

# AP DBQ RUBRIC

Name \_\_\_\_\_ DBQ \_\_\_\_\_

## THESIS & ARGUMENT (TWO POINTS)

**POINTS**

1. **THESIS** Presents a thesis that makes a historically defensible claim and responds to all parts of the question (does more than re-state).

*Should be located in the introduction.*

2. **ARGUMENT** Develops and supports a cohesive argument that recognizes and accounts for historical complexity by explicitly illustrating relationships among historical evidence such as contradiction, corroboration, and/or qualification.

## DOCUMENT ANALYSIS (TWO POINTS)

	Used	HIPP (Any) <i>Context, Audience, Purpose, POV</i>
D__		
D__		
D__		
D__		
D__		
D__		

3. **USES** the content of *at least SIX* of the documents to support the stated thesis or a relevant argument

4. **EXPLAINS** the significance of the context, audience, purpose, and/or author's POV, (CAPP) for *at least FOUR* documents.

## EVIDENCE & CONTEXT (TWO POINTS)

5. **CONTEXTUALIZATION** Situates the argument by explaining the broader historical events, developments, or processes immediately relevant to the question. NOTE: *This must be more than a phrase or reference – use multiple sentences.*

6. **EVIDENCE BEYOND THE DOCUMENTS** Provides an example or additional piece of specific evidence beyond those found in the documents to support or qualify the argument. *Must be 1) distinct from evidence used to earn other points and 2) more than a mere phrase or reference.*

## SYNTHESIS (ONE POINT)

7. Extends the argument by explaining the connections between the argument and:  
(1) A development in a different historical period, situation, era, or geographical area **OR** (2) A course theme and/or approach to history that is not the focus of the essay (political, social, etc.)

**SCORE:**

**TOTAL POINTS:**

**/7**

## GENERAL COMMENTS

- \_\_\_ Thesis needs to be better organized / More Concise / More clarity
- \_\_\_ Conclusion needs to be better organized / More Concise / More clarity
- \_\_\_ Facts provided are too general in nature
- \_\_\_ Contains imbalanced response to the parts of the question
- \_\_\_ Relies too much on the documents / Not enough outside info
- \_\_\_ Documents need to be used in a more substantial manner
- \_\_\_ Do not use leading clauses to address the documents (As seen in..., Doc X shows that..., etc.)
- \_\_\_ Do not directly quote from the documents
- \_\_\_ Stronger analysis makes this a stronger essay
- \_\_\_ Stronger organization makes this a stronger essay
- \_\_\_ Argument tends to be redundant, needs a more expansive treatment of the topic
- \_\_\_ Do not use "I," "our," "we," "us," "you," etc., statements
- \_\_\_ Do not use write in colloquial (slang) or casual style prose
- \_\_\_ Do not use extreme statements (always, ever, never, none, etc.)

Conversion to grades:

7 = 99

6 = 94

5 = 89

4 = 84

3 = 79

2 = 74

1 = 69

0 = 60