


-- First shoulder arthroplasty




First shoulder arthroplasty designed by Pean in 1983 for tuberculosis involvement of the glenohumeral joint using platinum and rubber components

--

- Rotator Cuff Arthropathy
  - Historical review
  - Clinical presentation
  - Imaging features
  - Proposed Etiologies
    - Rotator Cuff Theory
    - Crystalline-Induced Arthritis (Milwaukee Shoulder Syndrome)
  - Treatment
- Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty
  - Past Designs
  - Grammont Delta III Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty
  - Indications / Contraindications
  - Biomechanics
  - Imaging Features

--

- Progressive and destructive arthropathy of the glenohumeral joint in a small percentage of patients with chronic rotator cuff tears



--

- Adams and Smith (19th century) - Earliest description of the pathoanatomical features of rotator cuff tear arthropathy (CTA) Described as localized form of rheumatoid arthritis
- Codman (1934) – “subacromial space hygroma” in woman with recurrent shoulder swelling, absence of the rotator cuff, cartilaginous bodies attached to the synovium, and severe destructive glenohumeral osteoarthritis.
- DeSeze (1968) - L'épaule sénile hémorragique (the hemorrhagic shoulder of the elderly). Three elderly women w/o trauma history who had recurrent, blood-streaked effusions about the shoulder , severe glenohumeral degeneration, and chronic rotator cuff tears.

- McCarty and Halverson (1981) - Milwaukee shoulder syndrome. Condition seen in four elderly women who had recurrent bilateral shoulder effusions, severe radiographic destructive changes of the glenohumeral joints, and massive tears of the rotator cuff.
- Lequesne et al (1982) - L'arthropathie destructrice rapide de l'épaule (rapid destructive arthritis of the shoulder) - Large spontaneous GHJ effusions and RCT in six elderly women.
- Neer et al (1983) - Cuff Tear Arthropathy. Term used to describe GHJ arthritis and massive chronic RCT in 26 patients who had total shoulder replacements
- Dieppe (1984) - Apatite-associated destructive arthritis and idiopathic destructive arthritis were introduced to describe rotator cuff tear arthropathy.

--


- More common in women than men, especially elderly women with long standing shoulder symptoms
- Dominant side more commonly affected, bilateral in 60% in one series
- Symptoms:
  - Moderate joint pain
  - Limited range of motion
  - Recurrent swelling of the shoulder
- Physical Exam:
  - Swelling about the glenohumeral joint
  - Atrophy of the supraspinatus and infraspinatus muscles

- Superior migration of the humeral head with articulation with the acromion sometimes resulting in rounding-off the greater tuberosity.
- Severe destructive GJH osteoarthritis
- Anterior or posterior humeral head subluxation
- Neer et al reported an area of subchondral collapse in humeral head in all twenty-six patients in one series; they considered this finding a requirement for the diagnosis of rotator cuff tear arthropathy



--


- Massive tears of the supraspinatus and infraspinatus tendons with muscle atrophy
- Glenohumeral joint destruction
- Occasionally, geyser phenomenon with fluid communicating between the glenohumeral joint, SA/SD bursae and AC joint as a result of massive rotator cuff tear and ACJ capsular ligament injury






Neer et al (1983) – A small percentage (4%) of untreated chronic, massive rotator cuff tears would lead to severe glenohumeral degeneration from mechanical and nutritional alterations

- Mechanical factors: Instability of the humeral head resulting from massive RCT and rupture or dislocation of the long head of the biceps, leading to proximal migration of the humeral head and acromial impingement.
- Glenohumeral cartilage loss was a result of repetitive trauma from the altered biomechanics because loss primary and secondary stabilizers of the glenohumeral joint.




--

- Nutritional: Inadequate diffusion of nutrients to the cartilage as the loss of a watertight joint space diminished the quantity of synovial fluid.
- Disuse osteoporosis of the proximal part of the humerus would decrease the density of the subchondral bone in the humeral head and contribute to atrophy of the articular cartilage.
- Degenerative arthritis and subchondral collapse eventually would develop as a result of changes in the articular cartilage.



--


- McCarty and Halverson (1981) postulated that phagocytized basic calcium-phosphate (BCP) crystals in synovial fluid induce release of proteolytic enzymes which cause destruction articular and periarticular tissues.
- Hydroxyapatite-mineral phase develops in the altered capsule, synovial tissue, or degenerative articular cartilage and releases basic calcium-phosphate crystals (crystal very similar to Hydroxyapatite) into the synovial fluid.
- These crystals then are phagocytized by synovial cells, forming calcium-phosphate crystal microspheroids which induce the release of activated enzymes




- Medical management of the pain / physical therapy
- Arthroscopic lavage / arthroscopic débridement - Limited short-term results; rationale is remove activated enzymes and crystals
- Hemiarthroplasty – Provides some return of function but pain relief is variable
- Arthrodesis - Not well tolerated because of cosmetic appearance/poor function
- Constrained arthroplasty – High rate of glenoid component loosening
- Total shoulder arthroplasty - Associated with high rate of glenoid loosening because superior migration of humeral head results in “rocking-horse” phenomenon

Conventional TSA not satisfactory

Because of superior humeral head migration, eccentric loading on the glenoid component resulted in "rocking-horse" glenoid loosening





Unconstrained TSA abandoned b/c of glenoid loosening



- Relatively fewer problems with glenoid component loosening as in the conventional TSA
- Limited pain relief, less than with conventional TSA
- Modest improvement in active elevation or abduction can deteriorate as a result of subsequent glenoid and/or acromial erosion

- Fixed center of rotation provided some active elevation
- Lateral offset of the center of rotation placed increased torque at the glenoid-bone interface resulting in loosening







- Designed in 1985 by Paul Grammont
- Used in Europe for past 20 years, approved by FDA in March, 2004 in U.S.
- Components: Humeral component, polyethylene insert, glenosphere, metaglens (baseplate)











Small lateral offset (absence of component neck) places the center of rotation more medially surface and reduces the torque at glenoid-bone interface





- The lever arm distance ( $L$ ) is increased and deltoid force ( $F$ ) is increased by lowering and medializing the center of rotation which is now also fixed
- Torque ( $F \times L$ ) in abducting the arm is increased.



- Large glenoid ball component offers a greater arc of motion



Medializing the center of rotation recruits more of the deltoid fibers for elevation or abduction but...




... Fewer posterior deltoid fibers are available for external rotation

Important to comment on status of teres minor on any MR imaging showing findings of rotator cuff arthropathy


Ant.

Pos.



# Indications


- Rotator cuff tear arthropathy – most common
- Failed hemiarthroplasty with irreparable rotator cuff tears
- Pseudoparalysis (i.e., inability to lift the arm above the horizontal) because of massive, irreparable rotator cuff tears
- Some reconstructions after tumor resection
- Some fractures of the shoulder (Neer three-part or four-part fx)
- Severe proximal humerus fractures with tuberosity malposition or non-union



*Courtesy Tudor Hughes, M.D.*




# Metastatic renal cell



*Courtesy Heinz Hoenecke, M.D.*



Unconstrained TSA abandoned b/c of glenoid loosening



- Glenosphere and humeral component should be aligned on trans-scapular Y view
- Slight posterior position of the humeral component acceptable on the axillary view
- Metaglene flush against the glenoid

# Contraindications

- Primary osteoarthritis or osteonecrosis where the articular surface–tuberosity relationships are normal and the rotator cuff is intact
- Marked deltoid deficiency, as the shoulder will not function well and will be prone to dislocate
- History of previous infection – recurrent infection high
- Use sparingly in patients less than 65 years old, as long-term survivorship and complication rates are unknown



# Complication rates

- Higher for intraoperative and postoperative complication rates for reverse TSA (mean 24%) vs. conventional TSA (mean 15%)
- Besides cuff arthropathy, reverse TSA still regarded a salvage procedure for failed hemiarthroplasties. If exclude these salvage procedure, complication rate is less

# Complications


- Recent postoperative
  - Hematoma
  - Dislocation
  - Prosthesis loosening
  - Infection
  - Periprosthetic fracture
  - Metaglens migration
  
- Late postoperative period:
  - Scapular erosion
  - Osteophyte formation
  - Heterotopic ossification
  - Acromion or scapular stress fractures

Unconstrained TSA abandoned b/c of glenoid loosening



- Most commonly anterior-superior b/c unopposed pulled of deltoid muscle
- 20% of reverse TSA had dislocations in one series
- More likely to occur if deltoid tension not adequate

Glenoid baseplate not fully seated



- Back of metaglene must be flush to the glenoid
- Perioperative complication


## Component loosening



- The baseplate and glenosphere have migrated superiorly
- Irregularity of the glenoid from contact by the humeral component
- Humeral component loosening

*Courtesy Heinz Hoenecke, M.D.*

## Scapular Notching




- Most common complication – result of contact of humeral component with inferior margin of the scapula
- Seen soon after implantation and stabilizes after 1 year.
- Controversial as to clinical significance but higher grade notching has been associated with lower Constant (postop. patient satisfaction) scores

### **Nerot Classification of Scapular notching**


- Grade 1: Confined to the scapular pillar
- Grade 2: Notch outline contacts lower
- Grade 3: Notch over the lower screw
- Grade 4: Notch extends to baseplate.




Scapular Notching




Malpositioning of nitrogene screw



## Acromial Stress Fracture



- Unique to reverse TSA
- Believed to be secondary to loading to the posterior aspect of the acromion, from increased deltoid tension
- Increased load on the acromion may also explain rare complication of scapular spine fracture



Scapula - spine fracture



*Courtesy Heinz Hoenecke, M.D.*

### **Interpretation Checklist for Radiologic Evaluation of Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty**

---

- Are the humeral and glenosphere components aligned? If there is a dislocation, is it anterior or posterior?
- Is the metaglene placed flush with the native glenoid?
- Are the metaglene anchoring screws within the scapula?
- Are there regions of radiolucency at the component-bone or cement-bone interface?
- Are the components of the prosthesis intact?
- Is the inferior border of the scapula being eroded by the humeral component?
- Are there regions of new (heterotopic) bone formation?
- Are the supporting bones intact?

1. Resnick, Donald. Diagnosis of Bone and Joint Disorders – 4<sup>th</sup> ed. 2002
2. Boileau P, Watkinson DJ, Hatzidakis AM, Balg F. Grammont reverse prosthesis: design, rationale, and biomechanics. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2005;
3. Sirveaux F, Favard L, Oudet D, Huquet D, Walch G, Mole D. Grammont inverted total shoulder arthroplasty in the treatment of glenohumeral osteoarthritis with massive rupture of the cuff: results of a multicentre study of 80 shoulders. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2004
4. McFarland E et al. The Reverse shoulder prosthesis: a review of imaging features and complications. Skelet Radiol (2006) 35:488-496.
5. Roberts C et al. Radiologic Assessment of Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty. Radiographics 2007;27:223-235.
6. Jensen K et al. Current Concepts Review Rotator Cuff Arthropathy. JBJS. Vol. 81-A, No. 9. September 1999

END