

SCHOOL TASK FORCE

City of Madison, Alabama

Final Report

Findings and Recommended Strategies

5/07/2010

One Year Update Notes Follow Each Recommendation

5/12/2011



This report represents the results of a limited scope assessment conducted by members of the School Task Force appointed to assess the current safety/security posture of city schools and to recommend strategies for enhancing school safety. Points of view or opinions in this report are those of the School Task Force and do not represent the official position or policies of the Madison City School District.

Executive Summary

The School Task Force Charter

- **Chartered with the support of the Madison City Council, The School Board, The Chief of Police, The School Superintendent, and the Mayor, on 15 February 2010**
- **Focus on identifying ways that help ensure our children are safe at school.**

The tragic events of February 2010 at Discovery Middle School were a turning point for Madison City Schools. The safety of our students, always a priority, now requires a renewal of commitment to safety excellence. City and School District leaders acted swiftly to establish a School Task Force (STF) and charged the 30 appointed members with identifying ways to improve upon school safety.

STF members focused their work in two primary areas – safety/security and communications. The team solicited input from school administrators, faculty, students, parents, subject matter experts, other school systems, law enforcement personnel and School Resource Officers, as well as other stakeholder groups. Madison’s citizens provided over 30 responses via the “Talk to the Task Force” mechanism and almost 500 responses via the survey instrument provided on the Madison City web site.

The STF talked to many impressive, dedicated and competent people throughout the school system. The findings and recommendations referenced in this Final Report capture the collective thoughts of the STF, the school district, and the community and serve as a useful tool for district officials to consult when enhancing the school safety program. Key safety improvement areas among the 32 STF recommendations for consideration by the school district include:

- More focused safety planning, awareness training, and drills.
- Improved security technology controls.
- Use of anonymous reporting tools.
- More parental involvement.
- Greater focus on managing and responding to all safety/security risk.

Questions regarding this Final Report should be directed to the Superintendent, Madison City Schools in Madison, Alabama.

Table of Contents

<u>Findings/Recommendations</u>	<u>Page</u>
SAFETY AND SECURITY PROGRAM OVERSIGHT.....	5
MANAGING SAFETY/SECURITY RISKS.....	5
STUDENT COMMUNICATIONS.....	6
ADMINISTRATOR/SRO PARTNERSHIPS.....	7
SRO PROGRAM: ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS.....	9
EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS TRAINING.....	9
SAFETY POLICY/PLANS/PROCEDURES.....	11
RISK OF BULLYING (PHYSICAL/CYBER).....	12
EMERGENCY AND MEDICAL RESPONSE TEAMS.....	13
SECURITY CONTROLS AND TECHNOLOGIES.....	15
ACCESS CONTROL PROCEDURES.....	17
EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS.....	18
SAFETY AT PATRIOT ACADEMY/ALTERNATIVE SCHOOL.....	19
INTERACTION WITH THE MEDIA.....	21
INCIDENT COMMAND SYSTEM.....	21
SHARING CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.....	22
FACULTY AND STAFF ACTIVE DEFENSE.....	23
COMMUNITY AND PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT.....	23
WEAPONS DETECTION AND RESPONSE.....	25
SAFETY AT SITES LOCATED OUTSIDE OF SCHOOLS.....	26

RISK OF GANG ASSOCIATED PRESENCE.....	26
RISK OF THREATENING BEHAVIOR ASSOCIATED WITH DRUG ACTIVITY.....	27
CENTRAL SURVEILLANCE MONITORING.....	27
EMERGENCY EQUIPMENT.....	28
TRANSPORTATION SAFETY.....	28

Madison City School Task Force

Final Findings and Recommended Strategies

F = Finding

R = Recommendation

C = Comment

F1 – SAFETY/SECURITY PROGRAM OVERSIGHT: Schools are not insulated from the realities of our society. Understandably, the potential for safety/security risk in our schools has risen or may be on the rise due to contributing factors such as 1) city and school district growth over the 10 years; 2) continued growth in the next 3-5 years; 3) uncontrolled societal influences, and 4) the now routine use of technology by students that has led to the rise of non-traditional threats, i.e., cyber bullying, sexting, etc. The increased risk places greater demands on the time and attention required to address safety and security risk mitigation on the already heavily tasked Central Office, School Administrators, and faculty. Efforts to ensure schools are safe are noteworthy but more can be done to further reduce safety risks.

- **R1** – The district should consider establishing a Supervisor of Safety and Communications position with full-time responsibility for effectively planning, coordinating, managing, and auditing school safety. This position could also be responsible for planning and implementing a communication approach that reaches out to all stakeholders to share information on safety/security matters. If adopted, the incumbent would provide safety/security advice and assistance to the Superintendent and first-hand support to Administrators in conducting all hazard response planning and training. The district should consider establishing a new line item in the budget for school safety that begins to address the strategic safety investments identified in this report and accepted by the district.
- **C1** – Excellent resources are available to safety/security coordinators for preventing and responding to school violence. For example, the primary guide used by the STF is the Department of Justice developed “Guide for Preventing and Responding to School Violence” 2nd Edition.

Actions Completed:

1. Hired Dennis James as Safety and Communications Coordinator for Madison City Schools. Effective date of hire was August 20, 2010.

Next Steps:

1. None

F2 – MANAGING SAFETY/SECURITY RISKS: Considering the full range of safety/security risk the schools must address today, the process for managing the risk becomes a critical success factor. During the assessment, the process of managing threat statements/behaviors varied among school Administrators. In most cases, Administrators believe they can identify students of interest who exhibit troublesome behavior and then practice a “prevention through intervention” approach in cooperation with others that rapidly deals with concerns as they arise. In some instances, past experience may lead Administrators to view a reported incident as middle or high

school “drama,” thus there is a risk that the information may not be fully assessed for its impact on the victim and its potential to escalate. Protocols designed to systematically identify, assess, record, and manage threat issues that may pose a credible health or safety concern may need to be evaluated and improved.

- **R2** – The district should consider suggesting that schools identify a team of advisors that assist in determining the credibility of and response to threat conditions, resulting in early intervention, mitigation, and resolution of problems. Depending on the case, team members may include Administrators, counselors, SROs, health professionals, faculty, and even parents as needed. Types of threats requiring a greater level of assessment may include direct threats to students, reported gang associated activity, indicators of suicide or homicide, cyber threats, personal vendettas, threats of retaliation, alarming behavior by parents, bomb threats, and family violence that spills into the schools, etc.
- **C2** – Details of risk incidents, to include indicator and warning signs and steps taken to lessen the risk should be recorded and monitored for progress, tracking of trends, and preservation of evidence for law enforcement purposes.

Actions Completed:

1. Improved communication and involvement with MPD through the SROs.
2. Principals now involve safety coordinator, local SRO, other administrators, and parents.
3. Principals may also use school counselor and teachers.
4. Safety coordinator can bring in behavioral specialist from central office.
5. Schools have Student Support Team (SST) to assist students with multiple behavior problems.
6. Major incidents are tracked through iNow electronic discipline records and SST records.

Next Steps:

1. Develop a system to better record and track incidents that are first classified as minor.

F3 – STUDENT COMMUNICATIONS: Students universally follow a code of silence that inhibits effective communication of potential threats. The “rumor mill” is often a primary source of receiving threatening information. A Safe Schools Tips Line established for anonymous reporting exists and seems to be adequately promoted, however, limiting factors to its overall effectiveness may include 1) tip line is not monitored/responded to after hours, 2) tip line does not connect to law enforcement to aid in an urgent response or investigation, and 3) in today’s technology driven world, the “phone” tip line may seem old-fashioned to students, doesn’t provide a choice of people to report to such as a trusted SRO or teacher, and may not appeal to students as a trusted means of anonymous reporting. Student interviews indicate that students might reluctantly report the most severe cases via the Safe Schools Tip line, but they would be more likely to report critical information (red flags, indicators, and warnings) through confidential texting, if available.

- **R3** – The district embraces the use of state-of-the-art technology to provide students with access to high-quality instruction. The district should consider taking this technology-infused approach a step further to better connect to students through the use of technology they frequently use. In addition to the Safe Schools Tips Line, the district should consider implementing a student friendly, anonymous reporting capability (e.g., texting and web-based messaging) that promotes “Safe to Share” reporting and invites student questions without fear of retribution. An awareness

campaign should promote the system and build confidence in the use of the tool. Such a tool provides Administrators a proven means for early intervention in safety rather than responding to incidents after they happen. The tool should provide the choice to connect to a trusted source, i.e., Administrators, counselors, SROs, coach, or others. The tool can also be effective at controlling rumors by providing an avenue for sharing timely and accurate information to all stakeholders.

- **C3** – During the assessment, the team observed a demonstration of the “Talk About It” web-based student communication tool (www.ancomm.com) in use at over 300 schools. The Huntsville City Schools system recently selected the Talk About It system for use in its middle and high schools. Similar tools are also available on the market or could be developed in-house. The most common methods used by schools nationwide to fund this tool is through soliciting corporate sponsorships, using PTA /PTO provided funds, and/or by applying for state and federal grants.

Actions Completed:

1. The Madison Police Department established the TEXT TO PROTECT line (256-604-2345). The line is confidential, not anonymous.
2. The superintendent’s student advisory group said the confidential line was good, and they did not see a need for an anonymous reporting system.
3. Texts that report criminal activity are immediately sent to the appropriate school resource officer for police action. The SRO also involves the safety coordinator and the school administrator.
4. Texts that are not criminal are sent to the safety coordinator, who then involves the building administrator, counselors, etc. All texts are answered.
5. Policies dealing with cell phone use in schools are being adjusted to allow students more access to phones. This assists with school work, and gives students the ability to use TEXT TO PROTECT immediately when they experience a problem.
6. All secondary administrators made a school-wide announcement asking students to take out their cell phones and enter the TEXT TO PROTECT number into their contacts list.

Next Steps:

1. Improve school level advertisement of the TEXT TO PROTECT program.

F4 – ADMINISTRATOR/SRO PARTNERSHIP: An effective school safety program requires a collaborative effort among many people and organizations. One key to the Madison City Schools system achieving the desired safety goals is the partnership between Administrators and police officers assigned to the School Resource Officer (SRO) program. The Madison Police Department (MPD) SRO program is established at the middle and high school levels, as well as at elementary schools on a more limited basis. Administrators and SROs seem to have a good working relationship at each school. SROs also seem to have good rapport with students and perform their jobs admirably given the current SRO staffing levels.

A Madison Police Department policy that defines SRO roles/responsibilities has not yet been coordinated with the district and codified. This assessment indicates that the use of SROs by school Administrators varies among Administrators. In most cases, the communication and information exchange is mutual and works to resolve all issues. In some limited cases, administrative policy can at times impact the SROs ability to assist the Administrator in deterring and detecting drug use and the potential for threatening behavior, e.g., limitations placed on reasonable searches by working dogs, or a restriction that currently prohibits SROs from accessing the Student Technical Information (STI) database to aid in investigations.

- **R4** – The Madison Police Department should consider codifying an SRO policy based on public safety best practices and coordinate the policy with the Central Office and school Principals to ensure SRO roles and responsibilities, working relationships, and organizational reporting chain are well understood by each party. In a School Administrator/SRO working partnership, Administrators seek the advice of SROs on all law enforcement matters and solicit their advice for improving school safety. In the event an Administrator feels the actions taken by an SRO may not have been appropriate, the Administrator and SRO Unit Supervisor meet to discuss the situation, clarify decisions, and discuss corrective action. Besides ensuring a rapid law enforcement response during an emergency, SROs should continue to focus on building student trust and confidence, providing appropriate training, interviewing students on criminal matters as required, and conducting other SRO duties that may help deter drug use, violence, and other student misconduct. To optimize the value of the SRO program, SROs should avoid becoming distracted or reassigned to non-SRO duties except as directed by the SRO Unit Supervisor, e.g., providing traffic control during peak student activity when there is a shortage of school crossing guards,. An excellent resource for SRO educational programs is the National Association of School Resource Officers (NASRO). Useful information can be found at www.nasro.com.
- **R4**– The district should consider coordinating with the Madison Police Department to reassess SRO staffing levels to identify potential gaps in SRO support to the schools. As sworn Law Enforcement officers, SROs serve as a valuable onsite resource to the district and to school Administrators at all levels. The optimum SRO staffing estimated by the School Task Force based on current and projected student population, level of risk, and ability to complete all assigned duties is 2 SROs at each Middle Schools (includes coverage of the Patriot Academy/Alternative School at Discovery MS), 3 SROs at the High School, 2 SROs to cover all seven elementary schools, and 1 SRO Supervisor with the autonomy to support all schools and fill in for SROs as needed. Future needs to support the new high school should also be considered and action taken to meet the requirement.

Actions Completed:

1. Standard Operating Procedures/Memorandum of Understanding for the School Resource Unit was developed. It was signed on August 20, 2010 by Chief of Police Larry Muncey and Superintendent of Education Dr. Dee Fowler.
2. The Safety Coordinator has worked extensively with SROs and administrators to build upon the positive relationship between MPD and Madison City Schools. Roles and responsibilities as outlined in the SOP/MOU are communicated and followed.

3. Any event at a school that is criminal in nature is immediately referred to the SRO. MPD then follows appropriate procedures.
4. The school system is sending all SROs and the safety coordinator to The Alabama State School Resource Officers (TASSRO) conference in June.
5. SROs duties are assigned by the SRO supervisor, Sgt. Clayton Jordan.
6. SRO staffing levels have been increased. There are now seven SROs in the district.

Next Steps:

1. When the new high school opens in 2012 there will be a need for two additional SROs. A funding source needs to be identified for these officers.

F5 – SRO PROGRAM: ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS: The safety/security risk level and the likelihood of occurrence of a serious incident at Madison Elementary Schools is considered to be low. Yet the problem of harassment/bullying begins to form in students beginning around the 4th-6th grade levels and continues to escalate at the middle school level. Currently, one SRO is assigned to support seven elementary schools. Several positive training modules are offered annually by the SRO to school personnel and students. However, support to seven schools stretches limited SRO resources, may impact SRO response times to elementary schools, and limits the positive influence that SROs have on elementary school students during their most formative years.

- **R5** – The district, in partnership with the Madison Police Department, should consider strengthening the prevention and response capability, providing more age appropriate awareness training, and increasing the influence SROs have on elementary students by increasing SRO coverage from one to two SROs that are assigned to support the seven elementary schools.
- **C5** – During the assessment, the 5th Grade training course “Too Good for Drugs” provided by the assigned SRO to all 5th grade students was noted as a very effective course.

Actions Completed:

1. A second SRO was hired to support the elementary schools. The two SROs assigned to elementary schools divide the schools into an east side and a west side.

Next Steps:

1. None

F6 – EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS TRAINING: Preparing for emergencies requires solid planning and training for all affected emergency response team members. The challenge is finding time on the schedule to meet academic and administrative demands while also planning time for school safety efforts. Administrators strive to meet the minimum requirements and they seem to rely primarily on conducting monthly fire drills and occasional tornado drills to meet state standards. This approach doesn’t test all the necessary actions required during an emergency and may not address how to manage all-hazard situations (i.e. intruders, active shooter, weapon on campus, cyber bullying, drug related incidents, suspicious person on property, etc.) that may occur at any time of the school day. Code Red emergencies require a response that may be substantially different than the response practiced during

tornado and fire drills. Further, teachers represent the front line defense for identifying changes in student behavior, yet the assessment indicates that teacher participation in safety planning, training, and response may need attention.

- **R6** – The district should consider coordinating an annual safety training plan that outlines a curriculum that teaches administrator, faculty, staff, and student roles and responsibilities during all-hazard emergencies. In a healthy safety environment, everyone does their part. Administrators should be encouraged to include safety topics on the agenda during staff meetings, in-service or professional development training. Efforts to optimize preparedness should include conducting both announced and unannounced drills during all times of the day, (i.e., lunch, class change, end of day departure, etc.) table top exercises, and after-action reviews (to include faculty input). Ultimately, preparedness training should result in a coordinated mass exercise that tests the full preparedness and capabilities of the school and city wide emergency response system. Further, the district should consider assisting each school in developing a quick reference emergency response flip chart to post at key locations and in each classroom for use by faculty, new employees, substitute teachers, other persons who are regularly on school property (i.e., PTA President/members) or students at times faculty is unavailable or incapacitated. Faculty and staff should receive basic awareness and refresher training over time in areas such as conflict resolution, anger management, crisis management, harassment prevention, etc. If appropriate, include selected student leaders in training scenarios to increase student awareness of and input to safety plans. Finally, the district should consider developing school safety awareness and quick reference tip sheets for parents and student leaders.

Actions Taken:

1. In addition to monthly fire drills and quarterly severe weather drills, schools now conduct lockdown drills. All these drills are reported electronically and checked monthly by the safety coordinator.
2. All schools are going through the safe schools planning process. This will identify needed training for staff.
3. Emergency response steps are being standardized across the district.
4. A lockdown drill during morning break is scheduled for Liberty Middle School. After action reviews and lessons learned will be shared with the entire district.
5. A safety webpage is being developed. It will include helpful articles and links for all stakeholders.

Next Steps:

1. Identified training needs from safety plans will be integrated in a comprehensive training program.
2. Unannounced fire and lockdown drills will be conducted. Fire, police and EMA will be present to monitor and provide feedback.
3. A standard response kit, to include a flip chart of emergency response procedures, will be developed for all classrooms.

4. Complete the safety webpage and include appropriate resources.
5. Conduct a large-scale drill that includes outside agencies.

F7 – SAFETY POLICY/PLANS/PROCEDURES: The safety planning process undertaken by Administrators early in the school year to clearly define and convey safety roles/responsibilities may have greater relevance and importance than the final Safety Plan itself. Safety Plans are in place at all ten traditional schools and generally meet state education standards. However, the Patriot Academy/Alternative School (PAAS) does not fall under an approved safety plan. PAAS seems to follow safety procedures generally understood by faculty and staff. In some cases, schools invested time in maturing the individual school plans. However, the plans as a whole vary in content, terminology, and maturity. To be useful, safety plans must be easy to reference. In some cases, plans are not simple to navigate in the current format, e.g. 3-ring binder without tabs to easily find information. Finally, in some cases, roles defined in the safety plans have not always been fully conveyed to the faculty or staff assigned the role or responsibility.

- **R7** – The district should consider standardizing a Madison City Schools Safety Plan format and content at the district level and advise Administrators to develop an Annex that identifies unique safety issues, personnel, risks, hazards, or concerns at individual schools. The standardized plans should eliminate unnecessary content, include a job description for each assigned role, designate Alternates to key positions, and certify that all team members understand their assigned roles. The plan Annex should meet State Department of Education minimum requirements and be updated as often as required by the Administrator or designee, reviewed and approved annually by Central Office, and updates distributed to all affected stakeholders.

Actions Taken:

1. Emergency response procedures were in place for all schools at the beginning of the year.
2. EMA was brought to Mill Creek Elementary to help establish safe zones for severe weather.
3. The safety coordinator attended and passed Homeland Security's "Understanding and Planning for School Bomb Incidents."
4. In October, the safety coordinator completed the four FEMA courses required to become NIMS compliant. Those courses include "Introduction to Incident Command System," "National Incident Management System (NIMS), An Introduction," "National Response Framework, An Introduction," and "ICS for Single Resources and Initial Action Incident."
5. The safety coordinator, MPD representative, and MFD representative toured all schools to determine where staging areas would be located. These areas will be upload into plans and on Virtual Alabama.
6. The safety coordinator obtained permission from the state to grant one Professional Learning Unit (PLU) for any person in the district who completed the four FEMA courses listed in item #4 above. Each person would also have to assist in developing their school's comprehensive safety plan. As a result, 37 employees who hold administrative degrees became NIMS compliant. This includes all principals. It also provided principals with trained personnel to help with thir safety plan. This training was completed in March.

7. Also in March, the district established a standardized Incident Command System. It addresses three specific levels. The first being school response before emergency personnel arrive (first five minutes). The second level is when emergency personnel and local school personnel are both on the scene. The third level is when district officials become involved in an extended emergency.
8. In April, the safety coordinator trained the principals in how to complete the first four steps of their safe schools plan. These steps include identifying their planning committee, developing an introduction and statement of commitment to school safety, identifying the hazards in the school and around their campus, and analyzing the hazards and determining school vulnerability.
9. The safety coordinator met with MPD and EMA representatives to approve the system's ICS plan, and to get input on standard emergency response steps.

Next Steps:

1. Complete standardized emergency response procedures.
2. Upload those procedures into individual school plans.
3. Complete all safe school plans.
4. Upload training requirements into district training plan (See R6 above).

F8 – RISK OF BULLYING (CYBER/PHYSICAL): Bullying behavior that intimidates others has always existed as a pervasive problem that may lead to violence. District policy and a Student Code of Conduct exist to address inappropriate student behavior, yet indications are that teachers and students may at times be reluctant to get involved, resulting in the policy not being equally enforced. Student interviews indicate that more focus should be placed on bullying (to include cyber bullying) before or during middle school. However, the assessment indicates that the response to the threat of cyber-bullying varied among Administrators. Administrators and faculty may be unsure if a conflict exists between constitutionally protected freedoms, (i.e., free speech) district policy, and their legal, ethical, or moral obligation to respond or not respond when they become aware of a serious cyber threat made by one student to another student from a home computer. At what point must an Administrator take action? Traditional approaches to dealing with bullying may not work with cyber bullying. Bullying committed through the use of computers not owned by the district may still disrupt the education process or the orderly operation of the school, thus may require an active response by Administrators.

An Alabama SDE model policy regarding anti-harassment was provided to the district for consideration in developing a district anti-harassment policy. District policy on anti-harassment is currently under revision and review by the district's Policy Committee. The date for approval of the policy and update of the Student Code of Conduct could not be determined. Note: Although a specific reference to bullying or cyber bullying is not made in the ALSDE model policy, student behaviors associated with bullying fall under the anti-harassment model policy and are referenced. It is the opinion of the STF that specific references to cyber-bullying and associated consequences should also be made in the final district anti-harassment policy and Student Code of Conduct to eliminate student and parent ambiguity.

- **R8** – The district should consider publishing the district policy on anti-harassment at the earliest possible date and ensure it is equally enforced. Further, the district should consider implementing a research-based anti-bullying program and train faculty, staff, and students annually on the provisions of the program, to include reporting and response. The district should also consider providing age appropriate curriculum on cyber etiquette, to include the consequences of cyber bullying in the student Code of Conduct. Finally, the district should consider providing anti-harassment awareness and other useful social network materials to parents through Listserv, school district website, or other approved communication means.

Actions Taken:

1. The City of Madison Board of Education adopted Policy JCD, “Harassment, Violence, and Threats of Violence Prohibited” on August 5, 2010.
2. A speaker from “New York’s Finest Speakers” conducted assemblies on internet safety, etiquette, etc. at both middle schools. He then held an Internet Awareness presentation for parents in the evening at the Bob Jones High School Auditorium. This was conducted on September 16, 2010. The presentation was filmed and made available temporarily on the system’s website.
3. The elementary counselors held “Make a Difference Day” on September 30, 2010. Elementary student leaders were brought to the central office. They worked with counselors and SROs to learn how to make their school a better place for everyone. This included extensive work on how to prevent bullying.
4. The nationally-recognized program “Challenge Day” was brought to all three secondary schools. This program breaks down barriers between student cliques. The program focuses on 100 students at each school. Those students then become the “Be the Change” team at their school.
5. A safety webpage is currently being developed. It will provide resources for all stakeholders.

Next Steps:

1. Complete safety webpage. Post appropriate resources.
2. Secure funding for follow up Challenge Day’s next school year.
3. Host another “Make a Difference Day” next school year.

F9 – EMERGENCY AND MEDICAL RESPONSE TEAMS: The Madison Police Department, Madison Fire Department, and Huntsville Emergency Medical Services Incorporated (HEMSI) must respond to schools rapidly in order to stop a threat, restore order, respond to a fire, treat and transport patients, or save lives. Madison first-responders take over the initial life-saving efforts of faculty or staff working on a victim. Every minute counts. The assessment indicates that the capabilities, training, equipment, and emergency response times to a school incident from any of these critical city services are considered excellent.

Each organization works in full cooperation with the district and the schools and receives excellent support from the Madison City Council. At times, there could be factors that may have an impact on achieving optimum response to an incident, e.g., heavy traffic congestion during peak periods, taking Alternate routes due to road

construction or weight limitations on streets/bridges, or not receiving a description of the incident location in sufficient detail during a 911 call to aid first-responders in getting to the exact scene as fast as possible, etc. Further, HEMSI incident response times may be extended at times if a HEMSI unit must respond to a call for service outside the district. Madison FD does not respond outside the district so response times remain stable. To address these limiting factors, first-responders work cooperatively with the schools and response routes and times are reviewed on an ongoing basis for improvement and adjustments are made where possible.

Upon arrival at a school, first-responders lack situational awareness and immediate access to critical information if a worst case situation arises, e.g., location of an active shooter, location of students/hostages and possible escape routes, and the identification of optimum entry points for special operations teams. To address this issue, the district initiated a pilot program to provide law enforcement first responders access to school video feeds that provide greater situational awareness. The pilot shows promise and will continue until technical issues can be resolved. Surveillance cameras that provide the feed to first-responders exist in many key areas inside/outside of schools but coverage inside the schools varies widely and has gaps. Some cameras are not functional and some cameras do not provide useful video due to outdated or poorly installed systems.

- **R9** – The district should consider developing a strategic plan that addresses a phased implementation of the State Homeland Security AL Virtual Safe School Initiative that is underway across the state. The web-based program serves to expand school surveillance coverage, provide first-responders with critical information, e.g., video feeds, school floor plans, etc., and ultimately will increase situational awareness inside schools.

Actions Taken:

1. All schools have been mapped into Virtual Alabama (VA).
2. The central office building is being added to VA.
3. A school administrator at each school has been trained on how to add information into VA.
4. MPD has been granted access to VA school information.
5. MPD, MFD, and EMA have received training regarding VA.
6. The superintendent and the safety coordinator attended the VA Safe Schools Summit.
7. Camera links were added but are not operational. Technology is working the issue. It may be that only the newer IP cameras are compatible with the system.

Next Steps:

1. Local schools will continue to add information into their school's VA site.
2. Link new IP cameras into VA.
3. Add MPD layers into school plans (ex: where roads would be blocked in an emergency)

- **R10** - The district should consider initiating a study to assess the need for implementing a standardized system (e.g., alpha/numerical) for identifying locations within schools to assist 911 callers when describing the exact location of an incident requiring emergency assistance. Further, the district should also consider working with the architect/contractor selected to design and build the new high school to ensure the new school design considers a standardized system for identifying all rooms.

Actions Taken:

1. Varying building layouts make a standardized system difficult. Some schools, such as Mill Creek and the new high school, have multiple halls running in the same direction, making east/west or north/south numbering impractical. They use first digit to designate hallway. Other schools use first digit to designate floor.

Next Steps:

1. None
- **R11** – The district should consider working with first-responders and city officials on a long-term plan to conduct a mass exercise that tests the effectiveness of school Safety Plans and Incident Command System, as well as the full capabilities of the city emergency services, i.e., police, fire, medical, etc.

Actions Taken:

1. MPD currently uses school buildings to practice hostage situations, intruders, etc.
2. A new, standardized ICS is in place system-wide.
3. First responders have given input into staging areas at all schools.
4. Small scale unannounced drills are planned.
5. During the recent tornado outbreak, the new ICS was tested. Although it was not a school event, the safety coordinator reported to the city’s EOC and acted as liaison officer. He then relayed information to the superintendent. This, in turn, led to the school system formulating an emergency plan of action to close up schools and protect resources during the power outage.

Next Steps:

1. Complete small drills and after-action reports. Share information.
2. Complete an after-action report regarding tornado outbreak.
3. Plan and conduct a mass exercise using first responders and city officials.

F10 – SECURITY CONTROLS AND TECHNOLOGIES: The district has disparate security systems installed throughout the schools with varying degrees of coverage, functionality, and effectiveness. An effective school safety/security program represents a balance between policy/procedure, plans, people, and technology controls. In some cases,

technology upgrades may be needed to establish a district security system that is integrated into a coherent whole, functions as designed, and plans for future growth.

- **R12** – The district should consider initiating a study that identifies the security technology needs and shortfalls in security controls and technology, while leveraging the use of existing security technologies and controls. The district should also consider embracing a phased implementation of the State Homeland Security AL Virtual Safe School initiative (http://www.dhs.alabama.gov/virtual_alabama/school_safety.aspx).

Actions Taken:

1. All school cameras have been inventoried and mapped.
2. Camera maintenance, purchasing, and replacement is now being tracked through the safety office.
3. All existing equipment has been inspected. Work orders have been placed to have all existing equipment repaired and in good working order.

Next Steps:

1. Complete repairs to existing equipment.
 2. Under the current budget constraints, no new cameras can be added without substantial help from grant money.
- **R13** – The district should review safety/security considerations and system specifications for the new high school construction project and adopt security design features into the school architecture and design, e.g., main entrance design, improved lines of sight in hallways, inclusion of infrastructure for access controls/keycard readers, surveillance and monitoring technology, etc. If security design expertise is not available, the district should consider the use of a licensed security consultant for this purpose. Changing project design and security specifications after final designs have been approved is expensive and could cause project delays.

Actions Taken:

1. The new high school is designed with a secured entry.
2. Surveillance cameras are new and should be compatible with VA.
3. A tornado safe area large enough to house all students and staff is incorporated in the design of the school.
4. All security items available at other schools will be in place at the new school when it opens. (Ex: Access Card readers, electronic visitor sign in, etc.)

Next Steps:

1. None

- **C9** – The district may want to consider submitting a request for available federal and state Safe and Drug Free school grants to acquire funds to upgrade security controls, e.g., cameras, access card readers, electronic visitor sign in systems, etc.

F11 – ACCESS CONTROL PROCEDURES: Access controls are employed so that school officials know who is in the facility. Access control policy and procedures for visitors and the response for persons not in possession of a visitor pass are defined in school plans and are implemented at all schools. Signs are posted to direct visitors to sign in and teachers generally monitor hallways and external doors during class changes to watch for unauthorized persons. In most cases, front office personnel are alert to persons entering the building and do an excellent job ensuring visitors sign in. However, the access controls vary in effectiveness and gaps between intentions and practice may exist.

Access controls can be potential “weak links” due to factors such as 1) complacency, 2) poor entrance design that blocks visibility of arriving visitors, 3) distracted front office personnel who are multi-tasking, 4) occasional failure to follow established procedure, i.e., unsupervised doors during class change, doors propped open, or not challenging a person without a visitor pass. Controls may not always be consistently followed, e.g., not always checking identification when students are picked up and parents or guardians are not personally known to staff, thus creating a gap in the front-line security posture that should be closed.

- **R14** – Administrators should consider reemphasizing the importance of remaining alert and consistently following established access and visitor controls. To keep levels of alertness up, the effectiveness of access controls should be tested periodically with unannounced exercises, and lessons learned applied immediately. Electronic visitor sign-in systems such as Ident-A-Kid’s *Complete Campus Security Solution* (<http://www.betoosafe.com/>) or School Check IN’s *OffenderCHECK* (<http://schoolcheckin.com/>) go beyond pen and paper sign in and are installed at some Madison schools. Computer based identification and visitor systems should be considered for district wide deployment. Enhanced systems that are designed to screen a driver’s license in order to conduct a national sex offender database check prior to granting a pass represent a Best Practice and should be considered for future use. Hoover City Schools, Alabama can serve as a model for such a system.

Actions Taken:

1. Lobby Guard visitor kiosks have been installed at all schools. This system tracks visitors, conducts an electronic sex offender check, prints photo badges, tracks visitor hours, and has quick scanning check out. Visitor information can be viewed at any computer with internet access. It also notifies SROs and principals whenever a failed background check occurs, and provides a link with pictures to quickly resolve any false hits.

Next Steps:

1. Order Lobby Guard kiosk for the new high school.
 2. Research expansion of features to include possible student ID badges. Kiosk could then manage student check in/check out.
- **R15** – The district should consider conducting an assessment of each school front entrance and office area for possible renovation that results in greater front office visibility. If adopted, the renovated

design would create a secondary barrier (door) between the front door and rest of the school and require a positive action by front office personnel to grant access to a visitor, e.g., verify identification and push a button to grant entrance. Authorized personnel may enter by swiping a keycard through a reader to open the door. Some schools such as Liberty MS feature such a design and serve as a model. Such a system still provides a welcoming feel to parents and visitors while preventing anyone from entering the school unnoticed.

Actions Taken:

1. Front entrances at all schools have been assessed for potential remodeling.
2. The Liberty Middle School remodeling has been completed.
3. Mill Creek and the new high school have or will have this type of entrance.
4. All other schools have been put on the capital plan. Work will be completed as money becomes available.
5. Bob Jones High School has reconfigured their front entrance using new office furniture. It does not completely close off the entrance, but it does provide improved monitoring by office personnel.

Next Steps:

1. Complete front entrance remodeling projects as funds become available.

F12 – EMERGENCY COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS: The ability for school officials or law enforcement personnel to communicate in a timely manner is foundational to an effective safety program. Any limitation to communications such as the intercom system, walki-talkie, cell phone, etc., may result in an uncoordinated response and increased safety risk. The assessment indicates that two-way radio communication coverage inside many schools is affected by radio dead spots due to the facility’s construction, thus rendering emergency communication and responsiveness unreliable and ineffective. Backup systems such as personal cell phones are used when necessary with a higher degree of reliability. In addition, in one case the effectiveness of a school intercom system to communicate urgent messages is questionable due to poor quality, design and installation, and noise factors.

- **R16** – The district should consider initiating an Emergency Communications Effectiveness Study to validate overall communications effectiveness at all schools and determine how all communications systems can or should be enhanced, e.g., adding secondary communications systems (private point to point), installation and use of repeaters, need for additional communication devices such as walki-talkies, bullhorns, or cell phones for faculty and staff use, etc.

Actions Taken:

1. The Madison Police Department completed radio testing in all schools. They mapped dead spots. Repeaters were installed to help with Bob Jones High School and Discovery Middle School.
2. Mill Creek Elementary School’s intercom system has been adjusted. It now works effectively.
3. Emergency cell phone contact lists are being incorporated into all school safety plans.

4. Bus radios are being used as an alternative way to communicate during an emergency.
5. The school system is currently researching mass notification programs to alert stakeholders of emergency situations.

Next Steps:

1. Select an appropriate mass notification program. Implement as soon as funding becomes available.
2. Madison Police will convert to digital radios in three years. This will enhance radio coverage.

F 13 –SAFETY AT PATRIOT ACADEMY/ALTERNATIVE SCHOOL (PAAS): The PAAS administration, counselor, faculty, and staff are caring and creative individuals that face a daily challenge (and some risk) working with students who require constant attention. Although economically it makes business sense for PAAS to share a campus with Discovery MS, there are certain inherent risks in having Discovery MS share a campus with both the Patriot Academy and the Alternative School (PAAS). A-School represents the “last chance” for troubled students to correct their behavior before long-term suspension or expulsion.

Administrators at both Discovery MS and PAAS take steps to monitor PAAS student behavior and mitigate security risks, i.e., 1) Start PAAS later than Discovery MS, 2) Provide a teacher escort for A-School students going to Discovery MS for meals, 3) Provide separate bus transportation for A-School students, 4) Review Intake Rules with both the student and parent/guardian and require signature by both, review A-School Student Contract with the student with a signature required, as well as complete the Daily Behavior Report, 5) Restrict A-School students from extracurricular activities with consequences for violating this stipulation, 6) Counseling is provided to help ensure students with deeper emotional issues are confirmed ready to return to mainstream school to address his/her educational needs without being a risk to himself/herself or other students, and 7) Employ the Ripple Effects process to identify and work with students on their specific behavior problems, strengths, and goals while attending PAAS.

Additional A-School risks that should be considered for mitigation may include, but are not limited to 1) teaching A-School students in conflict with one another side by side in a confined space, 2) teaching PA students and A-School students in the same small modular unit, 3) establishing a maximum number of days (up to 9 weeks) in A-School before returning a student to his/her original school, 4) not screening A-School students daily for possible weapons or drugs, 5) not having PAAS on the Discovery MS intercom system for emergency announcements, and 6) not having a full-time SRO assigned to assist the Administrator of PAAS.

- **R17** – The district should consider conducting a thorough safety/security audit to identify all inherent risks of a shared campus and develop a mitigation plan to eliminate vulnerabilities and mitigate the risk to an acceptable level. Further, the district should consider requiring A-School students to complete community service projects as a way to learn while helping others and consider the option of students wearing school uniforms to deter repeated bad behavior and return to A-School.

Actions Taken:

1. An additional SRO has been assigned to assist with the PAAS.
2. The administration of PAAS has developed plans that effectively isolate potential problems.

3. The administration of PAAS has also worked to create an environment which motivates students and eliminates many problems. Students are given goals to work toward. A comprehensive plan was developed for intake, support, checkpoints, remediation, etc.
4. The administration looked at options for community service but does not feel it is appropriate at this time.

Next Steps:

1. Examine options for a new, stand alone campus for PAAS.
 - **R18** – The district should consider reemphasizing to the original school the importance of providing sufficient information to A-School either in writing or a direct call to the Administrator. Knowledge of student intention and behavior is critical to managing A-School risk. In some cases, information entered onto the A-School Referral Form or in the Student Technical Information (STI) database may not always be in sufficient detail or provided in a timely manner. The overall process does not seem to be major problem but any gaps in A-School risk should be closed.

Actions Taken:

1. New procedures for disciplinary hearings require the administrator to notify the alternative school if there is a chance of placement there.
2. The principal and/or safety coordinator calls the PAAS principal if a placement is being made.
3. The PAAS principal has developed an intake procedure that insures information about a student is shared, and that support from the home school is in place for that student.

Next Steps:

1. None
 - **R19** – The PA/AS is a moderate to high risk environment. The front entrance is controlled with a lock and requires a visitor to ring a buzzer to request entry. However, the front entrance is not under video surveillance and does not have an intercom for communicating with a potential visitor, thus front office personnel cannot properly and safely identify visitors prior to opening the front door. The district should consider installing a video camera and intercom system at PA/AS to monitor the entrance for suspicious activity and allow proper identification of visitors. Further, to further reduce the risk, the district should consider the use of portable wands to screen PA/AS students for weapons during the relatively short duration they will attend the schools.

Actions Taken:

1. Existing video cameras were moved and adjusted to provide appropriate coverage of doors, classrooms, and the walkway.
2. Handheld Metal Detectors have been purchased for all three secondary schools. The PAAS shares a wand with Discovery Middle School.

Next Steps:

1. When the new high school opens, purchase two new handheld wands (one for PAAS, one for the high school).
- **C10** – The Administrator, counselor, and faculty of PA/AS are commended for incorporating innovative teaching ideas to motivate their students to reflect on their bad behaviors and make better choices. For example, the Patriot Academy students recently took time out of the classroom to participate in a community service project at Leathertree Park involving painting playground equipment and installing landscape timbers and much. PA students expressed enjoyment in working as a team, felt a sense of purpose, and learned not to take their community for granted.

F14 – SCHOOLS INTERACTION WITH THE MEDIA: The media plays an important role in helping to prevent and limit the harm that results from violence in the schools. Unfortunately, the media can also contribute to the confusion if Administrators don't understand how to work with the media. So a balance must be struck. Administrator understanding of their roles /responsibilities regarding media relations and interactions with the media during normal and crisis operations varied among Administrators. This seems to be an underdeveloped area in the school safety planning. In some cases, procedures outlined in school Safety plans didn't always match the reality when working with the media.

- **R20** – The district should consider strengthening the relationships between Central Office, schools, and the media. Review policy/procedure on handling media relations to ensure timely, appropriate, and reliable communication to the community. Ensure media liaisons are trained to work with the media and know how to protect the rights of the school and rights of the students in the aftermath of an incident. One useful source for developing guidelines for working cooperatively with the media is the International Association of Chiefs of Police. Information can be found at www.theiacp.com.

Actions Taken:

1. The safety and communications coordinator has developed contacts at all local media outlets.
2. At the system level, there is an open relationship with the media. They are viewed as an essential form of communication.

Next Steps:

1. Update all media contacts.
2. Work with individual schools to develop positive relationships with media.
3. Improve the timeliness of submissions to media.

F15 – INCIDENT COMMAND SYSTEM: The in-school Incident Command structure is generally addressed in school safety plans, and it starts at the top with the Principal. Many day to day issues are managed by the Principal/Assistant Principal as the on scene Incident Commander without outside assistance from first-responders. However, a complete understanding of the requirement and process for transferring Incident Command authority from the Principal to appropriate first responders during a major crisis varied among Administrators.

- **R21** – First responders follow the National Incident Management System (NIMS) doctrine for Incident Command. Upon arrival of first responders, Administrators should quickly shift their Incident Command role to a key supporting role that initiates student accountability and immediate assistance to law enforcement and/or the Fire Department to work together effectively and efficiently to respond to and recover from incidents. Access to the school property, if designated as a crime scene, is controlled by the first responder assuming Incident Command. Administrators and other non-essential personnel must respect the authority and direction of the assuming Incident Commander in order to ensure command authority and preserve evidence as necessary. This process of transferring IC authority should be included as a topic in table-top exercises. Finally, in addition to assessing the findings and recommendations in this assessment report, the district strongly consider coordinating a detailed Lessons Learned review of the incident that occurred at Discovery MS to evaluate the adequacy of safety planning and identify ways to improve future incident response.

Actions Taken:

1. All administrators have now completed the four FEMA courses required to be NIMS compliant.
2. A standard ICS structure is in place for the school system.
3. All administrators have been trained regarding ICS and the transfer of command.
4. MPD conducted a lessons learned from DMS.
5. Central office staff conducted a lessons learned from DMS, which led to the development on the new ICS.

Next Steps:

1. Conduct a mass exercise and assess the system’s ICS and transfer of command.

F16 – SHARING CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION: Sharing confidential information among Administrators, counselors, and SROs regarding “Students of Interest” who exhibit notable safety risk factors is an important prevention strategy in managing potential risk. In some cases, such information may not always be directly shared from one school Administrator or SRO to another as a student progresses to the next level. To obtain this information, receiving Administrators or SROs typically would need to be proactive in pulling information from the STI database.

- **R22** – The district should consider establishing a process that encourages Administrators, counselors, and/or SROs at schools where students of interest are departing to proactively share confidential information and behavioral history to the receiving school officials who have a “need to know” so that receiving school officials can conduct their own risk assessment and intervention plan early in the new school year. In all cases, schools must comply with the Family Educational rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) in a way that balances the need to protect students and staff while protecting the confidentiality rights of all students.

Actions Taken:

1. Students with behavioral issues are brought to the Student Support Team. Plans developed to assist those students are forwarded to the receiving school.
2. SROs now have access to appropriate iNow student data sections.

Next Steps:

1. Develop a procedure to “push” behavioral data rather than relying on the receiving school to “pull” data.
2. Schedule “move up days” where teachers and/or counselors can meet with the receiving school and share data.

F17 – FACULTY AND STAFF ACTIVE DEFENSE: School faculty and staff are not expected or required to take action to defend themselves or students against armed aggressors. Yet incidents across the nation have shown that faculty and staff may come in direct contact with an aggressor, resulting in uncertainty of their responsibilities and uncertainty of on how to respond to as last resort defense against mortal danger.

- **R23** – The district should consider the value of coordinating a self-defense and survival training for faculty and staff who desire the training.

Actions Taken:

1. Self defense training was scheduled for the February professional development day. That day was lost as a snow make up day.

Next Steps:

1. Reschedule training as professional development days become available.

F 18 – COMMUNITY AND PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT: Community and parental involvement and support is an essential element of a safe school program. Active parental involvement in the schools varies widely. Parental involvement is strong at elementary schools but typically wanes at the middle and high school levels. In some situations, parents have shown little desire to get involved at the schools. In other situations, parents desiring to be more engaged at the middle school level have indicated that their continued involvement at the middle school level has been discouraged. Overall, the assessment survey indicates that parents want the schools to be more open and honest in talking to parents about problems that are known to exist so that parents and schools can work together to solve them.

- **R24** – The key ingredient to getting parents involved in the students’ education may be to provide them with information on an ongoing basis. The district, Administrators, and faculty at all levels should consider renewing their efforts to establish partnership opportunities that engage parents and informs them of steps they can take to contribute to a safe school environment, e.g., teach and monitor safe Internet tips at home, identifying gang and drug associated behaviors, monitor their child for changing behaviors, actively participate in their child’s activities, etc. Creative ideas for encouraging more parents to sign up for Listserv email messages distributed by the Central Office should be considered and pursued.

Actions Taken:

1. The system provided Internet Safety and Awareness training for parents at Bob Jones High School.
2. The safety coordinator has used the listserv to send out pertinent safety information (ex: Information about the Choking Game following the death of a student in Madison County).
3. The superintendent now uses listserv, twitter, and facebook to communicate. This was particularly helpful during the recent tornado disaster.
4. Parent volunteers were used during Challenge Day activities. They were not merely chaperones. They actively participated with students.
5. A safety website is being developed to provide information to all stakeholders.

Next Steps:

1. Complete the safety webpage and update it regularly.
 2. Provide information to parents regarding safety procedures the school will follow.
- **R25** – The district should consider the benefits of sponsoring the innovative WATCH D.O.G.S. (Dads of Great Students) program of the National Center for Fathering which focuses on prevention of violence in the schools by using fathers and father-figures to be a positive role model for students. More information can be found at www.fathers.com/watchdogs.

Actions Taken:

1. Funding opportunities through a foundation were sought for WATCH DOGS program. This money was not awarded. The program itself has a yearly fee associated with its implementation.
2. Elementary principals and teachers have increased opportunities for mentors and leadership training in their schools. Heritage Elementary has their RUFF program. West Madison has Student Leadership Training. These are just two examples of programs that are free to the system, and provide needed mentor relationships and role models for students.
3. Liberty Middle School has done numerous extensions of “Challenge Day” to create positive change in student behavior.

Next Steps:

1. Expand these programs to all schools
- **C12** – Parents and guardians have a critical role to play in school safety. To contribute to the overall safety of the schools, parents and guardians should be encouraged to openly discuss topics with their

children such as school discipline, safety procedures, gang related indicators, behavior expectations, and how to resolve problems peacefully. The district is commended for its initiative to host a Community Resources Fair on May 4th, 2010 to provide parents with valuable information and resources that are available in the community, i.e., family counseling, domestic violence information, teen parenting, and many other services.

- **C13** – Administrators should clearly communicate safety procedures that the school will follow during a crisis at their child’s school and outline how parents can best assist school officials and monitor for incident updates in the midst of a crisis.

F19 – WEAPONS DETECTION AND RESPONSE: Schools have a clear no-weapons policy but their ability to effectively implement the policy in a campus environment needs further assessment. The district policy on the use of metal detectors seems to adequately provide for their use, if warranted. However, the resources to procure and implement metal detectors, provide training on the equipment, and ensure staffing of the systems are extremely limited. In light of recent Pride Survey results reporting that 171 students indicated they had brought a weapon to school during a school year, the use of metal detectors at school entrance points was a consideration during the assessment. Metal detectors are most often considered for high risk or volatile environments to deter and detect weapons, e.g., Courtrooms, school districts in high risk areas, etc. Despite the recent tragic shooting, Madison City Schools are not currently assessed as a high risk environment for incidents that may result in death or serious bodily harm. The use of fixed walk-through metal detectors comes with a significant impact on the school environment and operational efficiency, and could represent a recurring cost in lost productivity. Our assessment on the use of metal detectors indicates that a level of deterrence is realized with students that have no criminal intent, however, other factors will likely result in fixed metal detectors not detecting 100% of weapons that may be introduced by determined students through other openings or at times the metal detectors are not in operation, i.e., after hours.

- **R26** – The district should consider conducting its own study, legal review, and benchmarking regarding the use of electronic equipment (fixed or portable) to detect weapons and determine if such use is a desired solution for Madison city schools. As a minimum, the issue of weapons being introduced by students, or by others with criminal intent and no connection to the school, should be the focus of school prevention and response efforts, i.e., teaching the appropriate response to observing a suspicious person or response to a weapon observed on school property. For schools considered to be at low to moderate risk, further consideration should be taken regarding the use of portable wands (metal detectors) coupled with random student searches (with reasonable suspicion) as a supplementary control at middle and high schools, e.g., upon learning of a reported threat of a weapon at school.

Actions Taken:

1. SROs are immediately consulted whenever there is a report of a weapon.
2. Portable metal detectors are now available at all secondary schools to assist searches where reasonable suspicion exists.

Next Steps:

1. None

- **C14** – Pride Report surveys are anonymous, thus the accuracy of the statements regarding bringing weapons on school property can't be verified. Case studies show metal detectors may not deter a person determined to bring a weapon to school. The campus environment (i.e., many windows and doors), hours of operation and use by community organizations, provides numerous opportunities for a weapon to be introduced both during and after school hours by students and non-students accessing the property.

F20 – SAFETY AT SITES LOCATED OUTSIDE OF SCHOOLS: There is some inherent risk of threatening behavior occurring at outside school sites where fewer controls may be in place, i.e., modular units, bus loading/unloading areas, parking lots, sporting venues, etc. In most cases, schools do an excellent job in providing traffic duty supervisors that are attentive to the safety of the students and are sufficient in number to manage the task. In one case, during the assessment it was observed that students and *early* arriving school buses unloading students were unsupervised or had limited supervision, thus limiting the supervisor's ability to monitor for safety concerns.

- **R27** – The assessment does not indicate that the limited supervision observed during bus unloading is an ongoing problem. However, it can become a safety gap if not monitored. Administrators should consider reemphasizing the policy for supervising bus loading/unloading and parking areas and ensure supervisors avoid distractions and remain attentive to safety issues during the relatively short durations they are on duty. In addition, where necessary, safety /security considerations should be incorporated into protecting the modular environment, e.g., surveillance, defined response procedures, additional exercises, and effective communications.

Actions Taken:

1. Principals were reminded to give extra attention to all places where students gather, to include parking lots and bus loading/unloading zones.

Next Steps:

1. Conduct a drill that that focuses on one of these areas. Share lessons learned with all schools in the district.

F21 – RISK OF GANG ASSOCIATED PRESENCE: Considering the substantial growth in the city and the school district, there may be a destructive risk evolving from what can be described as gang associated behavior; however, currently the risk of a violent incident associated with gang activity occurring at district schools is assessed as low. Over the past several years, students transferring into the school system have made attempts to loosely form a gang presence. The assessment indicates that a small number of students at the middle and high school levels choose to behave in ways that indicate they are associated with a nationally known gang. The behavior tends to be reflected in their choice and subtle display of clothing, paraphernalia, and language. There is no indication to date that these students have been officially recognized or supported by nationally associated gangs, nor is there any indication that these students are committing violent criminal acts to support their activities. In most cases, the identification of these students is typically known to Administrators and SROs who proactively monitor the

students for troublesome behavior. However, teachers are the front line defense for monitoring student behavior for outward signs of gang associated activity and they should play a key role in the mitigation of this risk.

- **R28** – The district and the schools should continue their close partnership with SROs and the Madison Police Department to monitor the potential gang associated activity and respond immediately when behavior violates district policy or the Student Code of Conduct, to include violations of the Dress Code that reflects gang membership. The district should also assess the need for adding age appropriate gang awareness training to the curriculum at the middle and high school levels as a minimum. Finally, the district should consider teaching faculty and staff about how to recognize and report signs of gang associated activity.

Actions Taken:

1. The MPD and SROs share gang-related information with the safety coordinator and school administrators.
2. A gang awareness training session was scheduled for the February Professional Development Day. That day was lost to a makeup weather day.

Next Steps:

1. Reschedule gang awareness training for the next professional development day.

F22 – RISK OF THREATENING BEHAVIOR RESULTING FROM DRUG ACTIVITY: The use of drugs and alcohol is often associated with violence and troublesome behavior. Both issues are nationwide concerns and Madison City Schools are not exempt from such behavior. Students receive an extensive education on the dangers of drug use at an early age and it continues throughout their education. However, the problem is prevalent in our schools.

- **R29** – The district should continue its excellent efforts to educate students on drug use, while also taking a more proactive enforcement approach to reducing drug use at schools.

Actions Taken:

1. Implemented the TEXT TO PROTECT line. Tips directly led to at least four confirmed drug use cases.
2. SRO SOP/MOU outlines procedures for use of drug dogs within schools.
3. A day has been scheduled to bring drug dogs into all three secondary schools. This was scheduled through the safety coordinator and will be unannounced at the local school.
4. The district actively supports the Take Back Initiative which provides a safe way for residents to turn in old prescription drugs. This prevents children from stealing and abusing medication from the family medicine cabinet.

Next Steps:

1. None

F22 – CENTRAL SURVEILLANCE MONITORING: Surveillance camera systems can often serve as a deterrent to bad behavior and should be at the heart of any effective safety plan. Camera use is optimized when monitored for troublesome activity so that an appropriate response can be mounted before an incident occurs. Currently, cameras are monitored independently on a limited basis by each school and are generally located in clear view of non-staff entering the front office areas. Video feeds are recorded and reviewed as a key element of an investigation and active monitoring may occur upon notification of a suspicious incident in a specific location. However, in most if not all cases, it is difficult or impossible for Administrators or staff to monitor the cameras for suspicious activity on a routine basis.

- **R30** – As a preventive strategy, as a Best Practice the district should consider studying the benefits of establishing a central monitoring capability for remote live monitoring of all hot spots during peak times, resulting in a more rapid response to suspicious activity observed at all schools, e.g., theft, bullying, harassment, assaults, intruders, etc. The Huntsville City School District can serve as a model in central monitoring for review and consideration.

Actions Taken:

1. Current camera coverage is not sufficient to monitor all “hot spots.” Cameras were initially set up to secure the building, not monitor the hallways.
2. Funding constraints currently prohibit the expansion of our camera system and contracting with a monitoring service.

Next Steps:

1. Revisit this issue when funding for cameras becomes available.

F23 – EMERGENCY EQUIPMENT: School Safety Plans provide for the use of emergency bags during an emergency. Use of emergency bags/kits and locations where the bags are placed varied. Emergency supplies in the kits also vary and may not include all useful items during an emergency.

- **R31** – The district should consider establishing a list of the minimum items needed and recommended items for emergency bags. The district should consider conducting an inventory of all emergency bags and restock the bags with all basic emergency supplies.

This item was addressed in R6:

1. A standard response kit, to include a flip chart of emergency response procedures, will be developed for all classrooms.

F24 – TRANSPORTATION SAFETY: There is an inherent safety risk to students who ride on school buses due in part to the limited supervision. Assessment of school bus safety was limited due to time constraints; however, video surveillance on buses serves to deter undesired behavior and aids in an investigation. Reportedly, an estimated 50% of Madison City school buses managed by Laidlaw are outfitted with a surveillance camera and digital video recorder, procedures for responding to an incident have been defined, and drivers have been trained to respond to threatening behavior.

- **R32** – The district should consider auditing the bus transportation system for knowledge of and compliance with school bus safety protocols and concerns. The district should consider continuing to upgrade bus surveillance systems until 100% have been outfitted. Reemphasize to bus drivers that proper radio communication protocols must be followed at all times to ensure that information that has not been approved for release does not get communicated over a two-way radio.

Actions Taken:

1. Bus service is now operated in house. It is no longer contracted.
2. All bus surveillance systems have been upgraded.
3. The transportation coordinator insures drivers are trained in proper radio protocols.
4. A new bus tracking system is being tested at Columbia Elementary School. It would allow parents to track the location of their child's bus. It also allows school personnel to track the speed of the bus, and which children are on the bus.

Next Steps:

1. Continue with implementation of bus tracking system.