion #4:	The student primarily shows response to	Evidence must be shown in at least one area:
Stimuli responses	stimuli that are internal (hungry, sleepy,	☐ Teacher survey/observational data (date completed)
☐ Student meets criteria	uncomfortable, etc.) or related to immediate	☐ Related IEP annual goals/progress updates (date completed)
☐ Student does not meet criteria	environment (specific to activity or an item)	☐ Psychoeducational evaluation (date completed)
		☐ Deaf/blind services report, DVI services report (date completed)
		□ Other (date)
Criterion #5A: Communication	Communication Matrix: The team reviewed	
Matrix	the results and it shows that the student is	Date Matrix completed
☐ YES (Agree)	consistently in lower levels of the matrix.	
☐ NO (Disagree)		Date reviewed
☐ Matrix not yet administered¹		
Criterion #5B:	LCI: Learner Characteristics Inventory has been reviewed and discussed with the IEP	Data I CI commisted
Learner Characteristics Inventory  ☐ YES ☐ NO	Team.	Date LCI completed
L IES LINO	i cani.	Date LCI reviewed
Criterion #6A:	DeSSA-Alt: The results provided limited	
Previous results state assessments	information and the team feels is the results	Date completed
☐ YES (Agree)	are not valid. Student consistently received	
☐ NO (Disagree)	a Performance Level 1.	Date reviewed
☐ ALT1 Not yet administered		For the DeSSA-Alt, the student should have used any AAC (low or high tech) or other
		means of communication that is typical for the student during daily instruction in order
		to determine the results to be considered valid.
L		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Not yet administered only applies to students who are being considered for the first time for the DCPS. If eligible for DCSP, the Communication Matrix must be complete before the administration window opens.



Participation Criteria	Participation Criteria Descriptors	Sources of Evidence (check if used)
Criterion #6B:	DCPS Results: The results have been	
Results of DCPS	reviewed and discussed with the IEP team,	Date reviewed
☐ YES (Agree)	and showed that the student is appropriately	
□ NO (Disagree)	placed in this assessment.	
□Portfolio not yet administered	(Not Applicable until 2017-2018 SY.)	

1. existence of an IEP;	anticipated disruptive behavior;
2. specific disability category or label;	10. anticipated emotional duress;
3. educational placement or instructional setting;	11. student's reading level;
4. English language learner status;	12. administrator decision
5. socio-economic or cultural differences;	13. impact of test score on accountability system; or
6. academic and other services received;	
7. percent of time receiving special education services	14. the expectation that the student will not perform well on the general
8. excessive or extended absences;	assessment



## **IEP team members Statement of Assurance:**

Our decision was based on multiple pieces of evidence that, when taken together, demonstrated that the DCPS is the most appropriate assessment for this student; that his/her academic instruction will be based on the Delaware Content Standards; and that any additional implications of the decision were discussed thoroughly.

My signature below indicates that I agree with the decision forSummary:		to participate in the Delaware Communication Portfolio	
(Parent/guardian(s))	(Date)	(Speech Language Therapist/Pathologist)	(Date
 (Parent/guardian(s))	(Date)	(Other – please specify name and position)	(Date
(Student – if attending)	(Date)	(Other – please specify name and position)	(Date
 (Administrator/designee)	(Date)	(Other – please specify name and position)	(Date
 (Teacher)		(Other – please specify name and position)	(Date

