

# Vision and the statistics of the visual environment Eero P Simoncelli

It is widely believed that visual systems are optimized for the visual properties of the environment inhabited by the organism. A specific instance of this principle is known as the Efficient Coding Hypothesis, which holds that the purpose of early visual processing is to produce an efficient representation of the incoming visual signal. The theory provides a quantitative link between the statistical properties of the world and the structure of the visual system. As such, specific instances of this theory have been tested experimentally, and have been used to motivate and constrain models for early visual processing.

#### Addresses

4 Washington Place, Room 809, New York, NY 10003-6604, USA e-mail: eero.simoncelli@nyu.edu

Current Opinion in Neurobiology 2003, 13:144–149

This review comes from a themed issue on Cognitive neuroscience Edited by Brian Wandell and Anthony Movshon

0959-4388/03/\$ - see front matter © 2003 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

DOI 10.1016/S0959-4388(03)00047-3

Abbreviations

V1 primary visual cortex

## Introduction

One of the primary roles of theory in the sciences is to provide fundamental principles that explain why the natural world is constructed as it is. In biology, the main example of such a principle is the theory of evolution by natural selection. In the context of vision, this sort of philosophy was championed by Marr [1], who argued that it is essential to consider the visual system at an abstract computational level in order to understand its design.

Two specific instances of this philosophy provide a quantitative link between the statistical properties of the visual environment and the structure of biological visual systems: the so-called Efficient Coding Hypothesis, and the formulation of early vision problems in terms of Bayesian estimation or decision theory. Specific instances of both theories can be tested experimentally, and can be used to motivate and constrain models for vision. The Efficient Coding Hypothesis has been a central topic in a variety of recent workshops and meetings (see box 1), as well as of several review articles  $[2,3^{\bullet\bullet}]$  and a special journal issue [4]. Recent results related to this hypothesis are interesting although controversial. Owing to this flurry of recent interest, and because

Bayesian theories of vision have been reviewed in several other places (e.g. [5–10]), I focus almost entirely on efficient coding in this review, with the emphasis on studies published over the past two years.

## Efficient coding

The theory of information plays a natural role in models of neural systems by providing abstract but unique quantitative definitions for information [11]. Barlow [12] recognized the importance of information theory in this context and hypothesized that the efficient coding of visual information could serve as a fundamental constraint on neural processing. This hypothesis holds that a group of neurons should encode information as compactly as possible, so as to utilize the available computing resources most effectively. Mathematically, this is expressed as a desire to maximize the information that neural responses provide about the visual environment.

The simplest form of this hypothesis (in particular, ignoring the noise in neural responses) decouples naturally into two separate statements: one regarding the statistics of individual neural responses and a second regarding the joint statistics of the responses of a population of neurons [2,13,14]. The responses of an individual neuron to the natural environment should fully utilize its output capacity, within the limits of any constraints on the response (e.g., maximum firing rate). In other words, each neuron should utilize its full output capacity in encoding information. The responses of different neurons to the natural environment should be statistically independent from each other. In other words, the information carried by each neuron should not be redundant with that carried by the others. This is also consistent with a notion that the visual system strives to decompose a scene into statistically independent constituents (e.g. individual objects). More detailed discussions of these ideas, as well as the role of noise, may be found in other articles [2,12,14–21].

## Criticisms

A variety of criticisms have been voiced regarding the Efficient Coding Hypothesis. Several of these represent misconceptions about the theory, some are aimed at particular variants of the theory, some are about practical experimental issues, whereas others are more fundamental. Below is brief discussion of some of these criticisms (see the recent review article by Barlow [3<sup>••</sup>] for additional discussion).

#### The purpose of vision

It has often been argued that efficient coding of visual information is irrelevant because the purpose of vision is

# Box 1 Recent workshops on natural scene statistics and their relevance to biology.

http://redwood.ucdavis.edu/bruno/NIPS96/abstracts.html http://www.klab.caltech.edu/~pam/cns96/summaries/ natscenes96.html http://goethe.klab.caltech.edu/~pam/nssmeeting/ http://www.cnl.salk.edu/~rao/workshop.html http://www.klab.caltech.edu/~pam/nss2000.html http://gandalf.psych.umn.edu/~kersten/kersten-lab/ CompNeuro2002/index.htm http://www.klab.caltech.edu/~pam/NSS2002.htm http://www.ski.org/Carandini/symposium.

not to encode or reconstruct the visual world. There is some truth to this criticism, in that the hypothesis does not take into account how the information that has been extracted is to be used. This may be viewed as either an advantage (because one does not need to assume any specific visual task or goal, and does not even need to specify what is being represented) or a limitation (because tasks and goals are clearly relevant for visual processing). More complete theories, such as that given by Bayesian estimation and decision, can take into account both the statistical structure of the environment and the visual task or goal.

#### **Relevance of information theory**

A second criticism of the Efficient Coding Hypothesis is that 'information theory is irrelevant because the brain is not concerned with bits'. Bits are simply a standard choice of unit for information, but the abstract definition of information is well motivated, unique, and most certainly relevant to the brain.

#### Experimentally observed dependency

One criticism of the Efficient Coding Hypothesis is that 'some experimental data from multi-neuron recordings show correlation, synchronization, or other forms of statistical dependency between neurons'. Most of these data came from experiments that did not use naturalistic stimuli, however, and thus dependencies in the neural responses are not directly relevant to the hypothesis. In addition, recent studies suggest that responses to natural stimuli in the primary visual cortex (V1) are relatively independent [22,23°,24°]. Even if one were to observe dependencies in neural responses under natural stimulus conditions, the hypothesis states only that the system strives for independence; the constraints of neural processing may prevent this being achieved. Taking this into account, a more realistic expectation might be that successive stages of processing (e.g. steps along an ascending sensory pathway) should reduce statistical dependence [25<sup>••</sup>].

## **Over-representation in cortex**

A further criticism of the Efficient Coding Hypothesis is based on a comparison of the number of retinal ganglion cells to the number of neurons in the primary visual cortex. Critics argue that 'the number of neurons devoted to processing sensory information seems to expand as one goes deeper into the system, suggesting that the brain increases redundancy'. This argument usually assumes, however, that the coding capacity of all neurons (and in particular those in retina and cortex) is the same. The distribution of information amongst more neurons does not necessitate more redundancy if the form of neural coding employed by those neurons differs. For example (as Barlow points out [3<sup>••</sup>]), cortical neurons tend to have lower firing rates than retinal neurons, and may well use a different form of code. In addition, cortical neurons have more complex temporal dynamic properties (e.g. adaptation) that may serve to encode information over longer timescales. Although the redundancy of retinal and V1 neurons has not been experimentally compared, a related comparison in the auditory system was able to demonstrate a reduction of redundancy [25<sup>••</sup>].

## **Experimental impracticality**

Estimation of information-theoretic quantities requires enormous amounts of data, and is thus impractical for experimental verification. This is a significant problem for the Efficient Coding Hypothesis, especially as commonly used estimators of information are known to be heavily biased. Nevertheless, cases of successful experimental measurement give reason for optimism on this point (see below).

## Definition of input and output

The Efficient Coding Hypothesis crucially depends on the probability distribution of natural images and the definition of the neural response, both of which are unconstrained. Thus, the theory is not as assumption free as one is led to believe. In my opinion, this is the most fundamental problem with the hypothesis. Typically, the input distribution is not defined explicitly, but is assumed to be well represented by a collection of calibrated 'naturalistic' images. When applying the hypothesis, one must specify which neurons are meant to satisfy the hypothesis (e.g., neurons within a particular cell class, or within a specific visual area, or across multiple visual areas), and how their responses are to be measured (e.g. mean firing rates versus individual spike times). Again, examples of successful experimental measurement give us reason for optimism.

#### Importance of noise

The final criticism of the Efficient Coding Hypothesis discussed here is that 'commonly used versions of the theory that ignore noise and other physical constraints are too simplistic'. This is a valid argument, but in many cases may not constitute a fatal flaw. Even simplistic forms of the theory seem to make interesting predictions, and many authors have developed more sophisticated versions that include physical constraints such as noise (see below).

# Testing the hypothesis

Although the Efficient Coding Hypothesis is roughly fifty years old, it has only recently been explored quantitatively. This recent progress is due to three fundamental improvements in the field. First, we have a much better understanding of early sensory processing. Second, mathematical and engineering tools have been developed for the description and manipulation of more complex statistical models. And third, advances in computing and imaging technologies allow us to gather and manipulate vast quantities of image data, both for use as experimental stimuli and for statistical modeling purposes.

There are two basic methodologies for testing and refining the Efficient Coding Hypothesis. The direct approach is to examine the statistical properties of neural responses under natural stimulation conditions (e.g. [20,22,26–28]). An alternative approach is to use the statistical properties of natural images to constrain or derive a model for early sensory processing (e.g. [18,29–37]). Below, I review some recent examples of each of these methods.

# **Experimental tests**

In recent years, there have been several interesting experimental studies that take the direct approach and examine neural responses to naturalistic images or image sequences (see [38<sup>••</sup>] for a review). Researchers have measured efficiency in a variety of different ways, and although the results of some studies seem to confirm the hypothesis of efficient coding, others seem inconsistent with it. On the whole, however, recent results have helped to advance our understanding of the issues under investigation.

For example, Baddeley *et al.* [28] had shown that firingrate distributions of cat visual area V1 neurons and monkey inferotemporal (IT) neurons were exponential under naturalistic conditions, which implies optimal information transmission for a fixed average rate of firing. A subsequent study of monkey IT neurons found that only a minority were well described by an exponential firing distribution [39]. De Polavieja [40] later argued that this discrepancy was due to the fact that the exponential solution is correct only for the noise-free case, and showed that by taking noise into consideration, one could account for the observed results.

Similarly, previous results from Meister *et al.* [41] suggested that retinal ganglion cells exhibit strong firing correlations, and that these patterns could provide useful information (although these experiments did not directly address efficient coding as they were not based on natural image stimuli). A recent article by Nirenberg *et al.* [23<sup>•</sup>] argues that retinal ganglion cells act as independent encoders, on the basis of multi-cell recordings with natural stimuli and a novel (but controversial) choice of

redundancy measure. Reich et al. [42<sup>•</sup>] found that responses of V1 neurons are nearly independent under non-natural (approximately white noise) stimulation. This seems inconsistent with the Efficient Coding Hypothesis, as one typically expects efficiency to degrade for non-natural stimuli (e.g. [20]). Wiener *et al.* find that the information encoded by the spike counts of V1 neurons over moderate length intervals is nearly the same for various artificial stimuli as it is for naturalistic stimuli [43]. Vinje and Gallant [24<sup>•</sup>] recently reported that the presence of natural stimuli in the non-classical receptive field increases several measures of informational efficiency. Finally, it is worth mentioning an interesting article by Chechik et al. [25<sup>••</sup>], even though the study concerns the auditory system. Their work provides one of the first direct tests of the reduction of redundancy as one ascends a sensory pathway.

Several studies suggest that the visual system exhibits improved performance under naturalistic input conditions. For example, Lewen et al. [44] demonstrated that the H1 neuron in the blowfly responds over a substantially broader range of velocities for outdoor scenes than for indoor scenes. Kern *et al.* [45] show that blowfly neurons can encode turning directions independently of environmental texture and spatial structure, but only when the environment is 'natural'. Although the connection has not been fully established, the Efficient Coding Hypothesis suggests that optimal characterization of a neural system might be best performed using naturalistic stimuli. Experiments to demonstrate this have been performed (e.g. [46]), and recently developed analysis techniques may provide the necessary tools for characterization [47,48].

## **Optimal models**

As mentioned above, the second method of testing the Efficient Coding Hypothesis is to derive a model for the efficient coding of the environment and then compare it with physiological data. Many of the original attempts to use this method were constrained to linear filtering and second-order statistical modeling (e.g. [18,29–33]). Recently, several authors have established relationships between higher-order statistical properties and linear filtering (e.g. [34,35]). Others have also used various forms of non-linear processing, such as divisive gain control [37,49<sup>•</sup>-51<sup>•</sup>]. In addition, some authors are beginning to explore the cascades of redundancy-reduction stages. For example, Hoyer and Hyvarinen [52<sup>•</sup>] have developed a model that forms contour-like receptive fields on the basis of the statistical properties of model V1 complex cell responses under natural stimulus conditions.

## **Extensions**

Researchers have recently used a variety of auxiliary constraints or extensions to augment the efficiency hypothesis. Several authors have tried to incorporate the metabolic costs of neural activity as a constraint [53,54]. Recently, Balasubramanian and Berry [55] demonstrated that retinal ganglion cells in the tiger salamander are optimized to transmit visual information at a minimal metabolic cost, assuming that the symbols of the neural code are represented by spike bursts of a given length. Although this result is interesting, the data were recorded under artificial visual stimulation conditions, and thus do not bear directly on the issue of environmental statistics. Similarly, Gottschalk [56] shows that a hyperbolic ratio provides an optimal neural response to non-linearity, in that it maximizes information transmission subject to a simple model of neural costs that includes both synaptic strength and spike rate. Again, the result is implicitly based on white noise input stimuli, and thus does not bear directly on the Efficient Coding Hypothesis.

It has been proposed that efficient coding might also apply to adaptive processes [57–59]. Brenner *et al.* and Fairhall and co-workers [60,61<sup>•</sup>] have demonstrated that adaptation of the fly's H1 neuron to the variance of a white-noise stimulus appears to optimize information transmission.

Several other authors have also augmented efficient coding with other constraints or principles. Several authors have derived models for V1 complex cells by maximizing the independence or temporal coherence of cells constructed using non-linear combinations of linear subunits (e.g., sums of squared linear filter responses) [62-64]. Balboa and Grzywacz [65] argue that lateral inhibition in the retina is inconsistent with pure information maximization, and provide an alternative hypothesis suggesting that the system is designed to detect and represent image features in the presence of photon-absorption noise. Additionally, Von-de-Twer and Mcleod [66] showed that color representation in monkey ganglion cells is best understood in the context of optimizing the average ability to discriminate color signals in the natural environment.

## Conclusions

The recent resurgence of interest in efficient coding has produced several interesting experimental and theoretical results. Rather than merely solidifying or disproving the basic hypothesis, however, this body of work demonstrates the complexity and subtlety of establishing a firm quantitative connection between the properties of natural stimuli and a neural response. Most of the difficulty lies in the definition of the input (what is a 'natural' image?) and the output (which neurons should be considered, and how does one define 'neural response'?), as well as in the complexities of incorporating realistic constraints (e.g. noise and metabolic costs) and computational goals. As we wrestle with these issues, it becomes clear that the value of efficient coding has already far exceeded its role as a hypothesis to be evaluated. Effort directed at studying this hypothesis has significantly advanced the field by increasing the general level of quantitative investigation, by leading us to carefully study and model the visual environment from a statistical standpoint, by forcing us to consider the influence of environmental statistics on neural response, and by encouraging us to examine neural response to natural stimuli. Although it seems improbable that Efficient Coding will suffice as the sole principle for understanding sensory system design, it is clear that it will continue to play an important role.

#### Acknowledgements

The author is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, and is also supported by the Sloan-Swartz Center for Theoretical Visual Neuroscience at NYU.

#### References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- . of outstanding interest
- 1. Marr D: Vision: A Computational Investigation into the Human Representation and Processing of Visual Information. San Francisco: WH Freeman and Company; 1982.
- 2. Simoncelli E, Olshausen B: Natural image statistics and neural representation. Annu Rev Neurosci 2001, 24:1193-1216.
- Barlow HB: Redundancy reduction revisited. Network 2001,
   12:241-253.

A retrospective look at the Efficient Coding Hypothesis by one of its original proponents. The author argues that the original statement of the hypothesis overemphasized issues of compression, but was basically correct in stating the importance of redundancy.

- 4. Reinagel P, Laughlin S: Natural stimulus statistics. *Network* 2001, 12:237-240.
- Knill DC, Richards W: Perception as Bayesian Inference. Edited by Knill DC, Richards W. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 1996.
- Rao RPN, Ballard DH: Predictive coding in the visual cortex: a functional interpretation of some extraclassical receptive-field effects. Nat Neurosci 1999, 2:79-87.
- Kersten D, Schrater P: Pattern inference theory: a probabilistic approach to vision. In *Perception and the Physical World*. Edited by Heyer D, Mausfeld R. Chichester, UK: Wiley; 2002:191-228.
- Schrater P, Kersten D: Vision, psychophysics and Bayes. In Probabilistic Models of the Brain. Edited by Rao RPN, Olshausen BA, Lewicki MS. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 2002:37-60.
- Mamassian P, Landy M, Maloney LT: Bayesian modeling of visual perception. In Probabilistic Models of the Brain. Edited by Rao RPN, Olshausen BA, Lewicki MS. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 2002:13-36.
- Maloney LT: Statistical decision theory and biological vision. In Perception and the Physical World. Edited by Heyer D, Mausfeld R. Chichester, UK: Wiley; 2002:145-189.
- 11. Cover T, Thomas J: *Elements of Information Theory*. New York: Wiley; 1991.
- Barlow HB: Possible principles underlying the transformation of sensory messages. In Sensory Communication. Edited by Rosenblith WA. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 1961:217-234.
- 13. Panzeri S, Schultz SR, Treves A, Rolls E: Correlations and encoding of information in the nervous system. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 1999, **266**:1001-1012.
- 14. Dayan P, Abbott LF: *Theoretical Neuroscience*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 2001.

- 15. Attneave F: Some informational aspects of visual perception. Psych Rev 1954, 61:183-193.
- 16. Srinivasan MV, Laughlin SB, Dubs A: Predictive coding: a fresh view of inhibition in the retina. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 1982, **216**:427-459
- 17. Atick JJ, Redlich AN: Towards a theory of early visual processing. Neural Comput 1990, 2:308-320.
- 18. van Hateren JH: A theory of maximizing sensory information. Biol Cybern 1992, 68:23-29
- 19. Field DJ: What is the goal of sensory coding? Neural Comput 1994, 6:559-601.
- 20. Rieke F, Bodnar DA, Bialek W: Naturalistic stimuli increase the rate and efficiency of information transmission by primary auditory afferents. Proc R Soc Lond Ser B 1995, 262:259-265.
- 21. Rieke F, Warland D, de-Ruyter-van-Steveninck RR, Bialek W: Spikes: Exploring the Neural Code. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 1997.
- 22. Vinje WE, Gallant JL: Sparse coding and decorrelation in primary visual cortex during natural vision. Science 2000, 287:1273-1276.
- 23. Nirenberg S, Carcieri SM, Jacobs AL, Latham PE: Retinal ganglion cells act largely as independent encoders. Nature 2001, 411:698-701.

This is an important paper, as it is one of the first to attempt to directly quantify the redundancy between pairs of neurons under naturalistic stimulation conditions. The redundancy measure used is unusual and is motivated with respect to decoding (as opposed to encoding). This work has generated a lively controversy (see the discussion on Peter Latham's web page URL: http://culture.neurobio.ucla.edu/~pel/critics/ ind.rgc\_nature01.html), which should be resolved through careful discussion and comparison of alternative measures.

24. Vinje WE, Gallant JL: Natural stimulation of the nonclassical receptive field increases information transmission efficiency in V1. J Neurosci 2002, 22:2904-2915.

This study shows that the information rate, information per spike, and transmission efficiency increase as the spatial extent of a naturalistic stimulus is increased to extend beyond the classical receptive field.

Chechik G, Globerson A, Tishby N, Anderson MJ, Young ED, Nelken I: Group redundancy measures reveal redundancy 25. Information Processing Systems (NIPS\*01), vol 14. Edited by Dietterich TG, Becker S, Ghahramani Z. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 2002.

This study provides a direct experimental demonstration of redundancy reduction as one ascends the cat auditory pathway. Specifically, the authors show an increase in information content and a reduction in mutual information in the primary auditory cortex as compared with the inferior colliculus during presentation of bird vocalization stimuli.

- Laughlin SB: A simple coding procedure enhances a neuron's 26. information capacity. Z Naturforsch 1981, 36c:910-912.
- 27. Dan Y, Atick JJ, Reid RC: Efficient coding of natural scenes in the lateral geniculate nucleus: experimental test of a computational theory. J Neurosci 1996, 16:3351-3362.
- 28. Baddeley R, Abbott LF, Booth MC, Sengpiel F, Freeman T, Wakeman EA, Rolls ET: **Responses of neurons in primary and** inferior temporal visual cortices to natural scenes. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 1998, B264:1775-1783.
- 29. Linsker R: Self-organization in a perceptual network. Computer 1988, 21:105-117.
- Barlow HB, Kaushal TP, Mitchison GJ: Finding minimum entropy 30. codes. Neural Comput 1989, 1:412-423.
- Sanger TD: Optimal unsupervised learning in a single-layer 31. network. Neural Netw 1989, 2:459-473.
- Foldiak P: Forming sparse representations by local anti-32. hebbian learning. Biol Cybern 1990, 64:165-170
- 33. Atick JJ: Could information theory provide an ecological theory of sensory processing? Netw Computat Neural Syst 1992, **3**:213-251.

- 34. Olshausen BA, Field DJ: Emergence of simple-cell receptive field properties by learning a sparse code for natural images. Nature 1996, 381:607-609.
- 35. Bell AJ, Sejnowski TJ: The 'independent components' of natural scenes are edge filters. Vision Res 1997, 37:3327-3338
- 36. van Hateren JH, Ruderman DL: Independent component analysis of natural image sequences yields spatio-temporal filters similar to simple cells in primary visual cortex. Proc R Soc Lond Ser B 1998, 265:2315-2320.
- 37. Simoncelli EP, Schwartz O: Image statistics and cortical normalization models. In Advanced Neural Information Processing Systems, vol 11. Edited by Kearns MS, Solla SA, Cohn DA. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 1999:153-159.

38. Reinagel P: How do visual neurons respond in the real world?
• Curr Opin Neurobiol 2001, 11:437-442.
The author presents a good overview of recent physiological experiments that utilize naturalistic stimuli.

- 39. Treves A, Panzeri S, Rolls ET, Booth M, Wakeman EA: Firing rate distributions and efficiency of information transmission of inferior temporal cortex neurons to natural visual stimulation. Neural Comput 1999, 11:601-631.
- 40. de Polavieja GG: Errors drive the evolution of biological signaling to costly codes. J Theor Biol 2002, 214:657-664.
- 41. Meister M, Lagnado L, Baylor DA: Concerted signaling by retinal ganglion cells. Science 1995, 270:1207-1210.
- 42. Reich DS, Mechler F, Victor JD: Independent and redundant information of nearby cortical neurons. Science 2001, 294:2566-2568

This study shows that responses of clusters of V1 neurons to white-noise stimuli indicate a fairly low level of redundancy, for clusters of up to six neurons. Although this does not directly bear on the Efficient Coding Hypothesis (because of the choice of stimuli), it seems surprising, given the results of Rieke et al. [20]

43. Wiener MC, Oram MW, Liu Z, Richmond BJ: Consistency of encoding in monkey visual cortex. J Neurosci 2001, 21:8210-8221.

The authors demonstrate that neurons in areas V1 transmit roughly equal amounts of information about a variety of stimuli (including bars, gratings, Walsh patterns, and photographic images). Their information measure is based on a spike count code taken over 400 msec intervals, and an assumption that spike count variability followed a Gaussian distribution.

- 44. Lewen G, Bialek W, Steveninck RR: Neural coding of natural motion stimuli. Network 2001, 12:317-329.
- 45. Kern R, Petereit C, Egelhaaf M: Neural processing of naturalistic optic flow. J Neurosci 2001, 21:RC139.
- 46. Ringach D, Hawken M, Shapley R: Receptive field structure of neurons in monkey primary visual cortex revealed by stimulation with natural image sequences. J Vis 2002, 2:12-24.
- 47. Sharpee T, Rust NC, Bialek W: Maximizing informative dimensions: analyzing neural responses to natural signals. In Advanced Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS\*02), vol 15. Edited by Becker S, Thrun S, Obermayer K. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 2003.
- Paninski L: Convergence properties of spike-triggered analysis 48. techniques. In Advanced Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS\*02), vol 15. Edited by Becker S, Thrun S, Obermayer K. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 2003.
- 49. Schwartz O, Simoncelli EP: Natural signal statistics and sensory
  gain control. Nat Neurosci 2001, 4:819-825.

We demonstrate that natural images and sounds exhibit higher-order statistical dependencies that may be reduced using divisive normalization, a form of local contrast gain control. We also show that when the parameters of such a model are optimized to reduce redundancy, the resulting model provides a good account of several non-linear properties of early visual and auditory neurons.

50. van Hateren JH, Snippe HP: Information theoretical evaluation of parametric models of gain control in blowfly photoreceptor cells. Vision Res 2001, 41:1851-1865.

This study derives a nearly optimal gain control model for blowfly photoreceptors that is based on information-theoretic measures in the context of naturalistic stimuli. It remains to be seen whether the resulting model is quantitatively consistent with physiological behaviors.

 51. Zetzsche C, Rohrbein F: Nonlinear and extra-classical receptive
 field properties and the statistics of natural scenes. Network 2001, 12:331-350.

This study examines a variety of higher-order statistical properties of images, and their relationship to cortical models. In particular, the authors argue that gain-control models, and cascades of linear operators and non-linear scalar operations are well-suited for natural image structures, as well as being consistent with non-linear properties of cortical neurons.

- 52. Hoyer P, Hyvarinen A: A multi-layer sparse coding network
- learns contour coding from natural images. Vision Res 2002, 42:1593-1605.

The authors use a sparse-coding model to derive an optimal basis set for processing the responses of model complex cells to natural images. The resulting optimal basis set encodes extended contours.

- 53. Levy WB, Baxter RA: Energy efficient neural codes. *Neural Comput* 1996, **8**:531-543.
- 54. Laughlin SB, de-Ruyter-van-Steveninck R, Anderson JC: The metabolic cost of information. *Nat Neurosci* 1998, 1:36-41.
- 55. Balasubramanian V, Berry MJ: A test of metabolically efficient coding in the retina. *Network* 2002, **13**:531-552.
- Gottschalk A: Derivation of the visual contrast response function by maximizing information rate. Neural Comput 2002, 14:527-542.
- 57. Barlow HB, Foldiak P: Adaptation and decorrelation in the cortex. In *The Computing Neuron*. Edited by Durbin R, Miall C, Mitchinson G. New York: Addison-Wellesley; 1989:54-72.
- Barlow HB: A theory about the functional role and synaptic mechanism of visual aftereffects. In *Vision: Coding and Efficiency*. Edited by Blakemore C. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1990.

- 59. Wainwright MJ: Visual adaptation as optimal information transmission. Vision Res 1999, **39**:3960-3974.
- Brenner N, Bialek W, de-Ruyter-van-Steveninck RR: Adaptive rescaling maximizes information transmission. *Neuron* 2000, 26:695-702.
- Fairhall A, Lewen G, Bialek W, de-Ruyter-van-Steveninck R:
   Efficiency and ambiguity in an adaptive neural code. Nature 2001. 412:787-792.

This study elaborates on previous work examining changes in the neural response caused by changes by contrast (variance) of white-noise stimuli. The study shows that the dynamic properties of adaptation appear to be optimized for representation of both the statistics of the stimulus ensemble and the specific attributes of the most recently presented stimulus.

- Hyvarinen A, Hoyer P: Emergence of topography and complex cell properties from natural images using extensions of ICA. In Advanced Neural Information Processing Systems, vol 12. Edited by Solla SA, Leen TK, Muller KR. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 2000;827-833.
- Kayser C, Einhauser W, Dummer O, Konig P, Kording KP: Extracting slow subspaces from natural videos leads to complex cells. In Proceedings International Conference on Artificial Networks (ICANN-01). Edited by Dorfiner G, Bischof H, Hornik K. Vienna: Springer-Verlag 2001:1075-1080.
- Berkes P, Wiskott L: Applying slow feature analysis to image sequences yields a rich repertoire of complex cell properties. In Proceedings International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks (ICANN- 02). Edited by Dorronsoro JR. Madrid: Springer 2002:81-86.
- Balboa RM, Grzywacz NM: The role of early lateral inhibition: more than maximizing luminance information. *Vision Res* 2000, 17:77-89.
- 66. von-der-Twer T, MacLeod DIA: **Optimal nonlinear codes for the** perception of natural colours. *Network* 2001, **12**:395-407.