AP Scoring Rubric Question 2: Prose Analysis

Thesis Statement:

0 points	1 point
For any of the following:	Responds to the prompt with a defensible thesis that presents an
There is no defensible thesis.	interpretation and may establish a line of reasoning.
The intended thesis only restates the prompt.	
The intended thesis provides a summary of the issue with no	(The thesis may be one or more sentences anywhere in the response.)
apparent or coherent claim.	
There is a thesis, but it does not respond to the prompt.	
Responses that do not earn this point:	Responses that earn this point:
The intended thesis only restates the prompt.	There is a clear thesis that takes a position on/provides a defensible
The intended thesis is only a generalized comment about the text	interpretation in response to the prompt.
that doesn't respond to the prompt.	
• The intended thesis simply describes the text or features of the text	
rather than making a claim that requires a defense.	
A thesis that meets the criteria can be awarded the point whether or n	not the rest of the response successfully supports that line of reasoning.

Evidence and Commentary:

0 Points	1 Point	2 Points	3 Points	4 Points	
Simply restates thesis (if	Summarizes text without	Makes textual references	Makes textual references	Makes textual references	
present).	reference to a thesis.	(direct quotes or	(direct quotes or	(direct quotes or	
OR	OR	paraphrases) that are	paraphrases) that are	paraphrases) that are	
Repeats provided	Provides non-specific	relevant to the thesis.	relevant to the thesis.	relevant to the thesis.	
information.	references to the text.	AND	AND	AND	
OR	OR	Provides commentary;	Provides commentary that	Provides well-developed	
Gives examples that are	Provides vaguely relevant	however, it repeats,	explains the relationship	commentary that	
generally irrelevant and/or	references to the text.	oversimplifies, or	between evidence and the	consistently and explicitly	
incoherent.	AND	misinterprets the cited	thesis; however,	explains the relationship	
	Provides little or no	information or evidence.	commentary is uneven,	between the evidence and	
	commentary.		limited, or incomplete.	the thesis.	
Typical responses:	Typical responses:	Typical responses:	Typical responses:	Typical responses:	
Are incoherent or do not	Drop in textual	 Contain multiple 	 Provide commentary that 	Provide commentary that	
address the prompt.	references, devices or	inaccuracies or instances	is not always well	engages significant	
May be just opinion with	techniques with little or	of repetition in	developed, limited (stops	details of the text to	
no textual references or	no explanation.	commentary.	short); may be piecemeal.	draw conclusions.	
references that are		 Offer only simplistic 	Assume or imply a	 Integrate short excerpts 	
irrelevant.		explanations that don't	connection to the thesis	throughout in order to	
		strengthen the argument.	that is not always explicit.	support the student's	
				interpretation.	
Writing that suffers from grammatical and/or mechanical errors that interfere with communication cannot earn the fourth point in this row.					

Sophistication Point:

0 points	1 point				
Does not meet the criteria for 1 point.	Demonstrates sophistication of thought and/or develops a complex				
	literary argument.				
Responses that do not earn this point:	Responses that earn this point demonstrate a sophistication of thought				
Attempt to contextualize their interpretation, but such attempts	or develop a complex literary argument by doing any of the following:				
consist of predominantly sweeping generalizations.Only hint or suggest other possible interpretations.	Crafting a thesis that demands nuanced consideration of textual evidence to prove – and then successfully proves it.				
 Make a single statement about how an interpretation of the poem comments on something thematic without consistently maintaining that thematic interpretation. Oversimplify complexities in the poem. Use complicated or complex sentences or language that are ineffective in that they do not enhance the argument. 	 Explaining the significance or relevance of an interpretation within a broader context. 				
	Discussing alternative interpretations of a text				
	Recognizing and accounting for contradictions and complexities within the text.				
	 Using relevant analogies to help an audience better understand an interpretation. 				
	 Utilizing a prose style that is especially vivid, persuasive, convincing, or appropriate to the student's argument. 				
This point should be awarded only if the sophistication or complex understanding is part of the argument, not merely a phrase or reference.					

Points	Grade	Score / 30	Percent
6	Α	30	100 %
5	В	26	86 %
4	С	22	73 %
3	F+	18	60 %
2/1	F	15	50 %