



RFP DOE 2022-04 Appendix B – SCOPE OF WORK

Delaware 21st Century Community Learning Centers 2022 - 2023 Subgrant Application Cohort 20

Program Title

School Partner Lead Person Title LEA Name Address Phone Number Email **Community Partner(s) Lead Person** Title **Partner Agency Name** Address Phone Number Email

Table of Contents

Total of 204 points

| Section I Executive Summary | 3 points |
|---|------------------|
| Section 2 Application Information Basic Information Center Information Center Operation Priorities | 0 points |
| Section 3 Collaboration and Partnerships Collaboration and Partnership Questions Collaboration and Partnerships Responsibility Checklist Collaboration and Partnerships Form Attachment: | 12 points |
| Memorandum(a) of Understanding (MOU) | 3 points |
| Section 4: Program Management Agency Background and Capacity Management and Organizational Structure Program Communication Personnel Participant Recruitment, Enrollment, Attendance, Behavior and Discipline Policies Equitable Access and Transportation Non-Public Schools Office of Childcare Licensing Attachment: | 39 points or, |
| Nonpublic School Intent to Participate Letter(s) | 0 points |

| Section 5 Program Design Needs Assessment Goals, Objectives, and Strategies – Goal #1 Goals, Objectives, and Strategies – Goal #2 Goals, Objectives, and Strategies – Goal #3 | 63 points |
|---|--|
| Section 6 Program Delivery Youth Development Practices School Year Program Schedule Summer Program Schedule | 45 points |
| Section 7 Evaluation Plan | 15 points |
| Section 8 Fiscal Management, Budget, and Sustainability DDOE Sub-subgrant Application Form DDOE FFATA Data Collection Form Attachment: Budget Pages | 12 points 0 points 0 points 12 points |
| Section 9 Assurances, Certifications, and Signatures | 0 points |

Introduction

Overview

The purpose of the 21st Century Community Learning Centers (21st CCLC) program is to establish or expand community learning centers that provide students with enrichment opportunities during those times school is not in session. 21st CCLC centers can be located in elementary or secondary schools or other similarly accessible community facilities. 21st CCLC must provide a range of high quality services to support student learning and development. These services may include tutoring and mentoring, homework help, academic enrichment, music, arts, sports, cultural activities, and community service opportunities. At the same time 21st CCLC centers must serve the families of participating students through family literacy programs.

Authorized under Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended Title IV Part B, the program's specific purposes are to:

- Provide opportunities for academic enrichment, including providing tutorial services to help students, particularly students who attend low performing schools, to meet state and local student achievement standards in core academic subjects, such as reading and mathematics.
- Offer students a broad array of additional services, programs and activities such as youth development activities, drug and violence prevention programs, counseling programs, art, music, recreation, technology education program and character education programs that are designed to reinforce and complement the regular academic program of participating students.
- Offer opportunities for literacy and related educational development to families of participating students.

Eligible entities must collaborate with Local Education Agencies (LEAs) when applying for funds. It should also be noted that this subgrant is intended to be written in collaboration with partners. Partnering schools and other agencies are to be included in the implementation discussion.

Eligible Applicants

This competition is open to local school systems, interagency, interdepartmental, community and faith-based or other private or public organizations, or a consortium of two or more of the aforementioned, proposing to provide services to students, and families of students, who primarily attend schools eligible for Title I schoolwide programs or schools that serve a high percentage of students from low-income families. The list of eligible public schools can be found on the 21st CCLC website.

Subgrant Awards

Subgrant awards are made for up to five consecutive years; however, the continuation of the awards beyond the first year are contingent upon satisfactory progress toward achieving goals and objectives and availability of federal funds. Federal requirements mandate a minimum subgrant contract award of \$50,000 annually. All application proposals must contain a strong justification for the amount of funds being requested and must show the costs are reasonable and necessary to carry out the program's purposes. The Delaware Department of Education reserves the right to negotiate subgrant award amounts with all sub-grantees. 21st CCLC funds may supplement but NOT SUPPLANT existing funding or programs.

In Delaware, there is no renewability of 21st CCLC awards past the five years. Previous subgrantees are encouraged to reapply through the annual cohort competition process.

The amount of subgrant award will be based on projected average daily attendance figures and the tables below:

| Subgra | nt F | Projected Average Daily Attendance |
|---------|------|------------------------------------|
| Reque | st | |
| \$240,0 | 00 | 75 – 99 students |
| \$320,0 | 00 | 100 – 124 students |
| \$400,0 | 00 | 125+ students |

Full Year programs (Summer and School Year), minimum 150 sessions, 360 hours:

Any subsequent years of the subgrant award will be based on actual average daily attendance figures. This may alter or terminate the amount of the subgrant award. The funding table may change from year-to-year, based on available funds provided to the state.

Measures of Effectiveness

The U.S. Department of Education requires the following programming principles are utilized by all 21st CCLC sites. Therefore, the Delaware Department of Education's 21st CCLC Application has been designed to include processes that implement the following guidelines:

- provides an assessment of objective data about community needs for the activity;
- uses performance measures established by the local district/school/community;
- uses scientifically-based research that provides evidence that the program or activity will be effective or innovative with the likelihood of success; and
- provides periodic evaluation of the results used to improve the program or activity.

Ideally, each program or activity shall:

- be based upon an assessment of objective data regarding the need for before and after school (or summer recess) programs and activities in the schools and communities;
- be based upon an established set of performance measures aimed at ensuring the availability of highquality academic enrichment opportunities;
- if appropriate, be based upon evidence-based research that the program or activity will help students meet the State academic standards;
- ensure that measures of student success align with the regular academic program of the school and the academic needs of participating students and include performance indicators and measures; and
- collect the data necessary for the measures of student success.

High Quality Program Characteristics

Researchers and afterschool practitioners have found that effective programs combine academic, enrichment, cultural, and recreational activities to guide learning and engage youth. They have demonstrated that programs designed to meet the particular needs of the communities they serve are the most effective. According to the U.S. Department of Education publication *Working for Children and Families: Safe and Smart After School Programs*, nine components are generally present in high quality afterschool programs. These include:

• Goal setting and strong management;

- Quality afterschool staffing-volunteers and regular staff;
- Low staff/student ratios;
- Attention to safety, health and nutrition issues;
- Effective partnerships with community-based organizations, juvenile justice agencies, law enforcement and youth groups;
- Strong involvement of families in program development, implementation and improvement;
- Coordinated learning with the regular school day;
- Linkages between school-day teachers and afterschool personnel; and
- Regular evaluation of progress and effectiveness for program improvement.

Allowable Activities

Each applicant that receives an award may use the funds to carry out a broad array of high quality before and after school activities (or activities during other times when school is not in session) that advance student academic achievement and support student success, including:

- academic enrichment learning programs, mentoring programs, remedial education activities, and tutoring services, that are aligned with academic standards and curricula that are designed to improve student academic achievement;
- well-rounded education activities, including such activities that enable students to be eligible for credit recovery or attainment;
- literacy education programs, including financial literacy programs and environmental literacy programs;
- programs that support a healthy and active lifestyle, including nutritional education and regular, structured physical activity programs;
- services for individuals with disabilities;
- programs that provide afterschool activities for students who are English learners that emphasize language skills and academic achievement;
- cultural programs;
- telecommunications and technology education programs;
- expanded library service hours;
- parenting skills programs that promote parental involvement and family literacy;
- programs that provide assistance to students who have been truant, suspended, or expelled to allow the students to improve their academic achievement;
- drug and violence prevention programs and counseling programs;
- programs that build skills in STEM science, technology, engineering, and mathematics, including computer science, and that foster innovation in learning by supporting nontraditional STEM education teaching methods; and
- programs that partner with in-demand fields of the local workforce or build career competencies and career readiness

Reports

Subgrantees will be required to submit the following:

- Start-up report 45 days after the subgrant award date;
- Annual expenditure report 45 days after the end of the project budget period. A final report of expenditures will be required within 45 days of the subgrant ending date each year. If the subgrantee is not part of the First State Financial (FSF), Quarterly Financial Reports must also be submitted.
- Annual federal performance report entered in the Federal database system.
- Annual Evaluation Report included with each year 21st CCLC Continuation Plan. A final Evaluation Report will be required within 45 days of the subgrant ending date each year.
- Report any circumstance that may jeopardize the continued operation of the program, including financial difficulty. In the event that the subgrantee is unable to continue operation of the program any property purchased with 21st CCLC funds shall be returned to DDOE.

Application Process

To be considered, all applications must be submitted in writing and respond to the items outlined in the RFP. The State reserves the right to reject any non-responsive or non-conforming applications. Each application must be submitted with two (2) paper copies and two (2) electronic copies on CD or DVD media disk, or USB memory drive.

Applications submitted in response to this RFP should be prepared and submitted in accordance with the following guidelines:

- Typewritten;
- Twelve-point font, using an easy-to-read font such as Arial, Times New Roman, etc.;
- Charts and graphs may be single spaced and use no smaller than 10-point type;
- Do not attach additional pages or information not requested in the application;
- Stapled (do not use binders or folders when submitting application).

All properly sealed and marked applications are to be sent to DDOE and received no later than **2:00 PM** (Local Time) on **April 13, 2022**. The applications may be delivered by Express Delivery (e.g., FedEx, UPS, etc.), US Mail, or by hand to:

Erika Martine-Duquette Education Associate, Contracting & Procurement Delaware Department of Education Operations Support, Finance Office, Rm. 281 401 Federal Street, Suite #2 Dover, DE 19901-3639

Vendors are directed to clearly print "**<u>BID ENCLOSED</u>**" and "**<u>CONTRACT NO. DOE 2022-04</u>**" on the outside of the bid submission package.

Any proposal received after the Deadline for Receipt of Proposals date shall not be considered and shall be returned unopened. The proposing vendor bears the risk of delays in delivery and any costs for returned proposals. The contents of any proposal shall not be disclosed as to be made available to competing entities during the negotiation process.

Proposals received after the specified date and time will not be accepted or considered.

Where to Obtain Assistance:

All requests, questions, or other communications about this RFP shall be made in writing to the State of Delaware. Address all communications to the person listed below; communications made to other State of Delaware personnel or attempting to ask questions by phone or in person will not be allowed or recognized as valid and may disqualify the vendor. Vendors should rely only on written statements issued by the RFP designated contact.

Erika Martine-Duquette DE Department of Education, Finance Office 401 Federal Street, Ste 2 Dover, DE 19901 Email: Erika.Martine-Duquette@doe.k12.de.us

To ensure that written requests are received and answered in a timely manner, electronic mail (e-mail) correspondence is acceptable, but other forms of delivery, such as postal and courier services can also be used. The 21st CCLC subgrant application and budget workbook are available in electronic format on the Department of Education's 21st CCLC website.

Awards Final

Decisions regarding applications selected for award are final.

Application Review Process

The review of applications will be a two-part process:

Pre-review

- Applications shall be submitted by the required deadline. Late applications or faxed applications **will not** be reviewed. Written applications shall be pre-screened for submission requirements and inclusion of all required sections. Applications not meeting all submission requirements **will not** be reviewed.
- Applications will be screened for completeness and adherence to application and program requirements.

Review

Eligible applications will then be reviewed and scored by peer reviewers using the 21st CCLC scoring rubric included in the application package. Prospective applications must have a minimum of 191 points (after the multiplier for summer and school-year only programs). Statistical analysis of peer review scores will be completed and successful applications are determined based on score ranking and available funds.

The peer reviewers will be comprised of persons with experience in such areas as out-of-school programs, reading/language arts, mathematics, and strategies to improve the success of at-risk students or school improvement.

Peer reviewers will attend a training session prior to reviewing the applications. Persons involved in the development of an application or associated with a district or agency submitting an application will not serve as reviewers.

Non-Discrimination Statement:

The Delaware Department of Education is an equal opportunity employer. It does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status, disability, age, genetic information, or veteran's status in employment, or its programs and activities. Inquiries regarding nondiscrimination policies should be directed to: Human Resource Officer; Human Resource Office; Delaware Department of Education; 401 Federal Street, Suite 2; Dover, DE 19901. (302) 735-4030 doehr@doe.k12.de.us

Scoring Sheet

| Application #: | Requested Funding: | <u>\$</u> |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Applicant Agency: | | |
| School(s): | | |
| | | |

If any of the below sections are missing and /or the participant's feeder school has a poverty level lower than 40%, application will not be reviewed.

| Overall Scoring Chart | | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Project Sections | Maximum Points | Reviewer Score | | | |
| Section 1: Executive Summary | 3 | | | | |
| Section 3: Collaboration and Partnerships | 12 | | | | |
| Section 4: Program Management | 39 | | | | |
| Section 5: Program Design | 63 | | | | |
| Section 6: Program Delivery | 45 | | | | |
| Section 7: Evaluation Plan | 15 | | | | |
| Section 8: Fiscal Management, Budget, and Sustainability | 12 | | | | |
| Attachment: Memorandum(a) of Understanding (MOU) | 3 | | | | |
| Attachment: Budget Pages | 12 | | | | |
| Total Points | 204 | | | | |

Applicants must have scored a minimum of **170** total points to be considered for funding.

| Competitive Priorities Scoring Chart | Points | Score |
|--|----------|-------|
| The application primarily serves students who attend schools that are eligible | Must | |
| as Title I schoolwide programs (40% or higher poverty level, based on the | have but | 0 |
| Expanded Poverty definition) | 0 points | |
| The application reflects opportunities for families to actively and meaningfully | | |
| engage in their children's education (A component of this may include family | 20 | |
| member and caregiver literacy programs) | | |
| The application serves students who attend schools identified as "TSI – | | |
| Targeted Support and Improvement Schools" or "CSI – Comprehensive | 20 | |
| Support and Improvement Schools" per Delaware's State Plan under ESSA | | |
| The application is submitted jointly by an LEA receiving funds under Title I AND | 20 | |
| at least one community-based organization | 20 | |
| Middle and/or high school programs for students in grades 7 through 12 | 20 | |
| (Other grades in the middle school configuration may also be included) | 20 | |
| Programs targeted to students in the Appoquinimink, Brandywine, Caesar | | |
| Rodney, Delmar, Indian River, Lake Forest, and/or Smyrna School District's | 15 | |
| geographic areas (to continue to offer geographical diversity to Delaware's 21 st | 15 | |
| CCLC program) | | |
| The application targets populations not currently being served in 21 st CCLC | | |
| before or after school programs either as the sole targeted group or as part of | | |
| the targeted population, including students who may be at risk for academic | 15 | |
| failure, dropping out of school, involvement in criminal or delinquent | | |
| activities, or who lack strong positive role models | | |
| Programs that propose to have a cost per student that is \$2,300 or less | 10 | |
| Programs that propose activities that are not currently accessible or would | Г | |
| expand accessibility to participating students | 5 | |
| Programs that propose to go above the minimum number of weeks | 5 | |
| Programs that propose to go above the minimum number of days per week | 5 | |
| Programs that propose to go above the minimum number of hours per session | 5 | |
| Programs that propose to go above the minimum number of sessions | 5 | |
| Total Points | 145 | |

| Deduction Points for Current or Past Grantee | Points | Score |
|--|--------|-------|
| Site observation citations regarding program quality | -10 | |
| Lack of program sustainability | -10 | |
| Delay in starting the program | -10 | |
| Low enrollment and/or attendance | -10 | |
| Money returned and/or inappropriately spent | -10 | |
| Total Deduction Points | -50 | |

Section I: Executive Summary

3 points

This information will be used to inform the public, including families, caregivers, students, school and program staff, the community and other stakeholders about this 21st CCLC subgrant application.

Briefly describe a high-level overview of the impact of the program, including:

- what this 21st CCLC program does (its mission and vision) for students, families, and the school(s);
- goals, intended outcomes, and any measurable results that show positive results;
- what makes this 21st CCLC program unique, including particular design elements, objectives, activities, and the services this 21st CCLC provides; and
- other notable characteristics of this 21st CCLC program.

This is the 30-second "elevator pitch speech" that one would use to inform potential supporters about this 21st CCLC program. This executive brief should be interesting, memorable, and succinct. It should explain what makes this program unique. It should reflect the enthusiasm and energy the staff and participants share about this 21st CCLC program.

One page limit for this section

| Review Rubric | Poor Fair | | Good | Excellent |
|-------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Review Rubric | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Executive Summary | The Executive Summary does not include key pieces of the program (including location(s) and implementation timeframe) and/or goals and may also describe content not seen elsewhere or in direct conflict with what is in the plan. It is over one page in length. | The Executive Summary includes information on the program's mission, vision, unique characteristics, and goals, but key information is missing. It is not interesting, memorable, or succinct. It is one page or less in length. | The Executive Summary includes all key programmatic pieces (including mission, vision, unique characteristics, goals, etc. It is somewhat interesting, memorable, and succinct. It is one page or less in length. | "Good" criteria and: The Executive Summary paints a clear picture of intended participant outcomes. It is thoroughly interesting, memorable, and succinct. It is one page or less in length. |

Section 2 – Application Information

| Basic Information | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Status Lead Agency and Fiscal Agent (please check) | | | | | |
| School District Private Non-Profit Agency Private For-Profit Agency | | | | | |
| Faith-Based Agency | Other (please of | explain): | | | |
| Lead Agency and Fiscal Agent: | | | | | |
| Address: | | | | | |
| City, State: | | Zip: | | | |
| Telephone Number: | | Fax Number: | | | |
| E-mail Address: | | | | | |
| Federal Employee ID #: | | Child Care License | e # (unless exempt school): | | |
| | | | | | |
| Past Or Current 21 st CCLC Subgrantee | Yes | No | | | |
| Program Director: | | Telephone Numb | er: | | |
| E-mail Address: | | Fax Number: | | | |
| Subgrant Start Date: | Subgrant End Da | ate: Amount Requested: | | | |
| September 1, 2022 | August 31, 2023 | 3 | \$ | | |
| Anticipated 2022 – 2023 School Year E | inrollment: | Anticipated 2023 | Summer Enrollment: | | |
| | | | | | |
| Anticinated Assences Deily 2022 2022 | | | D-: h- 2022 C | | |
| Anticipated Average Daily 2022 – 2023 Attendance: | School Year | Anticipated Average Daily 2023 Summer Attendance: | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total Cost Per Student Per Year: ("Total | | 1 | | | |
| List the school district(s) this program | primarily will | Please check as many as apply: | | | |
| serve: | | Establishment of a new program Expansion of an existing program | | | |
| Check the county(ies) this program pri | marilywill | | | | |
| serve: New Castle Kent | Sussex | Current 21st CCLC Subgrantee | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Activities will primarily serve students and/or their families attending schools with at Yes No | | | | | |
| least a 40% poverty rate Application reflects a partnership between school/school district and community Yes | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| agency Assurances have been signed as required Yes No | | | | | |

| Center Information – | | | 1 | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Center Name (where services are provided) <u>Each Center must</u> <u>serve a minimum</u> <u>of 25 students.</u> | Number of Students Served by Center Site | School Building(s) and District of Target Student Population | Targeted Student Population - Grade Levels and Subgroups | School Building Principal Printed Name and Signature* |
| | Enrollment: | Building: | Grade Levels: | |
| | Average Daily | District: | | |
| | Attendance: | | Subgroups: | |
| | Average Daily Enrollment: | Building: | Grade Levels: | |
| | Average Daily Attendance: | District: | Subgroups: | |
| | Average Daily Enrollment: | Building: | Grade Levels: | |
| | Average Daily Attendance: | District: | Subgroups: | |
| | Average Daily Enrollment: | Building: | Grade Levels: | |
| | Average Daily Attendance: | District: | Subgroups: | |
| | Average Daily Enrollment: | Building: | Grade Levels: | |
| | Average Daily Attendance: | District: | Subgroups: | |
| | | | | |

Section 2: Application Information Center Information – SCHOOL YEAR

*Must have live signature

If space is needed to list more than 5 participating centers/ school, use additional copies of this page


| Center Name (where services are provided) <u>Each Center must</u> <u>serve a minimum</u> of 25 students. | Number of Students Served by Center Site | School Building(s) and District of Target Student Population | Targeted Student Population - Grade Levels and Subgroups | School Building Principal Printed Name and Signature* |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| | Enrollment: | Building: | Grade Levels: | |
| | Average Daily | District: | | |
| | Attendance: | | Subgroups: | |
| | Enrollment: | Building: | Grade Levels: | |
| | Average Daily | District: | | |
| | Attendance: | | Subgroups: | |
| | Average Daily | Building: | Grade Levels: | |
| | Enrollment: | | | |
| | Average Daily | District: | Subgroups: | |
| | Attendance: | | | |
| | Average Daily | Building: | Grade Levels: | |
| | Enrollment: | | 4 | |
| | Average Daily | District: | Subgroups: | |
| | Attendance: | | | |
| | Average Daily | Building: | Grade Levels: | |
| | Enrollment: | | | |
| | Average Daily | District: | Subgroups: | |
| | Attendance: | | | |

Center Information – SUMMER

*Must have live signature

If space is needed to list more than 5 participating centers/ school, use additional copies of this page

Services to be provided: (check all that apply)



Section 2: Application Information Center Operation

| | | | chool Program | ist har | arouidad | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|--|
| (This cannot be a "drop-in" program, transportation must be provided, and there must be a minimum of 2 hours for a before-school program to use 21 st CCLC funds.) | | | | | | |
| Grade Level: | | Juisje | | | | |
| Number of Weeks: | Days per Week: | | Hours/Session: | | Total Hours of Operation: | |
| Number Paid Staff: | Number of Volunte | ers: | Number of Sessions: | | , | |
| | | Afters | chool Program | | | |
| Grade Level: | | | | | | |
| Number of Weeks*: | Days per Week: | | Hours/Session: | | Total Hours of | |
| | (Minimum of 4): | | (Minimum of 2): | | Operation*: | |
| Number Paid Staff: | Number of Volunte | Number of Volunteers: | | Number of Sessions*: | | |
| | | Sum | mer Program | | | |
| Grade Level: | | | | | | |
| Number of Weeks*: | Days per Week | | Hours/Session | | Total Hours of | |
| (<u>Minimum of 4)</u> : | (Minimum of 4): | | (Minimum of 3): | | Operation*: | |
| Number Paid Staff: | Number of Volunte | ers: | Number of Sessions*: | | | |
| | | Week | end Program | | | |
| Grade Level: | | | | | | |
| Number of Weeks: | Days per Week: | Hour | rs/Session: | | Total Hours of | |
| | | | | | Operation: | |
| Number Paid Staff: | Number of | Num | ber of Sessions: | | | |
| | Volunteers: | | | | | |
| | | ٦ | Total | | | |
| Total Number of We | eks*: (<u>Minimum of 37)</u> : | | Grand Total Number | of Ho | urs of Operation*: | |
| (Minimum of 360): | | | | | | |
| Total Number of Sessions*: (Minimum of 150): Total C | | | Total Cost per Sessio | on: | | |

Please describe any times that the program will not be held, except for school holidays. Please justify any late program openings or early program closings that do not align with the school's regular student days of operation:

Section 2: Application Information

Priorities:

| | Name of | Name of | Name of | Name of |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | school | school | school | school |
| Absolute Priorities: | | | | |
| The school building to be served is eligible for Title I | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO |
| schoolwide programs (40% or higher poverty level). | TES NO | TES NO | TES NO | TES NO |
| Competitive Priorities: | | [| | |
| The application primarily serves students who attend | | | | |
| schools that are eligible as Title I schoolwide programs | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO |
| (40% or higher poverty level, based on the Expanded | TES NO | TES NO | TES NO | TES NO |
| Poverty definition) | | | | |
| The application reflects opportunities for families to | | | | |
| actively and meaningfully engage in their children's | | | | |
| education (A component of this may include family | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO |
| member and caregiver literacy programs) | | | | |
| The application serves students who attend schools | | | | |
| identified who have not met AYP or AMOs of the | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO |
| Flexibility Request (pursuant to section 1116 of Title I) | | | | |
| The application is submitted jointly by an LEA receiving | | | | |
| funds under Title I AND at least one community-based | | | | |
| organization | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO |
| | | | | |
| Middle and/or high school programs for students in | | | | |
| grades 7 through 12 (Other grades in the middle school | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO |
| configuration may also be included) | | | | |
| Programs targeted to students in the Appoquinimink, | | | | |
| Brandywine, Caesar Rodney, Delmar, Indian River, Lake | | | | |
| Forest, and/or Smyrna School District's geographic | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO |
| areas (to continue to offer geographical diversity to | | | | |
| Delaware's 21 st CCLC program) | | | | |
| The application targets populations not currently being | | | | |
| served in 21 st CCLC before or after school programs | | | | |
| either as the sole targeted group or as part of the | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO |
| targeted population, including students who may be at | Population | Population | Population | Population |
| risk for academic failure, dropping out of school, | | | | |
| involvement in criminal or delinquent activities, or who | | | | |
| lack strong positive role models | | | | |
| Programs that propose to have a cost per student that | | | | |
| is \$2,300 or less | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO |
| Programs that propose activities that are not currently | | | | |
| accessible or would expand accessibility to participating | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO |
| students | | | | |

| Programs that propose to go above the minimum number of weeks | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Programs that propose to go above the minimum number of days per week | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO |
| Programs that propose to go above the minimum number of hours per session | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO |
| Programs that propose to go above the minimum number of sessions | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO |

Section 3: Partnerships and Collaborations

1. How will the program establish and maintain a partnership between the program staff, the school staff, and the community partner, including aligning curriculum and activities, sharing information (such as academic levels and testing, behavior, and homework topics), and allocating, sharing, and storing space and resources? What data will be required from, used by, and shared between the program and the school?

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|---------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| School Staff Partnerships | The application gives little or no detail about how the program will establish and maintain a partnership between the program staff, the school staff, and the community partner. There is little, if any, detail about the alignment of curriculum and instruction or the sharing of space and resources. The sharing of data may be mentioned but the application is non-specific about data to be shared, by whom, and how it will be used. | The application explains the partnership between the program staff, the school staff, and the community partner. It commits, with some detail, to the alignment of curriculum and instruction as well as how space and resources will be shared through the partnership. The partners have committed to the sharing of specific data but nature of its use is not clear. | The application outlines the nature of the partnership between the program staff, the school staff, and the community partner. The partners have determined how best to align the curriculum and instruction and identified the space and resources to be shared through the partnership. Specific data has been identified to be shared between the program staff, the school staff, and the community partner for the purposes of improving academic outcomes for student participants. | The application clearly details the partnership between the program staff, the school staff, and the community partner, including the process and timeline for continuous engagement in the partnership and explains the sharing of data, space, and resources specific to meeting the program's identified goals and objectives. The application details the specific data to be shared as well as provides a clear understanding for why the selection and sharing of the identified data is meaningful to improving academic outcomes for student participants. |

2. How will the program establish and maintain a partnership with families, including participation in the program, volunteering, serving on advisory boards, and creating a welcoming environment?

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|---------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Review Rublic | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Family Partnerships | The application gives little or no detail about how the program will establish and maintain a partnership with families. There is little, if any, detail about how the program will welcome families to participate in programming or volunteer. | The application explains broadly how the program will reach out to the families of student participants and maintain those partnerships to support improved student outcomes. The program explains, with little detail, how it will establish a welcoming environment for | The application clearly outlines the actions the program will take to establish and maintain partnerships with families. In forming these relationships, the program has detailed how it will engage families to participate in programming | "Good" criteria and: The application explicitly outlines opportunities for families to serve in advisory roles for the program. |

| families to participate in | and support their | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| programming and | participation such that the | |
| volunteer. Though little | program environment is | |
| detail is giving as to specific | welcoming and encourages | |
| family services or volunteer | an ongoing partnership. | |
| opportunities. | | |

3. How will the program establish and maintain a partnership with the community, including community members, community organizations and groups, and community cultural resources?

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Community Partnerships | The application gives little or no detail about how the program will establish and maintain a partnership with the community. There is little, if any, explanation as to the value the identified partnerships will bring to student participants or the program. | The application identifies actions for establishing and maintaining partnerships with the community but provides little explanation as to the value of the identified partnerships will bring to student participants and the program. | The application details how and when the program will act to establish and maintain partnerships with the community. The value of the partnerships established will bring to student participants and the program is clear. | "Good" criteria and: The application includes detail as to how and when the program will evaluate community partnerships to maximize their value to students and program for the purposes of ensuring the program meets its goals and objectives. |

Section 3: Partnerships and Collaborations

Responsibility Checklist – The principal, afterschool program director, and other partners should complete this checklist together.

| Task | Responsibility of the Building Principal | Responsibility of the Afterschool Program Director | Responsibility of Another Partner (identify who) | Shared Responsibility (indicate how) |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| Leads Program Advisory Committee, including recruiting students, | | 、 | | |
| parents/care givers, school staff, program staff, and community members. | | | | |
| Secures space for afterschool activities. | | | | |
| Obtains license from the Office of Childcare Licensing, if applicable. | | | | |
| Informs classroom teachers that their classrooms will be used. (Don't | | | | |
| forget classified staff, particularly custodians.) | | | | |
| Provides supplies and materials for afterschool programs (ex. paper, | | | | |
| copier, books, computers, postage, and laminator). | | | | |
| Handles discipline issues that arise in the afterschool program. | | | | |
| Communicates with parents about content of the afterschool program. | | | | |
| Recruits students for afterschool programs. | | | | |
| Decides which activities will be provided. | | | | |
| Involves school staff in curriculum and activity development. | | | | |
| Hires and supervises afterschool program staff. | | | | |
| Registers/orients participants for afterschool programs. | | | | |
| Communicates with classroom teachers and parents about homework. | | | | |
| Provides professional development for afterschool staff members. | | | | |
| Manages the afterschool budget and submits budget reports to Delaware | | | | |
| Department of Education (DDOE). | | | | |
| Collects fees from students and develops/ raises program funds. | | | | |
| Develops an evaluation framework; collects and analyzes data; reports | | | | |
| evaluation results. | | | | |
| Shares information about the program with the school community, Board | | | | |
| of Education, and general public. | | | | |

| ection 3 - Collaborations and Partnerships | 3 points |
|---|---|
| Collaboration and Partnerships Form | |
| The 21st Century Community Learning Centers subg one school serving a high proportion of low-income member of the partnership and give a brief descript program. Check the appropriate box if the agency w | students and one community-based agency. List each on of what each agency has committed to the |
| Applicant Signature: | Will receive subgrant funds |
| Print Name: | |
| Agency: | |
| Address: | |
| Phone #: | Fax#: |
| Email: | |
| Description of Commitment: | |
| Applicant Signature: | Will receive subgrant funds |
| Print Name: | |
| Agency: | |
| Address: | |
| Phone #: | Fax#: |
| Email: | T uxn. |
| Description of Commitment | |
| | |
| Applicant Signature: | Will receive subgrant funds |
| Print Name: | |
| Agency: | |
| Address: | |
| Phone #: | Fax#: |
| Email: | |
| Description of Commitment | |
| | |
| Applicant Signature: | Will receive subgrant funds |
| Print Name: | |
| Agency: | |
| Address: | |
| Phone #: | Fax#: |
| Email: | |
| Description of Commitment | |
| | |

| Applicant Signature: | Will receive subgrant funds |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Print Name: | |
| Agency: | |
| Address: | |
| Phone #: | Fax#: |
| Email: | |
| Description of Commitment | |
| | |
| | |
| Applicant Signature: | Will receive subgrant funds |
| Print Name: | |
| Agency: | |
| Address: | |
| Phone #: | Fax#: |
| Email: | |
| Description of Commitment | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Applicant Signature: | Will receive subgrant funds |
| Applicant Signature: Print Name: | Will receive subgrant funds |
| | Will receive subgrant funds |
| Print Name: | Will receive subgrant funds |
| Print Name: Agency: | Will receive subgrant funds |
| Print Name: Agency: Address: Phone #: Email: | |
| Print Name: Agency: Address: Phone #: | |
| Print Name: Agency: Address: Phone #: Email: | |
| Print Name: Agency: Address: Phone #: Email: | |
| Print Name: Agency: Address: Phone #: Email: | |
| Print Name: Agency: Address: Phone #: Email: Description of Commitment | Fax#: |
| Print Name: Agency: Address: Phone #: Email: Description of Commitment Applicant Signature: | Fax#: |
| Print Name: Agency: Address: Phone #: Email: Description of Commitment Applicant Signature: Print Name: | Fax#: |
| Print Name: Agency: Address: Phone #: Email: Description of Commitment Applicant Signature: Print Name: Agency: Address: Phone #: | Fax#: |
| Print Name: Agency: Address: Phone #: Email: Description of Commitment Applicant Signature: Print Name: Agency: Address: | Fax#: |
| Print Name: Agency: Address: Phone #: Email: Description of Commitment Applicant Signature: Print Name: Agency: Address: Phone #: | Fax#: |
| Print Name: Agency: Address: Phone #: Email: Description of Commitment Applicant Signature: Print Name: Agency: Address: Phone #: Email: | Fax#: |

| Review Rubric | Poor 0 | Fair | Good | Excellent 3 |
|--|--|------|------|--|
| Responsibility Checklist and Collaborations and Partnerships Form | The Responsibility Checklist and Collaborations and Partnerships Form have not been filled out at all or there are multiple instances of missing information. | | | The Responsibility Checklist and Collaborations and Partnerships Form have been filled out, with little or no missing information. |

Memoranda of Understanding (MOU)

3 points

Memoranda of Understanding (MOU), though not legally binding, should describe clearly the specific commitments of staff, services, facilities, equipment, or resources provided by each partner, including estimating monetary value. The MOU should also document the process for the collection and sharing of required school-related indicators including school attendance and academic achievement.

<u>Attach an MOU for each partner listed in "Section 3 – Partnerships and Collaborations" to this subgrant</u> <u>application.</u>

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Memorandum of Understanding | The MOU is blank or not entirely completed. | Each partner is included in one or more MOU. Each MOU is barely filled out, with descriptions of scope and purpose of the program, duties of the partners, meeting parameters, funding arrangements, and any other considerations, but there may be parts truncated or details missing in one or more areas. | Each partner is included in one or more MOU. Each MOU is filled out, with descriptions of scope and purpose of the program, duties of the partners, meeting parameters, funding arrangements, and any other considerations, but there may be a lack of clarity in one or more areas. | Each partner is included in one or more MOU. Each MOU is filled out in its entirety, with very clear descriptions of scope and purpose of the program, duties of the partners, meeting parameters, funding arrangements, and any other considerations. |

Section 4: Program Management

39 points

Agency Background and Capacity

1. Summarize the lead agency's mission, history, past performance, and promise of success in providing educational and related activities that will complement and enhance the academic achievement and positive student development of the targeted population.

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|------------------|--|---|--|--|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Past Performance | The application gives little or no detail about the lead agency's prior experience, successful or otherwise, in providing educational and related activities that enhance the academic achievement and positive student development. The population served by the lead agency is unclear, nonspecific, or different from the targeted population. | The application demonstrates, with little programmatic detail, the lead agency's prior experience providing educational and related activities to the targeted population. The positive impacts of this programming on student achievement and positive student development, however, is not clearly demonstrated. | The application clearly details the lead agency's prior programmatic experience serving the targeted population and the positive impacts of its work on academic achievement and positive student development. | "Good" criteria and: It is clear how this experience will shape future programming to benefit the target population. |

 Describe the capacity of the lead agency to manage the program successfully, including any past 21st Century Community Learning Center experience. If in the past 21st CCLC subgrant administration there were barriers or problems, address how the program plans to address these for this subgrant.

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|----------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Lead Agency Capacity | The application gives little or no detail about the capacity of the lead agency to manage the program. | The application broadly describes the capacity of the lead agency to manage the program successfully. Little information is given about the agency's prior experience as a 21st Century Community Learning Center or leading a similar program for the targeted population. | The application clearly details the lead agency's capacity to manage the program successfully. Drawing from prior experience implementing a 21st Century Community Learning Center subgrant or a like program, the agency has identified its successes and barriers to meeting its goals. | "Good" criteria and: The application details "lessons learned" from the lead agency's prior experience in implementing a 21st CCLC subgrant or like program and how those lessons have improved its capacity to implement this subgrant if awarded. |

3. Does the lead agency have any audit findings? If so, please describe the situations that caused the audit findings and the documented actions that have cleared these findings. Attach copies of the audit findings and the documented actions that have cleared these findings. (This question is worth all points or no points.)

| Review Rubric | Poor 0 | Fair | Good 2 | Excellent |
|-----------------------------|--|------|---|-----------|
| Audit Findings & Resolution | The lead agency has not responded OR the lead agency has not demonstrated that audit findings have been cleared. | | The lead agency's has demonstrated that all audit findings have been resolved with supporting documentation OR the lead agency had no audit findings. | |

Management and Organizational Structure

4. Describe the management and organizational structure of this 21st CCLC program, including advisory board membership that reflects the representation of all key stakeholders. Provide information about the advisory board's meeting schedule that will allow the program to accomplish its goals and objectives.

| Doviour Dubrie | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|--------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Review Rubric | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Management & | The application provides | The application includes an | The application clearly | "Good" criteria and: The |
| Organizational Structure | little to no detail about the management and organizational structure of this 21st CCLC program. The program does not have an advisory board or the board's membership is not comprised of all key stakeholders including students and families. | outline of the management and organizational structure with roles clearly outlined. The program has identified all key stakeholders, including student and family representatives, to provide representation on its advisory board. | describes, with details that include roles and responsibilities, the management and organizational structure of this 21st CCLC program. Additionally, the application details the role of the advisory committee in decision-making and the key stakeholders, including student and family representatives, to sit on the committee. | application includes the timeline and objectives for the advisory board's meetings such that the meetings will inform the programming and allow the program to accomplish its goals and objectives. |

Program Communication

5. Describe the program's communication goals and how the program will communicate effectively with families, school staff, and the community. Describe the plans to disseminate and market information about the community learning center program (including its location) to appropriate populations, including the community, in a manner that is understandable and accessible.

| Review Rubric | Poor 0 | Fair 1 | Good 2 | Excellent 3 |
|------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Communication Strategy | 0 The applicant's plan for communicating to stakeholders and possible participants is too high-level to be meaningful. The plan does not target all stakeholders and/or the goals of the communication plan are not clear for each group of stakeholders. | 1 The applicant's communication plan targets all the key stakeholders (families, students, daytime and afterschool school staff, and community partners) but the goals of communicating to each group are not explicit. Communication largely appears to be one way. | 2 The applicant's communication plan has clear goals for marketing the program and disseminating information about the community learning center program (including its location) to the appropriate stakeholder groups, including the community in a manner that | 3 "Good" criteria and: The applicant's communication plan includes strategies for two-way communication between the applicant and key stakeholders. The goals for communicating with each stakeholder group includes meaningful targets for engagement as appropriate. |
| | | appears to be one way. | is understandable and accessible. | |

6. Describe how the community was given notice of intent to submit this application and how the community can view the completed subgrant application. (This question is worth all points or no points.)

| Review Rubric | Poor 0 | Fair | Good 2 | Excellent |
|---------------------------------|--|------|--|-----------|
| Intent to Submit Application | The application does not include, or there is little detail about, the applicant's intent to submit its application for a 21st Century Community Learning Center and how the community can view the completed subgrant application. | | The application includes how the community was given notice of the applicant's intent to submit its application for a 21 st Century Community Learning Center and includes how/where the community can view the completed subgrant | |
| | | | application. | |

Personnel

7. Describe the staffing for proposed activities and services, including brief job descriptions of key staff. Describe how highly qualified and effective people will be recruited and selected for these program positions.

| Review Rubric | Poor 0 | Fair 1 | Good 2 | Excellent 3 |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Staffing | The applicant provides little or no detail about how it will recruit select and select highly qualified and effective staff to implement the program. | The applicant describes, at a high-level, how it will recruit and select staff identified as being key to implementing the program. However, the applicant does not provide full job descriptions of key staff for the program. | The applicant details how it will recruit and select staff identified as being key to implementing the program. Each key staff position includes a brief job description aligned to the proposed activities and | "Good" criteria and: The applicant links specific recruitment and selection strategies to key staff positions such that the program will recruit and retain highly qualified and effective people to lead the program. |
| | | | | 27 Page |

| | services that the staff will manage. | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | |
| | | |

8. Describe how the program will encourage and use appropriately qualified individuals to serve as volunteers.

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|---------------|---|--|--|--|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Volunteers | The applicant provides little or no detail about how it will recruit and use appropriately qualified individuals to serve as program volunteers. | The applicant's plan to recruit and use appropriately qualified individuals to serve as volunteers is too high-level to be meaningful. The applicant does not meaningfully link the recruitment of highly qualified volunteers to specific program activities and services. | The applicant details an explicit plan to recruit and use appropriately qualified individuals to serve as volunteers for specific program activities and services. | "Good" criteria and: The applicant includes opportunities for recognizing highly qualified volunteers for their service to the program. |

9. Describe the policies and procedures for background checks and other safety and security measures being implemented for the program. (This question is worth all points or no points.)

| Review Rubric | Poor 0 | Fair | Good | Excellent 3 |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------|------|------------------------------|
| Policies & Procedures | The application includes | | | The applicant's policies and |
| (Background Checks and | little, to no, policies and | | | procedures for background |
| Safety) | procedures for background | | | checks and other safety and |
| | checks and other safety | | | security measures are clear |
| | measures being | | | and specific. Background |
| | implemented for the | | | checks are required for all |
| | program. | | | program staff and |
| | | | | volunteers. |

10. Provide a professional development plan for staff and volunteers.

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Review Rublic | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Professional Development | The application does not | The professional | The professional | "Good" criteria and: The |
| | include, or there is little | development plan for staff | development plan proposed | professional development |
| | detail about, a professional | and volunteers is too high- | by the applicant is clear and | |

| The application does not include, or there is little detail about, a professional development plan for staff and volunteers. | The professional development plan for staff and volunteers is too high- level to meaningfully understand how the professional development will impact the improvement of the activities and services the program proposes to provide. | The professional development plan proposed by the applicant is clear and specific to the needs of staff and volunteers in effectively implementing the activities and services the program proposes to provide. | "Good" criteria and: The professional development plan is differentiated for volunteers and key staff. |
|--|---|--|---|
|--|---|--|---|

Participant Recruitment, Enrollment, Attendance, Behavior, and Discipline Policies

11. Describe the policies and procedures that address participant recruitment, enrollment, attendance, behavior, and discipline.

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|---|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Policies & Procedures | The application does not detail the policies and procedures of lead agency in recruiting and enrolling participants. The application does not provide policies and procedures for addressing attendance, behavior, and discipline issues. | The application includes policies and procedures that address participant recruitment and enrollment. The standards for recruitment and enrollment, however, are not explicit. The policies and procedures for attendance, behavior, and discipline are broad and/or overly punitive in nature. | The application includes policies and procedures that address participant recruitment and enrollment. The standards for recruitment and enrollment are explicitly detailed in the policies and procedures. The policies and procedures for attendance, behavior, and discipline are detailed and reinforce positive behaviors. The application includes how the program will communicate and reinforce its stated policies and procedures to students and families. | "Good" criteria and: The application outlines, as a part of the policies and procedures, how the program will decline a potential attendee's application that does not meet the program's standards prior to enrollment. The policies and procedures include systems of recognition for good attendance and behavior. |

Equitable Access and Transportation

12. Describe how equitable access to and participation in the 21st CCLC program for students, family members, and teachers will be ensured, <u>including people with special needs</u>. Describe how equitable access to and participation in the 21st CCLC program for students, family members, and teachers regardless of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status, disability, age, genetic information, or veteran's status will be ensured. <u>Make sure sufficient funds for transportation are included in the budget.</u>

| Review Rubric | Poor 0 | Fair 1 | Good 2 | Excellent 3 |
|--------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Equitable Access & | The applicant provides little, | The applicant provides | The applicant explicitly | "Good" criteria and: The |
| Participation | or non-specific details, about how it will ensure | assurances that students, families, and teachers will | addresses, in detail, the actions it will take to ensure | applican t2d gt a ils how iewill encourage the participation |

| The applicant provides little, or non-specific details, about how it will ensure equitable access to and participation in the 21st CCLC program for all members of the targeted population. | The applicant provides assurances that students, families, and teachers will be able to access and participate in the 21st CCLC program with particular attention given to ensuring access and participation in the program by people with special needs. | The applicant explicitly addresses, in detail, the actions it will take to ensure equitable access to and participation in the 21st CCLC program for students, family members, and teachers regardless of special needs. | "Good" criteria and: The applicant details how it will encourage the participation of students, family members, and teachers with diverse experiences and backgrounds to participate in the 21st CCLC program. |
|--|--|--|---|
|--|--|--|---|

13. <u>Transportation to and from the 21st CCLC program is mandatory and must be provided by the 21st CCLC program. Describe how students will travel safely to and from the program, including between program sites and home. Tell which members of the partnership are responsible for scheduling transportation arrangements (including any contractual services) and which members of the partnership are responsible for the financial costs associated with transportation, even if it is an in-kind resource.</u>

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Neview Rublic | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Transportation To and | The applicant provides little | The applicant provides, at a | The applicant clearly details | "Good" criteria and: The |
| From Program | or no details about how | high level, how students will | how students will travel | applicant identifies which |
| | students will safely travel to | travel safely to and from the | safely to and from the | member(s) of the |
| | and from the program sites. | program sites and home. | program sites and home. | partnership is (are) |
| | | The roles and | The application identifies | responsible for the financial |
| | | responsibilities of partners | which member(s) of the | costs associated with |
| | | in supporting and financing | partnership is (are) | transportation, even if it is |
| | | transportation are not | responsible for scheduling | an in-kind resource. |
| | | included or not specific | transportation | |
| | | enough to meaningfully | arrangements (including any | |
| | | understand how the | contractual services) but no | |
| | | program will ensure the safe | attention is given to | |
| | | passage of students. | transportation costs. | |

Non-Public Schools

14. Federal law mandates that non-public school administrators are consulted in a timely and meaningful manner during the design and development of the program. Describe how this was done with representatives of non-public schools who are based in the subgrant school(s) program service area. If there are no non-public schools in the program service area, indicate that. (This question is worth all points or no points.)

| Review Rubric | Poor 0 | Fair | Good 2 | Excellent |
|------------------------------------|---|------|---|-----------|
| Non-Public Schools Consultation | There is little to no detail about how non-public school administrators or representatives of non- public schools who are based in the subgrant school(s) program service area were consulted in a | | There are no non-public schools in the program service area OR the applicant provided a detailed explanation of how non-public school administrators and representatives of non- | |

| m ar | mely and meaningful nanner during the design nd development of the rogram. | public schools who are based in the subgrant school(s) program service area were consulted in a timely and meaningful development of the program. | |
|---------|---|---|--|
| | | program. | |

<u>Attach an "Intent to Participate" form for each non-public school in the school(s) service area to this</u> <u>subgrant application.</u> (A non-public school "Intent to Participate" form for use is included in the "additional documents" for this subgrant application.)

Office of Childcare Licensing

15. Indicate which type of arrangement the program will operate to meet Delaware Office of Child Care Licensing Requirements:

Pursue a license through OCCL. The program must have a license if the lead agent is a non-school agency, OR if any of the 21st CCLC sites or programs will be located in places other than public school buildings, OR if the program plan as part of sustainability to use Purchase of Care.

PLEASE NOTE: If a 21st Century program is located in a public school (or schools) where the community-based agency is the lead agent of this subgrant, then that public school (or schools) MUST BE LICENSED by the Delaware Office of Child Care License

<u>OR</u>

Obtain an exemption from OCCL. This can only be obtained if 1) the public school is the lead agent of this subgrant AND 2) all 21st Century program sites are located in public schools in that school district or charter school.

Section 5: Program Design

63 points

Needs Assessment

Identify the target population, method of determination of need, recognize root causes, and provide supporting data as evidence. Use poverty information, academic achievement data, and other relevant data sources to detail the need.

| Target Population: Students | |
|--|--|
| Needs: Attendance (identify specific areas, if possible): | |
| Input Method (survey, focus group, program inventory, interviews, etc.): | |
| Data: | |
| Data Sources: | |
| Root Causes: | |
| Desired Program Outcomes: | |

| Review Rubric | Poor 0 | Fair 1 | Good 2 | Excellent 3 |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Root Causes | The applicant does not identify any root causes for the targeted group or the root causes identified are not meaningful (too broad or not clear root causes of the item assessed). | The applicant's identified root causes are explicit for the target group but are not meaningfully supported by clear data. | The applicant identified root causes for the target group that are supported by specific data that clearly support the identification of the root causes. | "Good" criteria and: The applicant identifies the source for each piece of data used as evidence for the identified root causes. |
| Desired Outcomes | The applicant does not explicitly identify the desired program outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need reviewed. | The applicant identifies a desired outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need reviewed. | The applicant identifies a desired outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need and the outcome is measurable. | "Good" criteria and: The desired outcome can be measured using the same data used by the applicant to determine the root causes of the specific need reviewed. |

| Target Population: Students | |
|--|--|
| Needs: Academic (identify specific areas, if possible): | |
| Input Method (survey, focus group, program inventory, interviews, etc.): | |
| Data: | |
| Data Sources: | |
| Root Causes: | |
| Desired Program Outcomes: | |

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Root Causes | The applicant does not | The applicant's identified | The applicant identified root | "Good" criteria and: The |
| | identify any root causes for | root causes are explicit for | causes for the target group | applicant identifies the |
| | the targeted group or the | the target group but are not | that are supported by | source for each piece of |
| | root causes identified are not meaningful (too broad | meaningfully supported by clear data. | specific data that clearly | data used as evidence for the identified root causes. |

| | or not clear root causes of the item assessed). | | support the identification of the root causes. | |
|------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Desired Outcomes | The applicant does not explicitly identify the desired program outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need reviewed. | The applicant identifies a desired outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need reviewed. | The applicant identifies a desired outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need and the outcome is measurable. | "Good" criteria and: The desired outcome can be measured using the same data used by the applicant to determine the root causes of the specific need reviewed. |

Target Population: Students

Needs: **Afterschool Programming, Activities, and Scheduling** (identify specific kinds, such as sports, arts, personal, and/or social development, etc.; days of the week, hours, how many weeks, school-year, summer):

Input Method (survey, focus group, program inventory, interviews, etc.):

Data:

Data Sources:

Root Causes:

Desired Program Outcomes:

| Review Rubric | Poor 0 | Fair 1 | Good 2 | Excellent 3 |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Root Causes | The applicant does not identify any root causes for the targeted group or the root causes identified are not meaningful (too broad or not clear root causes of the item assessed). | The applicant's identified root causes are explicit for the target group but are not meaningfully supported by clear data. | The applicant identified root causes for the target group that are supported by specific data that clearly support the identification of the root causes. | "Good" criteria and: The applicant identifies the source for each piece of data used as evidence for the identified root causes. |
| Desired Outcomes | The applicant does not explicitly identify the desired program outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need reviewed. | The applicant identifies a desired outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need reviewed. | The applicant identifies a desired outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need and the outcome is measurable. | "Good" criteria and: The desired outcome can be measured using the same data used by the applicant to determine the root causes of the specific need reviewed. |

| Group: Students, Family Members, including Parents, Caregivers, Siblings | |
|--|--|
| Needs: School Connectedness, Family Literacy, Family Engagement and Well-Being | |
| Input Method (survey, focus group, program inventory, interviews, etc.): | |
| Data: | |
| Data Sources: | |
| Root Causes: | |
| Desired Program Outcomes: | |

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|---------------|------|------|------|-----------|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

| Root Causes | The applicant does not identify any root causes for the targeted group or the root causes identified are not meaningful (too broad or not clear root causes of the item assessed). | The applicant's identified root causes are explicit for the target group but are not meaningfully supported by clear data. | The applicant identified root causes for the target group that are supported by specific data that clearly support the identification of the root causes. | "Good" criteria and: The applicant identifies the source for each piece of data used as evidence for the identified root causes. |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Desired Outcomes | The applicant does not explicitly identify the desired program outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need reviewed. | The applicant identifies a desired outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need reviewed. | The applicant identifies a desired outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need and the outcome is measurable. | "Good" criteria and: The desired outcome can be measured using the same data used by the applicant to determine the root causes of the specific need reviewed. |

Group: Program Staff

Needs: **Professional Development** (identify topics):

Input Method (survey, focus group, program inventory, interviews, etc.):

Data:

Data Sources:

Root Causes:

Desired Program Outcomes:

| Review Rubric | Poor 0 | Fair 1 | Good 2 | Excellent 3 |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Root Causes | The applicant does not identify any root causes for the targeted group or the root causes identified are not meaningful (too broad or not clear root causes of the item assessed). | The applicant's identified root causes are explicit for the target group but are not meaningfully supported by clear data. | The applicant identified root causes for the target group that are supported by specific data that clearly support the identification of the root causes. | "Good" criteria and: The applicant identifies the source for each piece of data used as evidence for the identified root causes. |
| Desired Outcomes | The applicant does not explicitly identify the desired program outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need reviewed. | The applicant identifies a desired outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need reviewed. | The applicant identifies a desired outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need and the outcome is measurable. | "Good" criteria and: The desired outcome can be measured using the same data used by the applicant to determine the root causes of the specific need reviewed. |

Group: School Staff and Program Staff

Needs: Program Connectedness, Communication (identify areas):

Input Method (survey, focus group, program inventory, interviews, etc.):

Data:

Data Sources:

Root Causes:

Desired Program Outcomes:

| Review Rubric | Poor 0 | Fair 1 | Good 2 | Excellent 3 |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Root Causes | The applicant does not identify any root causes for the targeted group or the root causes identified are not meaningful (too broad or not clear root causes of the item assessed). | The applicant's identified root causes are explicit for the target group but are not meaningfully supported by clear data. | The applicant identified root causes for the target group that are supported by specific data that clearly support the identification of the root causes. | "Good" criteria and: The applicant identifies the source for each piece of data used as evidence for the identified root causes. |
| Desired Outcomes | The applicant does not explicitly identify the desired program outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need reviewed. | The applicant identifies a desired outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need reviewed. | The applicant identifies a desired outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need and the outcome is measurable. | "Good" criteria and: The desired outcome can be measured using the same data used by the applicant to determine the root causes of the specific need reviewed. |

Group: Community (including city or town council members, faith-based leaders, business leaders, etc.) Needs: **Program Connectedness, Communication** (identify areas):

Input Method (survey, focus group, program inventory, interviews, etc.):

Data:

Data Sources:

Root Causes:

Desired Program Outcomes:

| Review Rubric | Poor 0 | Fair 1 | Good 2 | Excellent 3 |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Root Causes | The applicant does not identify any root causes for the targeted group or the root causes identified are not meaningful (too broad or not clear root causes of the item assessed). | The applicant's identified root causes are explicit for the target group but are not meaningfully supported by clear data. | The applicant identified root causes for the target group that are supported by specific data that clearly support the identification of the root causes. | "Good" criteria and: The applicant identifies the source for each piece of data used as evidence for the identified root causes. |
| Desired Outcomes | The applicant does not explicitly identify the desired program outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need reviewed. | The applicant identifies a desired outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need reviewed. | The applicant identifies a desired outcome based on the target group's root causes of the specific need and the outcome is measurable. | "Good" criteria and: The desired outcome can be measured using the same data used by the applicant to determine the root causes of the specific need reviewed. |

Goals, Objectives, and Activities

 Please describe what your 21st CCLC program will do for the "Lights On Afterschool" nationwide celebration on October 27, 2022. Describe how this event or events will highlight the many ways your quality afterschool program supports children, families, schools, and the community. What activities will be included? Who will be invited? At what time will you be celebrating? What media coverage will be solicited? "Lights On Afterschool" activities must be entered on the Afterschool Alliance webpage https://www.afterschoolalliance.org/loa.cfm.

> "Lights On Afterschool" events, like afterschool programs, come in all shapes and sizes, from stadium rallies and town parades to open houses and program tours. Your event can give students a chance to showcase the skills they learn and talents they develop at your afterschool program; including ways to spotlight the fun, educational, hands-on activities that your quality afterschool program offers and the ways your program keeps kids safe, inspires them to learn and helps working families. This event can also highlight your local partners and rally your community's support.

Here is a partial list of activities that could be included at your celebration:

- Student-created and led activities like skits, dance, music and poetry;
- Selling items to raise funds for your program;
- Student demonstrations of afterschool activities, for example: yoga, basketball, karate, mural painting, chess, debate;
- Contests and competitions (kids versus adults or kids versus kids);
- Special guest speakers: parents, local elected officials, the superintendent, mayor, a member of Congress, local celebrities, etc.;
- Presentations by youth about their afterschool experience; and
- A healthy snack or dinner

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|---|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Lights On Afterschool | The applicant does not provide details regarding the Lights On Afterschool event. | The applicant's identified Lights On Afterschool event is too high-level to be understood or implemented. | The applicant's identified Lights On Afterschool event includes sufficient detail including activities, invitees, location, publicity, and how the event will highlight the 21 st CCLC program. | "Good" criteria and: The applicant's identifies Lights On Afterschool event includes active, meaningful participation of families and other guests with students in the program, in addition to any "audience" portions of the event. |

Program Plan Goals, Objectives, and Activities– Goal #1

| _ | chool attendance and i | | | veme | nt of participatir | g students in one |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------|------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| or more academic | | | | | | |
| | tives: Identify several SN | MART (Spec | ific, Measura | ble, A | ttainable, Realist | ic, and Time Bound) |
| | ng what will be accompl | | | | | |
| Goal #1: | | · | | | · | |
| Objective | | | | | | |
| 1. | | | | | | |
| 2. | | | | | | |
| 3. | | | | | | |
| Performance Indica | ators: | | | | | |
| 1. Number of | participants who improv | ve attendan | ce and classr | room p | performance; and | d/or who decrease |
| disciplinary | action or other negative | e behaviors. | | | | |
| 2. Number of | 2. Number of participants who improve in class participation during school and homework completion. | | | | | |
| | participants who improv | ve on classro | oom grades a | and sta | ate assessments | in English Language |
| Arts and Ma | athematics. | | | | | |
| 4. Other: | | | | | | |
| Data to Measure Pi | - | | | | | |
| Attendance | | | | | | |
| Discipline R | ecords | | | | | |
| Teacher Sur | rvey including | | | | | |
| | s participation | | | | | |
| | nework completion | | | | | |
| | sment in English Langua | - | | | | |
| Classroom g | grades in English Langua | ge Arts and | Mathematic | S | | |
| Other: | | | | | | |
| | several activities that wi | | | | Objectives above | e and Goal #1, |
| ultimately leading t | to improved attendance | and acader | nic achieven | nent. | | 1 |
| | | | | | Number and | |
| | | | Targeted | | <u>Length of</u> | <u>Staff</u> |
| Detailed Activities | - | | <u>Population</u> | | <u>Sessions</u> | <u>Responsible</u> |
| | | | | | | |
| 2. | | | | | | |
| 3. | | | | | | |
| 4. | | | | | | |
| 5. | | | | | | |
| | De - T | - | | | Cood | F |
| Review Rubric | Poor 0 | | air 1 | | Good 2 | Excellent 3 |
| CNART ON STATE | The smalless tales and | The second second | to alcost a c | T 1 | | |

| Activities | The applicant does not include activities that will help the program reach its stated goal. | The applicant includes activities to be implemented that will help the program reach its stated goal. The targeted population, number and length of sessions, or staff responsible is not meaningfully included making it unclear how these activities will realize the program goal. | The applicant includes activities that will help the program reach its stated goal. The targeted population, number and length of sessions, and staff responsible are included and it is relatively clear how these activities will realize the program goal. | The applicant includes very detailed activities that will help the program reach its stated goal. The targeted population, number and length of sessions, and staff responsible are included and it is extremely clear how these activities will realize the program goal. |
|------------|--|--|--|---|
|------------|--|--|--|---|

Program Plan Goals, Objectives, and Activities – Goal # 2

| Goal #2: Increase school connectedness of p | articipants, including far | nilies, caregivers, | and school teachers |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| and staff. Measurable Objectives: Identify several SMA | DT (Spacific Massurable | Attainable Beal | stic and Time |
| | | | |
| Bound) objectives describing what will be acc reach Goal #2: | complished during this pe | riod of time that v | will neip the program |
| | | | |
| <u>Objective</u> 1. | | | |
| 1. 2. | | | |
| 3. | | | |
| Performance Indicators: | | | |
| 1. Number of Parent/Caregiver and Fam | ily meetings phone calls | and corresponde | nce |
| 2. Number of School Teacher and other | | | |
| 3. Number of Family Engagement Oppor | | | |
| 4. Other: | tunites. | | |
| Data to Measure Progress : | | | |
| Parent/Caregiver and Family Attendar | nce and Sign-In Records | | |
| Parent/Caregiver Communication Log | • | | |
| School Communication Log | | | |
| Family/Caregiver & School Teacher Su | irvevs | | |
| • Other: | | | |
| Activities: Identify several research-based act | tivities that will help the i | program reach the | Obiectives above |
| and Goal #2, ultimately leading to increased s | | - | • |
| caregivers, and school teachers and staff. | | | 0 , |
| - | | Number and | |
| | Targeted | Length of | Staff |
| Detailed Activities | Population | Sessions | Responsible |
| 1. | | | |
| 2. | | | |
| 3. | | | |
| 4. | | | |
| | | | |

| Review Rubric | Poor 0 | Fair 1 | Good 2 | Excellent 3 |
|------------------|--|--|---|---|
| SMART Objectives | The applicant does not include objectives or the objectives are not measurable. | The applicant includes objectives but they are not clear, which makes measuring progress toward meeting the objectives confusing/unclear. | The applicant includes objectives that are specific, measurable, attainable, realistic, and time-bound. | "Good" criteria and: The desired outcome is clear and predictive of success for the target group. |
| Activities | The applicant does not include activities that will help the program reach its stated goal. | The applicant includes activities to be implemented that will help the program reach its stated goal. The targeted population, | The applicant includes activities that will help the program reach its stated goal. The targeted population, number and | The applicant includes very detailed activities that will help the program reach its stated goal. The targeted population, number and |

| | number and length of sessions, or staff responsible is not meaningfully included making it unclear how these activities will realize the program goal. | length of sessions, and staff responsible are included and it is relatively clear how these activities will realize the program goal. | length of sessions, and staff responsible are included and it is extremely clear how these activities will realize the program goal. |
|--|--|---|--|
|--|--|---|--|

Program Plan Goals, Objectives, and Activities – Goal # 3

| | the capacity of particip | | | tive adults. | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | tives: Identify several S | | | | stic, and Time |
| - | describing what will be | | | | |
| reach Goal #3: | C C | | Ū | | |
| Objective | | | | | |
| 1. | | | | | |
| 2. | | | | | |
| 3. | | | | | |
| Performance Indic | ators: | | | | |
| 1. Number of | high quality educationa | al and enrich | iment oppor | tunities provided by th | nis 21 st CCLC that |
| help build l | lifelong skills in areas su | ich as nutriti | on and heal | th, art, music, technolc | gy, and physical |
| education, | literacy, science, and of | ther areas: _ | | | |
| 2. Other: | | | | | |
| Data to Measure P | Progress : | | | | |
| Attendance | e Records | | | | |
| | : Surveys/Focus Group D | Discussion | | | |
| Number of | Sessions | | | | |
| Program So | chedules | | | | |
| Other: | | | | | |
| - | several research-based | | - | | - |
| and Goal #3, ultim | ately leading to increas | ed capacity of | of participar | | ve adults. |
| | | | | Number and | |
| | | | <u>Targeted</u> | Length of | <u>Staff</u> |
| Detailed Activitie | <u>s</u> | | Population | <u>n</u> <u>Sessions</u> | Responsible |
| 1. | | | | | |
| 2. | | | | | |
| 3. | | | | | |
| 4. | | | | | |
| 5. | | | | | |
| | Deer | · - | oir. | Cood | Fyeellant |
| Review Rubric | Poor 0 | | air 1 | Good 2 | Excellent 3 |
| SMART Objectives | The applicant does not | The applicant | | The applicant includes | "Good" criteria and: The |
| | include objectives or the | objectives but | t they are not | objectives that are specific, | desired outcome is clear |

| | objectives are not measurable. | clear, which makes measuring progress toward meeting the objectives confusing/unclear. | measurable, attainable, realistic, and time-bound. | the target group. |
|------------|--|--|--|---|
| Activities | The applicant does not include activities that will help the program reach its stated goal. | The applicant includes activities to be implemented that will help the program reach its stated goal. The targeted population, number and length of sessions, or staff responsible is not | The applicant includes activities that will help the program reach its stated goal. The targeted population, number and length of sessions, and staff responsible are included and it is relatively clear how | The applicant includes very detailed activities that will help the program reach its stated goal. The targeted population, number and length of sessions, and staff responsible are included and it is extremely clear |

| | meaningfully included making it unclear how these activities will realize the program goal. | these activities will realize the program goal. | how these activities will realize the program goal. |
|--|--|---|---|
|--|--|---|---|

Section 6: Program Delivery

Youth Development Practices

1. Describe how the program will create a <u>warm and welcoming environment</u>. Provide any policies, procedures, activities, and background information that will influence this practice.

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|---------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Warm & Welcoming Environment | The applicant provides little or no detail about how it will create a warm and welcoming environment. | The applicant's description of an environment, supervised by adults during hours of operation based on families' schedules, with activities that promote a healthy lifestyle, is vague and lacking sufficient details. | The applicant's description of an environment, supervised by adults during hours of operation based on families' schedules, that is rich with activities that participants can name and that promotes a healthy lifestyle contains some detail. Procedures that ensure safety are detailed, in addition. | The applicant's description of an environment, supervised by adults during hours of operation based on families' schedules, that is rich with activities that participants can name and that promotes a healthy lifestyle is clearly detailed. And, the applicant also details how participant individuality, culturally and linguistically or otherwise, will be respected and supported, including procedures that ensure safety. |
| Supportive Activities | The applicant provides little or no detail about how activities will meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, or interest. | Activities referenced do not appear to meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, or interest. Methods are vague or are in conflict with hands-on, active opportunities to learn. | Activities referenced meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, and interest. Methods include hands-on opportunities to interact with materials, make connections, and discover new ideas. | "Good" criteria and: Examples are given where activities are connected with participant's school- day subject content and participants' preferences. |

2. Describe how the program will build <u>supportive relationships</u> and <u>opportunities for students to belong</u>. Provide any policies, procedures, activities, and background information that will influence this practice.

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Supportive Relationships & Opportunities to Belong | The applicant provides little or no detail about how it will build supportive relationships and opportunities for students to belong. | The applicant details how the program will emphasize belonging and membership, in the near and long-term, with activities that allow for participants to interact in a variety of ways. The applicant, however, is not clear on how staff will intentionally build and | The applicant details how the program will emphasize belonging and membership, in the near and long-term, with activities that allow for participants to interact in a variety of ways. The applicant also details how staff will intentionally build and promote relationships | "Good" criteria and: The program offers a mix of one- on-one interactions and large-group activities focused on character development. |

| | | promote relationships characterized by honesty and respect. | characterized by honesty and respect. | |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Supportive Activities | The applicant provides little or no detail about how activities will meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, or interest. | Activities referenced do not appear to meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, or interest. Methods are vague or are in conflict with hands-on, active opportunities to learn. | Activities referenced meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, and interest. Methods include hands-on opportunities to interact with materials, make connections, and discover new ideas. | "Good" criteria and: Examples are given where activities are connected with participant's school- day subject content and participants' preferences. |

3. Describe how the program will support <u>positive behaviors</u>. Provide any rules, guidelines, plans, activities, and background information that will influence this practice.

| Review Rubric | Poor 0 | Fair 1 | Good 2 | Excellent 3 |
|-----------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Positive Behaviors | The applicant provides little or no detail about how it will engage students in setting a climate that promotes positive behaviors and sets behavior standards. | The applicant provides, though at a high level, how it will engage students in setting a climate that promotes positive behaviors and sets behavior standards. Little attention is given to engaging students in defining and implementing conflict resolution strategies. | The applicant provides explicit opportunities for engaging students in setting a climate that promotes positive behaviors and sets behavior standards for the program and individual activities as well as in defining and implementing strategies for resolving conflicts. | "Good" criteria and: The program provides for family input in setting program and behavior standards as well as in defining and implementing strategies for resolving conflicts. |
| Supportive Activities | The applicant provides little or no detail about how activities will meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, or interest. | Activities referenced do not appear to meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, or interest. Methods are vague or are in conflict with hands-on, active opportunities to learn. | Activities referenced meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, and interest. Methods include hands-on opportunities to interact with materials, make connections, and discover new ideas. | "Good" criteria and: Examples are given where activities are connected with participant's school- day subject content and participants' preferences. |

4. Describe how the program will provide <u>youth engagement opportunities</u> and offer students <u>choices</u>. Provide any strategies, procedures, activities, and background information that influence this practice.

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Review Rubite | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Youth Engagement | The applicant provides little | The applicant provides, | The applicant provides | The applicant provides |
| Opportunities | or no detail about how it | though at a high level, how | many details for providing | explicit, clear details for |
| | will provide youth | it will provide youth | and implementing youth | providing and implementing |
| | engagement opportunities. | engagement opportunities. | engagement opportunities, | youth engagement |
| | | Little attention is given to | including strengthening | opportunities, including |
| | | details on how to | relationships among youth, | strengthening relationships |
| | | implement these | increasing social confidence, | among youth, increasing |
| | | opportunities. | introducing experiential | social confidence, |

| | | | learning, which may include increasing youth financial skills and/or service learning that gives back to the community, although some details may remain unclear. | introducing experiential learning, which may include increasing youth financial skills and/or service learning that gives back to the community. |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Supportive Activities | The applicant provides little or no detail about how activities will meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, or interest. | Activities referenced do not appear to meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, or interest. Methods are vague or are in conflict with hands-on, active opportunities to learn. | Activities referenced meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, and interest. Methods include hands-on opportunities to interact with materials, make connections, and discover new ideas. | "Good" criteria and: Examples are given where activities are connected with participant's school- day subject content and participants' preferences. |

5. Describe how the program will provide students with opportunities for <u>authentic decision-making</u>. Provide any strategies, procedures, activities, and background information that influence this practice.

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Authentic Decision Making | The applicant provides little or no detail about how it will engage students in deciding authentic experiences and outcomes. | The applicant provides, though at a high level, how it will engage students in deciding authentic experiences and outcomes. Little attention is given to age-appropriate decision- making. | The applicant provides explicit opportunities for engaging students to have ownership and control in deciding authentic experiences and outcomes. Sufficient attention is given to age-appropriate decision- making. | "Good" criteria and: The program provides for students to see linkages to learning experiences and the consequences of those choices. |
| Supportive Activities | The applicant provides little or no detail about how activities will meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, or interest. | Activities referenced do not appear to meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, or interest. Methods are vague or are in conflict with hands-on, active opportunities to learn. | Activities referenced meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, and interest. Methods include hands-on opportunities to interact with materials, make connections, and discover new ideas. | "Good" criteria and: Examples are given where activities are connected with participant's school- day subject content and participants' preferences. |

6. Describe how the program will provide students with opportunities for <u>youth leadership</u>. Provide any volunteer processes, activities, and background information that will influence this practice.

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Youth Leadership | The applicant provides little or no detail about the opportunities it will provide for students for youth leadership. | The applicant provides, though at a high level, opportunities for participants to take on leadership roles within the program. | The applicant provides explicit opportunities for participants to take on age- appropriate leadership roles within the program. | "Good" criteria and: The applicant identifies and promotes opportunities for age appropriate participant leadership with partnering entities. |

| Supportive Activities | The applicant provides little or no detail about how activities will meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, or interest. | Activities referenced do not appear to meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, or interest. Methods are vague or are in conflict with hands-on, active opportunities to learn. | Activities referenced meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, and interest. Methods include hands-on opportunities to interact with materials, make connections, and discover new ideas. | "Good" criteria and: Examples are given where activities are connected with participant's school- day subject content and participants' preferences. |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|
|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|

7. Describe how the program will make time for <u>reflection</u> (that is "careful thought or consideration of an idea or event, with the intent of making change or focusing on improvement"). Provide any methods, procedures, activities, and background information that will influence this practice.

| Review Rubric | Poor 0 | Fair 1 | Good 2 | Excellent 3 |
|------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Participant Reflection | The applicant provides little or no detail about the opportunities it will provide for students to reflect on their academic activities. | The applicant provides, though at a high level, opportunities for participant reflection on activities and learning. It is unclear, however, how these opportunities for reflection are meaningfully linked to participant articulated goals and their progress toward meeting those goals. | The applicant details specific opportunities for participant reflection on activities and learning that are meaningfully linked to participant articulated goals and their progress toward meeting those goals. | "Good" criteria and: Participants, upon reflection, are given opportunities to journal or otherwise write and record their ideas and thoughts on the program and self- improvement. |
| Supportive Activities | The applicant provides little or no detail about how activities will meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, or interest. | Activities referenced do not appear to meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, or interest. Methods are vague or are in conflict with hands-on, active opportunities to learn. | Activities referenced meet youth in regard to their development, skill level, readiness, and interest. Methods include hands-on opportunities to interact with materials, make connections, and discover new ideas. | "Good" criteria and: Examples are given where activities are connected with participant's school- day subject content and participants' preferences. |

| School Year Program Schedule | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------|--|-------------------|------------|--|
| # of Weeks: | | # of Sessions: | Start Date: | End Date: | |
| | Time | Activity (Please ensure the described activity align to the program's stated goals, objectives, and strategies.) | # of Participants | # of Staff | |
| Monday | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Tuesday | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Wednesday | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Thursday | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| F : 1 | | | | | |
| Friday | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Summer Program Schedule

| # of Weeks: | | # of Sessions: | Start Date: | End Date: |
|-------------|------|--|--------------------------|------------|
| | Time | Activity (Please ensure the described activity align to the program's stated goals, objectives, and strategies.) | <i># of Participants</i> | # of Staff |
| Monday | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Tuesday | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Wadnasday | | | | |
| Wednesday | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Thursday | | | | |
| , | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Friday | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

The Program Schedule will be reviewed based on completeness.

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Program Schedule (School Year and/or Summer) | The program schedule is not detailed and lacks alignment to the work of the program. | The program schedule is aligned to the work the program propose to do in most sections of the application. | The program schedule is somewhat detailed and aligned to the work the program propose to do in most sections of the application, including the goals and objectives of the program. | The program schedule is explicitly detailed and aligned to the work the program propose to do in all sections of the application, including the goals and objectives of the program. |

Section 7: Evaluation

- 1. What is the program's framework for evaluating its performance toward meeting its goals and objectives? Indicate connections with the elements in Section 5: Program Design. The framework, at a minimum, should include:
 - a.) The elements of the proposed program that will be evaluated;
 - b.) The systematic process for collecting and analyzing the necessary data to conduct a robust evaluation of the program;
 - c.) The data (including school-level student data) to be collected and a timeline for the collection and analysis of the data.

| Review Rubric | Poor 0 | Fair | Good 2 | Excellent |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Elements Evaluated | The plan to evaluate the | The plan to evaluate the | Z The plan to evaluate the | Good" criteria and: The |
| Elements Evaluated | program does not | program identifies the | program identifies and | elements identified for |
| | specifically identify the | elements of the program | clearly defines the elements | |
| | | that will be evaluated but | | evaluation align to all key |
| | elements of the program | | of the program that will be | components of the |
| | that will be evaluated. The | the elements are not clearly | evaluated. Not all key | proposed program. |
| | elements identified are | defined. And/or not all key | components of the | |
| | high-level and not | components of the | proposed program are | |
| | meaningfully aligned to the | proposed program are | identified for evaluation. | |
| | proposed program. | identified for evaluation. | | |
| Data Collection & Analysis | The applicant describes in | The applicant's plan for | The applicant details a | "Good" criteria and: The |
| | little, to no, detail its | collecting and analyzing the | systematic process for | applicant includes a timeline |
| | process for collecting and | necessary data to conduct a | collecting and analyzing the | for collecting and analyzing |
| | analyzing the data necessary | robust evaluation of the | data necessary to conduct a | the requisite data for |
| | to conduct a robust | program is high-level but | robust evaluation of the | conducting its evaluation. |
| | evaluation of the program. | non-specific. The process for | program. | |
| | | the collection and analysis is | | |
| | | not systematic. | | |
| Data | The applicant does not | The applicant identifies | The applicant identifies all | "Good" criteria and: The |
| | identify the specific data to | specific data to be collected | the specific data to be | source of the data to be |
| | be collected for analysis or | for analysis but there are | collected for analysis | collected is identified for |
| | only a few, if any, data are | gaps in the data to be | aligned to the elements to | each piece of data included |
| | identified, aligned to the | collected in order to | be evaluated by the | in the proposed plan for |
| | elements of the program | adequately evaluate the | applicant. | evaluation. |
| | that the applicant proposes | elements identified for | | |
| | to evaluate. | evaluation by the applicant. | | |

2. How will the results of the evaluation be used to improve the performance of the program (shape the activities, structures, and resource allocations)?

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Review Rubric | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Program Improvement | The applicant's plan for | The applicant's plan to use | The applicant's plan for | "Good" criteria and: The |
| | improving the performance | the results of the evaluation | using the results of the | applicant explicitly links the |
| | of the program based on the | to improve the performance | evaluation to improve the | results of specific indicators |
| | results of the evaluation | of the program includes | performance of the program | to be evaluated to the |
| | includes little, to no, detail. | non-specific detail as to how | clearly details how the | resources and services that |
| | | the results will impact the | results will impact the | will be improved based on |
| | | allocation of resources or | allocation of resources or | specific evaluation |
| | | improve the delivery of | improve the delivery of | outcomes. |
| | | programming. | specific services. | |

3. How will the evaluation findings be shared with students, parents and other family members, program staff, school staff, and the community?

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair 1 | Good 2 | Excellent |
|---------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Dissemination | The applicant's plan for | The applicant outlines a | The applicant's plan for | "Good" criteria and: The |
| | sharing the findings of its | plan for disseminating | disseminating evaluation | applicant details meaningful |
| | evaluation with relevant | evaluation findings to | findings to stakeholders is | ways to actively disseminate |
| | stakeholders contains little, | stakeholders but the plan is | differentiated by | evaluation findings and |
| | to no, detail. | not differentiated by | stakeholder group but | engage stakeholder groups |
| | | stakeholder group and the | dissemination efforts are | around the results. |
| | | actions to be taken are | mostly, if not all, passive. | |
| | | mostly, if not all, passive. | | |

Prepare a budget (using the separate budget pages provided) that will adequately cover program expenses, making sure to **give sufficient details for any item description over \$1000**, and then answer the following:

1. Describe the program's financial procedures and accounting practices. *Please note: This 21st CCLC cannot generate any additional income for this program through fund raisers, donations, fees, etc.*

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair 1 | Good 2 | Excellent 3 |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | • | _ | 2 | 5 |
| Procedures & Practices | The program's financial | The program's financial | The program's financial | "Good" criteria and: The |
| (Financial & Accounting) | procedures and accounting | procedures and accounting | procedures and accounting | plan an internal annual |
| | practices are not included in | practices are presented but | practices are explicitly | fiscal review that includes |
| | the application or the | key details, such as who | detailed (including who | an analysis of program's |
| | procedures and practices, as | manages the | manages implementation of | return on investment, as a |
| | presented, are unclear. | implementation of | procedures and practices as | part of its financial |
| | | procedures and practices, | well as the levels of review | procedures and accounting |
| | | are missing. | and approval authority for | practices. |
| | | | the spending of funds). | |

2. Describe the adequacy of support including facilities, equipment, supplies, and other resources and assets from the lead agency and all partners.

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Adequacy of Support | The application does not | The application identifies | The application identifies | "Good" criteria and: The |
| | clearly identify the | the resources and assets | the resources and assets | application identifies any |
| | resources and assets from | (including facilities, | broken out by category | unmet needs where |
| | the lead agency and all | equipment, and supplies) to | (including facilities, | subgrant funds will be used |
| | partners. | be contributed from the | equipment, and supplies) to | to support its effective |
| | | lead agency and all partners. | be contributed from the | implementation of the |
| | | | lead agency and all partners. | proposed program. |

3. Describe the extent to which the costs are reasonable in relation to the number of persons to be served, the size and scope of the program, and to the anticipated results and benefits of the program.

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Reasonability Given Size | The applicant does not | The application includes a | The application includes a | "Good" criteria and: The |
| and Scope | include an analysis, or the | high-level analysis of the | clear and specific analysis of | application identifies any |
| | analysis is vague, of the | extent to which the costs | the extent to which the | unmet needs where |
| | extent to which the costs are reasonable in relation to | are reasonable in relation to | costs are reasonable in | subgrant funds will be used |
| | | the proposed size (staffing, | relation to the proposed | to support its effective |
| | the proposed size (staffing, | number of persons to be | size (staffing, number of | implementation of the |
| | number of persons to be | served, etc.) of the program | persons to be served, etc.) | proposed program and |
| | served, etc.) of the program | and the proposed scope of | of the program and to the | ensure that the program can |
| | and the proposed scope of | the program. The analysis, | proposed scope of the | achieve its intended results. |
| | the program and its ability | however, has gaps and the | program. It is reasonably | |
| | | costs appear unreasonable | clear that, based on the | |

| to achieve the anticipated | in relation to the proposed | resources allocated, the | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| results | size of the program and its | program can achieve its | |
| | ability to achieve the | anticipated results. The | |
| | anticipated results, based | costs appear to be | |
| | on the resources allocated, | reasonable in relation to the | |
| | is not clear. | proposed size of the | |
| | | program. | |

4. Provide a preliminary plan for how the program will continue after funding ends. Provide specific information on how other funds or services will replace 21st CCLC funding beyond year 5. For example, personnel costs in the original subgrant cost may be provided by volunteers from a partnering agency or tutors are being paid through LEA Title I funds.

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Review Rublic | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Sustainability | There is not a long-term | The plan for sustaining the | The plan for sustaining the | "Good" criteria and: The |
| | plan for how the program | program after the funding | program after the funding | plan includes potential |
| | will continue after funding | ends is general in nature | ends includes a timeline | resources, including sources |
| | ends or the plan presented | and does not include a | that details the long-term | of revenue, for sustaining |
| | contains few, if any, specific | timeline, or potential | plan for sustaining the | the program aligned to the |
| | details on how to approach | revenue sources, for | program aligned to the | proposed programmatic |
| | sustaining the proposed | sustaining the program | proposed programmatic | activities. |
| | program activities. | aligned to the proposed | activities. | |
| | | programmatic activities. | | |

Attach the Budget Pages to this subgrant application.

12 points

| Review Rubric | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Salaries and Other | The budget does not include | The budget items are all | The budget items are all | "Good" criteria and: |
| Employee Costs (OEC) | all the relevant salaries and | included for this category | included for this category | Sufficient details are |
| | Other Employee Costs. | but are not fully | and are fully | provided, making the |
| | | understandable or relevant | understandable and | budget accessible to all |
| | | to this program. | relevant to this program. | interested parties, including |
| | | | For salaries, the title of each | program and school staff, |
| | | | position and % of Full-Time | parents, and community |
| | | | Equivalent (for full-time | members. |
| | | | staff) or number of days and | |
| | | | hours per week, with hourly | |
| | | | rate are given for each | |
| | | | position. Budget items are | |
| | | | reasonable in relation to the | |
| | | | number of persons to be | |
| | | | served, the size and scope | |
| | | | of the program, and to the | |
| | | | anticipated results and | |
| | | | benefits of the program. | |
| Travel and Contracted | The budget does not include | The budget items are all | The budget items are all | "Good" criteria and: |
| Services | all the necessary travel costs | included for this category | included for this category | Sufficient details are |
| | and costs associated with | but are not fully | and are fully | provided, making the |
| | contracted services. | understandable or relevant | understandable and | budget accessible to all |
| | | to this program. | relevant to this program. | interested parties, including |
| | | | For contracted service | program and school staff, |

| Audit Fees and Indirect | The budget does not include | The budget items are all | items, vendor names, type of services, and duration (number of days, sessions, etc.) are given. For travel items, the names and positions of staff traveling, conference names, and purposes are given. Budget items are reasonable in relation to the number of persons to be served, the size and scope of the program, and to the anticipated results and benefits of the program. | parents, and community members. "Good" criteria and: |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| Audit Fees and Indirect Costs | all the necessary audit fees and indirect cost items. | The budget items are all included for this category but are not fully understandable or relevant to this program. | Ine budget items are all included for this category and are fully understandable and relevant to this program. Budget items are reasonable in relation to the number of persons to be served, the size and scope of the program, and to the anticipated results and benefits of the program. | "Good" criteria and: Sufficient details are provided, making the budget accessible to all interested parties, including program and school staff, parents, and community members. |
| Supplies and Materials and Capital Outlay | The budget does not include a complete list of all the necessary supplies and materials and capital outlay to fully implement the proposed program. | The budget includes a complete list of all the necessary supplies and materials and capital outlay to fully implement the proposed program but the items are not fully understandable or relevant to this program. | The budget includes a complete list of all the necessary supplies and materials and capital outlay to fully implement the proposed program and the items are fully understandable and relevant to this program. For supplies, line items over \$1000 are detailed in item description, quantity, and purpose. Budget items are reasonable in relation to the number of persons to be served, the size and scope of the program, and to the anticipated results and benefits of the program. | "Good" criteria and: Sufficient details are provided, making the budget accessible to all interested parties, including program and school staff, parents, and community members. |



State of Delaware Department of Education Subgrant Application

LEA/Agency/Organization Information

| Name: | | Date: |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Address 1:Street Address | | |
| Street Address | | P.O. Box |
| Address 2:City DUNS #: | | Zip Code |
| | | |
| Amount of Funding Requested: | | |
| Coordinator's Name: | Email: | Telephone: |
| Proposed Sub-Subgrant Project Title: | | |
| For FSF users, indicate department nun | | |
| Description of Project: | | |
| Objectives and Goals of the Project (improvement, or achieve success?): | How will this sub-grant streng | then organization, make |
| Specific Activities (Include information | on about service delivery and | timeline): |
| Signature of Chief School Officer/Agend | cy Head: | |
| Printed Name: | Date: | |
| Signature of Business Manager: | | |
| Printed Name: | | |
| 4/5/2016 | | |



Delaware Department of Education FFATA Data Collection Form for Sub-Awardees

The Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA), requires entities receiving financial assistance through Federal awards (including contracts, sub-contracts, subgrants and subsubgrants) to report selected information to be published on usaspending.gov. As a prime awardee, Delaware Department of Education is required to file FFATA reports on a monthly basis. Funds will not be issued in the absence of the following information.

| Title of Federal Subgrant:: | 21 st CCLC | Sub-Award Amount: | \$ |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| CFDA#: | 84.287C | PR/Award or FAIN: | S287C210052 |
| Federal Sponsor | US DOE | Sub-Award Number: | |
| Sub-Award Start Date: | 9/1/2022 | | |

SUB-AWARDEES

Provide the following information as it appears in the Central Contractor Registration (CCR)

| Sub-Awardees Name: | | | |
|---------------------------|--------|-------|--------------------------|
| DUNS Number: | | | |
| Address: | | | |
| | Street | | |
| | | | - |
| | City | State | Zip + 4 digit (required) |
| lace of Performance: | | | |
| (If different than above) | Street | | |
| | | | |
| | City | State | Zip + 4 digit (required) |

Sub-Award Project Description (provide enough information to give the public a good idea of the purpose and intended outcomes of the sub-award):

Total compensation of Sub-Awardees' top five executives is required if <u>*all*</u> the following conditions are true:

- More than 80% of annual gross revenues from the Federal government, and those revenues are greater than \$25M annually, <u>and</u>
- Compensation information is not publicly available

If both statements are true, please list the names and total compensation of your business/organization's five most highly compensated officers:

| Name | Total Compensation |
|------|--------------------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Provide contact information for the person completing this form should follow-up be necessary. Incomplete or missing information will delay the release of funding.

Section 9 – Assurances, Certifications, and Signatures

The following pages contain the Assurances that must be signed and dated by the Superintendent or Chief Executive Officer of the Local Education Agency and the Chief Executive Officer of the Community Agency Partner. Please read and <u>check off all assurances carefully</u>. These assurances dictate financial requirements that must be adhered to by the subgrantee. Funds will not be disbursed until and unless a signed copy of these Assurances are received by the Delaware Department of Education (DDOE). <u>Please check the following 35 assurances, indicating an agreement:</u>

The Applicant assures that:

- 1. The program will be administered in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, program plans, and applications.
 - 2. The control of funds provided under 21st Century Community Learning Centers program and title to property acquired with program funds will be in a school district, public agency, for-profit agency, or a non-profit private agency, institution, or agency.
 - 3. The school district, public agency, for-profit agency, non-profit agency, institution or agency will administer those funds and property to the extent required by the Delaware Department of Education. Records concerning financial accounting and program evaluation will be maintained by the applicant agency and will be available for review by program auditors for at least three years past the final year of the 21st CCLC.
 - 4. The applicant will adopt and use proper methods of administering each such program, including the:

 a) enforcement of any obligations imposed on agencies, institutions, organizations, and other recipients responsible for carrying out each program, b) correction of deficiencies in program operations that are identified through audits, monitoring or evaluations, c) adoption of written procedures for the receipt and resolution of complaints in the administration of programs.
 - 5. The applicant will use such fiscal control and fund accounting procedures as will ensure proper disbursement of, and accounting for, funds paid to the subgrantee under the 21st Century Community Learning Centers program.
 - 6. The program will take place in a safe and easily accessible facility.
 - 7. The program will continue to be designed, developed, planned, implemented, and evaluated in active collaboration with all the partner agencies, including the administrators and teachers from the schools that the students attend (including the sharing of relevant data among the schools), incompliance with applicable laws relating to privacy and confidentiality. The 21st CCLC will be linked with the school day and aligned with the state academic standards.
 - 8. The transportation and program access for all students will be addressed and provided by the 21st CCLC funds if not provided from another source of funds.

- 9. The school district will provide access of pertinent student data to the applicant and partnering agencies.
- 10. Attendance records will be maintained for each student receiving services in the 21st CCLC.
 - 11. Students will be tagged in the eSchool Plus statewide pupil accounting system by school or district personnel, as well as the EZ Reports online management system.
 - 12. Required data will be entered into the online EZ Reports online management system and the 21st CCLC APR Federal database system by the grantee. This information will be used to annually evaluate the program and will be used to make decisions about appropriate changes in programs for the subsequent year.
 - 13. The program will primarily target students who primarily attend schools eligible for Title I schoolwide programs under Section 1114 and families of such students.
 - 14. The applicant will cooperate in carrying out any evaluation of the program conducted by state and federal officials.
 - 15. Funds granted for this program will not supplant federal, state, local or non-federal funds. Subgrant funds will be used to increase the level of State, local, and other non-Federal funds that would be made available for out-of-school-time programs and activities.
 - 16. The community was given notice of intent to submit an application and the completed application and any waiver request(s) will be available for public review after submission.
 - 17. The program will ensure equitable participation of nonpublic school participants if those students are part of the target population. The applicant will consult with officials of nonpublic schools in a meaningful and timely manner; and provide nonpublic participants genuine access to equitable services.
 - 18. The programs and services provided under this subgrant will be operated so as not to discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status, disability, age, genetic information, or veteran status.
 - 19. Programs and projects funded in total or in part through this subgrant will operate in compliance with state and federal laws, program statutes, rules, and regulations, including but not limited to the 1964 Civil Rights Act and amendments, Title IX of the Education Amendment of 1972, the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 34, the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) 34 CFR Parts 75, 76, 77, 79, 81, 82, 84, 85, 86, 97, 98, and 99, the General Education Provision Act (GEPA), the American with Disabilities Act, the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988, and OMB Circular 2 CFR Part 220 and Part 225. Subgrantees are responsible for adhering to all applicable fiscal and programmatic regulations.

- 20. Entities receiving \$500,000 or more of federal funds assure that an annual financial and compliance audit have been completed in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 or A-128, whichever is applicable. Copies of any audit findings and the documented actions to clear these findings must be included in this 21st CCLC subgrant application.
- 21. The Delaware Department of Education (DDOE) may as it deems necessary, supervise, evaluate, and provide guidance and direction to the subgrantee in the conduct of activities performed under this subgrant; however, failure of DDOE to supervise, evaluate, or provide guidance and direction shall not relieve the subgrantee of any liability for failure to comply with the terms of the subgrant award.
- 22. All program staff who work with children will have undergone the requirements outlined in the Delaware Criminal Background Check for Public Schools Related Employment and Office of Child Care Licensing Regulations.
- 23. Any printed (or other media) description of programs and/or program activities will state that the program and/or activity is fully (or partially) funded by the US Department of Education's 21st Century Community Learning Center Program.
- 24. Subgrantee will retain records of its financial transactions (including receipts), accounts, program operation, and evaluation relating to this subgrant for a period of three years after termination of the subgrant agreement and will make such records (including receipts) available for inspection and audit by authorized representatives of DDOE.
- 25. Subgrantee will receive prior written approval from the DDOE program manager before implementing any programmatic changes with respect to the purpose for which the subgrant was awarded. Amendments will be accepted during the following periods: August 1 August 15; December 1 December 15; and June 1 June 15. Amendments are submitted via e-mail for approval to the Delaware 21st CCLC State Coordinator.
- 26. Subgrantee will repay any funds that have been determined through the federal or state audit process to have been misspent, unspent, misapplied, or otherwise not properly accounted for, and further agrees to pay any collection fees that may subsequently be imposed by the federal and/or state government.
- 27. Subgrantee will, as part of this subgrant, create an advisory council or steering committee of all major partners who will meet on a regular basis to assist in continuous program improvement.
- 28. Any remaining, unspent funds must be returned to the Department of Education within 75 days from the subgrant end date. If actual expenditures within any reporting category exceed the higher of 15% or \$5,000 of the budgeted amount, the subgrantee must briefly explain why as part of a budget amendment. A similar explanation is required if expenditures of \$5,000 or more are made within a reporting category for which no expenditures were budgeted. There are no extensions or carry-overs allowed.

- 29. If subgrantee is not part of the Delaware First State Financial System (FSF), it is assured that Quarterly Financial Reports will be submitted to DDOE.
- 30. The 21st CCLC subgrantee will actively recruit eligible students to maintain or exceed its projected enrollment. If the actual average daily enrollment of the subgrantee program is less than 75 students, the subgrant award may be terminated.
- 31. Subgrantee assures that the Center(s) will be meeting Delaware Office of Child Care License Regulations by the start date cited on the forms. The subgrantee acknowledges that the amount awarded to the subgrantee will be prorated, based upon the date when the program can begin (following the approval of the Delaware Office of Child Care License) if it is a later date than the start date included in this continuation application. The only exemption for this assurance is in situations where 1) the public school is the lead agent of this subgrant AND 2) all 21st Century program sites are located in public schools in that school district or charter school. PLEASE NOTE: If a 21st Century program is located in a public school (or schools) where the community-based agency is the lead agent of this subgrant, then that public school (or schools) MUST BE LICENSED by the Delaware Office of Child Care License.
- 32. The subgrantee will submit a self-assessment in December and June of each year and will submit an annual evaluation report within 45 days of the subgrant end date.

Annual Evaluation Report - Each 21st CCLC program must submit to the Delaware 21st CCLC State Coordinator the following items as its Annual Evaluation:

- the current **Annual Performance Report (APR) Summary** from the Federal database system reflecting data through the subgrant end date,
- the final Expenditure Report
 - Must show Total Budget line for every column, which should match the amounts in the original subgrant and Subgrant Award Notice (SAN).
 - Must show Total Expenditures for every column, which should match your program's financial records for all expenditures. Ideally, the grand total should equal the grand total of the Total Budget amount.
 - If actual expenditures within any reporting category exceed the higher of 15% or \$5,000 of the budgeted amount, the subgrantee should briefly explain why. A similar explanation is required if expenditures of \$5,000 or more are made within a reporting category for which no expenditures were budgeted.
- the Evaluation Responses
- 33. At least one person from each 21st CCLC subgrant site will attend all required DDOE-sponsored technical assistance and professional development meetings.
- 34. At least one person from each 21st CCLC subgrant site will attend a state, regional, or national conference on quality programming for school-age students in extended-day learning opportunities, such as the 21st Century Community Learning Centers Summer Institute.

Section 9 – Assurances, Certifications, and Signatures

We, the undersigned, certify that the information contained in this Delaware 21st Century Community Learning Centers Subgrant Application is complete and accurate to the best of our knowledge; that the necessary assurances of compliance with applicable state and federal statues, rules, regulations will be met; and, that the indicated lead agency designated in this application is authorized to administer this subgrant.

We further certify that the 34 assurances listed above have been satisfied and will be adhered to, and that all facts, figures, and representation in this application are correct to the best of our knowledge.

Live Signature of:

Superintendent or Chief Executive Officer of the Local Education Agency

Live Signature of:

Chief Executive Officer of the Community Agency

Printed Name: Local Education Agency: Date: Printed Name: Community Agency: Date:

Attachments:

Memoranda of Understanding (MOU):

3 points

Memoranda of Understanding (MOU), though not legally binding, should describe clearly the specific commitments of staff, services, facilities, equipment, or resources provided by each partner, including estimating monetary value. The MOU should also document the process for the collection and sharing of required school-related indicators including school attendance and academic achievement.

Attach a MOU for each partner listed in "Section 3 – Partnerships and Collaborations."

Intent to Participate Letter

Federal law mandates that non-public school administrators are consulted in a timely and meaningful manner during the design and development of the program.

Attach an Intent to Participate form for each non-public school in the school(s) service area.

Budget Pages

12 points

Prepare a budget that is justified in "Section 8: Fiscal Management, Budget, and Sustainability" that will adequately cover program expenses. The requested amount should be appropriate and reasonable for the size and scope of the program.

Attach the Budget Pages.

Memorandum of Understanding

between

Partner

and

Partner

This Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) sets for the terms and understanding between the **Partner** and the **Partner** who are providing services and/or financial support to the 21st CCLC program.

| Partner name: |
|-------------------------|
| Partner representative: |
| Position: |
| Address: |
| Telephone: |
| Fax: |
| E-mail: |
| |

Partner name: Partner representative: Position: Address: Telephone: Fax: E-mail:

Purpose

The purpose of this MOU is to establish an agreement among the above mentioned parties concerning their respective roles and responsibilities for implementation of a 21st Century Community Learning Center (CCLC) subgrant.

This agreement is to establish and coordinate joint processes and procedures for the provision of the 21st CCLC program (including summer, before school, weekends, evenings, in-service days, vacation breaks, etc.) for students, family engagement activities, staff development, supervision, and program evaluation.

21st Century Community Learning Centers Vision and Overview

Since the MOU is intended to describe and detail how partners will contribute to the establishment and maintenance of the afterschool program, it is important that all partners to the MOU share a collective vision for the program and have a common understanding as to the scope and purpose of the program.

The description provided under this section will serve as a critical framework for the program as it makes decisions on the implementation of the 21st CCLC investment:

Duties of Parties

In this section, the responsibilities and agreements of each party is described separately.

| For the lead | agency or organization, | the responsibilities and agreements could include: |
|---------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Serve a | s the fiscal agent for the | grant; |

- Provide needed support including office space, telephone use, and computer use for afterschool staff;
 - Provide classroom space and all other appropriate space to accommodate the afterschool program;
- Provide custodial support, office support, and other appropriate support to ensure the program runs smoothly and that children are safe afterschool;
- Be responsible for purchasing necessary materials/supplies for designated components in accordance with the 21st CCLC budget;
- Support staff in trainings and professional development opportunities in areas related to afterschool programming and issues;
 - Participate in the evaluation of the afterschool program at the local, state, and federal levels;
 - Complete paperwork related to any association with the program;
 - Provide access to assessment and other available data for the purposes of program evaluation;
 - Assist the program in developing, implementing, and making progress on its sustainability plan;
 - *Recruit and refer students to the afterschool program;*
 - Participate on the Advisory Team; and/or
 - Other:

For the **school partner**, the following may apply:

- Assume payroll responsibilities for afterschool positions, as budgeted;
- Provide classroom space and all other appropriate space to accommodate the afterschool program;
- *Recruit and refer students to the afterschool program;*
- *Communicate and collaborate with all partners;*

| | Support the afterschool program in developing appropriate curricula, running an effective |
|---|--|
| | homework and/or tutoring program, and establishing clear linkages with the school day; Establish a collaborative relationship between school day staff and afterschool staff including having a significant number of school based staff committed to working in the |
| | program; |
| | Complete paperwork related to and associated with the program; |
| | <i>Provide access to assessment and other available data for the purposes of program evaluation;</i> |
| | Participate in the evaluation of the afterschool program at the local, state, and federal levels; |
| | Assist the program in developing, implementing, and making progress on its sustainability |
| | plan; |
| | Participate on the Advisory Team; and/or |
| H | Other: |
| | |
| | |

For a **community partner**, the following may apply:

- Provide appropriate space or programming to accommodate the afterschool program;
 Recruit and refer students to the afterschool program;
- Communicate and collaborate with all partners about rules, expectations, and norms;
- Communicate and collaborate with school partners about curriculum and instruction;
- Establish a collaborative relationship between organization staff and afterschool staff;
- Complete paperwork related to and associated with the program;
- Provide access to assessment and other available data for the purposes of program *evaluation;*
- Participate in the evaluation of the afterschool program at the local, state, and federal *levels;*

Assist the program in developing, implementing, and making progress on its sustainability plan;

Participate on the Advisory Team; and/or

Other:

Meetings

All major administrative decisions concerning policy and personnel of the afterschool program shall be brought to the Advisory Team. The Advisory Team consists of **(list partners)** and the Program Director. Decisions will be reached by group consensus whenever possible and appropriate. The Advisory Team will meet at least four times per year, or as needed, about key decisions and issues related to successful program implementation. The Program Director will oversee and make all day-to-day decisions, in consultation with the program staff, when appropriate, for the operations of the program. If partners cannot come to a mutual agreement, the LEA School Partner will have final decision-making authority.

Funding

Clearly describe any grant funds, the amount and category (salaries, contracted services, materials and supplies, etc.) that will be provided to the non-lead agency(s):

In addition, partners will provide (List funds and other commitments)

Duration

The agreement is for a period of one year and may be renewed annually up to five years.

Procedures for Modification and Termination

- The MOU may be modified, revised, extended, or renewed by mutual written consent of all parties, by the issuance of a written amendment, signed and dated by all parties.
 Submission of a revised MOU requires a program amendment to be submitted to the state coordinator.
- Any party of the MOU may terminate their participation in this MOU by giving written notice of intent to terminate to each of the partners. In such case, termination by one or more of the parties to this MOU does not alter the terms or obligations of the other parties to this MOU.
- An individual partners' participation in the afterschool program may be terminated for noncompliance with the MOU provided the other parties provide written notice clearly outlining the reasons for the termination.

Severance Clause

If any part of this agreement becomes unenforceable or illegal, then the agreement will continue in force, but the offending provision(s) shall be severed from the agreement and will have no effect on the remaining services agreed to and associated performance.

Signatures

All partners in this agreement sign to confirm their acceptance of its terms by their signature.

(Partner signature) **Partner Printed Name** Position Organization Date: (Partner signature) Partner Printed Name Position Organization Date:

INTENT TO PARTICIPATE FORM

in 21st CCCLC during School Year

Nonprofit – Private School:

Due Date:

Return to:

As a private school located within the attendance areas of the following Federal Education Program. Private school students and teachers may receive benefits, services and materials from this federal education program. If your school is interested in participating in these programs, the school district will contact you to begin meaningful, substantial consultations, including discussions on practical aspects of operating federal programs.

Please place a check next to the program in which you would like your eligible students and their teachers to participate. It is important to note that some of the Federal Education Programs listed below are discretionary programs and the school district may not necessarily participate in them.

Title IV, Part B – 21st Century Community Learning Centers

The 21st Century Community Learning Centers (21st CCLC) program provides before-and after-school services to children and their families that include academic enrichment activities, particularly for students who attend low-performing schools, to help them meet State and local student performance standards in core academic subjects.

The State Education Agency (SEA) has the basic responsibility for the administration of funds made available under Federal Education Programs. In so doing, it must provide allocations of Federal Education Programs funds to Local Education Agencies (LEAs) and some nonprofit, private schools desiring to participate in the programs in accordance with the state formula.

To complete the funding formula, it is necessary for you to provide or confirm the following data:

• Please Note: Allocations are based on the enrollment figure reported to DOE for the Sept. 30th count and this enrollment figure cannot be changed here.

Allocations are based on the <u>September 30</u>, total enrollment as reported to the Delaware Department of Education. Number of students:

Please note the following:

- The 21st CCLC program lead agency <u>will always maintain control of the funds</u> and will not provide direct funding from these federal education programs to the private school.
- If the private school does <u>not</u> sign and return this Intent to Participate Form by personnel will <u>not</u> be qualified to participate in services for the school year.

.

.

.

Yes, we will consult with the School District and the 21st CCLC program lead agency regarding our participation in the 21st CCLC Program. I certify that the above data is accurate and verifiable by available records and that the named school is a nonprofit, private school.

| | No, I am not interested in consulting with the | School | District | and | the 2 | 21 st | CCLC | program | lead | agency | regardin | g |
|-----|--|--------|----------|-----|-------|------------------|------|---------|------|--------|----------|---|
| par | ticipating in the 21 st CCLC Program. | | | | | | | | | | | |

Private School Name:

Head of School Name:

Head of School Signature: _____ Date:

Attachment: Budget Forms

Please use and attach a completed State of Delaware Department of Education Federal Funds Budget Form (Excel spreadsheets).