Exemplary Go

91-100

Goal

Proficient 77-83 Working Towards Proficiency 70-76 Needs Improvement 65-69

Not Acceptable 64 - Under

# 6 Exemplary (91-100)

- o Offers in-depth analysis and interpretation of the document; distinguishes between fact and opinion, explores reliability of author; compares and contrasts author's view with views of others (SDL, ER, EW)
- o Shows evidence of thorough *knowledge* of period in which source was written; *evaluates* primary source within specific historical context in which it was written (SDL, ER, EW)
- o *Identifies* the key issues and main points included in the primary source; shows *understanding* of author's goal(s) (SDL, ER, EW))
- o Integrates use of multiple resources in addition to the primary source (SDL)
- o Analyzes author's use of literary devices such as repetition, irony, analogy, and sarcasm (SDL, ER)
- o Shows strong understanding of author's audience (SDL, ER)

### 5 Goal (84-90)

## 4 **Proficient** (77-83)

- o Offers accurate analysis of the document with some distinction between fact and opinion; reliability of author is overlooked; views are only minimally compared and contrasted with views of others (SDL, ER, EW)
- o Accesses and utilizes previous general historical knowledge to examine issues included in the document (SDL, ER, EW)
- o Identifies most but not all of the key issues and main points in the primary source (SDL, ER, EW)
- o Integrates use of 1-2 outside resources in addition to the primary source (SDL)
- o Some interpretation of author's use of literary devices is apparent but analysis is not developed fully (SDL, ER)
- o Shows some understanding of author's audience (SDL, ER)

## 3 Working Toward Proficiency (70-76)

#### 2 Needs Improvement (65-69)

- o Demonstrates only a minimal understanding of the document; very little, if any, analysis; no distinction between fact and opinion; does not compare and contrast author's views with views of others (SDL, ER, EW)
- o Limited use of previous historical knowledge without complete accuracy (SDL, ER, EW)
- o Describes in general terms one issue or concept included in the primary source (SDL, ER, EW)
- o Relies heavily on the material/information provided (SDL)
- o Slight mention of author's use of literary devices but no analysis (SDL, ER)
- o Shows little understanding of author's audience (SDL, ER)

#### 1 Not Acceptable (64-under)

- o Reiterates one or two facts from the document but does not offer any analysis or interpretation of the document (SDL, ER, EW)
- o Barely indicates any previous historical knowledge (SD, ER, EW)
- o Deals only briefly and vaguely with the key issues and main points in the document (SDL, ER, EW)
- o Relies exclusively on the material/information provided; no evidence of outside resources (SDL)
- o Does not mention author's use of literary devices (SDL, ER)
- o Shows no understanding of author's audience (SDL, ER)